

Weekly

August 29, 2003 / Vol. 52 / No. 34

## Tickborne Relapsing Fever Outbreak After a Family Gathering — New Mexico, August 2002

On August 2, 2002, the New Mexico Department of Health (NMDOH) and the Indian Health Service (IHS) were notified of a tickborne relapsing fever (TBRF) outbreak after a 1-day family gathering held in late July at a remote, previously uninhabited cabin located in a mountainous region of northern New Mexico (elevation: approximately 8,000 feet). Approximately 40 persons attended the event; at least half slept overnight in the cabin. This report summarizes the investigation of this outbreak, which indicates that prompt diagnosis and collaboration among clinicians and public health authorities can reduce morbidity associated with TBRF. Persons living in areas where TBRF is endemic should avoid sleeping in rodent-infested buildings, rodent-proof susceptible buildings, and consider fumigation of buildings that harbor rodents.

The index patient arrived with other family members 3 days before the event to clean the cabin. Four days after the event ended, the patient sought medical care at a local hospital for a 2-day history of fever, chills, myalgia, and a raised pruritic rash on the forearms. A laboratory technician identified spirochetes on a peripheral blood smear obtained from the patient, which led to a diagnosis of TBRF and prompted an epidemiologic investigation.

During July 27–August 7, a total of 39 attendees sought medical care or were visited by a public health nurse. A retrospective cohort study of these attendees was conducted to describe the outbreak, determine risk factors for infection, and assist the cabin owners with prevention measures. A case of TBRF was defined as laboratory-confirmed borreliosis (growth of *Borrelia hermsii* in blood culture or visualization of spirochetes on Giemsa- or Wright-stained peripheral blood smear) in a person who attended the gathering and had a fever. A total of 14 (36%) attendees had reported fever and at least one of the following: chills, diaphoresis, headache, myalgia, arthralgia, rash, or a tick bite. Peripheral blood smear examinations were performed on samples taken from all 14 symptomatic attendees; spirochetes were observed on samples from nine attendees. Blood samples from 13 of the 14 symptomatic attendees were sent to CDC for culture. Two samples that had not demonstrated spirochetes on peripheral smear examination grew *B. hermsii*. A total of 11 patients had laboratory findings consistent with the case definition, yielding an attack rate of 28% among attendees.

The median age of the 11 patients was 51 years (range: 4–80 years); eight (73%) were female. The median incubation period was 5 days (range: 3–7 days). Six (55%) patients had a documented fever of >100.4° F (>38.0° C), eight (73%) had headache, seven (64%) had body aches (arthralgias and/or myalgias), and four (36%) reported some kind of rash. All 14 symptomatic attendees received antibiotic therapy, and eight asymptomatic attendees received antibiotic prophylaxis. A total of 18 attendees received doxycycline alone, two had treatment with doxycycline and penicillin, and two received erythromycin. Nine patients were admitted to the hospital for treatment;

### INSIDE

- 812 Nonfatal Physical Assault–Related Injuries Among Persons Aged ≥60 Years Treated in Hospital Emergency Departments — United States, 2001
- 816 Progress Toward Poliomyelitis Eradication Angola and the Democratic Republic of Congo, January 2002–June 2003
- 819 Update: Adverse Events Following Civilian Smallpox Vaccination — United States, 2003
- 821 West Nile Virus Activity United States, August 21–27, 2003
- 822 Notice to Readers

The *MMWR* series of publications is published by the Epidemiology Program Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA 30333.

## **SUGGESTED CITATION**

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Article Title]. MMWR 2003;52:[inclusive page numbers].

### **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention**

Julie L. Gerberding, M.D., M.P.H. Director

Dixie E. Snider, Jr., M.D., M.P.H. (Acting) Deputy Director for Public Health Science

> Donna F. Stroup, Ph.D., M.Sc. (Acting) Associate Director for Science

#### **Epidemiology Program Office**

Stephen B. Thacker, M.D., M.Sc. Director

#### **Office of Scientific and Health Communications**

John W. Ward, M.D. Director Editor, MMWR Series

Suzanne M. Hewitt, M.P.A. Managing Editor, MMWR Series

David C. Johnson (Acting) Lead Technical Writer/Editor

> Jude C. Rutledge Teresa F. Rutledge Jeffrey D. Sokolow, M.A. *Writers/Editors*

Lynda G. Cupell Malbea A. Heilman Visual Information Specialists

Quang M. Doan Erica R. Shaver Information Technology Specialists

#### Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics

Notifiable Disease Morbidity and 122 Cities Mortality Data Robert F. Fagan Deborah A. Adams Felicia J. Connor Lateka Dammond Donna Edwards Patsy A. Hall Pearl C. Sharp six had a documented Jarisch-Herxheimer reaction (i.e., fever, chills, myalgias, increased heart and respiratory rate, and hypotension). Risk analysis revealed that persons who arrived early to clean the cabin were more likely to be patients than persons who did not arrive early (three of three versus eight of 36; risk ratio = 4.5; 95% confidence interval = 2.4-8.3).

Immediately after diagnosis of TBRF in the index patient, local clinicians and community public health nurses identified and treated symptomatic attendees. IHS environmental health-care workers and NMDOH staff visited the event site to inspect the cabin and its surroundings. The inspection revealed an abundance of rodent nesting material and droppings within the walls of the cabin (Figure 1). Gaps were observed in the exterior chinking and the foundation of the cabin that allowed rodents to have easy entry.

CDC led a second site visit to collect environmental samples and trap rodents; however, in the interim the cabin had been fumigated twice with a commercial over-the-counter fogger containing pyrethrin and permethrin. One live soft tick (*Ornithodoros hermsi*), four chipmunks, one wood rat, and two deer mice were recovered. The tick was allowed to feed on a laboratory mouse, that subsequently had *B. hermsii* spirochetemia, confirming that the tick was infected and supporting the mode of transmission. Blood samples from the trapped animals were negative for spirochetes. The cabin owner was provided written material to assist with rodent-proofing the premises.

**Reported by:** *PJ Ettestad, DVM, RE Voorhees, MD, CM Sewell, DrPH, New Mexico Dept of Health. M Bonnell, MD, J Iralu, MD, J Cheek,* 

FIGURE 1. Rodent nesting material found inside the interior walls of a cabin — New Mexico, 2002



Photo/CDC.

MD, KJ Secord, MPH, D Mosier, MPH, Indian Health Svc. RE Enscore, MS, ME Schriefer, PhD, S Marshall, MPH, Div of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases; RJ Groves, MD, CB Smelser, MD, EIS officers, CDC.

**Editorial Note:** TBRF is caused by infection with any of several species of the genus *Borrelia* (Box). In the United States, the majority of cases occur in western states and are caused by *B. hermsii* or *B. turicatae*, which are transmitted to humans by argasid (soft) ticks of the genus *Ornithodoros. O. hermsi* is typically found associated with active rodent nests at altitudes of >1,500 feet in warm, humid microenvironments. Although the primary tick hosts are chipmunks and other small rodents, ticks might bite persons, typically at night during sleep. Caves and rural mountain cabins accessible by rodents are often sites of human exposure to these ticks and have been associated with previous outbreaks (*1–3*).

Ornithodoros ticks feed for <1 hour; their bites are painless and typically unnoticed by humans. After a median incubation period of 7 days (range: 2-18 days), TBRF is characterized clinically by recurring fevers with a median duration of 3 days (range: 2-7 days) and alternating afebrile periods with a median duration of 7 days (range: 4-14 days). Fevers might be accompanied by headache, rigors, diaphoresis, arthralgia, myalgia, dizziness, nausea, or vomiting. Without treatment, up to 10 relapsing episodes might occur (4, 5). Relapsing episodes are caused by antigenic variation that enables the organism to evade neutralizing antibodies (1, 6). No relapsing episodes occurred in this outbreak, likely a result of rapid identification and treatment of the index patient and symptomatic attendees. Diagnosis of TBRF is confirmed by blood culture or visualization of spirochetes on examination of a peripheral blood smear. Paired acute and convalescent antibody titers can be used to confirm diagnosis when culture or blood smear is not available or nondiagnostic.

Although not a nationally notifiable disease, TBRF reporting to state and local health departments is required in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming. During 1990–2000, a total of 247 cases were reported from these states, with the majority from California (82) and Washington (60) (Figure 2). The majority (90%) of cases were reported from 11 counties in six states and occurred in the late summer and early fall. In 2000, a neonatal death in Washington was the first reported neonatal TBRF death in the United States since 1969 (CDC, unpublished data, 2000).

Health-care providers should consider TBRF in the differential diagnosis of febrile patients with potential exposure to soft ticks. Penicillins or tetracyclines are the antibiotic treatment of choice, although cephalosporins, erythromycin, or

## BOX. Epidemiology, diagnosis, treatment, prevention, and reporting of tickborne relapsing fever (TBRF)

## Epidemiology

- TBRF is endemic in the western United States, especially in mountainous West and Southwest regions.
- During 1990–2000, a total of 247 cases were reported from western U.S. states.
- The majority of cases are caused by *Borrelia hermsii* or *B. turicatae.*
- TBRF can be transmitted by the bite of an *Ornithodoros* tick. Rodents are the typical environmental reservoir.
- Rustic cabins, caves, and house crawl spaces are common sites of tick exposure.

#### **Clinical findings**

- Tick bites rarely are perceived or apparent.
- Sudden onset of fever occurs after incubation of 2–18 days. Other symptoms include headache, rigors, diaphoresis, arthralgia, myalgia, dizziness, nausea, or vomiting.
- If infection remains untreated, up to 10 relapsing episodes might occur (recrudescent fever lasting 2–7 days, with intervening afebrile periods of 4–14 days).
- Differential diagnosis includes ehrlichiosis, babesiosis, Lyme disease, influenza, tularemia, brucellosis, Colorado tick fever, rickettsioses, leptospirosis, rat-bite fever, meningococcemia, and viral hepatitis.

## Laboratory testing

- Diagnosis is confirmed by detection of spirochetes on Giemsa- or Wright-stained thick and thin blood smear, or by culture isolation. Experimental polymerase chain reaction testing is available in some laboratories.
- Paired acute and convalescent antibody titers might be used to document exposure. Serologic testing, available at CDC, should be coordinated through local and state health departments.

### **Recommended treatment**

- Tetracyclines and penicillins are first-line therapy. Cephalosporins, macrolides, and chloramphenicol are effective in vitro.
- Jarisch-Herxheimer reaction (i.e., fever, chills, myalgias, increased heart and respiratory rate, and hypotension) is common after treatment.

### Prevention and reporting

- Avoid sleeping in rodent-infested buildings, use insect repellent, rodent-proof buildings in areas where TBRF is endemic, and consider fumigation of rodent-infested buildings.
- Report all cases to local and state health departments where required.




FIGURE 2. Number\* of reported cases of tickborne relapsing fever, by county — western United States, 1990–2000

\* N = 247.

chloramphenicol also can be used (4,5). The Jarisch-Herxheimer reaction is common after the initiation of therapy (onset: 1–4 hours), with one case series reporting an incidence of 54% (1). Without treatment, case-fatality rates can approach 10%. Measures to prevent TBRF include avoiding sleeping in rodent-infested buildings, using insect repellents containing DEET, and rodent-proofing buildings in areas where disease is endemic (7). Fumigation with preparations containing pyrethrin and permethrin might reduce the tick burden in rodentinfested buildings; however, results from this investigation indicate that fumigation might not kill all infected ticks. Remediation also should include efforts to identify and remove rodent nesting material.

#### Acknowledgments

The report is based on data contributed by J Butler, Public Health Nurses, and Environmental Health Officers, Indian Health Svc.

#### References

- 1. Dworkin MS, Anderson DE Jr, Schwann TG, et al. Tick-borne relapsing fever in the northwestern United States and southwestern Canada. Clin Infect Dis 1998;26:122–31.
- Trevejo RT, Schriefer ME, Gage KL, et al. An interstate outbreak of tick-borne relapsing fever among vacationers at a Rocky Mountain cabin. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1998;58:743–7.
- 3. Boyer KM, Munford RS, Maupin GO, et al. Tick-borne relapsing fever: an interstate outbreak originating at Grand Canyon National Park. Am J Epidemiol 1977;105:469–79.

- 4. Barbour AG. Relapsing fever and other *Borrelia* infections. In: Guerrant RL, Walker DH, Weller PF, eds. Tropical Infectious Diseases: Principles, Pathogens, and Practice, 1st ed. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Churchill Livingstone, 1999.
- Dennis DT, Campbell GL. Relapsing fever. In: Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine, 14th ed. New York, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1998.
- Rich SM, Sawyer SA, Barbour AG. Antigen polymorphism in *Borrelia* hermsii, a clonal pathogenic bacterium. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2001;98:15038–43.
- Paul WS, Maupin G, Scott-Wright AO, Craven RB, Dennis DT. Outbreak of tick-borne relapsing fever at the north rim of the Grand Canyon: evidence of effectiveness of preventive measures. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2002;66:71–5.

