

MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY

WEEKLY REPORT

- 585 National, State, and Urban Area Vaccination Coverage Levels Among Children Aged 19–35 Months — United States, 1999
- 589 Prevalence of Intimate Partner Violence and Injuries — Washington, 1998
- 593 Update: Nucleic Acid Amplification Tests for Tuberculosis
- 603 Notice to Readers

# National, State, and Urban Area Vaccination Coverage Levels Among Children Aged 19–35 Months — United States, 1999

Childhood vaccinations have a major impact on the reduction and elimination of many causes of morbidity and mortality among children (1). Monitoring vaccination coverage levels is necessary to characterize undervaccinated populations and to evaluate the effectiveness of efforts to increase coverage. The National Immunization Survey (NIS) provides ongoing national estimates of vaccination coverage among children aged 19–35 months based on data for the most recent 12 months for each of the 50 states and 28 geographic areas (2). This report presents the findings of the 1999 NIS\*, which indicate that vaccination coverage among U.S. children aged 19–35 months were at or near record high levels.

To collect vaccination information for all age-eligible children, NIS uses a quarterly random-digit–dialing sample of telephone numbers for each survey area. During 1999, 33,548 household interviews were completed, representing 34,442 children. The response rate for eligible households for the 78 survey areas was 66.3%. Following the interviews and with parental/guardian consent, data accuracy was verified from vaccination providers. Children with provider data were weighted to represent all children surveyed and to account for nonresponding households, changes in natality patterns, and lower vaccination coverage among children in households without telephones (2).

In 1999, national vaccination coverage for three doses of any diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine (DTP) was 95.9%; for three doses of poliovirus vaccine, 89.6%; for three doses of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b vaccine (Hib), 93.5%; for one dose of measles-mumps-rubella vaccine (MMR), 91.5%; for three doses of hepatitis B vaccine (HepB), 88.1%; and for one dose of varicella vaccine (VAR), 59.4%.

From 1998 to 1999, national coverage with the combined vaccination series 4:3:1 (four doses of DTP, three doses of poliovirus vaccine, and one dose of measlescontaining vaccine) and with 4:3:1:3 (4:3:1 series and three doses of Hib) did not change significantly (Table 1). Coverage with VAR increased from 43% in 1998 to 59% in 1999 (Table 1).

In 1999, state-specific coverage for the 4:3:1 series ranged from 70% to 91%, and the 4:3:1:3 series ranged from 69% to 91% (Table 2). For selected urban areas, coverage ranged from 67% to 87% for the 4:3:1 series and from 63% to 87% for the 4:3:1:3 series (Table 2).

<sup>\*</sup>For this reporting period (January-December 1999), NIS included children born during February 1996-May 1998.

### Vaccination Coverage Levels — Continued

	14110			Lation		<u>,, em</u>		<i>b</i> tu to 0,		1000	
	1995*			1996†		1997 <sup>s</sup>		1998¶		1999**	
Vaccine/Dose	%	(95% CI <sup>++</sup> )	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	
DTP <sup>§§</sup>											
3 Doses	94.7	(±0.6)	95.0	(±0.4)	95.5	(±0.4)	95.6	(±0.5)	95.9	(±0.4)	
4 Doses	78.5	(±1.0)	81.1	(±0.7)	81.5	(±0.7)	83.9	(±0.8)	83.3	(±0.8)	
Poliovirus											
3 Doses	87.9	(±0.8)	91.1	(±0.5)	90.8	(±0.5)	90.8	(±0.7)	89.6	(±0.6)	
Hib <sup>¶¶</sup>											
3 Doses	91.7	(±0.6)	91.7	(±0.5)	92.7	(±0.5)	93.4	(±0.6)	93.5	(±0.5)	
MMR***											
1 Dose	87.8	(±0.7)	90.7	(±0.5)	90.5	(±0.7)	92.0	(±0.6)	91.5	(±0.6)	
Hepatitis B											
3 Doses	68.0	(±1.0)	81.8	(±0.7)	83.7	(±0.6)	87.0	(±0.7)	88.1	(±0.7)	
Varicella											
1 Dose	NA	ttt	NA		25.9	(±0.7)	43.2	(±1.0)	59.4	(±1.0)	
Combined series											
4 DTP/3 Polio/1 MCV <sup>§§§</sup>	76.2	(±1.0)	78.4	(±0.8)	77.9	(±0.7)	80.6	(±0.9)	79.9	(±0.8)	
4 DTP/3 Polio/1 MCV/3 Hib	74.2	(±1.0)	76.5	(±0.8)	76.2	(±0.8)	79.2	(±0.9)	78.4	(±0.9)	

TABLE 1. Vaccination coverage levels among children aged 19–35 months, by
selected vaccines — National Immunization Survey, United States, 1995–1999

Children in this survey period were born during February 1992-May 1994.

<sup>†</sup> Children in this survey period were born during February 1993–May 1995. <sup>§</sup> Children in this survey period were born during February 1994–May 1996.

<sup>1</sup> Children in this survey period were born during February 1995–May 1997.

\*\* Children in this survey period were born during February 1996–May 1998.

<sup>††</sup> Confidence interval.

<sup>§§</sup> Includes diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine (DTP), diphtheria and tetanus toxoids (DT), and diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis vaccine.

" Haemophilus influenzae type b vaccine (Hib).

\*\*\* Previous reports of vaccination coverage were for measles-containing vaccine (MCV); the above reflects coverage with measles-mumps-rubella vaccine (MMR).

<sup>+++</sup> Data not available in this reporting period. Data collection for varicella vaccine began July 1996.

<sup>\$\$\$</sup> Four doses of DTP/DT, three doses of poliovirus vaccine, and one dose of MCV.

<sup>¶¶</sup> Four doses of DTP/DT, three doses of poliovirus vaccine, one dose of MCV, and three doses of Hib.

### Reported by: National Center for Health Statistics; Assessment Br, Data Management Div, National Immunization Program, CDC.

Editorial Note: National coverage for routinely recommended childhood vaccines has increased substantially since 1993, when the Childhood Immunization Initiative (CII) was implemented by the federal government (3). The findings in this report indicate that national coverage for the recommended vaccines remain at or near record high levels. However, this coverage level cannot ensure protection for children born during or after 1999 even though levels observed in 1999 demonstrate the feasibility of attaining high coverage. Achieving and sustaining the national health objectives for 2010 vaccination coverage and disease-elimination (4) will require developing a functional vaccine-delivery system. This effort will require collaboration between national, state, local, private, and public partners.

A comprehensive vaccine-delivery system that would achieve and maintain high vaccination coverage levels (5) and low morbidity in children born during or after 1999 should consist of three components. These components are 1) state- and communitybased computerized vaccination registries that include all children from birth, that can identify children needing vaccination, and can recall them for missed vaccinations (6); 2) ongoing quality-assurance and information-feedback activities (7); and 3) education programs for parents and health-care providers.

Vaccination Coverage Levels — Continued

		4:3:1	4:	3:1:3
State/Urban area	%	(95% CI¶)	%	(95% CI)
Alabama	79.7	(±4.5)	78.4	(±4.6)
Jefferson Co.	86.6	(±4.4)	85.2	(±4.6)
Rest of state	78.5	(±5.2)	77.2	(±5.3)
Alaska	82.2	(±4.7)	80.1	(±4.8)
Arizona	73.9	(±4.5)	72.4	(±4.6)
Maricopa Co.	71.7	(±6.4)	71.0	(±6.4)
Rest of state	77.5	(±5.7)	74.8	(±5.9)
Arkansas	78.5	(±5.8)	77.1	(±5.8)
California	78.3	(±3.5)	75.3	(±3.6)
Los Angeles Co.	78.1	(±5.6)	76.0	(±5.7)
San Diego Co.	76.6	(±5.4)	74.5	(±5.6)
Santa Clara Co.	84.3	(±4.3)	81.8	(±4.6)
Rest of state	78.1	(±5.4)	74.4	(±5.6)
Colorado	77.2	(±5.2)	75.8	(±5.3)
Connecticut	87.1	(±4.4)	85.9	(±4.6)
Delaware	80.0	(±5.0)	78.2	(±5.1)
District of Columbia	78.5	(±5.4)	77.5	(±5.4)
Florida	82.0	(±4.1)	80.3	(±4.2)
Dade Co.	86.7	(±4.5)	84.0	$(\pm 4.2)$ $(\pm 5.0)$
Duval Co.	79.1	(±4.9)	77.7	(±5.1)
Rest of state	81.3		79.8	(±5.3)
		(±5.2)		
Georgia Fulton/DeKalb cos.	83.1 86.4	(±4.3)	81.9 83.4	$(\pm 4.4)$
Rest of state	82.3	(±4.5)		$(\pm 4.8)$
		(±5.2)	81.5	(±5.3)
Hawaii	82.8	(±4.7)	81.6	(±4.8)
daho	70.0	(±5.5)	69.4	(±5.5)
llinois	78.8	(±4.1)	77.4	(±4.2)
Chicago	73.2	(±6.1)	71.4	(±6.2)
Rest of state	81.0	(±5.3)	79.8	(±5.4)
ndiana	75.4	(±5.0)	74.3	(±5.0)
Marion Co.	79.7	(±5.8)	79.1	(±5.8)
Rest of state	74.5	(±5.8)	73.3	(±5.9)
owa	84.5	(±4.4)	83.4	(±4.5)
Kansas	79.7	(±4.9)	78.9	(±4.9)
Kentucky	88.6	(±4.4)	87.6	(±4.5)
ouisiana	76.9	(±4.7)	76.8	(±4.7)
Orleans Parish	72.6	(±5.8)	71.5	(±5.9)
Rest of state	77.5	(±5.3)	77.5	(±5.3)
Maine	84.1	(±4.8)	82.9	(±5.0)
Maryland	80.5	(±4.2)	79.4	(±4.3)
Baltimore	73.2	(±6.6)	71.9	(±6.8)
Rest of state	81.8	(±4.8)	80.7	(±4.9)
Massachusetts	87.3	(±3.9)	85.2	(±4.4)
Boston	86.1	(±5.1)	83.6	(±5.8)
Rest of state	87.4	(±4.3)	85.3	(±4.8)
Vichigan	75.9	(±4.8)	74.4	(±4.9)
Detroit	66.9	(±6.5)	66.4	(±6.5)
Rest of state	77.2	(±5.4)	75.6	(±5.5)