### Public Health and Aging

## Nonfatal Physical Assault–Related Injuries Among Persons Aged <u>></u>60 Years Treated in Hospital Emergency Departments — United States, 2001

As the U.S. population ages, public health efforts have expanded to ensure the independence, function, and safety of older adults. Such efforts focus on consequences associated with the normal aging process. The incidence and consequences of violent victimization are assumed to be a problem of young populations and not an area of concern among older populations (1), and little data are available to monitor the incidence or consequences of violence-related injuries among older adults. To characterize serious injuries from physical assaults among older adults, CDC analyzed data from the National Electronic Injury Surveillance System-All Injury Program (NEISS-AIP). This report summarizes the results of that analysis, which indicate that approximately 33,000 persons aged  $\geq 60$  years in the United States were treated in hospital emergency departments (EDs) for nonfatal assault-related injuries in 2001, with injuries occurring disproportionately among persons aged 60-69 years. NEISS-AIP data can increase the understanding of nonfatal physical assault-related injuries among older adults and guide the development and evaluation of prevention strategies.

NEISS-AIP provides data on approximately 500,000 injuryand consumer product-related ED cases each year (2,3). NEISS-AIP data are drawn from a nationally representative subsample of 66 out of 100 NEISS hospitals, which were selected as a stratified probability sample of hospitals with a minimum of six beds and a 24-hour ED in the United States and its territories. For this analysis, NEISS-AIP provided national, annualized, weighted estimates of nonfatal, nonsexual, physical assault-related injuries among persons aged  $\geq$ 60 years who were treated in U.S. EDs during 2001. Data from these cases were weighted by the inverse of the probability of selection to provide annualized national estimates (3).

# trust-wor-thy: adj

('trəst-"wər-thē) 1 : worthy of belief
2 : capable of being depended upon;
see also *MMWR*.



know what matters.



A direct variance estimation procedure was used to calculate 95% confidence intervals and to account for the complex sample design (4).

All cases were classified into mutually exclusive categories according to intent (i.e., physical assault, sexual assault, selfinflicted injury, injury related to legal intervention, and unintentional injury) of the most severe injury received. Annualized estimates for this report were based on weighted data for 488 nonfatal physical assault-related injuries treated in EDs during 2001. Suspected and confirmed instances of interpersonal violence were coded as assaults. Data were collected about sex, age, race/ethnicity, diagnosis, cause of injury, body part primarily affected, location, and disposition. To evaluate the "struck by/against" category of injury cause further, CDC analyzed verbatim text comments recorded in the NEISS-AIP database from ED patient charts for each injury. Subcategories of "struck by/against" were defined as body (e.g., hand, fist, elbow, or foot), blunt object (e.g., cane, baseball bat, or butt of gun), and push (i.e., injury sustained by fall secondary to being pushed). The remaining injuries in the "struck by/ against" category were classified as unspecified.

During 2001, an estimated 33,026 persons aged >60 years were treated in U.S. hospital EDs for nonfatal assault-related injuries (rate: 72 per 100,000 population), compared with an estimated 1,154,579 persons aged 20-59 years (rate: 754.6); 21,309 (65%) persons were aged 60-69 years, 7,064 (21%) were aged 70–79 years, and 4,653 (14%) were aged  $\geq$ 80 years (Table). Rates for persons aged 60-69 years (105) were more than two times greater than those for the two older age categories (44 and 49, respectively). The majority (55.4%) of adults aged  $\geq 60$  years who were examined in EDs were men. Older adults were at similar risk for being assaulted at home (25.9%) compared with a public area (27.5%). The types of injuries sustained were primarily contusion/abrasion (31.9%), laceration (21.1%), and fracture (12.7%). Of the classifiable cases, the majority of older adults (83.7%) were injured as a result of being struck by/against, most often by a body part (20.3%), followed by a blunt object (17.1%), push (14.4%), and an undetermined cause (31.8%); 91.3% of assaulted older adults were treated and released from EDs, and 8.3% required hospitalization. Compared with persons aged 20-59 years, a greater proportion of older assault victims were women (43.4% versus 39.1% p = 0.11), had fractures (12.7% versus 9.8% p = 0.61), and were hospitalized at the time of diagnosis (8.3% versus 6.4% p = 0.52); however, these differences were not statistically significant.

**Reported by:** *RA Mitchell, Jr, MD, New Jersey Medical School, Newark, New Jersey. L Hasbrouck, MD, E Ingram, PhD, Div of Violence Prevention; C Dunaway, MS, JL Annest, PhD, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, CDC.* 

**Editorial Note:** The findings in this report underscore the magnitude of nonfatal physical assault–related injuries among persons aged  $\geq 60$  years. Some of the reported injuries probably represent a form of elder maltreatment (EM). EM refers to acts of commission or omission that result in harm or threatened harm to the health or welfare of an older adult, occurring within any relationship in which there is an expectation of trust (5). The various forms of EM include physical, sexual, and psychological abuse; abandonment; exploitation; and neglect, either intentional or unintentional. Reported EM cases comprise only a fraction of cases. A national incidence study estimated that approximately half a million older persons were maltreated in 1996; however, for every reported incident of EM, an estimated five were unreported (6).

The findings in this report are consistent with previous studies that indicate that older adults are less likely to be victims of violent crime than younger persons (7), possibly because younger persons might be at greater risk for violent assault within the broader community. This hypothesis is supported by perpetrator data for fatal assaults among older persons (CDC, unpublished data, 2003). Among younger victims, perpetrators are more likely to be strangers. Among older victims, the perpetrators are more likely to be family members or acquaintances.

The findings in this report are subject to at least five limitations. First, NEISS-AIP data are based on information in ED records and are not linked to or supplemented by other data sources (e.g., Adult Protective Services [APS] records). Second, outcomes are specific to ED visits and do not include subsequent outcomes of the injuries. Third, NEISS-AIP data reflect only those injuries that were severe enough to require treatment in an ED. Fourth, NEISS-AIP data might provide a conservative estimate of the number of physical assault– related injuries among older adults treated in EDs because the violent intent of injury might not be reported. Finally, although limited data are presented for settings in which assaults occurred (i.e., home or public area), data for perpetrators of assault-related injuries were unavailable.

Injury is a physical sign for EM, and EDs are a key point of contact for its recognition (8). However, reporting rates of EM by hospitals are low, and the majority of ED physicians are uncertain about EM definitions or applicable state laws (6.9). Because the older adult population is expected to more

		≥	60 years			20-	-59 years	
Characteristic	No.	(%*)	Rate <sup>†</sup>	(95% Cl <sup>§</sup> )	No.	(%)	Rate	(95% CI)
Sex								
Male	18,292	(55.4)	92.4	(61.0-123.9)	702,521	(60.9)	928.5	(708.6-1,148.5)
Female	14,734	(44.6)	56.2	(43.0–69.4)	451,796	(39.1)	584.1	(431.7–736.6)
Race/Ethnicity								
White, non-Hispanic	13,518	(40.9)	**	**	398,908	(34.6)	**	**
Black <sup>¶</sup>	10,084	(30.5)	**	**	362,739	(31.4)	**	**
Hispanic	3,028	(9.2)	**	**	125,762	(10.9)	**	**
Other, non-Hispanic	811	(2.5)	**	**	47,657	(4.1)	**	**
Unknown	5,585	(16.9)	**	**	219,512	(19.0)	**	**
Diagnosis								
Contusion/Abrasion	10,551	(31.9)	22.9	(19.2-26.6)	340,133	(29.5)	222.3	(192.8–251.9)
Fracture	4,191	(12.7)	9.1	(5.7–12.5)	113,511	(9.8)	74.2	(66.2–82.2)
Laceration	6,962	(21.1)	15.1	(8.7–21.6)	277,828	(24.1)	181.6	(134.9–228.3)
Internal injury/Concussion	2,939	(8.9)	6.4	(2.5–10.2)	101,508	(8.8)	66.3	(34.2–98.5)
Strain/Sprain	1,864	(5.6)	4.1	(2.5-5.6)	90,253	(7.8)	59.0	(49.1-68.9)
Other	6,519	(19.7)	**	**	231,347	(20.0)	**	**
Cause								
Cut/Pierce	1,901	(5.8)	4.1	(2.1-6.2)	103,806	(9.0)	67.8	(42.6-93.1)
Human bite	1,050	(3.2)	**	**	40,337	(3.5)	26.4	(17.9–34.8)
Firearm	542	(1.6)	**	**	31,007	(2.7)	20.3	(10.5–30.0)
Struck by/against	27,641	(83.7)	60.1	(44.9-75.2)	911,498	(78.9)	595.7	(459.0-732.5)
Other	1,771	(5.4)	3.8	(2.8-4.9)	63,693	(5.5)	41.6	(33.5-49.8)
Unknown	120	(0.4)	**	**	4,238	(0.4)	**	**
Body part primarily affected								
Head/Neck	17,486	(52.9)	38.0	(27.5-48.5)	603,160	(52.3)	394.2	(315.5–473.0)
Upper extremity	5,905	(17.9)	12.8	(8.0-17.7)	235,050	(20.4)	153.6	(106.6–200.6)
Lower extremity	2,192	(6.6)	4.8	(2.6-7.0)	71,949	(6.2)	47.0	(35.4–58.6)
Upper trunk	4,727	(14.3)	10.3	(6.2–14.3)	121,076	(10.5)	79.1	(61.0–97.3)
Lower trunk	1,537	(4.7)	3.3	(1.5–5.1)	57,276	(5.0)	37.4	(25.7-49.1)
Other	1,178	(3.5)	**	**	66,069	(5.7)	43.2	(21.5–64.9)
Location of assault								
Home	8,567	(25.9)	18.6	(13.7–23.5)	254,636	(22.1)	166.4	(126.5–206.4)
Public area	9,096	(27.5)	19.8	(13.1–26.4)	214,922	(18.6)	140.5	(111.4–169.5)
Other	6,059	(18.4)	**	**	255,077	(22.1)	**	**
Unknown	9,304	(28.2)	20.2	(11.8–28.7)	429,944	(37.2)	281.0	(197.8–364.2)
Disposition								
Treated/Released	30,150	(91.3)	65.5	(47.6-83.5)	1,074,241	(93.1)	702.1	(530.1-874.1)
Hospitalized/Transferred	2,757	(8.3)	6.0	(2.7–9.3)	73,330	(6.4)	47.9	(30.5–65.3)
Other	119	(0.4)	**	**	3,786	(0.3)	**	**
Unknown	3,222	(0.3)	**	**		. ,		
Total	33,026	(100.0)	71.8	(53.5–90.0)	1,154,579	(100.0)	754.6	(574.7–934.5)

TABLE. Estimated number, percentage, and rate of nonfatal assault–related injuries among persons aged ≥60 years and those 20–59 years treated in hospital emergency departments, by selected characteristics — United States, 2001

\* Percentages might not total 100% because of rounding.

<sup>T</sup> Per 100,000 population.

<sup>§</sup> Confidence interval.

<sup>¶</sup>Black includes Hispanic and non-Hispanic; Hispanic excludes black Hispanic. Rates should be interpreted with caution because of high percentage of unknowns.

\*\* National estimates might be unstable because they are based on <20 cases or coefficient of variation is >30%.

than double by 2025 (5), the number of physical assault– related injuries among the elderly probably will increase, requiring more attention from clinicians and public health agencies. ED workers should be prepared to explore the cause of injuries suggestive of physical assault to ensure that proper referral is made when needed.

#### References

- 1. CDC. Overview: surveillance for selected public health indicators affecting older adults—United States. In: CDC Surveillance Summaries (December 17). MMWR 1999;48(No. SS-8).
- 2. CDC. National estimates of nonfatal injuries treated in hospital emergency departments, United States, 2000. MMWR 2001;50:340–6.
- 3. U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission. NEISS Coding Manual 2000. Washington, DC: U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 2000.

- Kessler E, Schroeder T. The NEISS Sample: Design and Implementation. Washington, DC: U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 2000.
- 5. Krug EG, Dahlberg LL, Mercy JA, Zwi AB, Lozano R, eds. World Report on Violence and Health. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2002.
- 6. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Administration for Children and Administration on Aging: The National Elder Maltreatment Incidence Study—Final Report. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 1998. Available at http:// www.aoa.dhhs.gov/abuse/report/cexecsum-03.htm.
- Klaus PA. Crimes Against Persons Age 65 or Older, 1992–97. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, 2000 (NCJ-176352). Available at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/pub/pdf/cpa6597.pdf.
- Lachs MS, Williams CS, Hurst L, Kossack A, Siegal A, Tinetti ME. ED use by older victims of family violence. Ann Emerg Med 1997;30:448–54.
- 9. Jones JS, Veenstra TR, Seamon JP, Khromer J. Elder mistreatment: national survey of emergency physicians. Ann Emerg Med 1997;30:473–9.

## Progress Toward Poliomyelitis Eradication — Angola and the Democratic Republic of Congo, January 2002–June 2003

Since the World Health Assembly resolved in 1988 to eradicate poliomyelitis worldwide, the estimated global incidence of polio has decreased by 99% (1). Implementation of polio eradication activities in Angola and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) began in 1996. Angola and DRC are characterized by large geographic areas, dense urban populations, recent civil conflict, and a history of polio outbreaks (2–5). This report summarizes progress made toward polio eradication during January 2002–June 2003 and highlights the remaining challenges in Angola and DRC.

## **Routine Vaccination**

In Angola, reported national routine vaccination coverage of infants aged <12 months with 3 doses of oral poliovirus vaccine (OPV3) was 33% in 2000, 44% in 2001, and 42% in 2002 (Angola Ministry of Health, unpublished data, 2003). In DRC, reported national routine OPV3 coverage was 42% in 2000, 33% in 2001, and 45% in 2002 (DRC Ministry of Health, unpublished data, 2003).

## **Supplementary Immunization Activities**

Since 1996, supplementary immunization activities (SIAs) targeting children aged <5 years for vaccination with OPV have been conducted annually in Angola and DRC. During January 2002–May 2003, Angola implemented three rounds

of National Immunization Days\* (NIDs) and one round of subnational immunization days<sup>†</sup> (SNIDs) using house-tohouse vaccination. The May 2002 SNID targeted 40 municipalities with high-risk areas and reached approximately 2.8 million children aged <5 years, including persons living in 28 camps for internally displaced persons (IDPs) and in five quartering areas for former combatants and their families (4). High-risk areas were identified on the basis of various indicators, including high population density, concentration of IDPs, history of inaccessibility during the war, low vaccination coverage during the 2001 NIDs, proximity to Angolan refugee populations in Zambia and DRC, and detection of wild poliovirus (WPV) in 2001. During June-August 2002, Angola conducted three rounds of NIDs, reaching approximately 4.5 million children aged <5 years during each round, in synchronization with NIDs conducted in DRC, Republic of Congo, Gabon, Zambia, Namibia, and Sao Tomé and Principe. During July-August 2003, Angola conducted two monthly rounds of NIDs.

During June–August 2002, DRC implemented three monthly rounds of NIDs, reaching approximately 12.5 million children aged <5 years during each round. During July– August 2003, two monthly rounds of SNIDs targeting high-risk areas were conducted in DRC. High-risk areas were identified on the basis of surveillance indicators, including clustering of polio-compatible cases, low vaccination coverage during the 2002 NIDs, and detection of WPV in 2000.

## Acute Flaccid Paralysis Surveillance

The quality of public health surveillance for cases of acute flaccid paralysis (AFP) is evaluated by two key indicators established by the World Health Organization: annual reporting rate (target: nonpolio AFP rate of  $\geq 1$  case per 100,000 children aged <15 years) and completeness of specimen collection (target: two adequate stool specimens from  $\geq 80\%$  of persons with AFP). In 2002, the nonpolio AFP rate in Angola was 3.0 (Table). All 18 provinces achieved nonpolio AFP rates of >1.0. Although the geographic distribution of AFP cases detected in 2002 in western Angola was proportionate to population density, gaps existed in case detection in the central and eastern provinces (Figure). AFP cases were reported primarily from municipal centers. No cases were reported from several subprovincial areas bordering Zambia and DRC in which

<sup>\*</sup> Mass campaigns over a short period (days) in which 2 doses of OPV are administered to all children in the target group (usually those aged <5 years) regardless of previous vaccination history.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Campaigns similar to NIDs but confined to part of the country.

			2002		January–June 2003					
Country	No. AFP cases	Nonpolio AFP rate <sup>†</sup>	% persons with AFP with adequate specimens <sup>§</sup>	No. polio- compatible cases <sup>¶</sup>	No. AFP cases	Nonpolio AFP rate	% persons with AFP with adequate specimens	No. polio- compatible cases		
Angola	186	3.0	85	17	43	1.4	84	0		
DRC	1,239	5.0	84	59	414	3.3	92	1		

TABLE. Number of reported cases of acute flaccid paralysis (AFP) and key surveillance indicators, by year — Angola and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), January 2002–June 2003\*

\* As of July 29, 2003.


<sup>†</sup>Per 100,000 children aged <15 years.

<sup>9</sup>/2 Two stool specimens collected at an interval of at least 24 hours, within 14 days of onset of paralysis, and adequately shipped to the laboratory.

<sup>¶</sup> In persons with AFP with inadequate stools, in whom paralytic polio cannot be reliably excluded.

access and security are concerns. In 2002, the proportion of cases of persons for whom two adequate stool specimens were collected was 85%. During January–June 2003, the annualized nonpolio AFP rate was 1.4, with 13 (72%) of 18 provinces reporting at least one AFP case. Adequate stool specimens were collected from 84% of persons with AFP. The nonpolio enterovirus (NPEV) isolation rate, a combined indicator for quality of specimen transport and sensitivity of laboratory

FIGURE. Distribution of reported cases of acute flaccid paralysis (AFP) — Angola, the Democratic Republic of Congo, and Zambia, 2002\*



\* As of July 29, 2003.

processing, was 19.3% in 2002 and 33.0% during January– June 2003 (target:  $\geq$ 10%). AFP cases in which paralytic polio could not be reliably excluded were classified as poliocompatible. In 2002, of 186 AFP cases reported, the Angola national polio expert committee classified 17 (9%) as poliocompatible. As of June 30, no AFP cases reported in 2003 were classified polio-compatible.

In 2002, the nonpolio AFP rate in DRC was 5.0, with all 11 provinces achieving nonpolio AFP rates of >1.0. During January-June 2003, the annualized nonpolio AFP rate was 3.3, with all 11 provinces reporting at least one AFP case. The proportion of AFP cases in persons for whom two adequate specimens were collected was 84% in 2002 and 92% during January-June 2003. The NPEV isolation rate for DRC was 17.5% in 2002 and 12.0% during January-June 2003. In 2002, the DRC national polio expert committee classified 59 (5%) of 1,239 AFP cases as polio-compatible; Orientale province, a site of ongoing conflict in which approximately 15% of the population reside, accounted for 24 (41%) of these 59 cases. Specimens from four (17%) of these 24 persons arrived at the laboratory in poor condition, and a single specimen existed for another case. For the remaining 19 patients with polio-compatible disease, the average time from onset of paralysis to collection of two specimens was 24 days (range: 15-37 days); for 14 (74%) patients, the period between onset of paralysis and notification was  $\geq 14$  days. As of July 29, one person with AFP had been classified as having polio-compatible disease during January-June 2003.

## **Incidence of Polio**

During December 2001–February 2002, five cases of polio with isolation of WPV type 1 (P1) were detected among Angolan refugees in Western Zambia. Genetic sequencing confirmed that these isolates were related to WPV strains isolated most recently in Angola and DRC (4). The last laboratory-confirmed WPV in Angola was a P1 virus isolated in September 2001 from a person with AFP in Lunda Sul province. In 2000, a P1 outbreak in the Cape Verde islands was

## "When the mind is ready, a teacher appears."

Chinese Proverb

MMWR Continuing Education is designed with your needs in mind: timely public health and clinical courses, online exams, instant course certificates, and economical tuition (it's free).

Visit MMWR Online to learn more about our program's features and available courses.

MMWR CE It's ready when you are.

#### cdc.gov/mmwr



identified by genetic sequence analysis to have been imported from Angola (6). In 1999, a polio outbreak caused primarily by WPV type 3 affected approximately 1,100 Angolan children (4,5). In 2000, a total of 28 cases of laboratoryconfirmed WPV in DRC were identified. The most recent laboratory-confirmed case of WPV was a P1 virus isolated in December 2000 from a person with AFP from Kasai Oriental province.

**Reported by:** Ministry of Health; Country Office of the World Health Organization, Luanda, Angola. Ministry of Health; Country Office of the World Health Organization, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo. Regional Office of the World Health Organization for Africa, Harare, Zimbabwe. Vaccines and Biologicals Dept, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. Div of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases; Global Immunization Div, National Immunization Program, CDC.

**Editorial Note:** Progress toward polio eradication has continued in both Angola and DRC despite armed conflict. During January 2002–June 2003, both countries met or exceeded WHO-recommended standards of surveillance quality at national and provincial levels. The absence of laboratoryconfirmed WPV for the preceding 21 months in Angola and for the preceding 30 months in DRC under conditions of adequate surveillance suggests that Angola and DRC have made substantial progress toward the interruption of WPV transmission.

Although a cease-fire agreement ending 27 years of civil war in Angola was signed in April 2002, a legacy remains of devastated infrastructure, landmines, and displaced populations, particularly in the central and eastern provinces. Access has improved to areas never before covered by SIAs or AFP surveillance, but operations are still difficult to implement, and access in the southeastern provinces remains problematic. Although Angola achieved a nonpolio AFP rate of >1.0 in all 18 provinces in 2002, surveillance gaps exist at the subprovincial level in some areas of the eastern half of the country, and the possibility of low-grade polio virus transmission cannot be excluded. Because of the return of IDPs who had sought refuge in urban centers during the war, delivery of services to areas that were inaccessible previously should be a priority. Approximately 400,000 Angolan refugees reside in Zambia and DRC (United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, unpublished data, 2003). The detection of WPV from five unvaccinated children of Angolan refugees in western Zambia highlights the potential for circulation of poliovirus in these poorly vaccinated, high-risk populations. Vaccination and surveillance activities should be supported and extended, particularly in areas where refugees or IDPs are likely to settle or congregate.

Although a peace accord ending >4 years of civil war in DRC was signed in April 2003, ethnic conflict continues in the northeastern part of the country, particularly in the Ituri region of Orientale province and in North Kivu province. As of January 2003, approximately 1.6 million IDPs resided in these provinces (United Nations Office for Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs, unpublished data, 2003). A substantial number of AFP cases detected in Orientale province in 2002 were classified as polio-compatible, primarily because of delayed notification and poor specimen condition. These compatible cases might be attributable to suboptimal surveillance because of the war, but uncertainty about possible lowgrade poliovirus transmission exists. With the cessation of hostilities nationwide, the potential exists for improvement of surveillance quality in areas such as Orientale province. The aftermath of civil war in DRC poses a challenge to sustaining the gains made in polio eradication. Low routine vaccination coverage and the decision to implement only SNIDs might compromise the attainment of levels of population immunity required to ensure protection against a re-emergence of endemic poliovirus transmission.

Maintaining the highest quality surveillance uniformly within provinces and improving routine vaccination coverage are key priorities, particularly because the number and geographic scope of SIAs are being scaled down. Angola and its development partners have embarked on a phase of national reconstruction, and DRC has launched a representative interim government. To ensure that political commitment to polio eradication is maintained, every effort should be made to ensure that polio eradication remains a national priority. Sustained commitment from the national governments and donors<sup>§</sup> is critical for polio to be eradicated in Angola and the DRC.

#### References

- 1. CDC. Progress toward global eradication of poliomyelitis, 2003. MMWR 2003;52:366–9.
- CDC. Progress toward poliomyelitis eradication—Angola, Democratic Republic of Congo, Ethiopia, and Nigeria, January 2000–July 2001. MMWR 2001;50:826–9.
- CDC. Progress toward poliomyelitis eradication—Democratic Republic of Congo, 1996–1999. MMWR 2000;49:253–8.
- 4. CDC. Progress toward poliomyelitis eradication—Angola, January 1998–June 2002. MMWR 2002;51:762–4.
- Valente F, Otten M, Balbina F, et al. Massive outbreak of poliomyelitis caused by type-3 wild poliovirus in Angola in 1999. Bull WHO 2000;78:339–46.

6. CDC. Outbreak of poliomyelitis—Cape Verde, 2000. MMWR 2000;49:1070.

## Update: Adverse Events Following Civilian Smallpox Vaccination — United States, 2003

During January 24–August 8, 2003, smallpox vaccine was administered to 38,257 civilian health-care and public health workers in 55 jurisdictions to prepare the United States for a possible terrorist attack using smallpox virus. This report updates information on vaccine-associated adverse events among civilians vaccinated since the beginning of the program and among contacts of vaccinees, received by CDC from the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) as of August 8.

In this vaccination program, CDC, the Food and Drug Administration, and state health departments are conducting surveillance for vaccine-associated adverse events among civilian vaccinees (1,2). As part of the vaccination program, civilian vaccinees receive routine follow-up, and reported adverse events after vaccination receive follow-up as needed. The U.S. Department of Defense is conducting surveillance for vaccine-associated adverse events among military vaccinees and providing follow-up care to those persons with reported adverse events.

Adverse events that have been associated with smallpox vaccination are classified on the basis of evidence supporting the reported diagnoses. Cases verified by virologic testing, or in some instances by other diagnostic testing, are classified as confirmed (Table 1). Cases are classified as probable if possible alternative etiologies are investigated and excluded and supportive information for the diagnosis is found. Cases are classified as suspected if they have clinical features compatible with the diagnosis, but either further investigation is required or investigation of the case did not provide supporting evidence for the diagnosis. All reports of events that follow vaccination (i.e., events associated temporally) are accepted; however, reported adverse events are not necessarily associated causally with vaccination, and some or all of these events might be coincidental. This report includes cases reported as of August 8 that are either under investigation or have a reported final diagnosis.

During June 21–August 8, one new case of inadvertent inoculation and one new case of myo/pericarditis were reported. During the vaccination program, no cases of eczema vaccinatum, erythema multiforme major, fetal vaccinia, or progressive vaccinia have been reported (Table 1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup> Polio eradication efforts in Angola and DRC are supported by the governments of Angola, DRC, Belgium, Italy, Japan, and the Netherlands; the Department for International Development, United Kingdom; the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation; the United Nations Foundation; Aventis Pasteur; DeBeers; the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF); Rotary International; the U.S. Agency for International Development; the Canadian International Development Agency; WHO; and CDC.

		No. new cases ine 21–Augus	-	Total (January 24–August 8)			
Adverse events	Suspected <sup>†</sup>	Probable§	Confirmed <sup>1</sup>	Suspected	Probable	Confirmed	
Eczema vaccinatum	**	_	_	_	_	_	
Fetal vaccinia	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Generalized vaccinia	_	_	_	2	_	1	
Inadvertent inoculation, nonocular	_	_	1	11	_	10	
Ocular vaccinia	_	_	_	1	_	2	
Progressive vaccinia	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Erythema multiforme major (Stevens-Johnson syndrome)	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Myo/pericarditis	_	1	_	17	5	_	
Post vaccinial encephalitis or encephalomyelitis	_	_	_	1	_	_	
Pyogenic infection of vaccination site	_	_	_	_	—	_	

TABLE 1. Number of cases\* of selected adverse events associated with smallpox vaccination among civilians, by type — United States, January 24–August 8, 2003

\* Under investigation or completed as of August 8, 2003; numbers and classifications of adverse events will be updated regularly in *MMWR* as more \_ information becomes available.