TABLE 2. Estimated vaccination coverage with the 4:3:1* and 4:3:1:3* series
among children aged 19-35 months, by state and selected urban areas —
National Immunization Survey, United States, 1999 <sup>§</sup>

\* Four doses of any diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine, three doses of poliovirus vaccine, and one dose of measles-containing vaccine (MCV).
 <sup>†</sup> Four doses of any diphteria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine, three doses of poliovirus vaccine, one dose of MCV, and three doses of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b vaccine.
 <sup>§</sup> Children in this survey period were born during February 1996–May 1998.
 <sup>§</sup> Confidence interval.

### Vaccination Coverage Levels — Continued

State / Irban area	%	4:3:1	<u>4:</u> %	<u>:3:1:3</u>
State/Urban area		(95% CI <sup>¶</sup> )		(95% CI)
Minnesota	87.0	(±4.8)	85.2	(±5.1)
Mississippi	81.7	(±5.4)	81.7	(±5.4)
Missouri	75.5	(±5.2)	75.0	(±5.2)
Montana	84.8	(±4.4)	82.5	(±4.6)
Nebraska	83.7	(±4.5)	81.8	(±4.8)
Nevada	73.4	(±5.3)	73.1	(±5.4)
New Hampshire	84.5	(±4.7)	84.5	(±4.7)
New Jersey	80.9	(±5.0)	80.8	(±5.0)
Newark	68.7	(±8.0)	66.5	(±8.0)
Rest of state	81.5	(±5.3)	81.5	(±5.3)
New Mexico	75.6	(±5.9)	73.0	(±6.1)
New York	83.4	(±3.3)	81.0	(±3.5)
New York City	81.5	(±5.1)	78.3	(±5.3)
Rest of state	85.0	(±4.2)	83.3	(±4.5)
North Carolina	81.8	(±5.0)	81.8	(±5.0)
North Dakota	83.0	(±4.5)	80.4	(±4.8)
Ohio	79.1	(±4.0)	78.1	(±4.0)
Cuyahoga Co.	74.6	(±5.6)	73.5	(±5.7)
Franklin Co.	79.1	(±5.1)	77.9	(±5.1)
Rest of state	79.9	(±5.0)	78.9	(±5.1)
Oklahoma	79.9		72.9	
		(±5.7)		(±5.7)
Oregon	73.2	(±5.9)	72.3	$(\pm 6.0)$
Pennsylvania	86.6	(±3.7)	86.0	(±3.7)
Philadelphia	82.7	(±4.7)	81.3	(±4.9)
Rest of state	87.3	(±4.2)	86.8	(±4.3)
Rhode Island	90.4	(±3.9)	87.4	(±4.6)
South Carolina	81.1	(±4.7)	80.6	(±4.8)
South Dakota	83.4	(±4.5)	81.7	(±4.7)
Tennessee	79.5	(±3.8)	77.7	(±3.9)
Davidson Co.	75.4	(±5.5)	73.3	(±5.6)
Shelby Co.	76.5	(±5.5)	75.0	(±5.6)
Rest of state	81.0	(±5.3)	79.2	(±5.4)
Texas	74.7	(±3.6)	72.4	(±3.7)
Bexar Co.	70.2	(±6.2)	69.9	(±6.2)
Houston	66.5	(±6.8)	63.3	(±7.0)
Dallas Co.	76.0	(±6.5)	71.6	(±6.9)
El Paso Co.	75.0	(±5.2)	72.7	(±5.5)
Rest of state	76.5	(±5.3)	74.5	(±5.4)
Utah	81.7	(±5.1)	80.2	(±5.3)
Vermont	90.7	(±3.5)	90.5	(±3.5)
Virginia	81.6	(±5.2)	80.3	(±5.3)
Washington	76.5	(±3.2) (±3.9)	74.9	(±3.3) (±4.0)
King Co.	78.5	(±5.3)	77.4	(±5.4)
Rest of state	75.8	(±5.0)	74.0	(±5.2)
West Virginia	82.1	(±4.7)	81.0	(±4.8)
Wisconsin	85.4	(±3.3)	84.5	(±3.4)
Milwaukee Co.	75.3	(±6.2)	74.1	(±6.3)
Rest of state	88.2	(±3.8)	87.6	(±3.9)
Wyoming	83.5	(±4.9)	82.8	(±4.9)
Overall	79.9	(±0.8)	78.4	(±0.9)

TABLE 2. Estimated vaccination coverage with the 4:3:1\* and 4:3:1:3<sup>†</sup> series among children aged 19-35 months, by state and selected urban areas -National Immunization Survey, United States, 1999<sup>§</sup> — Continued

\* Four doses of any diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine, three doses of poliovirus vaccine, and one dose of measles-containing vaccine (MCV).
 <sup>†</sup> Four doses of any diphteria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine, three doses of poliovirus vaccine, one dose of MCV, and three doses of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b vaccine.
 <sup>§</sup> Children in this survey period were born during February 1996–May 1998.

<sup>¶</sup> Confidence interval.

### Vaccination Coverage Levels — Continued

High coverage levels are necessary to maintain and reduce illness, disability, and death associated with vaccine-preventable diseases. Assessment of vaccination coverage levels is an important component of the U.S. immunization program. To maintain the integrity and reliability of the national immunization system, a core surveillance effort that includes immunization coverage levels is essential (8). NIS is the primary source of vaccination coverage data among U.S. preschool-aged children (5). NIS should continue to characterize at-risk children and evaluate the effectiveness of programs designed to increase coverage.

### References

- 1. CDC. Impact of vaccines universally recommended for children—United States, 1990–1998. MMWR 1999;48:243–8.
- Zell ER, Ezzati-Rice TM, Battaglia MP, Wright RA. National Immunization Survey: the methodology of a vaccination surveillance system. Public Health Rep 2000;115:65–77.
- CDC. Reported vaccine-preventable diseases—United States, 1993, and the Childhood Immunization Initiative. MMWR 1994;43:57–60.
- 4. US Department of Health and Human Services. In: Healthy people 2010 (conference ed, 2 vols). Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, 2000.
- 5. The National Vaccine Advisory Committee. Strategies to sustain success in childhood immunizations. JAMA 1999;282:363–70.
- Cordero JF, Orenstein WA. The future of immunization registries. Am J Prev Med 1997; 13(suppl):S122–S124.
- 7. LeBaron CW, Chaney M, Baughman AL, et al. Impact of measurement and feedback on vaccination coverage in public clinics, 1988–1994. JAMA 1997;277:631–5.
- Institute of Medicine. Calling the shots. Immunization finance policies and practices. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2000:67.

# Prevalence of Intimate Partner Violence and Injuries — Washington, 1998

Approximately 20% of emergency department visits for trauma and 25% of homicides of women involve intimate partner violence (IPV) (1,2). To assess IPV prevalence in Washington, the Washington State Department of Health added questions from the Conflict Tactics Scale (3) and the Revised Conflict Tactics Scale (4) to its 1998 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) survey. This report describes an analysis of responses to the questions, which indicated that women were more likely than men to experience IPV in their lifetime, and more than three times more likely than men to experience injuries from IPV.

BRFSS is an ongoing, state-based, random-digit-dialed telephone survey of the U.S. civilian, noninstitutionalized population aged  $\geq$ 18 years that collects information about modifiable risk factors for chronic diseases and leading causes of death. In 1998, 3604 persons responded to the Washington BRFSS. Because the questions were considered sensitive, permission was asked before beginning the IPV section, and 3381 (93.5%) gave permission. Only English-speaking persons were respondents. The survey response rate was 61.4%.

Respondents were asked whether they had experienced IPV during their lifetime (i.e., kicked, bit, or hit with fist; hit or tried to hit with something; beat up; threatened with gun or knife; or used gun or knife) and whether they had sustained physical injury (sprain, bruise, or small cut; physical pain the next day; passed out from being hit on head; went

### Intimate Partner Violence — Continued

to doctor; needed to see doctor but didn't; or broken bone) resulting from IPV. An intimate partner was defined as a current or former spouse, live-in partner, boyfriend, girlfriend, or date. Some respondents might have referred to a same-sex partner; the sex of the partner was not asked. Responses were weighted for selection probability by the number of adults and telephone numbers in the household, and whether the number was drawn from a block of 100 numbers containing at least one or no listed number. Responses also were weighted to approximate the Washington population on the basis of the respondents' age and sex.

In 1998, of approximately 2,113,000 women aged  $\geq$ 18 who resided in Washington (5), approximately 499,000 (23.6%) (95% confidence interval [CI]=453,000–545,000) experienced IPV during their lives, and 456,000 (21.6%) women (95% CI=410,000–502,000) had a physical injury resulting from IPV. Of the 2,049,000 men (5), approximately 336,000 (16.4%) (95% CI=289,000–383,000) experienced IPV and approximately 154,000 (7.5%) (95% CI=121,000–187,000) experienced injury from IPV (Table 1). Multivariate logistic regressions were conducted to identify the levels of lifetime risk associated with sex, education, income, and marital status. Odds ratios (ORs) for education, income, and marital status were similar for men and women; therefore, data for both sexes were combined (Table 2).

Compared with never married status, divorced/separated status was associated with an almost three-fold increase in the risk for reported IPV (OR=2.7; 95% Cl=1.9–4.0) and a four-fold increase in the risk for injury from IPV (OR=4.0; 95% Cl=2.7–6.1); 45.3% of divorced/separated women reported an injury from an intimate partner. Low education level also was associated with increased risk for IPV (OR=1.4; 95% Cl=1.1–1.8) and injury from IPV (OR=1.4; 95% Cl=1.1–1.8) and injury from IPV (OR=1.6; 95% Cl=1.2–2.2); however, the association between low income and injury from IPV was not significant (OR=1.3; 95% Cl=0.9–1.9).

Reported by: L Bensley, PhD, S Macdonald, PhD, J Van Eenwyk, PhD, Acting State Epidemiologist, Office of Epidemiology; K Wynkoop Simmons, PhD, D Ruggles, MBA, Washington State Dept of Health. Family and Intimate Violence Prevention Team, Div of Violence Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, CDC.

**Editorial Note**: This report indicates that IPV in Washington is more prevalent among women than men. Other studies have found that women have similar or higher IPV rates than men but that women are more likely to sustain injury (3,6–8). Although low education and income levels are risk factors for reported IPV, 17.6% of women with incomes of  $\geq$ \$50,000 per year and 20.2% of women with at least some college education reported injuries as a result of IPV. In addition, divorced/separated respondents were more likely to report violence than married, widowed, or never married respondents.

The findings in this report are subject to at least three limitations. First, the study was limited by its dependence on self-reports, which might be inaccurate because of recall bias or unwillingness to report. Second, this study did not include persons without telephones or persons who did not speak English. Third, because of their cross-sectional nature, the results do not provide evidence of causal relations (e.g., IPV may have been the cause of divorce or may have occurred during the divorce process).

Identification of IPV is difficult because of its private and sensitive nature. Interventions may include strategies to increase IPV recognition, and should occur in varied settings (e.g., health-care, criminal justice, and school systems) and with varied approaches, including IPV screening protocols by health-care providers (9), school programs teaching conflict resolution, public education campaigns regarding the

### Intimate Partner Violence — Continued

		Women		Men			
Experience	No.	Prevalence	(95% Cl⁺)	No.	Prevalence	(95% CI)	
Event							
Kicked, bit, hit with fist	395	19.7%	(17.6–21.8)	187	12.0%	(9.9–14.2)	
Hit or tried to hit with something	330	17.4%	(15.3–19.5)	187	12.0%	(11.1–12.9)	
Beat up	257	13.0%	(11.2–14.8)	27	1.8%	( 1.1- 2.5)	
Threatened with gun or knife	164	8.1%	( 6.6- 9.6)	51	3.3%	(2.8-3.8)	
Used gun or knife	59	3.2%	(2.2-4.2)	27	2.0%	( 1.1- 2.9)	
Any event	475	23.6%	(21.4–25.8)	249	16.4%	(14.0–18.8)	
Injury							
Sprain, bruise, or small cut	369	18.8%	(17.7–19.9)	93	6.2%	( 4.7– 7.7)	
Physical pain the next day	369	18.5%	(16.4–20.6)	86	5.5%	(4.2-6.8)	
Pass out from being hit on head	66	4.2%	(2.9-5.5)	14	1.1%	( 0.3- 1.9)	
Gone to doctor	151	7.4%	( 6.0- 8.8)	19	1.3%	( 0.7- 1.9)	
Needed to see doctor, but didn't	140	7.5%	( 6.0- 9.0)	19	1.4%	( 0.6- 2.2)	
Broken bone	59	3.2%	(2.2-4.2)	8	0.6%	( 0.2- 1.0)	
Any injury	422	21.6%	(19.4–23.8)	114	7.5%	(5.9–9.1)	

TABLE 1. Lifetime experiences of intimate partner violence and injury, by sex* —
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, Washington, 1998

\* All sex differences are significant at p<0.01 except "used gun or knife," which was not statistically significant.

<sup>†</sup> Confidence interval.

# TABLE 2. Adjusted odds ratios (AOR)\* of reporting ever experiencing intimatepartner violence (IPV) or injury, by selected characteristics — Behavioral RiskFactor Surveillance System, Washington, 1998

		Ever IPV				Ever injured			
Risk factor	No.	Prevalence	AOR	(95% Cl⁺)	No.	Prevalence	AOR	(95% CI)	
Sex									
Women	397	24.3%	1.6	(1.2–2.0)	352	21.5%	3.6	(2.7–4.7)	
Men	221	16.7%	1.0	(referent)	101	7.1%	1.0	(referent)	
Education									
≤High school graduate	239	24.7%	1.4	(1.1–1.8)	167	16.6%	1.4	(1.04–1.8)	
Some college or									
college graduate	379	18.2%	1.0	(referent)	286	12.9%	1.0	(referent)	
Household income									
<\$25,000	205	27.8%	1.6	(1.2–2.2)	161	19.1%	1.3	(0.9–1.9)	
\$25,000-\$49,999	249	19.6%	1.1	(0.9–1.5)	179	14.2%	1.2	(0.9–1.6)	
≥\$50,000	164	16.4%	1.0	(referent)	113	10.9%	1.0	(referent)	
Current marital/partner status									
Married or living with partner	274	17.1%	1.1	(0.8–1.5)	175	10.8%	1.2	(0.7–1.6)	
Divorced/separated	217	37.9%	2.7	(1.9–4.0)	186	32.9%	4.0	(2.7–6.1)	
Widowed	27	12.1%	0.8	( 0.4–1.4)	22	10.7%	1.2	(0.6–2.4)	
Never married	100	20.4%	1.0	(referent)	70	12.1%	1.0	(referent)	
Overall	618	20.5%			453	14.2%			

\* All odds ratios control for age at time of survey and other risk factors. Total numbers and frequencies of men and women reporting IPV and injury from IPV differ from Table 1 because respondents with missing data on any of the measures used in this analysis were excluded (e.g., 14% of respondents to the survey did not answer the question about income).

<sup>†</sup> Confidence interval.

### Intimate Partner Violence — Continued

unacceptability of IPV, and information about community resources such as shelters and counseling for battered women. Other interventions may include treatment of offenders (10); interventions for children who witness IPV; and efforts to make the criminal justice system more responsive to victims by reforming laws, providing victim advocates, and training police, prosecutorial, and court personnel. Although most of these approaches have shown some success, rigorous evaluations of these interventions are needed to determine their effectiveness.

This report underscores the usefulness of BRFSS for collecting data about IPV, although IPV questions are not asked routinely on BRFSS. State and national efforts to plan and evaluate programs to lower IPV rates would benefit from more widespread use of IPV items on BRFSS surveys. Standardizing questions would facilitate comparisons between geographic regions. Questions assessing IPV have been developed by CDC for potential use in BRFSS and soon will be pilot tested in several states. IPV is a new area of public health but one that affects many persons. Continued surveillance and well-evaluated and effective programs are needed to prevent IPV.