<sup>1</sup> Events are classified as suspected if they have clinical features compatible with the diagnosis, but either further investigation is required or additional , investigation of the case did not provide supporting evidence for the diagnosis and did not identify an alternative diagnosis.

Events are classified as probable if possible alternative etiologies are investigated and supportive information is found.

The first six events listed are classified as confirmed if virologic tests are positive. The last four events are classified as confirmed on the basis of diagnostic testing (e.g., histopathology); confirmation of events thought to be immunologically mediated (i.e., erythema multiforme, myo/pericarditis, postvaccinial encephalitis, or encephalomyelitis) does not establish causality.

\*\* No cases reported.

During June 21–August 8, five other serious adverse events were reported, including one case with diplopia, ptosis, slurred speech, and paresis (Table 2). Also during this period, 44 other nonserious events were reported (Table 2). Among the 653 vaccinees with reported other nonserious adverse events during January 24–August 8, the most common signs and symptoms were fever (n = 126), rash (n = 126), pain (n = 107) headache (n = 105), and pruritus (n = 90) (Table 2). All of these commonly reported events are consistent with mild expected reactions following receipt of smallpox vaccine. Some vaccinees reported multiple signs and symptoms.

During this reporting period, no vaccinia immune globulin was released for civilian vaccinees. No cases of vaccine transmission from civilian vaccinees to their contacts have been reported during the vaccination program (Table 3). A total of 16 cases of transmission from military personnel to civilian contacts have been reported. Surveillance for adverse events during the civilian and military smallpox vaccination programs is ongoing; regular surveillance reports will be published in *MMWR*.

**Reported by:** *Smallpox vaccine adverse events coordinators; National Immunization Program, CDC.* 

#### References

- 1. CDC. Smallpox vaccine adverse events monitoring and response system for the first stage of the smallpox vaccination program. MMWR 2003;52:88–9, 99.
- CDC. Update: cardiac and other adverse events following civilian smallpox vaccination—United States, 2003. MMWR 2003;52:639–42.

## TABLE 2. Number of cases\* of other adverse events reported after smallpox vaccination among civilians, by severity — United States, January 24–August 8, 2003

Adverse events	No. new cases (June 21– August 8)	Total (January 24– August 8)
Other serious adverse events <sup>†</sup>	5 <sup>§</sup>	77
Other nonserious adverse events¶	44	653

\* Under investigation or completed as of August 8, 2003; numbers and classifications of adverse events will be updated regularly in *MMWR* as \_ more information becomes available.

more information becomes available. <sup>+</sup> Events that result in hospitalization, permanent disability, life-threatening illness, or death. These events are temporally associated with vaccination but are not necessarily causally associated with vaccination.

<sup>§</sup> Includes one case of chest pain; one case of asthma; one case of acute prostatitis; one case with diploplia, ptosis, slurred speech and paresis; and one case of pneumodediastinum related to scuba-diving.

and one case of pneumodediastinum related to scuba-diving. Include expected self-limited responses to smallpox vaccination (e.g., fatigue, headache, pruritis, local reaction at vaccination site, regional lymphadenopathy, lymphangitis, fever, myalgia and chills, and nausea); additional events are temporally associated with smallpox vaccination but are not necessarily causally associated with vaccination.

#### TABLE 3. Vaccinia immune globulin release and vaccinia transmission to contacts — United States, January 24–August 8, 2003

Events	No. new cases (June 21– August 8)	Total (January 24– August 8)
Vaccinia immune globulin release	0	1
Vaccinia transmission to contacts*		
Health-care settings	0	0
Other settings	0	0

\* No cases of transmission from civilian vaccinees have been reported; 16 cases of transmission from military personnel to civilian contacts have been reported and are included in Table 1 (14 cases of inadvertent inoculation, nonocular, and two cases of ocular vaccinia).

## West Nile Virus Activity — United States, August 21–27, 2003

This report summarizes West Nile virus (WNV) surveillance data reported to CDC through ArboNET as of 3 a.m., Mountain Daylight Time, August 27, 2003.

During the reporting week of August 21–27, a total of 727 human cases of WNV infection were reported from 26 states (Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Maryland, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, and Wyoming), including seven fatal cases from five states (Kansas, Mississippi, Nebraska, New Mexico, and South Dakota). During the same period, WNV infections were reported in 817 dead birds, 207 horses, five unidentified species, and 683 mosquito pools.

During 2003, a total of 1,442 human cases of WNV infection have been reported from Colorado (n = 635), South Dakota (n = 204), Nebraska (n = 190), Texas (n = 106), New Mexico (n = 41), Wyoming (n = 31), Louisiana (n = 30), North Dakota (n = 28), Pennsylvania (n = 27), Mississippi (n = 20), Montana (n = 19), Alabama (n = 14), Kansas (n = 14), Minnesota (n = 13), Oklahoma (n = 12), Iowa (n = 11), Ohio (n = 11), Arkansas (n = five), Florida (n = four), Georgia (n = three), Kentucky (n = three), Tennessee (n = three), Illinois (n = two), Maryland (n = two), Missouri (n = two), New Jersey (n = two), North Carolina (n = two), Virginia (n = two), Arizona (n = one), Connecticut (n = one), Massachusetts (n = one), New York (n = one), South Carolina (n = one), and Wisconsin (n = one) (Figure). Among 750 (52%) cases for which demographic data were available, 419 (56%) occurred among males; the median age was 48 years (range: 3 months-97 years), and the dates of illness onset ranged from March 28 to August 25. Of the 750 cases, 21 fatal cases were reported from Colorado (n = six), Nebraska (n = four), Alabama (n = two), New Mexico (n = two), South Dakota (n = two), Texas (n = two), Kansas (n = one), Mississippi (n = one), and Ohio (n = one). A total of 150 presumptive WNV viremic blood donors have been reported from Nebraska (n = 72), South Dakota (n = 40), Texas (n = 20), New Mexico (n = seven), Mississippi (n = three), Minnesota (n = two), Montana (n = two), Oklahoma (n = two), Florida (n = one), and Louisiana (n = one). Of these donors, 11 had WNV fever, and none had WNV meningoencephalitis. In addition, 4,222 dead birds with WNV infection were reported from 39 states and New York City; 910 WNV infections in horses have been reported from 32 states, four WNV infections were reported in dogs, one infection in a squirrel, and

## e asy.

MMWR Online makes it possible for you to access vital public health reports and news as soon as CDC publishes them. Get the information you want, when you need it, from a trusted source.

Visit **cdc.gov/mmwr** and stay current on important public health topics-the easy way.






FIGURE. Areas reporting West Nile virus (WNV) activity — United States, 2003\*

\* As of 3:00 a.m., Mountain Daylight Time, August 27, 2003.

10 infections in unidentified animal species. During 2003, WNV seroconversions have been reported in 391 sentinel chicken flocks from 12 states. Louisiana and South Dakota each reported three seropositive sentinel horses. A total of 2,642 WNV-positive mosquito pools have been reported from 32 states and New York City.

Additional information about WNV activity is available from CDC at http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dvbid/westnile/ index.htm and http://www.cindi.usga.gov/hazard/event/ west\_nile/west\_nile.html.

## Notice to Readers

## National Inventors' Month<sup>®</sup>, August 2003

August is National Inventors' Month<sup>®</sup>. In fulfilling CDC's mission to promote health and quality of life by preventing and controlling disease, injury, and disability, CDC scientists and engineers have invented vaccines to prevent diseases, kits to diagnose infections, and devices to make the workplace safer.

In 1986, Congress passed the Federal Technology Transfer Act to improve the link between the federal laboratories' technology base and U.S. businesses. This law and related legislation authorized federal laboratories to patent and license inventions to businesses and to collaborate with commercial firms on research and development projects. These activities benefit the public by transferring scientific expertise and technology from government laboratories, thereby encouraging the development of improved health-care products and services.

Additional information about CDC inventions, many of which are available for licensing, is available from CDC's Technology Transfer Office at http://www.cdc.gov/tto; by telephone, 770-488-8600; or by e-mail, tto@cdc.gov.

#### CASES CURRENT INCREASE DISEASE DECREASE 4 WEEKS 235 Hepatitis A, Acute Hepatitis B, Acute 254 56 Hepatitis C, Acute 192 Legionellosis 0 Measles, Total \* 61 Meningococcal Infections 8 Mumps 416 Pertussis 0 Rubella 0.25 0.5 2 0.03125 0.0625 0.125 1 4 Ratio (Log Scale)<sup>†</sup> Beyond Historical Limits

#### FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, United States, comparison of provisional 4-week totals August 23, 2003, with historical data

\* No measles or rubella cases were reported for the current 4-week period yielding a ratio for week 34 of zero (0). † Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area

begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2002		Cum. 2003	Cum. 2002
Anthrax	-	2	Hansen disease (leprosy) <sup>†</sup>	37	64
Botulism:	-	-	Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome <sup>†</sup>	12	14
foodborne	7	19	Hemolytic uremic syndrome, postdiarrheal <sup>†</sup>	68	128
infant	37	47	HIV infection, pediatric <sup>↑§</sup>	144	109
other (wound & unspecified	) 17	10	Measles, total	31¶	25**
Brucellosis <sup>†</sup>	45	75	Mumps	133	185
Chancroid	28	47	Plague	1	-
Cholera	1	1	Poliomyelitis, paralytic	-	-
Cyclosporiasis <sup>†</sup>	47	137	Psittacosis <sup>†</sup>	13	12
Diphtheria	-	1	Q fever <sup>†</sup>	47	34
Ehrlichiosis:	-	-	Rabies, human	-	1
human granulocytic (HGE)†	178	182	Rubella	6	10
human monocytic (HME) <sup>†</sup>	76	116	Rubella, congenital	-	1
other and unspecified	14	14	Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome <sup>†</sup>	116	81
Encephalitis/Meningitis:	-	-	Tetanus	8	16
California serogroup viral <sup>†</sup>	11	39	Toxic-shock syndrome	85	72
eastern equine <sup>†</sup>	4	1	Trichinosis	1	13
Powassan <sup>†</sup>	-	1	Tularemia <sup>†</sup>	48	51
St. Louis <sup>†</sup>	-	12	Yellow fever	-	-
western equine <sup>†</sup>	40	-			

-: No reported cases.

Incidence data for reporting years 2002 and 2003 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date). t

Not notifiable in all states.

<sup>§</sup> Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention — Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last update July 27, 2003.

Of 31 cases reported, 27 were indigenous, and four were imported from another country.

\*\* Of 25 cases reported, 12 were indigenous, and 13 were imported from another country.

<u> </u>	AII	DS	Chla	mydia <sup>†</sup>	Coccidio	domycosis	Cryptosp	oridiosis		s/Meningitis st Nile
Reporting area	Cum. 2003§	Cum. 2002	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2002	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2002	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2002	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2002
UNITED STATES	26,605	25,756	507,233	531,311	2,311	2,845	1,390	1,662	183	684
NEW ENGLAND	905	1,095	17,531	17,554	-	-	92	109	-	2
Maine N.H.	49 22	23 22	1,277 978	998 1,020	N	N	9 10	6 19	-	-
/t.	11	8	620	563	-	-	20	19	-	-
Mass. R.I.	371 69	578 70	7,132 1,739	7,031 1,788	-	-	36 12	43 13	-	2
Conn.	383	394	5,785	6,154	Ν	Ν	5	9	-	-
MID. ATLANTIC	6,223 665	5,862 477	57,094 12,309	59,178 10,649	N	- N	189 59	214 56	13 1	14
N.Y. City	3,189	3,354	21,125	19,791	-	-	49	90	-	10
N.J. Pa.	1,044 1,325	961 1,070	7,774 15,886	8,749 19,989	N	N	4 77	12 56	- 12	4
E.N. CENTRAL	2,625	2,562	81,562	97,373	7	18	333	527	9	250
Ohio Ind.	466 345	448 345	17,947 9,607	24,575 10,665	N	N	58 40	82 26	9	19
III.	1,238	1,174	24,947	30,901	-	2	34	73	-	209
Vlich. Vis.	451 125	460 135	19,458 9,603	20,297 10,935	7	16	69 132	72 274	-	11 11
W.N. CENTRAL	486	464	30,048	29,655	1	1	190	201	44	16
Minn. Iowa	95 55	105 50	6,416 2,676	6,796 3,250	N N	N N	73 39	92 19	5 4	-
Mo.	230	218	11,144	10,020	-	-	17	23	-	13
N. Dak. S. Dak.	2 8	1 3	700 1,642	788 1,377	N	N	11 22	10 7	- 9	- 3
Nebr. <sup>1</sup>	35	43	2,948	2,839	1	1	9	38	16	-
Kans.	61	44	4,522	4,585	N	N	19	12	10	-
S. ATLANTIC Del.	7,717 149	7,824 142	100,842 1,959	99,959 1,681	3 N	3 N	200 3	193 2	13	12
VId. D.C.	882 725	1,196 371	10,676 1,898	10,173 2,140	3	3	12 9	12 4	-	2
Va.	627	554	10,632	11,232	-	-	21	7	-	-
W.Va. N.C.	54 799	57 536	1,669 17,001	1,566 15,955	N N	N N	3 21	2 25	-	-
S.C.¶ Ga.	504 1,202	559 1,163	9,225 21,617	9,287 20,585	-	-	3 70	4 77	1 2	- 9
Fla.	2,775	3,246	26,165	20,385 27,340	N	N	58	60	10	9
E.S. CENTRAL	1,144	1,189	33,863	34,253	N	N	66	91	2	143
Ky. Tenn.	98 517	172 494	5,338 12,510	5,607 10,501	N N	N N	16 25	3 47	1 1	2
Ala. Miss.	271 258	248 275	8,245 7,770	10,704 7,441	N	N	22 3	36 5	-	3 138
WISS. W.S. CENTRAL	2,737	2,932	65,139	71,332	-	7	3 19	42	80	247
Ark.	107	175	4,977	5,001	-	-	5	7	2	-
La. Okla.	402 139	685 143	11,431 6,828	12,652 7,501	N N	N N	2 8	8 8	2	153
Tex.	2,089	1,929	41,903	46,178	-	7	4	19	76	94
MOUNTAIN Mont.	967 10	821 8	30,000 1,284	32,924 1,387	1,594 N	1,894 N	80 14	101 4	22 19	-
daho	15	23	1,580	1,596	N	N	16	18	-	-
Nyo. Colo.	6 215	6 178	638 6,730	578 9,092	1 N	N	3 20	7 38	1	-
N. Mex.	75 432	53 315	4,416 8,848	4,860 9,747	4 1,557	6 1,854	6 4	15 11	2	-
Ariz. Jtah	40	46	2,945	1,790	8	10	11	5	-	-
Nev.	174	192	3,559	3,874	24	24	6	3	-	-
PACIFIC Wash.	3,801 290	3,007 299	91,154 10,398	89,083 9,524	705 N	921 N	221 25	184 22	-	-
Oreg.	165	213	4,378	4,403	-	-	28	25	-	-
Calif. Alaska	3,271 13	2,397 17	71,999 2,350	69,911 2,372	705	921	168 -	136	-	-
Hawaii	62	81	2,029	2,873	-	-	-	1	-	-
Guam P.R.	6 724	1 667	- 1,241	408 1,686	N	N	- N	N	-	-
/.I. Amer. Samoa	22 U	62	142	123	-	-	-	-	-	-
	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending August 23, 2003, and August 24, 2002 (34th Week)\*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. -: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. \* Incidence data for reporting years 2002 and 2003 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date). † Chlamydia refers to genital infections caused by *C. trachomatis.* § Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention — Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last update July 27, 2003. ¶ Contains data reported through National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