### References

- 1. US Department of Justice. Uniform crime reports, 1995. Washington, DC: US Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, 1996.
- Rand M, Strom K. Violence-related injuries treated in hospital emergency departments, Bureau of Justice Statistics special report. Washington, DC: US Department of Justice, August 1997; publication NCJ-156921.
- 3. Straus MA, Gelles RJ. Physical violence in American families: risk factors and adaptation to violence in 8,145 families. New Brunswick, New Jersey: Transaction Publishing, 1990.
- Straus MA, Hamby SL, Boney-McCoy S, Sugarman DB. The revised Conflict Tactics Scales (CTS2) development and preliminary psychometric data. J Family Issues 1996;17: 283–316.
- Washington State Office of Financial Management. Washington state adjusted population estimates. Olympia, Washington: Washington State Office of Financial Management, 1999.
- Tjaden P, Thoennes N. Prevalence, incidence, and consequences of violence against women: findings from the National Violence Against Women Survey. Washington, DC: US Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, 1998; report no. NCJ 172837.
- 7. Greenfeld LA, Rand MR, Craven D, et al. Violence by intimates: analysis of data on crimes by current or former spouses, boyfriends, and girlfriends. Bureau of Justice statistics factbook. Washington, DC: US Department of Justice, 1998.
- 8. CDC. Physical violence and injuries in intimate relationships—New York, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 1994. MMWR 1996;45:765–7.
- 9. McLeer SV, Anwar R. A study of battered women presenting in an emergency department. Am J Pub Health 1989;79:65–6.
- 10. Gondolf EW. Patterns of reassault in batterer programs. Violence and Victims 1997;12: 373–87.

# Notice to Readers

# Update: Nucleic Acid Amplification Tests for Tuberculosis

On September 30, 1999, the Food and Drug Administration approved a reformulated Amplified Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Direct Test\* (MTD) (Gen-Probe®, San Diego, California) for detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in acid-fast bacilli (AFB) smearpositive and smear-negative respiratory specimens from patients suspected of having tuberculosis (TB). MTD and one other nucleic acid amplification (NAA) test, the Amplicor® Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Test (Amplicor) (Roche® Diagnostic Systems, Inc., Branchburg, New Jersey), previously had been approved for the direct detection of *M. tuberculosis* in respiratory specimens that have positive AFB smears. This notice updates the original summary published in 1996 (1) and provides suggestions for using and interpreting NAA test results for managing patients suspected of having TB.

The appropriate number of specimens to test with NAA will vary depending on the clinical situation, the prevalence of TB, the prevalence of nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM), and laboratory proficiency (*2,3*). Based on available information, the following algorithm is a reasonable approach to NAA testing of respiratory specimens from patients with signs or symptoms of active pulmonary TB for whom a presumed diagnosis has not been established.

## Algorithm

- 1. Collect sputum specimens on 3 different days for AFB smear and mycobacterial culture.
- 2. Perform NAA test on the first sputum specimen collected, the first smear-positive sputum specimen, and additional sputum specimens as indicated below.
  - a. If the first sputum specimen is smear-positive and NAA-positive, the patient can be presumed to have TB without additional NAA testing. However, unless concern exists about the presence of NTM, the NAA test adds little to the diagnostic workup.
  - b. If the first sputum is smear-positive and NAA-negative, a test for inhibitors should be done. The inhibitor test can be done as an option with Amplicor. To test for inhibitors of MTD, spike an aliquot of the lysated sputum sample with lysed *M. tuberculosis* (approximately 10 organisms per reaction, or an equivalent amount of *M. tuberculosis* rRNA) and repeat the test starting with amplification.
    - If inhibitors are not detected, additional specimens (not to exceed a total of three) should be tested. The patient can be presumed to have NTM if a second sputum specimen is smear-positive, NAA-negative, and has no inhibitors detected.
    - 2. If inhibitors are detected, the NAA test is of no diagnostic help. Additional specimens (not to exceed a total of three) can be tested with NAA.
  - c. If sputum is smear-negative and MTD-positive<sup>†</sup>, additional specimens (not to exceed three) should be tested with MTD. The patient can be **presumed to have TB** if a subsequent specimen is MTD-positive.

<sup>\*</sup>Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not constitute endorsement by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Amplicor is not approved for use with smear-negative samples.

## Notices to Readers — Continued

- d. If sputum is smear-negative and MTD-negative<sup>†</sup>, an additional specimen should be tested with MTD. The patient can be presumed not to be infectious if all smear and MTD results are negative. The clinician must rely on clinical judgement in decisions regarding the need for antituberculous therapy and further diagnostic work-up because negative NAA results do not exclude the possibility of active pulmonary TB.
- 3. If the indicated repeat NAA testing fails to verify initial NAA test results, the clinician must rely on clinical judgement in decisions regarding the need for antituberculous therapy, further diagnostic work-up, and isolation.
- 4. Ultimately, the patient's response to therapy and culture results are used to confirm or refute a diagnosis of TB.


# Cautions

NAA tests can enhance diagnostic certainty, but they do not replace AFB smear or mycobacterial culture, and they do not replace clinical judgement. Clinicians should interpret these tests based on the clinical situation, and laboratories should perform NAA testing only at the request of the physician and only on selected specimens. Laboratorians should not reserve material from clinical specimens for NAA testing if this compromises the ability to perform the other established tests that have better-defined diagnostic utility and implications. Specificity of NAA tests varies between laboratories as a result of unrecognized procedural differences and differences in cross-contamination rates (4). Multiple specimens from the same patient should not be tested together to reduce risks of methodologic errors. Laboratory directors should provide to clinicians information on the performance of NAA tests in the local setting, including sensitivity and specificity compared with culture for both smear-positive and smear-negative respiratory specimens. Substantial discrepancies can indicate problems with either culture or NAA technique. The number of NAA tests repeated because of failure of negative and positive controls also should be reported. Clinicians should understand the impact that changes in sensitivity, specificity, prevalence of TB, and prevalence of other mycobacterial diseases can have on the predictive value of the NAA test. Information is limited regarding NAA test performance for nonrespiratory specimens, or specimens from treated patients. NAA tests often remain positive after cultures become negative during therapy and can remain positive even after completion of therapy.

## References

- 1. CDC. Nucleic acid amplification tests for tuberculosis. MMWR 1996;45:950-1.
- Cohen RA, Muzaffar S, Schwartz D, et al. Diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis using PCR assays on sputum collected within 24 hours of hospital admission. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1998;157:156–61.
- 3. Jonas V, Acedo M, Clarridge JE, et al. A multi-center evaluation of MTD and culture compared to patient diagnosis [Abstract]. In: Abstracts of the 98th General Meeting of the American Society for Microbiology, 1998:358 (no. L-31).
- Ridderhoff J, Williams L, Legois S, Bussen M, Metchock L, Kubista R. Assessment of laboratory performance with nucleic acid amplification (NAA) tests for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (M.tb) [Abstract]. In: Abstracts of the 98th General Meeting of the American Society for Microbiology, 1998:360(no. L-43).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Amplicor is not approved for use with smear-negative samples.



# FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, United States, comparison of provisional 4-week totals ending July 1, 2000, with historical data

\*Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

		Cum. 2000		Cum. 2000
Anthrax		-	HIV infection, pediatric*	98
Brucellosis*		25	Plaque	4
Cholera		-	Poliomyelitis, paralytic	-
Congenital ru	bella syndrome	4	Psittacosis*	8
Cyclosporiasis	5* ,	14	Rabies, human	-
Diphtheria		-	Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF)	115
	California serogroup viral*	2	Streptococcal disease, invasive, group A	1,615
	eastern equine*	-	Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome*	54
	St. Louis*	-	Syphilis, congenital <sup>1</sup>	67
	western equine*	-	Tetanus	12
Ehrlichiosis	human granulocytic (HGE)*	43	Toxic-shock syndrome	82
	human monocytic (HME)*	17	Trichinosis	4
Hansen disea	se (leprosy)*	24	Typhoid fever	151
	Ilmonary syndrome*t	9	Yellow fever	-
	emic syndrome, postdiarrheal*	43		

### TABLE I. Summary of provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending July 1, 2000 (26th Week)

-: No reported cases.

\*Not notifiable in all states.

<sup>1</sup>Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (NCID). <sup>5</sup>Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention — Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for

HIV, STD, and TB Prevention (NCHSTP). Last update May 28, 2000.

<sup>1</sup>Updated from reports to the Division of STD Prevention, NCHSTP.

	A 11	DS	Chlor	avalia†	Committee		NET		coli O157:H	O157:H7* PHLIS		
	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	nydia <sup>†</sup> Cum.	Cum.	poridiosis Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.		
Reporting Area	2000 <sup>s</sup> 16,820	1999 23,026	2000 282,501	1999 329,623	2000 591	1999 872	2000 1,036	<b>1999</b> 801	2000 590	<b>1999</b> 762		
NEW ENGLAND Maine	1,003 16	1,109 29	10,332 675	10,547 502	34 9	44 9	110 7	118 10	100 6	103		
N.H. /t.	13 2	30 6	499 265	497 242	4 13	5 6	10 3	14 14	9 4	15 7		
Mass. R.I.	681 41	702 63	4,968 1,207	4,434	6 2	21	51 6	52 6	46 5	48 7		
Conn.	250	279	2,718	1,181 3,691	-	3	33	22	30	26		
VID. ATLANTIC	4,030	5,895	19,077	33,989	62	183	123	58	64	52		
Jpstate N.Y. N.Y. City	213 2,325	726 2,997	N 5,527	N 14,341	37 7	54 107	98 7	38 4	43	4		
۷.J.	885	1,146	3,346	6,148	7	14	18	16	13	47		
	607	1,026 1,499	10,204 46,479	13,500	11 120	8	N 174	N 148	8 78	1		
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio	1,641 218	246	11,863	58,499 13,901	23	144 19	40	51	25	129 42		
nd. II.	149 1,012	189 677	5,922 12,753	5,973 16,014	11 7	9 29	28 49	17 53	19	17 35		
Mich.	190	308	11,647	10,544	28	20	36	27	21	17		
Nis.	72	79	4,294	12,067	51	67	21	N	13	18		
W.N. CENTRAL Minn.	376 79	531 82	16,467 3,282	18,930 3,790	55 11	54 13	169 52	141 36	105 41	164 54		
owa No.	38 164	52 259	2,101 5,745	2,217 6,864	15 10	12 10	33 44	24 13	10 31	15 21		
N. Dak.	-	4	282	441	5	4	8	3	6	4		
S. Dak. Nebr.	3 25	11 37	865 1,548	792 1,706	5 7	3 11	7 15	5 48	3 9	14 55		
Kans.	67	86	2,644	3,120	2	1	10	12	5	1		
S. ATLANTIC	4,484	6,284	60,046	69,646	114	161	85	94	45	76		
Del. Md.	78 459	80 721	1,402 6,158	1,392 6,388	4 7	- 7	- 11	4 7	- 1	-		
D.C. /a.	315 327	239 335	1,694 7,241	N 7,487	7 4	6 10	- 16	- 28	U 15	U 25		
N.Va.	29	31	753	888	3	-	3	4	3	2		
N.C. 5.C.	279 326	394 579	11,192 4,870	11,466 8,873	11	4	17 6	22 11	6 2	26 9		
Ga.	430	957	11,094	17,683	58 20	86 48	13 19	5	9 9	Ŭ 14		
<sup>-</sup> la. E.S. CENTRAL	2,241 805	2,948 1,028	15,642 22,803	15,469	20 25	48 10	19 44	13 56	9 26	14 40		
<γ.	99	151	4,008	21,927 3,850	1	2	17	13	12	10		
Tenn. Ala.	337 213	402 255	7,176 7,009	6,866 5,205	7 10	4 2	17 4	24 13	12	16 12		
Miss.	156	220	4,610	6,006	7	2	6	6	2	2		
W.S. CENTRAL	1,511	2,475	43,552	44,558	25	40	52	40	55	49		
Ark. _a.	94 281	90 463	2,628 9,507	3,020 7,279	1 5	21	31	5 5	3 18	5 6		
Okla. Tex.	110 1,026	71 1,851	3,861 27,556	3,946 30,313	4 15	2 17	9 12	7 23	6 28	6 32		
MOUNTAIN	582	852	18,094	17,529	39	38	122	62	44	51		
Mont.	7	4	752	654	6	7	12	4	-	-		
daho Nyo.	11 2	12 3	930 326	846 360	3 3	2	14 5	2 3	- 2	6 5		
Colo.	130	171	5,353	4,156	11	4	53 5	23 3	20	13		
N. Mex. Ariz.	58 193	46 422	2,210 5,851	2,645 6,281	2 3	15 7	24	11	3 18	1 6		
Jtah Nev.	61 120	80 114	1,240 1,432	1,039 1,548	9 2	N 3	7 2	13 3	1	15 5		
PACIFIC	2,388	3,353	45,651	53,998	117	198	157	84	73	98		
Nash.	247	185	6,403	5,951	N	N	49	29 19	43	38		
Dreg. Calif.	86 1,987	87 3,022	2,626 34,392	3,124 42,406	8 109	75 123	25 74	19 32	23	20 37		
Alaska Hawaii	5 63	13 46	1,174 1,056	932 1,585	-	-	2 7	- 4	-7	- 3		
Guam	13	40 5	-	223	-	-	, N	4 N	, U	3 U		
P.R.	431	737	298	U	-		4	10	Ŭ	U		
/.l. Amer. Samoa	18 -	15	-	U U	-	U U	-	U U	U U	U U		
C.N.M.I.	-	-	-	Ŭ	-	Ŭ	-	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ		

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States,weeks ending July 1, 2000, and July 3, 1999 (26th Week)

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. -: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. \* Individual cases can be reported through both the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) and the Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS). \* Chlamydia refers to genital infections caused by *C. trachomatis.* Totals reported to the Division of STD Prevention, NCHSTP. \* Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention — Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last update May 28, 2000.

	weeks	ending J	uly 1, 20	00, and Jເ	uly 3, 199	9 (26th W	eek)	
	Gono	rrhea		atitis C; A, Non-B	Legio	nellosis		yme sease
Reporting Area	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999
UNITED STATES	146,864	175,475	1,226	1,894	343	430	2,554	4,015
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	2,775 41 52 29 1,299 302 1,052	3,185 24 45 28 1,232 304 1,552	25 1 3 18 3	9 1 - 3 2 3 -	23 2 2 9 3 5	26 3 4 7 3 6	570 35 2 230 42 261	1,184 1 - 329 77 775
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	12,291 3,257 2,322 1,854 4,858	19,736 3,035 6,872 3,650 6,179	32 32 - -	68 33 - - 35	68 31 4 33	109 26 14 11 58	1,530 706 4 287 533	2,024 831 57 476 660
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	28,633 6,995 2,729 8,952 8,329 1,628	34,956 8,679 3,194 10,824 7,317 4,942	108 3 1 7 97	1,046 1 27 427 590	81 37 16 8 14 6	138 42 18 18 34 26	31 22 6 1 2	274 18 14 10 1 231
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	7,110 1,334 465 3,463 6 128 562 1,152	7,953 1,392 492 3,894 42 77 780 1,276	343 5 1 312 - 3 22	91 2 - 87 - 2	25 1 4 16 - 1 - 3	22 1 7 10 - 1 3 -	74 24 4 13 - - 33	65 13 8 29 1 - 7 7
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	43,225 794 4,109 1,190 4,650 227 8,850 5,729 6,819 10,857	52,034 840 5,715 3,085 4,943 293 9,779 4,905 11,559 10,915	60 - 6 2 1 5 13 1 2 30	107 29 - 10 13 24 12 1 18	74 4 23 1 8 N 8 2 4 24	51 5 7 - 13 N 8 7 - 11	285 33 182 1 37 8 9 2 13	350 33 245 1 22 8 34 34 3 4
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	16,346 1,677 5,563 5,485 3,621	16,989 1,675 5,377 4,626 5,311	208 17 57 7 127	148 9 44 1 94	11 5 4 2	21 10 9 2	13 2 8 2 1	39 5 14 10 10
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	22,631 1,358 6,666 1,670 12,937	25,056 1,492 5,987 1,973 15,604	274 3 169 4 98	257 14 179 7 57	10 - 8 1 1	2 - 1 1 -	1 - 1 -	13 1 3 4 5
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	4,751 26 48 28 1,507 490 1,920 125 607	4,746 21 40 12 1,171 506 2,271 98 627	103 2 3 60 13 10 11 - 4	100 4 34 15 17 18 5 3	18 - 3 1 7 1 2 4 -	25 - - 4 1 4 10 6	3 - 1 - - - 1	5 - 1 1 - - - 2
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	9,102 1,113 345 7,336 159 149	10,820 1,033 456 8,965 153 213	73 11 16 45 - 1	68 8 52 -	33 11 N 22 -	36 9 N 26 1	47 - 3 44 - N	61 2 6 53 N
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	275 - -	31 164 U U U	- 1 - -	- - U U		- - U U U	- N - -	N U U U

TABLE II. (Cont'd) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States,
weeks ending July 1, 2000, and July 3, 1999 (26th Week)

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. - : No reported cases.