## **MMWR**

(34th Week)*									1	
		Escher	ichia coli, Ente		<u>,                                    </u>					
	015	57:H7	-	n positive, o non-O157	Shiga toxin not seroe		Giar	diasis	Gon	orrhea
	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.
Reporting area	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002
UNITED STATES	1,158	1,922	134	112	75	30	10,092	12,050	191,790	225,709
NEW ENGLAND Maine	74 6	159 23	24 1	29 4	8	4	705 106	1,107 114	4,500 130	4,932 80
N.H.	11	19	2	-	-	-	20	31	72	76
Vt. Mass.	6 29	5 74	- 3	1 15	- 8	- 4	59 304	82 599	48 1,807	70 2,119
R.I. Conn.	1 21	5 33	- 18	- 9	-	-	74 142	92 189	609 1,834	554 2,033
MID. ATLANTIC	134	219	9	1	20	4	2,003	2,482	22,965	2,033
Upstate N.Y.	56	101	5	-	9	-	599	693	4,795	5,437
N.Y. City N.J.	3 5	12 39	-	-	-	-	686 157	949 288	8,183 4,923	8,080 4,972
Pa.	70	67	4	1	11	4	561	552	5,064	8,526
E.N. CENTRAL	263	458	16	23	12	3	1,651	2,037	36,124	47,045
Ohio Ind.	50 51	79 39	13	8	11	2	531	535	9,694 3,698	13,795 4,611
III. Mich.	42 45	117 77	-	6 3	-	- 1	420 435	596 523	11,109	15,666 9,074
Wis.	45 75	146	3	6	1	-	265	383	8,358 3,265	3,899
W.N. CENTRAL	208	288	23	19	16	3	1,084	1,127	10,473	11,542
Minn. Iowa	63 45	92 67	13	16	1	-	431 147	376 174	1,701 607	2,018 762
Mo.	54	41	7	-	1	-	289	298	5,372	5,695
N. Dak. S. Dak.	6 13	4 27	- 3	- 1	7	-	22 29	13 47	30 133	45 163
Nebr.	13	36	-	2	- 7	- 3	69	110	954	965
Kans. S. ATLANTIC	14 90	21 154	42	- 18	4	-	97 1,646	109 1,789	1,676 49,817	1,894 57,590
Del.	4	5	42 N	N	Ň	N	23	31	767	1,018
Md. D.C.	4	17	-	-	-	-	67 28	70 29	5,095 1,489	5,765 1,731
Va.	22	33	5	2	-	-	208	141	4,926	6,458
W.Va. N.C.	3 5	3 25	- 12	-	-	-	25 N	32 N	560 9,717	643 10,606
S.C.	-	3	-		-	-	68	59	4,978	5,847
Ga. Fla.	18 33	37 31	2 23	7 9	- 4	-	555 672	586 841	10,766 11,519	11,258 14,264
E.S. CENTRAL	52	67	2	-	6	9	196	225	16,398	19,677
Ky. Tenn.	17 22	18 28	2	-	6	9	N 96	N 103	2,314 5,104	2,318 6,025
Ala.	10	13	-	-	-	-	100	122	5,086	6,884
Miss.	3	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,894	4,450
W.S. CENTRAL Ark.	34 5	73 5	1	-	3	3	180 97	139 93	26,844 2,610	31,847 3,066
La.	3 16	2 16	-	-	-	-	5 78	2	6,826	7,785
Okla. Tex.	10	50	- 1	-	3	3	-	42 2	2,691 14,717	3,172 17,824
MOUNTAIN	154	192	15	16	6	4	896	946	6,350	7,133
Mont. Idaho	10 31	13 27	- 10	- 8	-	-	58 101	58 70	69 47	60 57
Wyo.	2	6	-	1	-	-	14	20	30	38
Colo. N. Mex.	35 6	63 4	2 3	4 3	6	4	252 29	318 104	1,566 722	2,230 977
Ariz.	22	23	N	N	Ν	Ν	156	122	2,421	2,370
Utah Nev.	32 16	38 18	-	-	-	-	210 76	173 81	285 1,210	174 1,227
PACIFIC	149	312	2	6	-	-	1,731	2,198	18,319	18,928
Wash. Oreg.	45 24	90 63	1	- 6	-	-	158 221	254 262	1,754 581	1,854 549
Calif.	72	124	-	-	-	-	1,248	1,553	15,189	15,706
Alaska Hawaii	1 7	5 30	-	-	-	-	49 55	62 67	330 465	396 423
Guam	N	N	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	35
P.R. V.I.	-	1	-	-	-	-	35	45	137 36	243 31
Amer. Samoa	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	36 U	U
C.N.M.I.	-	U	-	U	-	U	-	U	-	U

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending August 23, 2003, and August 24, 2002 (34th Week)\*

(34th Week)*				Hoomonhilus	influenzee inv	vacilyat			Hon	atitis
		ages	1	naemophilus	<i>influenzae</i> , inv Age <5				→ ·	te), by type
		rotypes	Sero	type b	Non-ser		Unknown	serotype		A
	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.
Reporting area	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002
UNITED STATES	1,142	1,156	9	25	64	91	124	107	3,699	6,026
NEW ENGLAND Maine	91 2	75 1	1	-	6	8	5 1	2	185 9	216 7
N.H.	11	6	1	-	-	-	-	-	8	11
Vt. Mass.	6 43	5 35	-	-	- 6	- 4	- 3	- 2	5 107	1 95
R.I.	4	10	-	-	-	-	1	-	11	29
Conn.	25	18	-	-	-	4	-	-	45	73
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y.	250 97	212 83	-	2 2	1 1	11 4	32 9	20 6	713 83	758 124
N.Y. City N.J.	41 40	50 42	-	-	-	-	8 6	9 5	227 85	272 127
Pa.	72	37	-	-	-	7	9	-	318	235
E.N. CENTRAL	161	227	1	3	5	9	26	30	415	756
Ohio Ind.	51 32	62 33	-	- 1	- 3	1 7	8	7	76 45	211 33
III.	55	83	-	-	-	-	14	15	127	197
Mich. Wis.	15 8	11 38	1	2	2	1	2 2	- 8	129 38	161 154
W.N. CENTRAL	85	49	-	1	6	2	10	3	122	218
Minn.	34	30	-	1	6	2	2	1	33	32
lowa Mo.	- 34	1 10	-	-	-	-	- 8	- 2	20 43	50 61
N. Dak.	1	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
S. Dak. Nebr.	1 2	1 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 6	3 15
Kans.	13	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	56
S. ATLANTIC Del.	267	260	1	5	10	13	14	19	894 4	1,665 10
Md.	61	65	-	2	5	2	-	1	93	202
D.C. Va.	- 38	- 22	-	-	-	-	- 5	- 3	27 48	56 71
W.Va.	13	13	-	-	-	-	-	1	13	14
N.C. S.C.	23 3	24 10	-	-	2	3	1	- 2	46 25	151 48
Ga.	50	55	-	-	-	-	5	9	351	341
Fla. E.S. CENTRAL	79 49	71 50	1 1	3 1	3	8 4	3 6	3 9	287 102	772 191
Ky.	2	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	22	40
Tenn. Ala.	29 16	24 14	- 1	- 1	-	- 3	4 1	6 1	56 11	75 29
Miss.	2	8	-	-	-	-	1	2	13	47
W.S. CENTRAL	45	42	-	2	6	7	3	2	177	659
Ark. La.	6 7	1 6	-	-	1	-	- 2	- 2	17 38	40 58
Okla.	30	33	-	-	5	7	1	-	10	33
Tex.	2	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	112	528
MOUNTAIN Mont.	125	132	4	4	17	21	19	12	310 7	371 10
Idaho	3 1	2 2	-	-	-	-	1	1	- 1	23 2
Wyo. Colo.	23	25	-	-	-	-	5	2	45	58
N. Mex. Ariz.	15 64	20 61	- 4	- 2	4 6	4 13	2 8	1 6	12 181	13 201
Utah	11	14	-	1	4	3	3	-	27	28
Nev.	8	8	-	1	3	1	-	2	37	36
PACIFIC Wash.	69 7	109 2	1	7 1	13 5	16 1	9 1	10	781 38	1,192 120
Oreg.	33	43	- 1	-	-	-	3	3	41	45
Calif. Alaska	16	36 1	-	6	8	15	4	3 1	689 7	1,000 7
Hawaii	13	27	-	-	-	-	1	3	6	20
Guam P.R.	-	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 24	- 152
V.I.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	U	U U	U	U U	U	U U	U	U U	U	U U
N: Not notifiable	- Ll:Llnavailable	-	orted cases	0	-	0	-	0	-	0

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending August 23, 2003, and August 24, 2002 (34th Week)\*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. -: No reported cases. \* Incidence data for reporting years 2002 and 2003 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date). \* Non-serotype b: nontypeable and type other than b; Unknown serotype: type unknown or not reported. Previously, cases reported without type information were counted as non-serotype b.