	WCCKS	enung J	ury 1, 20	00, and 0	l v v, 100	Salmonellosis*						
	Ma	laria	Rabie	s, Animal	NE	TSS		HLIS				
Reporting Area	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999				
UNITED STATES	476	605	2,556	2,953	13,236	14,830	9,301	14,064				
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	19 4 1 2 6 4 2	24 2 1 11 2 8	337 71 4 33 110 21 98	411 75 26 60 91 51 108	843 63 60 55 463 40 162	881 57 45 34 506 49 190	799 38 50 56 443 49 163	916 42 54 37 506 74 203				
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	82 28 29 9 16	166 34 79 34 19	471 326 U 75 70	550 379 U 102 69	1,712 503 390 418 401	2,059 479 586 463 531	1,655 502 515 259 379	1,910 512 623 455 320				
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	53 11 3 19 15 5	77 9 8 33 19 8	30 9 - 1 20 -	47 11 1 25 10	1,991 538 233 605 401 214	2,275 429 188 754 444 460	1,200 423 208 1 416 152	1,987 418 188 729 435 217				
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	22 7 1 4 2 - 2 6	23 5 6 10 - - 2	267 48 40 11 74 48 - 46	399 51 63 14 84 120 3 64	947 201 146 329 27 34 64 146	928 220 94 314 15 44 105 136	959 274 94 367 36 37 44 107	1,068 309 84 401 30 62 86 96				
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	133 3 44 8 26 - 11 1 1 36	145 1 47 10 30 1 10 1 12 33	1,094 20 217 57 61 286 65 123 65	1,060 30 231 - 265 62 213 79 101 79	2,637 41 362 29 352 67 356 251 446 733	2,861 55 342 40 503 43 450 165 452 811	1,667 51 339 U 302 60 237 156 476 46	2,573 65 395 U 461 61 508 153 668 262				
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	20 5 5 9 1	12 2 5 4 1	89 12 46 31	137 22 51 64	660 153 174 203 130	794 178 197 229 190	428 107 194 111 16	553 125 214 184 30				
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	7 1 2 4	12 2 9 1	35 - 35 -	62 - 62 -	1,003 188 105 146 564	1,314 167 272 160 715	1,219 105 177 97 840	1,122 76 250 117 679				
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	22 1 1 11 2 3 4	21 3 1 9 2 2 2 1	110 32 1 26 - 10 38 2 1	101 35 29 1 4 31 - 1	1,238 53 68 22 377 102 325 172 119	1,361 28 41 18 398 188 387 212 89	840 - 14 340 83 267 136	1,284 1 45 21 397 164 342 222 92				
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	118 11 22 82 3	125 10 13 93 9	123 2 102 19	186 - 1 179 6 -	2,205 205 160 1,725 26 89	2,357 220 212 1,713 21 191	534 237 191 - 18 88	2,651 424 287 1,765 13 162				
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I. N: Not notifiable.		- U U U Vailable.	32 - - - : No repo	46 U U U	109 - - -	20 274 U U U	U U U U U	U U U U U				

 TABLE II. (Cont'd) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 1, 2000, and July 3, 1999 (26th Week)

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. -: No reported cases. \* Individual cases can be reported through both the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) and the Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS).

	weeks		UIY 1, 20 Ilosis*	uu, and J	<u>uiy 3, 199</u>						
-	NET			HLIS		philis & Secondary)	Tube	Tuberculosis			
Reporting Area	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999†			
UNITED STATES	8,063	6,669	3,994	3,752	2,890	3,351	4,994	7,261			
NEW ENGLAND	159	161	131	142	38	31	187	189			
Maine N.H.	5 3	3 7	- 6	- 6	-	- 1	2 4	10 4			
Vt. Mass.	1 111	4 104	- 86	3 92	- 31	2 19	116	- 104			
R.I. Conn.	12 27	14 29	12 27	9 32	3	1	22 43	19 52			
MID. ATLANTIC	997	453	624	259	111	148	1,129	1,152			
Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City	411 387	111 147	146 326	33 119	7 40	13 65	122 620	141 610			
N.J.	120	121	76	91	23	31	268	243			
Pa. E.N. CENTRAL	79 1,745	74 1,242	76 527	16 590	41 579	39 594	119 573	158 749			
Ohio	141	259	95	57	38	48	132	108			
Ind. III.	686 431	53 451	51 2	23 370	218 167	190 213	35 289	52 387			
Mich. Wis.	379 108	164 315	346 33	116 24	136 20	113 30	78 39	153 49			
W.N. CENTRAL	868 189	551	603	372 95	37 3	79 7	232	245 95			
Minn. Iowa	234	82 7	201 131	12	10	7	77 23	26			
Mo. N. Dak.	337 4	398 2	221 3	218 2	19	51	92 2	87 2			
S. Dak. Nebr.	2 25	8 31	1 9	5 23	- 2	- 4	9 10	3 12			
Kans.	77	23	37	17	3	10	19	20			
S. ATLANTIC Del.	1,132 8	1,084 8	322 6	280 3	967 5	1,103 4	1,058	1,419 20			
Md. D.C.	60 16	59 30	23 U	19 U	140 31	222 42	129 7	131 27			
Va.	159	40	133	20	63	89	108	121			
W. Va. N.C.	3 60	5 113	3 26	3 56	1 290	2 243	18 152	23 211			
S.C. Ga.	63 121	58 104	46 36	30 37	97 159	139 204	50 181	169 300			
Fla.	642	667	49	112	181	158	413	417			
E.S. CENTRAL Ky.	415 106	674 121	258 44	433 81	447 51	596 52	331 58	481 98			
Ténn. Ala.	205 23	439 60	200 11	318 33	283 56	328 131	123 150	149 146			
Miss.	81	54	3	1	57	85	-	88			
W.S. CENTRAL Ark.	900 104	1,181 47	973 24	479 21	398 47	511 37	149 91	1,038 80			
La. Okla.	69 61	94 308	72 16	53 94	98 72	129 110	1 57	U 62			
Tex.	666	732	861	311	181	235	-	896			
MOUNTAIN Mont.	453 4	342 6	202	224	104	116	232 6	224 5			
Idaho	30	5	-	5	1	1	5	-			
Wyo. Colo.	1 78	2 53	2 37	1 38	1 2	- 1	1 29	1 U			
N. Mex. Ariz.	47 187	40 186	22 105	31 113	12 85	6 102	29 102	26 113			
Utah Nev.	35 71	26 24	36	27 9	- 3	2 4	22 38	25 54			
PACIFIC	1,394	981	354	973	209	173	1,103	1,764			
Wash. Oreg.	314 94	52 36	279 55	55 32	35 4	39 3	113 8	84 57			
Caliť. Alaska	956 7	870	- 3	866	169	129 1	865 50	1,512 30			
Hawaii	23	23	17	20	1	1	67	81			
Guam P.R.	- 1	7 53	U U	U U	- 65	1 83	-	103			
V.I. Amer. Samoa	-	Ű	Ŭ U	Ŭ	-	Ŭ U	-	U			
C.N.M.I.	-	U	U	U	-	U	-	U			
N. Not notifiable		wailahle	No ropo	orted cases							

### TABLE II. (Cont'd) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 1, 2000, and July 3, 1999 (26th Week)

 0
 0
 0
 0
 U
 Ū

 N: Not notifiable.
 U: Unavailable.
 -: No reported cases.
 -:

	and July 3, 1999 (26th Week)												
	H. influ		He	epatitis (Vi	ral), By Ty	ре				es (Rubec	ola)		
	Inva		A		В		Indige		Impo			Total	
Reporting Area	Cum. 2000 <sup>†</sup>	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	2000	Cum. 2000	2000	Cum. 2000	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	
UNITED STATES	629	617	5,281	9,484	3,235	3,376	3	24	-	9	33	57	
NEW ENGLAND	45	42	125	115	35	73	-	-	-	2	2	9	
Maine N.H.	1 9	5 7	9 16	4 7	5 10	- 8	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	
Vt.	3	4	4	1	4	1	-	-	-	2	2	-	
Mass. R.I.	21 1	17	55 7	44 9	7 9	27 15	-	-	-	-	-	6	
Conn.	10	9	34	50	-	22	-	-	-	-	-	2	
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y.	101	109	260	607	336	469	2	3	-	1	4	5	
N.Y. City	50 23	45 34	106 150	127 159	63 194	104 142	2	3	-	-	3	2 3	
N.J. Pa.	21 7	27 3	4	78 243	79 -	68 155	Ū	-	Ū	- 1	- 1	-	
E.N. CENTRAL	81	100	665	1,598	341	328	-	6	-	-	6	1	
Ohio Ind.	33 11	37 14	143 30	369 58	63 26	47 27	-	2	-	-	2	- 1	
III.	32	41	238	331	61	-	-	- 3	-	-	3	-	
Mich. Wis.	5	8	241 13	797 43	190 1	231 23	- U	1	- U	-	1	-	
W.N. CENTRAL	35	26	587	375	475	140	-	2	-	1	3	-	
Minn. Iowa	16	13 1	129 49	33 73	19 21	19 23	-	- 1	-	1	1	-	
Mo.	- 7	3	282	221	391	23 83	-	-	-	-	-	-	
N. Dak. S. Dak.	1	2	2	1 8	2	- 1	U	-	U	-	-	-	
Nebr.	4	- 3 4	18	29	18	11	-	- 1	-	-	-	-	
Kans.	7 171	-	107	10	24 605	3	- 1	1	-	-	1 1	-	
S. ATLANTIC Del.	-	134 -	650 -	895 2	-	524 -	-	-	-	-	-	4	
Md. D.C.	44	33 4	81 11	161 34	65 16	94 12	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Va. W. Va.	28 5	12 4	70 43	79 17	75 6	52 14	U	-	U	-	-	3	
N.C.	15	22	90	64	137	117	-	-	-	-	-	-	
S.C. Ga.	8 47	2 38	28 92	19 261	5 97	37 58	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fla.	24	19	235	258	204	140	1	1	-	-	1	1	
E.S. CENTRAL	29 11	42 6	224 26	233 44	229 46	237 17	-	-	-	-	-	2 2	
Ky. Tenn.	13	21	87	97	107	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ala. Miss.	4 1	13 2	31 80	36 56	27 49	51 56	Ū	-	Ū	-	-	-	
W.S. CENTRAL	35	41	878	2,801	351	574	-	1	-	-	1	3	
Ark. La.	- 7	1 11	88 28	23 90	53 50	41 112	-	1	-	-	1	-	
Okla.	26	27	151	291	71	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Tex.	2	2	611	2,397	177	348	-	-	-	-	-	3	
MOUNTAIN Mont.	69 -	56 1	456 2	724 12	244 3	315 16	Ū	9	Ū	1 -	10 -	1 -	
ldaho Wyo.	3 1	1 1	17 6	28 4	5 2	17 7	Ū	-	Ū	-	-	-	
Colo.	11	9	96	138	50	47	-	1	-	1	2	-	
N. Mex. Ariz.	14 33	13 27	41 228	29 416	60 89	97 80	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	
Utah Nev.	6 1	2 2	34 32	28 69	14 21	20 31	-	3 5	-	-	3 5	-	
PACIFIC	63	67	1,436	2,136	619	716	-	2	-	4	6	32	
Wash.	3	2	139	163	39	33	-	-	-	-	-	32 5	
Oreg. Calif.	18 24	23 35	115 1,174	143 1,814	49 521	60 604	-	- 1	-	2	- 3	10 16	
Alaska Hawaii	2 16	5 2	8	4 12	5 5	11 8	-	1	-	- 2	1 2	- 1	
Guam	-	-	-	2	-	2	U	-	U	-	-	1	
P.R.	1	2 U	55	184	54	140	Ū	-	U U	-	-	U U	
V.I. Amer. Samoa	-	U	-	UU	-	U U	U	-	U	-	-	U	
C.N.M.I.	-	U	-	U	-	U	U	-	U	-	-	U	

# TABLE III. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending July 1, 2000, and July 3, 1999 (26th Week)

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. - : No reported cases. \*For imported measles, cases include only those resulting from importation from other countries. \*Of 130 cases among children aged <5 years, serotype was reported for 58 and of those, 15 were type b.

	Mening	jococcal										
	Dis Cum.	ease Cum.		Mumps Cum.	Cum.		Pertussis Cum.	Cum.	Rubella Cum. Cum.			
Reporting Area	2000	1999	2000	2000	1999	2000	2000	1999	2000	2000	1999	
UNITED STATES	1,190	1,390	2	191	203	53	2,379	2,901	3	57	154	
NEW ENGLAND Maine	74 6	69 5	-	2	4	3	605 14	309	1	6	7	
N.H. Vt.	9 2	9 4	-	-	1	- 1	62 136	53 15	1	2	-	
Mass.	44	41	-	-	3	1	355	224	-	3	7	
R.I. Conn.	5 8	2 8	-	1 1	-	1 -	9 29	8 9	-	- 1	-	
MID. ATLANTIC	116	138	-	9	26	-	183	582	-	2	20	
Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City	36 25	36 41	-	6	5 6	-	109	495 15	-	2	13 2	
N.J. Pa.	24 31	29 32	Ū	- 3	1 14	Ū	- 74	15 57	Ū	-	2 2 3	
E.N. CENTRAL	210	247	-	23	27	8	274	239	1	1	2	
Ohio Ind.	50 27	93 32	-	7	7	4	167 27	114 14	-	-	- 1	
III.	50	65	-	5	3 7	-	21	50	1	- 1	1	
Mich. Wis.	64 19	31 26	Ū	11 -	8 2	4 U	28 31	22 39	Ū	-	-	
W.N. CENTRAL	103	139	-	12	8	8	131	106	-	1	80	
Minn. Iowa	7 19	29 26	-	- 5	1 3	6 1	66 22	33 20	-	-	- 25	
Mo. N. Dak.	60 2	51 3	Ū	1	1	U U	23 1	27	Ū	-	2	
S. Dak.	5	8	-	-	-	-	3	4	-	-	-	
Nebr. Kans.	5 5	8 14	-	2 4	- 3	- 1	3 13	3 19	-	- 1	53	
S. ATLANTIC	195	213	-	32	35	4	187	142	-	32	20	
Del. Md.	- 18	4 34	-	- 7	- 4	- 1	4 43	- 44	-	-	- 1	
D.C. Va.	31	1 26	Ū	- 5	2 8	Ū	1 20	- 13	Ū	-	-	
W. Va.	8	4	-	- 4	- 8	-	-	1	-	-	-	
N.C. S.C.	30 15	27 28	-	10	3	2	49 19	35 8	-	23 7	19	
Ga. Fla.	32 61	41 48	-	2 4	1 9	- 1	20 31	16 25	-	2	-	
E.S. CENTRAL	85	104	-	6	6	6	43	53	-	4	2	
Ky. Tenn.	18 37	19 38	-	- 2	-	2 3	19 13	12 26	-	1	-	
Ala. Miss.	25 5	28 19	Ū	2	4 2	1 U	10	13 2	- U	3	2	
W.S. CENTRAL	86	13	-	20	24	4	115	76	-	4	4	
Ark. La.	8 27	25 50	-	1 3	- 5	-	10	7	-	-	-	
Okla.	21	21	-	-	1	-	6	8	-	-	-	
Tex. MOUNTAIN	30 66	45 87	-	16 14	18 9	4 9	96 399	57 353	- 1	4 2	4 15	
Mont.	1	2	Ū	1	-	U	8	2	U	-	-	
ldaho Wyo.	6	8 3	Ū	- 1	1	Ū	42 1	99 2	Ū	-	-	
Colo. N. Mex.	24 7	22 11	-	1 1	3 N	4 5	220 73	127 30	-	1	-	
Ariz.	18 7	28	-	3	-	-	40 9	60	1	1	13 1	
Utah Nev.	3	8 5	-	4 3	2 3	-	9 6	31 2	-	-	1	
PACIFIC	255	252	2	73	64	11	442	1,041	-	5	4	
Wash. Oreg.	31 35	38 47	Ň	3 N	2 N	4 7	178 53	501 20	-	-	-	
Calif. Alaska	179 4	157 6	2	60 7	55 1	-	197 8	496 3	-	5	4	
Hawaii	6	4	-	3	6	-	6	21	-	-	-	
Guam P.R.	- 5	1 12	U	-	1	U	-	1 12	U	-	-	
V.I. Amer. Samoa	-	Ŭ U	U	-	U U	U	-	Ŭ U	U	-	U U	
C.N.M.I.	-	U	U U	-	U	U U	-	U	U U	-	U U	

# TABLE III. (Cont'd) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending July 1, 2000, and July 3, 1999 (26th Week)

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable.

- : No reported cases.