(34th Week)*			, acute), by ty	ре						
	L	B	+	) 		nellosis	Lister		· · · ·	disease
Reporting area	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2002	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2002	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2002	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2002	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2002
UNITED STATES	3,930	4,790	866	1,225	1,039	660	351	362	8,694	11,665
NEW ENGLAND Maine	154 1	181 6	2	18	40 1	61 2	30 5	38 4	1,579 123	2,515 49
N.H.	11	12	-	-	5	4	3	4	74	159
Vt.	2	3	2	12	2 17	25 21	-	2	18 275	19 1,526
Mass. R.I.	124 8	102 21	-	6	3	1	14	19 1	286	1,526
Conn.	8	37	U	U	12	8	8	8	803	604
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y.	606	1,016 79	114 33	65 27	244 78	162 42	66 16	93 29	5,695 2,536	6,878
N.Y. City	70 249	509	-	-	16	42 29	11	29 25	2,550	3,016 53
N.J.	109	205 223	- 81	4 34	4	23 68	7 32	15 24	544	1,804
Pa. E.N. CENTRAL	178		101	34 69	146	176	32 43	24 51	2,613	2,005 989
Ohio	258 92	411 63	7		226 146	67	43 17	51 14	390 34	989 42
Ind.	22	31	4	-	14	12	3	6	11	13
III. Mich.	1 120	82 199	9 81	13 53	3 52	19 50	5 14	13 12	4	42 20
Wis.	23	36	-	3	11	28	4	6	341	872
W.N. CENTRAL	203	138	144	539	42	35	10	10	198	182
Minn. Iowa	29 5	13 12	7 1	2 1	3 9	6 8	3	- 1	147 18	112 29
Mo.	137	74	135	527	19	10	4	6	25	32
N. Dak. S. Dak.	1 2	4	-	-	1	- 2	-	1	-	-
Nebr. Kans.	16 13	19 16	1	9	2 7	9	3	1 1	2 6	5 4
S. ATLANTIC	1,264	1,170	112	134	315	119	80	50	687	876
Del. Md.	5 82	12 91	- 11	- 7	14 75	6 21	N	N 10	113 409	130
D.C.	7	13	-	-	8	5	14	-	409	532 17
Va. W. Va.	104 20	136 18	4 1	2 2	57 12	13	7 5	3	44 11	67 8
N.C.	111	172	8	18	25	7	11	4	56	70
S.C. Ga.	95 404	75 315	23 3	4 58	5 19	6 9	2 20	8 9	1 12	10 1
Fla.	436	338	62	43	100	52	20	16	35	41
E.S. CENTRAL	257	245	82	89	64	24	16	9	34	41
Ky. Tenn.	45 119	40 96	8 41	4 21	26 26	10 8	4 4	2 4	9 12	13 13
Ala.	41	49	6	5	11	6	6	3	1	7
Miss.	52	60	27	59	1	-	2	-	12	8
W.S. CENTRAL Ark.	210 38	665 84	194 3	188 10	13 2	18	15 1	22	33	101 2
La.	46 31	86	46 2	62 4	- 5	4 3	1 1	1	3	3
Okla. Tex.	95	35 460	143	112	6	11	12	6 15	30	96
MOUNTAIN	400	406	48	43	44	24	21	21	12	11
Mont. Idaho	13	3 6	1	-	2 3	3	1	- 2	- 2	- 3
Wyo.	23	13	-	5 5	2 9	1	-	-	-	1
Colo. N. Mex.	52 20	51 115	24	5 2	9 2	5 1	9 2	4 2	4	- 1
Ariz.	198	151	6	4	9	6	6	9	-	2
Utah Nev.	42 52	27 40	- 17	4 23	13 4	7 1	- 2	3 1	3 3	3 1
PACIFIC	578	558	69	80	51	41	70	68	66	72
Wash.	38	49	12	16	5	3	2	7	1	6
Oreg. Calif.	75 445	95 402	10 45	10 53	N 46	N 38	3 62	8 47	14 48	11 53
Alaska	8	6	1	-	-	-	-	-	3	2
Hawaii	12	6	1	1	-	-	3	6	Ν	Ν
Guam P.R.	39	125	-	-	-	-	-	2	N	N
V.I. Amer. Samoa	- U	- U	- U	- U	- U	- U	- U	- U	- U	- U
C.N.M.I.	-	U	-	Ŭ	-	Ŭ	-	Ŭ	-	Ŭ

(34th Week)*						-				
	Ma	laria		gococcal ease	Pert	ussis	Rabies	s, animal		Nountain d fever
Reporting area	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2002	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2002	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2002	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2002	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2002
UNITED STATES	577	890	1,070	1,293	4,035	5,072	3,379	4,914	376	629
NEW ENGLAND	27	55	51	74	389	442	340	563	-	2
Maine	3	3	5	4	12	5	34	31	-	-
N.H. Vt.	2	6 2	3	9 4	50 46	9 85	13 21	27 74	-	-
Mass.	9	23	33	39	273	307	125	183	-	2
R.I. Conn.	1 12	3 18	2 8	5 13	7 1	10 26	41 106	43 205	-	-
MID. ATLANTIC	127	226	133	164	392	222	296	791	19	42
Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City	35 59	30 142	33 25	38 29	219	146 11	233 1	452 10	2 6	- 9
N.J.	10	29	19	25	22	-	62	108	5	16
Pa.	23	25	56	72	151	65	-	221	6	17
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio	57 13	121 14	163 45	189 60	304 150	599 285	94 38	99 19	6 4	26 10
Ind.	1	9	33	24	32	57	9	21	-	3
III. Mich.	19 19	52 36	38 33	42 30	- 57	101 37	11 31	20 27	- 2	11 2
Wis.	5	10	14	33	65	119	5	12	-	-
W.N. CENTRAL	32	49	99	107	200	415	410	330	37	82
Minn. Iowa	19 3	16 3	20 16	24 15	59 45	176 107	24 69	26 47	1 2	- 3
Mo. N. Dak.	2 1	14 1	47 1	39	56 3	78	18 40	32 29	27	75
S. Dak.	2	1	1	2	3	5 5	67	68	3	-
Nebr. Kans.	- 5	5 9	7 7	21 6	4 30	6 38	58 134	- 128	2 2	4
S. ATLANTIC	173	198	210	201	378	280	1,703	1,754	226	286
Del.	3	2	7	6	1	2	26	24	-	-
Md. D.C.	45 8	70 15	25	6	53	45 1	244	276	64	31
Va.	20	17	20	29	64	104	342	380	14	21
W. Va. N.C.	4 13	3 12	4 27	3 24	6 86	26 27	62 528	129 459	5 97	1 167
S.C. Ga.	3 28	5 32	19 22	19 22	67 29	28 22	159 244	83	12 26	42 18
Fla.	49	42	86	92	72	25	98	281 122	20	6
E.S. CENTRAL	9	15	53	73	91	157	127	163	52	84
Ky. Tenn.	3 4	5 3	12 14	12 29	31 42	62 60	28 84	18 108	- 42	3 48
Ala.	2	3	13	17	14	27	15	35	3	11
Miss.	-	4	14	15	4	8	-	2	7	22
W.S. CENTRAL Ark.	18 4	44 1	74 11	156 20	322 16	1,253 448	167 25	818	28	92 21
La. Okla.	3 4	3 6	25 13	32 17	6 12	7 34	- 142	- 79	- 27	- 61
Tex.	7	34	25	87	288	764	- 142	739	1	10
MOUNTAIN	27	35	56	76	651	622	107	198	8	13
Mont. Idaho	- 1	1	3 6	2 3	2 51	4 51	16 4	10 22	1 1	1
Wyo.	1	-	2	-	119	10	3	14	2	4
Colo. N. Mex.	13 1	20 2	15 7	23 3	218 41	237 122	21 5	35 7	2	2 1
Ariz.	8	5	15	23	122	108	47	102	1	-
Utah Nev.	2 1	4 3	1 7	4 18	75 23	56 34	8 3	5 3	1	5
PACIFIC	107	147	231	253	1,308	1,082	135	198	-	2
Wash. Oreg.	16 7	13 7	22 38	50 35	371 317	323 144	- 5	- 11	-	- 2
Calif.	79	119	162	160	610	588	127	161	-	-
Alaska Hawaii	- 5	2 6	1 8	2 6	- 10	4 23	3	26	-	-
Guam	-	-	-	1	-	20	-	-	-	-
P.R.	-	1	2	5	-	2	48	57	Ν	Ν
V.I. Amer. Samoa	U	U	- U	U	U	- U	U	U	- U	U
C.N.M.I.	-	U	-	U	-	U	-	U	-	U

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending August 23, 2003, and August 24, 2002 (34th Week)\*

## **MMWR**

(34th Week)*			1		1		Streptococcus pneumoniae, invasive				
						Streptococcal disease,		Drug resistant,			
	Cum.	onellosis Cum.	Cum.	ellosis Cum.	invasive, Cum.	group A Cum.	all a Cum.	ges Cum.	Age < Cum.	5 years Cum.	
Reporting area	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	
UNITED STATES	22,129	24,831	12,633	11,371	3,802	3,353	1,526	1,737	307	226	
NEW ENGLAND Maine	1,293 89	1,345 87	193 6	203 3	322 22	258 20	40	81	6	2	
N.H. Vt.	89 41	80 46	5 6	8	20 16	28 9	- 6	- 4	N 3	N 1	
Mass.	777	773	133	133	153	89	Ν	N	N	N	
R.I. Conn.	62 235	100 259	7 36	7 52	9 102	14 98	10 24	11 66	3 U	1 U	
MID. ATLANTIC	2,492	3,372	1,407	1,025	624	551	94	82	72	58	
Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City	633 697	882 876	232 238	166 296	284 92	221 128	52 U	72 U	55 U	48 U	
N.J.	211	703	161	387	42	117	Ν	N	N	N	
Pa.	951	911	776	176	206	85	42	10	17	10 82	
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio	3,306 934	3,629 846	1,144 243	1,286 426	856 246	723 164	325 213	153 28	130 76	1	
Ind. III.	358 1,029	309 1,265	100 540	62 575	89 179	41 207	112	123 2	34	40	
Mich.	502	607	178	108	294	228	N	N	N	N	
Wis. W.N. CENTRAL	483 1,539	602 1,535	83 520	115 738	48 247	83 187	N 126	N 329	20 42	41 40	
Minn.	354	366	60	145	123	95	-	220	36	36	
Iowa Mo.	224 596	254 508	35 277	90 114	N 53	N 38	N 9	N 5	N 2	N 1	
N. Dak. S. Dak.	25 62	24 65	3 9	16 151	10 18	- 10	3 1	1 1	4	3	
Nebr.	95	108	89	158	21	16	-	25	N	N	
Kans.	183	210	47	64	22	28	113	77	N	N	
S. ATLANTIC Del.	5,844 53	5,883 50	4,975 144	3,579 38	690 6	552 2	788 1	802 3	14 N	23 N	
Md. D.C.	496 24	577 50	434 45	717 42	209 11	86 6	- 2	-	- 5	18 3	
Va.	572	555	262	580	85	58	N	N	N	N	
W. Va. N.C.	80 701	88 796	596	7 215	30 80	16 102	54 N	34 N	9 U	2 U	
S.C. Ga.	339 1,120	377 1,100	269 1,297	76 822	30 84	29 105	111 188	141 201	N N	N N	
Fla.	2,459	2,290	1,928	1,082	155	148	432	423	N	N	
E.S. CENTRAL Ky.	1,381 260	1,766 212	572 68	862 90	146 37	78 14	97 13	109 13	N	N	
Tenn.	456	446	204	47	109	64	84	96	N	N	
Ala. Miss.	296 369	466 642	177 123	447 278	-	-	-	-	N -	N -	
W.S. CENTRAL	1,881	2,591	1,718	1,728	140	220	33	147	39	18	
Ark. La.	411 258	538 473	69 144	139 308	5 1	6 1	8 25	6 141	- 10	- 5	
Okla. Tex.	271 941	287 1,293	553 952	312 969	64 70	35 178	N	N N	24 5	2 11	
MOUNTAIN	1,320	1,293	952 633	909 434	348	408	20	34	5 4	3	
Mont.	66	64	2	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	
Idaho Wyo.	114 65	90 40	20 5	3 6	14 2	6 7	N 4	N 10	N -	N -	
Colo. N. Mex.	297 125	400 173	108 119	94 78	98 87	85 77	- 16	- 24	-	-	
Ariz.	416	370	313	200	135	206	-	-	N	N	
Utah Nev.	136 101	111 143	34 32	20 30	9 1	27	-	-	4	3	
PACIFIC	3,073	3,319	1,471	1,516	429	376	3	-	-	-	
Wash. Oreg.	334 246	316 233	105 148	101 66	38 N	46 N	N	N	N N	N N	
Calif. Alaska	2,310 53	2,549 43	1,184 5	1,309 3	315	284	N	N	N	N	
Hawaii	130	178	29	37	76	46	3	-	-	-	
Guam	-	29	-	19	- NI	- NI	- NI	4 N	- NI	- NI	
P.R. V.I.	159	305	2	24	N -	N -	N -	N	N -	N -	
Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	U -	U U	U	U U	U	U U	U	U U	U	U U	
C.IN.IM.I.	-	U	-	U	-	U	-	U	-	0	

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending August 23, 2003, and August 24, 2002

(34th Week)*				-			. ,	-	· 	
	D. S. S. A	Syphilis			<b>T</b> . 1 1 1				Varicella	
	Primary & Cum.	secondary Cum.	Cong Cum.	enital Cum.	Tuber Cum.	culosis Cum.	Typhoi Cum.	d fever Cum.	(Chickenpox) Cum.	
Reporting area	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	
UNITED STATES	4,309	4,252	233	258	6,812	8,237	168	194	8,353	
NEW ENGLAND	136	90 2	1	-	201	263 10	19	10	1,245	
Maine N.H.	6 13	2	1	-	5 7	8	2	-	633	
Vt. Mass.	- 89	1 61	-	-	3 126	4 134	- 10	- 7	492 117	
R.I.	13	4	-	-	27	37	2	-	3	
Conn.	15	20	-	-	33	70	5	3	-	
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y.	500 26	451 23	44 13	36 1	1,313 173	1,418 210	21 5	49 4	23 N	
N.Y. City	307	265	24	16	740	682	10	25	-	
N.J. Pa.	82 85	90 73	7	18 1	215 185	314 212	5 1	13 7	- 23	
E.N. CENTRAL	581	799	42	40	729	806	11	21	3,768	
Ohio	136	98	2	2	135	127	1	5	927	
Ind. III.	31 218	39 307	7 14	2 29	86 334	72 395	3 1	2 7	-	
Mich.	186	337	19	7	140	165	6	3	2,265	
Wis.	10	18	-	-	34	47	-	4	576	
W.N. CENTRAL Minn.	93 32	81 40	3	-	295 116	354 147	2	9 3	38 N	
Iowa	4	2	-	-	17	21	1	-	N	
Mo. N. Dak.	33	18	3	-	77	98 4	1	2	- 38	
S. Dak.	1	-	-	-	16	10	-	-	-	
Nebr. Kans.	3 20	5 16	-	-	8 61	17 57	-	4	-	
S. ATLANTIC	1,161	1,051	43	60	1,362	1,710	35	24	1,553	
Del. Md.	4 202	9 127	- 8	- 12	- 144	13 185	- 7	- 5	20	
D.C.	35	35	-	1	-	-	-	-	22	
Va. W.Va.	55 2	50 2	1	1	159 12	181 20	10	2	427 916	
N.C.	106	195	13	16	198	210	6	1	N	
S.C. Ga.	71 281	82 219	4 4	7 9	103 200	115 339	- 6	- 4	168	
Fla.	405	332	13	14	546	647	6	12	Ν	
E.S. CENTRAL	202	339	13	19	420	504	5	4	-	
Ky. Tenn.	29 86	65 124	1 6	3 6	80 141	91 198	- 2	4	N N	
Ala.	71	116	4	7	139	133	3	-	-	
Miss.	16	34	2	3	60	82	-	-	-	
W.S. CENTRAL Ark.	555 37	558 20	39	56 4	959 64	1,259 80	7	24	1,331	
La.	81	98	-	- 1	-	-	-	-	4	
Okla. Tex.	34 403	43 397	1 38	51	90 805	104 1,075	7	24	N 1,327	
MOUNTAIN	195	201	21	9	223	248	3	7	395	
Mont. Idaho	- 6	- 1	-	-	5 5	6 10	-	-	N N	
Wyo.	-	-	-	-	3	2	-	-	42	
Colo. N. Mex.	12 35	42 22	3	1	43 6	55 23	3	3	-	
Ariz.	128	124	18	8	115	121	-	-	4	
Utah Nev.	5 9	4 8	-	-	24 22	18 13	-	2 2	349	
PACIFIC	886	682	27	38	1,310	1,675	65	46	-	
Wash.	50	36	-	1	160	155	2	4	-	
Oreg. Calif.	27 807	11 628	- 27	36	65 1,010	74 1,317	3 59	2 39	-	
Alaska	-	-	-	-	38	32	-	-	-	
Hawaii	2	7	-	1	37	97	1	1	-	
Guam P.R.	- 118	6 161	- 1	- 21	33	42 67	-	-	275	
V.I.	1	1 U	U	U	-	U	-	- U	-	
Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	U -	UU	-	U	U -	U	U -	U	U -	

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending August 23, 2003, and August 24, 2002 (34th Week)\*

#### TABLE III. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,\* week ending August 23, 2003 (34th Week)

Reporting Area         Ali Ages         ze5         45-64         25-44         25-44         724         rtotal         Reporting Area         Ages         ze5         45-64         25-44         124           NEW ENGLAND         400         273         90         18         7         7         25         S. ATLANTIC         1.083         67.0         249         108         31           Bridgeport, Con.         36         21         12         1         -2         2         Charlotte, N.C.         118         85         25         3         3           Fall River, Mass.         18         14         4         -         -         2         Charlotte, N.C.         118         85         25         3         3           Fall River, Mass.         29         24         4         1         -         2         Charlotte, N.C.         118         25         7         22         Lyon, Mass.         33         20         5         11         2         2         Lyon, Mass.         34         3         1         -         -         2         Richmond, Va.         40         25         11         2         2         10         1         1 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th></td<>		
NEW ENGLAND         400         278         90         18         7         7         25         S. ATLANTIC         1.083         670         249         108         31           Boston, Mass.         138         84         39         6         5         4         10         Atlanta, Ga.         119         66         32         19         1           Bridgeport, Conn.         36         21         12         1         -         2         Charitte, N.C.         118         85         25         3         3           Fall River, Mass.         29         24         4         1         -         -         2         Charitte, N.C.         118         85         25         3         3           Hartford, Conn.         U <th></th> <th>P&amp; Tot</th>		P& Tot
Boston, Mass.       138       84       39       6       5       4       10       Atlanta, Ga.       119       66       32       19       1         Bridgeport, Conn.       36       21       12       1       -       2       2       Autor, Mass.       142       31       16       4         Cambridge, Mass.       18       14       4       -       -       2       Charlotte, N.C.       118       85       25       3       3         Fall River, Mass.       29       24       4       1       -       -       2       Charlotte, N.C.       118       85       25       7       2         Lowell, Mass.       21       1       -       -       -       Norfolk, Va.       40       25       11       2       2         Lynn, Mass.       8       4       3       1       -       -       -       Norfolk, Va.       40       25       11       1       3       1       1       1       4       St. Petersburg, Fla.       186       115       5       14       3       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1	23	58
Cambridge Mass.       18       14       4       -       -       -       2       Charlotte, N.C.       118       85       25       3       3         Fall River, Mass.       29       24       4       1       -       -       2       Charlotte, N.C.       118       85       25       3       3         Fall River, Mass.       29       24       4       1       -       -       2       Charlotte, N.C.       118       85       25       3       3         Lowell, Mass.       22       18       3       1       -       -       2       Richmond, Va.       40       25       11       2       2         Lynn, Mass.       22       17       4       1       -       -       -       Savannah, Ga.       43       26       12       4       -       -       Savannah, Ga.       43       26       12       4       -       -       Washington, Dc.       80       58       7       9       4         Microter, Mass.       30       23       24       3       2       1       3       2       1       3       2       1         Vercester, Mass.       60	1	1
Fall River, Mass.       29       24       4       1       -       -       2       Jacksonville, Fla.       153       90       33       20       5         Hartford, Conn.       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       Mami, Fla.       76       41       25       7       2         Lowel, Mass.       22       18       3       1       -       -       -       Norlok, Va.       40       25       11       2       2         Lynn, Mass.       8       4       3       1       -       -       -       Savannah, Ga.       43       26       11       2       2         New Bedford, Mass.       22       1       1       1       4       3       2       2       3       3       2       4       3       2       4       3       2       4       3       2       4       3       2       4       3       2       4       4       4       4       4       4       4       4       4       4       4       4       4       5       5       5       4       4       4       4       4       4<	6	g
Hartford, Conn.       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       Miami, Fla.       76       41       25       7       2         Lowell, Mass.       22       18       3       1       -       -       2       Richmond, Va.       60       34       13       10       3         New Bedford, Mass.       22       17       4       1       -       -       2       Richmond, Va.       60       34       13       10       3         New Bedford, Mass.       22       17       4       1       -       -       Savannah, Ga.       43       26       12       4       -         New Haven, Conn.       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       Wathington, Dcl.       24       18       3       2       1       -       Wathington, Dcl.       24       18       3       2       1       1       1       1       1       1       0       1       -       -       Wathington, Dcl.       24       18       3       2       1       1       1       1       1       1       1	2	13
Lowell, Mass.       22       18       3       1       -       -       -       Norfolk, Va.       40       25       11       2       2         Lynn, Mass.       8       4       3       1       -       -       2       Richmond, Va.       60       34       13       10       3         New Bedford, Mass.       22       17       4       1       -       -       Saranah, Ga.       43       26       12       4       -         New Bedford, Mass.       22       1       1       1       4       5       St. Petersburg, Fla.       42       28       10       1       1         Providence, R.I.       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       Washington, D.C.       80       58       7       9       4         Somerville, Mass.       60       48       9       2       1       -       3       5       54       178       61       27         Materbury, Con       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       13       2       5         Allanoy, N.Y.       48 <td>5</td> <td>6</td>	5	6
Lynn, Mass.         8         4         3         1         -         -         2         Richmond, Va.         60         34         13         10         3           New Bedford, Mass.         22         17         4         1         -         -         -         Savannah, Ga.         43         26         12         4         -           New Haven, Conn.         24         14         7         1         1         4         Savannah, Ga.         43         26         12         4         -           Somerville, Mass.         39         32         4         3         -         -         -         Washington, D.C.         80         58         7         9         4           Worcester, Mass.         60         48         9         2         1         -         3         Birminghan, Ala.         166         109         37         9         6           MID. ATLANTIC         2,127         1,454         438         161         39         23         95         Chattanooga, Tenn.         54         40         9         4         1           Allentown, Pa.         14         13         1         -         -	1	6
New Bedford, Mass.       22       17       4       1       -       -       -       Savanah, Ga.       43       26       12       4       -         New Haven, Conn.       24       14       7       1       1       1       4       St. Petersburg, Fla.       42       28       10       1       1         Providence, R.I.       U       U       U       U       U       U       Washington, D.C.       80       58       7       9       4         Springfield, Mass.       39       32       4       3       -       -       Washington, D.C.       80       58       7       9       4         Waterbury, Conn.       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       ES CENTRAL       815       534       178       61       27         Materbury, Conn.       U       U       U       U       U       ES CENTRAL       815       534       178       61       27         Mabary, N.Y.       48       6       10       1       -       -       -       -       Lexington, Ky.       61       411       13       2       5         Buffalo, N.Y.       80 </td <td>-</td> <td>1</td>	-	1
New Haven, Conn.       24       14       7       1       1       1       4       St. Petersburg, Fla.       42       28       10       1       1         Providence, R.I.       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       Tampa, Fla.       186       115       47       15       5         Somerville, Mass.       39       32       4       3       -       -       -       Washington, D.C.       80       58       7       9       4         Waterbury, Conn.       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       24       18       3       2       1         Waterbury, Conn.       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       24       18       3       2       1         Waterbury, Conn.       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       Es. CENTRAL       815       534       178       61       27         Midenov, Pa.       14       13       1       -       1       2       Knoxville, Tenn.       97       71       17       5       11         Allentow, Pa.	-	2
Providence, R.I.       U	2	2
Somerville, Mass.       4       2       1       1       -       -       -       Washington, D.C.       80       58       7       9       4         Springfield, Mass.       39       32       4       3       -       -       -       Washington, D.C.       80       58       7       9       4         Waterbury, Conn.       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       0       3       2       1       -       -       -       Washington, Del.       24       18       3       2       1         Waterbury, Conn.       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       E.S. CENTRAL       815       534       178       61       27         Allentown, Pa.       14       13       1       -       -       -       -       -       Lexington, Ky.       61       41       13       2       5         Buffalo, N.Y.       80       51       21       4       4       -       8       Memphis, Tenn.       183       104       46       21       111       11       2	3	10
	2	2
Worcester, Mass.       60       48       9       2       1       -       3       E.S. CENTRAL       815       554       178       61       27         MID. ATLANTIC       2,127       1,454       438       161       39       23       95       Chattanooga, Tenn.       54       40       9       4       1         Albany, N.Y.       48       36       10       1       -       1       2       Knoxville, Tenn.       97       71       17       5       1         Allentown, Pa.       14       13       1       -       -       -       Lexington, Ky.       61       411       13       2       5         Buffalo, N.Y.       80       51       21       4       4       -       8       Memphis, Tenn.       183       104       46       21       11         Canden, N.J.       11       9       1       -       -       2       Mobile, Ala.       84       60       18       4       2       111       7       5       33       12       1       1       -       -       Nashville, Tenn.       139       9       3       12       1       -       Nashville, Tenn.	-	3
Wordester, Mass.       60       48       9       2       1       -       3       Birmingham, Ala.       166       109       37       9       6         MID. ATLANTIC       2,127       1,454       438       161       39       23       95       Chattanooga, Tenn.       54       40       9       4       1         Albany, N.Y.       48       36       10       1       -       1       2       Knoxville, Tenn.       97       71       17       5       5         Buffalo, N.Y.       80       51       21       4       4       -       8       Memphis, Tenn.       183       104       46       21       11         Camden, N.J.       11       9       1       -       -       2       Mobile, Ala.       84       60       18       4       2         Elizabeth, N.J.       22       13       5       4       -       -       Nashville, Tenn.       139       90       33       12       1         Vew York City, N.Y.       1,151       784       230       95       15       47       Austin, Tex.       111       70       27       7       5         Paterson, N	14	51
MID. ATLANTIC       2,127       1,454       438       161       39       23       95       Chattanooga, Tenn.       54       40       9       4       1         Albany, N.Y.       48       36       10       1       -       1       2       Knoxville, Tenn.       97       71       17       5       1         Allentown, Pa.       14       13       1       -       -       -       Knoxville, Tenn.       97       71       17       5       1         Allentown, Pa.       14       13       1       -       -       -       Knoxville, Tenn.       97       71       17       5       1         Buffalo, N.Y.       80       51       21       4       4       -       8       Memphis, Tenn.       183       104       46       21       11         Camden, N.J.       11       9       1       1       -       -       Mobile, Ala.       31       19       5       4       -         Jersey City, N.J.       49       33       11       4       1       -       -       Nashville, Tenn.       139       90       33       12       1         New York City, N.Y.	4	14
Albany, N.Y.       48       36       10       1       -       1       2       Knoxville, Tern.       97       71       17       5       1         Allentown, Pa.       14       13       1       -       -       -       -       -       Lexington, Ky.       61       41       13       2       5         Buffalo, N.Y.       80       51       21       4       4       -       8       Memphis, Tenn.       183       104       46       21       11         Camden, N.J.       11       9       1       -       -       2       Montgomery, Ala.       31       19       5       4       -       -       Nashville, Tenn.       139       90       33       12       1         Jersey City, N.J.       49       33       11       4       1       -       -       Nashville, Tenn.       139       90       33       12       1         New York City, N.Y.       1,151       784       230       95       15       47       Austin, Tex.       111       70       27       7       5       Batom Rouge, La.       U       U       U       U       U       U       U       U	-	4
Buffalo, N.Y.       80       51       21       4       4       -       8       Memphis, Tenn.       183       104       46       21       11         Camden, N.J.       11       9       1       1       -       -       2       Mobile, Ala.       84       60       18       4       2       11         Camden, N.J.       22       13       5       4       -       -       Mobile, Ala.       31       19       5       4       -         Erie, Pa.       47       33       9       3       2       -       -       Nashville, Tenn.       139       90       33       12       1         Jersey City, N.J.       49       33       11       4       1       -       -       Nashville, Tenn.       139       90       33       12       1         New York City, N.Y.       1,151       784       230       95       15       15       47       Austin, Tex.       111       70       27       7       5         Paterson, N.J.       30       18       8       3       1       -       1       1       65       51       7       5       2       Dallas, Tex. <td>3</td> <td>-</td>	3	-