	4	All Cau	ses, By	/ Age (Y	ears)		P&I⁺		All Causes, By Age (Years)							
Reporting Area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total	Reporting Area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	P&l⁺ Total	
NEW ENGLAND Boston, Mass. Bridgeport, Conn Cambridge, Mass Fall River, Mass. Hartford, Conn. Lowell, Mass. Lynn, Mass. New Bedford, Ma New Haven, Conn Providence, R.I. Somerville, Mass. Springfield, Mass Waterbury, Conn. Worcester, Mass. MID. ATLANTIC Albany, N.Y. Allentown, Pa. Buffole N.Y.	. 13 17 49 18 55. 20 . 20 7 . U 7 . U 7 . 33 54 2,101 54 U	343 112 23 12 14 34 10 17 44 U 6 0 U 19 39 1,480 44 U 55	32 9 1 2 1 1 3 - 2 5 U 1 U 9 10 399 5 U	22 7 1 - 2 1 - 4 U U 3 4 145 4 0 6	12 6 - - 1 1 1 1 1 - U 2 - 37 - 0 1	9 6 - 1 1 - - U - U - 1 40 1 U 2	36113 - 41116004023 90505	S. ATLANTIC Atlanta, Ga. Baltimore, Md. Charlotte, N.C. Jacksonville, Fla Miami, Fla. Norfolk, Va. Richmond, Va. Savannah, Ga. St. Petersburg, I Tampa, Fla. Washington, D.C. Wilmington, De E.S. CENTRAL Birmingham, Al Chattanooga, Te Knoxville, Tenn. Lexington, Ky.	91 58 73 66 1a. U 185 C. 99 I. U 813 a. 126 enn. 72 80 83	610 U 116 62 57 63 37 43 57 60 U 127 55 U 560 83 49 81 52 2127	207 U 41 22 30 15 9 18 11 U 36 25 U 152 24 13 11 23	95 U 28 4 11 9 11 5 4 U 13 10 U 60 12 6 1 4	42 U 8 8 6 1 1 6 1 U 5 6 U 26 2 4 3 3	22 U 5 3 3 3 - 1 - U 4 3 U 15 5 1 4	67 U 14 6 7 6 3 4 7 U 19 1 U 48 11 6 4 5 7	
Buffalo, N.Y. Camden, N.J. Elizabeth, N.J. Erie, Pa.§ Jersey City, N.J. New York City, N.Y. Newark, N.J. Paterson, N.J. Philadelphia, Pa. Phitsburgh, Pa.§ Reading, Pa. Rochester, N.Y. Schenectady, N.Y. Scranton, Pa.§ Syracuse, N.Y. Trenton, N.J. Utica, N.Y. Yonkers, N.Y.	U 25 368 52 32 110 U 30 59 U 17 15	55 16 15 30 38 786 U 17 237 37 26 87 24 44 U 24 44 U 15 9	8 229 U 4 78 8 3 15 U 4 10 U 2 5	6 2 1 4 2 75 0 2 31 5 1 6 0 2 3 0 - 1	1 1 2 14 U 11 2 2 1 U - 1 U -	2 2 1 8 U 1 11 - 1 U - 1 U - 1 U -	5 · · 1 · <u>3</u> 8U2 <u>1</u> 448U45U · ·	Memphis, Tenn Mobile, Ala. Montgomery, A Nashville, Tenn. W.S. CENTRAL Austin, Tex. Baton Rouge, La Corpus Christi, Dallas, Tex. El Paso, Tex. Ft. Worth, Tex. Houston, Tex. Houston, Tex. Little Rock, Ark. New Orleans, La San Antonio, Te Shreveport, La. Tulsa, Okla. MOUNTAIN	49 1a. 53 160 1,423 76 1. 49 Fex. U 194 70 98 441 50 . 84	127 30 322 106 887 41 36 0 125 44 69 255 30 36 128 128 46 77 599	30 10 9 294 15 9 U 365 10 107 14 21 37 12 18 160	9 8 12 134 12 4 U 19 6 11 47 4 9 15 2 5 67	4 1 3 6 70 5 - U 8 1 4 23 1 15 6 3 4 29	4 - 1 4 34 3 - U 6 2 4 9 1 1 4 2 2 17	7 1 3 11 91 3 3 U 10 3 5 8 4 7 11 2 5 70	
E.N. CENTRAL Akron, Ohio Canton, Ohio Chicago, III. Cincinnati, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Columbus, Ohio Dayton, Ohio Dayton, Ohio Detroit, Mich. Evansville, Ind. Fort Wayne, Ind. Garad Rapids, Mid Indianapolis, Ind. Lansing, Mich. Milwaukee, Wis. Peoria, III. Rockford, III. South Bend, Ind. Toledo, Ohio Youngstown, Ohi W.N. CENTRAL Des Moines, Iowa Duluth, Minn. Kansas City, Kans Kansas City, Kans Kansas City, Kans Kansas City, Mo. Lincoln, Nebr. Minneapolis, Min Omaha, Nebr. St. Louis, Mo.	197 15 127 415 75 0 60 723 57 33 57 33 57 33 94 30	$\begin{array}{c} 1,304\\ 309\\ 264\\ 299\\ 899\\ 119\\ 900\\ 128\\ 340\\ 122\\ 320\\ 132\\ 132\\ 132\\ 299\\ 366\\ 0\\ 47\\ 515\\ 48\\ 244\\ 241\\ 245\\ 511\\ 525\\ 511\\ 52\\ 723\\ 431\\ 512\\ 511\\ 522\\ 723\\ 431\\ 512\\ 512\\ 512\\ 512\\ 512\\ 512\\ 512\\ 51$	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 107\\ 133\\ 37\\ 162\\ 7\\ 7\\ 17\\ 3\\ 6\\ 38\\ 1\\ 30\\ 5\\ 7\\ 6\\ 0\\ 11\\ 138\\ 6\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 14\\ 27\\ 17\\ 214\\ \end{array}$	154 2 46 6 11 8 7 26 1 7 2 2 15 - 12 4 1 1 U 2 32 1 - 2 8 1 7 2 2 7 2 2 2 7 2 2	50 1 - 13 4 2 7 6 3 2 2 1 3 4 - 1 - 1 U - 25 - 1 3 7 - 5 2 2 2 3	61 2 2 2 17 2 6 5 2 8 1 1 8 - 2 3 1 1 U - 13 2 1 - 1 - 2 1 2 1 3	139 1 3 56 5 6 15 4 16 2 2 1 3 8 1 9 3 1 1 U 3 8 9 9 1 - 4 4 6 8 - 5 2	Albuquerque, N Albuquerque, N Boise, Idaho Colo. Springs, C Denver, Colo. Las Vegas, Nev. Ogden, Utah Phoenix, Ariz. Pueblo, Colo. Salt Lake City, U Tucson, Ariz. PACIFIC Berkeley, Calif. Glendale, Calif. Glendale, Calif. Honolulu, Hawa Los Angeles, Ca Pasadena, Calif. Portland, Oreg. Sacramento, Ca San Diego, Calif. Sant Francisco, C San Jose, Calif. Santa Cruz, Calif. Seattle, Wash. Spokane, Wash. Tacoma, Wash. TOTAL	.M. 98 32 olo. 43 161 35 136 33 tah 104 127 1,218 14 136 U 175 if. 64 U 118 136 U 118 14 136 U 118 156 157 135	$\begin{array}{c} 70\\ 221\\ 89\\ 114\\ 228\\ 879\\ 9\\ 101\\ 0\\ 879\\ 9\\ 101\\ 0\\ 47\\ 0\\ 0\\ 85\\ 111\\ 122\\ 0\\ 115\\ 29\\ 85\\ 39\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80$	15 6 6 8 30 5 33 3 21 22 20 3 22 U 13 8 U U 21 25 31 U 22 5 27 17 11	6 8 1 3 1 1 2 4 1 2 3 7 6 9 1 6 0 4 3 U U 8 7 10 U 8 7 10 12 4 12 3 7 6 9 1 6 0 4 3 U 4 3 7 6 0 1 6 U 4 3 U 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4 3 2 3 3 2 4 4 4 3 3 4 U - 3 U U 2 3 4 U 5 - 8 1 3 324	,1 1 2 2 2 2 4 1 2 2 4 1 2 9 1 3 U 2 3 U U 2 3 U U 0 1 5 4 U 0 6 - 2 2 2 2 4 2 4 2 4 1 2 9 1 3 U 2 2 3 U 2 2 3 U 2 2 2 2 2 4 4 - 4 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 4 4 - 4 1 3 U 2 2 2 2 2 2 4 - 4 - 4 1 0 0 1 5 - 2 2 2 2 2 2 4 - 4 - 4 - 2 2 2 2 2 2 4 - 4 -	79 9 4 1 10 11 3 13 2 12 5 98 2 - U 5 9 U U 1 22 15 U 16 3 7 11 7 678	

# TABLE IV. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,\* week endingJuly 1, 2000 (26th Week)

U: Unavailable. -:No reported cases. \*Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of ≥100,000. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included. \*Pneumonia and influenza. \*Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks. \*Total includes unknown ages.

## Notice to Readers

# Federal Register Notice on Draft Public Health Action Plan to Combat Antimicrobial Resistance

The Draft Public Health Action Plan to Combat Antimicrobial Resistance became available for public comment on June 22, 2000. Comments must be submitted in writing by August 4, 2000, to the Office of Health Communication, National Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC, Mailstop C-14, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; fax, (404) 371-5489; e-mail, aractionplan@cdc.gov; or the World-Wide Web, http://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/actionplan/.

Requests for copies of the plan should be submitted to the Office of Health Communication, National Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC, Mailstop C-14, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; fax, (404) 371-5489; e-mail, ncid@cdc.gov; or the Web, http:// www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/actionplan/. Copies can be downloaded from the Web site.

## Contributors to the Production of the MMWR (Weekly)

Weekly Notifiable Disease Morbidity Data and 122 Cities Mortality Data

Samuel L. Groseclose, D.V.M., M.P.H.

State Support Team Robert Fagan Jose Aponte Paul Gangarosa, M.P.H. Gerald Jones David Nitschke Scott Noldy **CDC Operations Team** Carol M. Knowles Deborah A. Adams Willie J. Anderson Patsy A. Hall Pearl Sharp Carol A. Worsham

Informatics T. Demetri Vacalis, Ph.D.

Michele D. Renshaw

Erica R. Shaver

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy on Friday of each week, send an e-mail message to *listserv@listserv.cdc.gov.* The body content should read SUBscribe mmwr-toc. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's World-Wide Web server at http://www.cdc.gov/ or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at ftp.cdc.gov. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone (202) 512-1800.

Data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, to: Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop C-08, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (888) 232-3228.

All material in the *MMWR* Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Jeffrey P. Koplan, M.D., M.P.H. Deputy Director for Science and Public Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention David W. Fleming, M.D.	Acting Director, Epidemiology Program Office Barbara R. Holloway, M.P.H. Editor, <i>MMWR</i> Series John W. Ward, M.D. Acting Managing Editor, <i>MMWR</i> (Weekly) Caran R. Wilbanks	Writers-Editors, <i>MMWR</i> (Weekly) Jill Crane David C. Johnson Teresa F. Rutledge Desktop Publishing Michael T. Brown Lynda G. Cupell Morie M. Higgins						
☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 2000-533-206/28024 Region IV								