Camden, N.J.       11       9       1       1       -       -       2       Mobile, Ala.       84       60       18       4       2         Elizabeth, N.J.       22       13       5       4       -       -       -       Mobile, Ala.       84       60       18       4       2         Elizabeth, N.J.       22       13       5       4       -       -       -       Mobile, Ala.       31       19       5       4       -         Jersey City, N.J.       49       33       11       4       1       -       -       Nashville, Tenn.       139       90       33       12       1         New York City, N.Y.       1,151       784       230       95       15       15       47       Austin, Tex.       111       70       27       7       5         Paterson, N.J.       30       18       8       3       1       -       1       Corpus Christi, Tex.       65       51       7       5       2         Paterson, N.J.       30       18       8       3       1       2       -       El Paso, Tex.       178       101       47       16       8       <	-	6
Elizabeth, N.J.       22       13       5       4       -       -       -       Montgomery, Ala.       31       19       5       4       -         Erie, Pa.       47       33       9       3       2       -       -       Nashville, Tenn.       139       90       33       12       1         Jersey City, N.J.       49       33       11       4       1       -       -       Nashville, Tenn.       139       90       33       12       1         New York City, N.Y.       1,151       784       230       95       15       15       47       Austin, Tex.       111       70       27       7       5         Paterson, N.J.       30       18       8       3       1       -       1       Corpus Christi, Tex.       65       51       7       5       2         Paterson, N.J.       30       18       8       3       1       2       -       Baton Rouge, La.       U       U       U       U       U       U       0       5       2       Dallas, Tex.       178       101       47       16       8       2       16       Ft. Worth, Tex.       157       101 <td>1</td> <td>6</td>	1	6
Erie, Pa.       47       33       9       3       2       -       -       Nashville, Tenn.       139       90       33       12       1         Jersey City, N.J.       49       33       11       4       1       -       -       Nashville, Tenn.       139       90       33       12       1         Jersey City, N.J.       49       33       11       4       1       -       -       Nashville, Tenn.       139       90       33       12       1         New York City, N.Y.       1,151       784       230       95       15       15       47       Austin, Tex.       111       70       27       7       5         Paterson, N.J.       30       18       8       3       1       -       1       Baton Rouge, La.       U       U       U       U       U       U       0       0       0       32       7       5       2       Dallas, Tex.       178       101       47       16       8       2       12       1       1       1       1       5       2       1       1       1       1       10       5       2       1       1       1       1<	-	5
Jersey City, N.J.       49       33       11       4       1       -       -       W.S. CENTRAL       1,544       959       329       135       74         New York City, N.Y.       1,151       784       230       95       15       15       47       Austin, Tex.       111       70       27       7       5         Paterson, N.J.       30       18       8       3       1       -       1       Baton Rouge, La.       U       Corpus Christi, Tex.       65       51       7       2       1 <td>3</td> <td>7</td>	3	7
New York Čity, N.Y.       1,151       784       230       95       15       15       47       W.S. CENTRAL       1,544       959       329       135       74         Newark, N.J.       54       27       18       8       1       -       2       Austin, Tex.       111       70       27       7       5         Paterson, N.J.       30       18       8       3       1       -       1       Baton Rouge, La.       U	3	g
Newark, N.J.       54       27       18       8       1       -       2       Austin, IeX.       111       70       27       7       5         Paterson, N.J.       30       18       8       3       1       -       1       Baton Rouge, La.       U <td< td=""><td>47</td><td>103</td></td<>	47	103
Paterson, N.J.       30       18       8       3       1       -       1       Baton Rouge, La.       0	2	5
Philadelphia, Pa.       265       174       57       23       8       3       14       Corpus Christi, tex.       65       51       7       5       2         Pittsburgh, Pa. <sup>§</sup> 30       20       4       3       1       2       -       Dallas, Tex.       178       101       47       16       8         Reading, Pa.       19       15       2       1       -       1       1       El Paso, Tex.       71       54       10       5       2         Rochester, N.Y.       145       103       32       7       2       1       1       Houston, Tex.       157       101       29       9       9         Schenectady, N.Y.       25       18       7       -       -       1       Houston, Tex.       470       254       102       60       36         Scranton, Pa.       33       26       6       -       1       -       -       New Orleans, La.       45       25       11       5       3       3       -       5       San Antonio, Tex.       175       114       41       10       3       3       -       5       San Antonio, Tex.       175       114 <t< td=""><td>U</td><td>U</td></t<>	U	U
Pittsburgh, Pa. <sup>§</sup> 30       20       4       3       1       2       -       Dallas, IeX.       178       101       47       16       8         Reading, Pa.       19       15       2       1       -       1       1       El Paso, Tex.       71       54       10       5       2         Rochester, N.Y.       145       103       32       7       2       1       11       Ft. Worth, Tex.       157       101       29       9       9         Schenectady, N.Y.       25       18       7       -       -       1       Houston, Tex.       470       254       102       60       36         Scranton, Pa.       33       26       6       -       1       -       -       New Orleans, La.       45       25       11       5       3         Syracuse, N.Y.       61       47       8       3       3       -       5       San Antonio, Tex.       175       114       41       10       3         Syracuse, N.Y.       15       11       4       -       -       -       Shreveport, La.       29       15       7       4       1         Utica, N.	-	4
Reading, Pa.       19       15       2       1       -       1       Ft. Worth, Tex.       157       101       29       9       9         Rochester, N.Y.       145       103       32       7       2       1       11       Ft. Worth, Tex.       157       101       29       9       9         Schenectady, N.Y.       25       18       7       -       -       1       Houston, Tex.       470       254       102       60       36         Scranton, Pa.       33       26       6       -       1       -       -       New Orleans, La.       45       25       11       5       3         Syracuse, N.Y.       61       47       8       3       3       -       5       San Antonio, Tex.       175       114       41       10       3         Trenton, N.J.       14       10       4       -       -       -       Shreveport, La.       29       15       7       4       1         Yonkers, N.Y.       14       13       -       1       -       -       1       Tulsa, Okla.       168       127       27       11       25	6	15
Rochester, N.Y.       145       103       32       7       2       1       11       Houston, Tex.       470       254       102       60       36         Schenectady, N.Y.       25       18       7       -       -       1       Houston, Tex.       470       254       102       60       36         Schenectady, N.Y.       25       18       7       -       -       1       Little Rock, Ark.       75       47       21       3       3         Scranton, Pa.       33       26       6       -       1       -       -       New Orleans, La.       45       25       11       5       3         Syracuse, N.Y.       61       47       8       3       -       -       -       San Antonio, Tex.       175       114       41       10       3         Trenton, N.J.       14       10       4       -       -       -       -       Shreveport, La.       29       15       7       4       1         Yonkers, N.Y.       14       13       -       1       -       -       1       Tulsa, Okla.       168       127       27       11       2	- 9	3 8
Schenectady, N.Y.       25       18       7       -       -       -       1       Little Rock, Ark.       75       47       21       3       3         Scranton, Pa.       33       26       6       -       1       -       -       New Orleans, La.       45       25       11       5       3         Syracuse, N.Y.       61       47       8       3       3       -       5       San Antonio, Tex.       175       114       41       10       3         Trenton, N.J.       14       10       4       -       -       -       Shreveport, La.       29       15       7       4       1         Vonkers, N.Y.       14       13       -       1       -       -       1       Tulsa, Okla.       168       127       27       11       2	9 18	35
Scranton, Pa.       33       26       6       -       1       -       -       New Orleans, La.       45       25       11       5       3         Syracuse, N.Y.       61       47       8       3       3       -       5       San Antonio, Tex.       175       114       41       10       3         Trenton, N.J.       14       10       4       -       -       -       San Antonio, Tex.       175       114       41       10       3         Utica, N.Y.       15       11       4       -       -       -       Shreveport, La.       29       15       7       4       1         Yonkers, N.Y.       14       13       -       1       -       -       1       Tulsa, Okla.       168       127       27       11       2	10	1
Trenton, N.J.       14       10       4       -       -       -       San Antonio, lex.       175       114       41       10       3         Utica, N.Y.       15       11       4       -       -       -       San Antonio, lex.       175       114       41       10       3         Vitica, N.Y.       15       11       4       -       -       -       -       Shreveport, La.       29       15       7       4       1         Yonkers, N.Y.       14       13       -       1       -       1       Tulsa, Okla.       168       127       27       11       2	1	
Utica, N.Y.         15         11         4         -         -         -         Shreveport, La.         29         15         /         4         1           Yonkers, N.Y.         14         13         -         1         -         1         Tulsa, Okla.         168         127         27         11         2	7	17
Yonkers, N.Y. 14 13 - 1 1 Tuisa, Okia. 168 127 27 11 2	2	3
	1	12
E.N. CENTRAL 1,909 1,243 426 130 57 51 106 MOUNTAIN 895 591 182 79 31 Albuquergue, N.M. 102 67 15 16 4	12	55 g
Akron, Onio 44 29 11 2 1 1 4 Boise Idaho 48 31 7 6 3	1	3
Canton, Onio 29 21 6 2 5 Colo Springs Colo 86 63 15 7	1	4
Chicago, III. 327 197 82 25 13 8 18 Denver Colo 104 57 26 11 5	5	6
Cincinnati, Ohio         73         50         13         5         3         2         9         Deriver, Colo.         104         57         20         11         3           Cleveland, Ohio         111         67         32         6         2         4         4         Octor bits         249         154         65         22         8	-	11
Columbus Obio 144 94 33 10 6 1 5 Ogden, Utan 28 19 8 - 1	-	1
Dayton Obio 152 109 31 6 5 1 5 Phoenix, Ariz. U U U U U	U	U
Detroit Mich 210 115 57 22 6 10 12 Pueblo, Colo. 33 29 3	1	4
Evansville Ind 49 37 6 4 - 2 4 Salt Lake City, Utan 80 48 19 8 5	-	g
Evaluation         43         57         6         4         2         4         Tucson, Ariz.         165         123         24         9         5           Fort Wayne, Ind.         75         51         17         6         1         -         5         Tucson, Ariz.         165         123         24         9         5	4	8
Gary, Ind.         17         11         2         1         3         -         PACIFIC         1,385         957         283         82         40		114
Grand Rapids, Mich. 47 32 10 2 1 2 2 Berkeley, Calif. 11 5 4 1	1	
Indianapolis, Ind. 186 116 39 14 5 12 7 Fresno, Calif. 96 72 17 4 2	1	13
Lansing, Mich. 30 24 3 1 1 1 3 Glendale, Calif. 13 11 1 1 -	-	-
Milwaukee, Wis.         116         80         28         7         -         1         5         Honolulu, Hawaii         73         59         10         1         1           Peoria, III.         52         38         7         2         1         4         5         Long Beach, Calif.         53         38         11         3         -	2 1	6 8
Rockford, III.         48         34         8         3         2         1         4         5         Long Death, value         55         56         11         5           Rockford, III.         48         34         8         3         2         1         4         Los Angeles, Calif.         245         164         49         17         11	4	22
South Bend, Incl. 50 36 9 3 2 - 4 Pasadena, Calif. 24 18 3 1 -	-	4
Toledo, Ohio 90 58 23 6 3 - 4 Portland, Oreg. 94 56 24 11 2	1	2
Youngstown, Ohio 59 44 9 3 2 1 1 Sacramento, Calif. 184 125 40 12 6	1	15
WN CENTRAL 500 241 08 26 14 11 25 San Diego, Calif. 170 118 30 11 4	7	13
Des Moines Jowa 46 32 10 3 1 - 3 San Francisco, Calif. U U U U U	U	U
Duluth Minn 25 19 5 1 3 San Jose, Calif. 149 104 30 8 5	2	17
Kansas City Kans 30 10 7 3 1 2 Santa Cruz, Calif. U U U U U U	U	U
Kansas City Mo 69 43 17 4 4 1 3 Seattle, Wash. 108 67 27 9 3	2	8
Lincoln Nebr 27 24 2 1 2 Spokane, Wash. 62 43 14 - 4	1	-
Minneapolis, Minn. 55 31 12 8 1 3 1 Iacoma, wasn. 105 // 23 3 2	-	6
Omaha, Nebr.         112         80         18         8         2         4         7         TOTAL         10,658 <sup>1</sup> 7,027         2,273         810         320	211 (	632
St. Louis, Mo. U U U U U U U U		
St. Paul, Minn. 53 38 7 2 4 2 1		
Wichita, Kans.         83         55         20         8         -         3		

U: Unavailable. -: No reported cases.

\* Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of >100,000. A death is reported by the place of its

<sup>1</sup> Total includes unknown ages.

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy each week, send an e-mail message to *listserv@listserv.cdc.gov*. The body content should read *SUBscribe mmwr-toc*. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's World-Wide Web server at *http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr* or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at *ftp://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/publications/mmwr*. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone 202-512-1800.

Data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, to Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop C-08, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone 888-232-3228.

All material in the MMWR Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

All MMWR references are available on the Internet at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr. Use the search function to find specific articles.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to *MMWR* readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of these sites. URL addresses listed in *MMWR* were current as of the date of publication.

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 2003-533-155/69140 Region IV