

Weekly

March 19, 2004 / Vol. 53 / No. 10

World TB Day — March 24, 2004

World TB Day is March 24, 2004. This annual event commemorates the date in 1882 when Dr. Robert Koch announced his discovery of the tuberculosis (TB) bacillus. Worldwide, TB remains a leading cause of death from infectious disease. An estimated 2 billion persons (i.e., one third of the world's population) are infected with the bacteria that cause TB. Each year, approximately 8 million persons become ill from TB; of these, 2 million die. World TB Day provides an opportunity for TB programs, nongovernment organizations, and other partners to describe TB-related problems and solutions and to support TB-control efforts.

During 1985–1992, after years of decline, the number of TB cases reported in the United States increased 20%. This resurgence was associated with 1) deterioration of the infrastructure for TB services; 2) immigration of persons from TB-endemic countries; and 3) a combination of the human immunodeficiency virus epidemic, TB transmission in congregate settings (e.g., prisons), and outbreaks of multidrug-resistant TB.

Renewed emphasis on TB control and prevention has produced substantial gains in the United States. However, provisional data indicate that 2003 marked the smallest annual decline in new TB cases since 1992. These data raise concerns that increased efforts might be required to maintain the progress made in controlling TB.

CDC is committed to eliminating TB in the United States. Achieving this goal demands targeted interventions for populations at high risk, active involvement in the global fight against TB, and strong local programs. Additional information about World TB Day and CDC's TBelimination activities is available at http://www.cdc.gov/ nchstp/tb/worldtb2004/default.htm.

Trends in Tuberculosis — United States, 1998–2003

During 2003, a total of 14,871 tuberculosis (TB) cases (5.1 cases per 100,000 population) were reported in the United States, representing a 1.4% decrease in cases and a 1.9% decline in the rate from 2002. This decline is the smallest since 1992, when TB incidence peaked after a 7-year resurgence. In addition, the rate remains higher than the national interim goal of 3.5 cases per 100,000 population that was set for 2000 (1). This report summarizes data from the national TB surveillance system for 2003 and describes trends during a 5-year period, with comparison to 1998 and 2002. Despite a decline in TB nationwide, rates have increased in certain states, and elevated TB rates continue to be reported in certain populations (e.g., foreign-born persons and racial/ethnic minorities). Targeted interventions for these at-risk populations, continued collaborative efforts toward the global fight against TB, and adequate local resources are essential to eliminating TB in the United States.

The 50 states and the District of Columbia (DC) report cases to the national TB surveillance system at CDC by using a standard case definition and report form (2). Data were analyzed for cases reported during 1998–2003 by using reports updated as of February 24, 2004. A U.S.-born person was defined as someone born in the United States or its associated jurisdictions, or someone born in a foreign country but

INSIDE

- 214 Tuberculosis Outbreak in a Community Hospital District of Columbia, 2002
- 216 School-Associated Pertussis Outbreak Yavapai County, Arizona, September 2002–February 2003
- 219 Evaluation of an Association Between Loratadine and Hypospadias — United States, 1997–2001
- 221 Notices to Readers

The *MMWR* series of publications is published by the Epidemiology Program Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA 30333.

SUGGESTED CITATION

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Article Title]. MMWR 2004;53:[inclusive page numbers].

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Julie L. Gerberding, M.D., M.P.H. Director

Dixie E. Snider, M.D., M.P.H. (Acting) Deputy Director for Public Health Science

> Tanja Popovic, M.D., Ph.D. (Acting) Associate Director for Science

Epidemiology Program Office

Stephen B. Thacker, M.D., M.Sc. Director

Office of Scientific and Health Communications

John W. Ward, M.D. Director Editor, MMWR Series

Suzanne M. Hewitt, M.P.A. Managing Editor, MMWR Series

Jeffrey D. Sokolow, M.A. (Acting) Lead Technical Writer/Editor

> Jude C. Rutledge Teresa F. Rutledge Douglas W. Weatherwax *Writers/Editors*

Lynda G. Cupell Malbea A. LaPete Visual Information Specialists

Kim L. Bright, M.B.A. Quang M. Doan, M.B.A. Erica R. Shaver Information Technology Specialists

Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics

Notifiable Disease Morbidity and 122 Cities Mortality Data Robert F. Fagan Deborah A. Adams Judith Allen Felicia J. Connor Lateka Dammond Rosaline Dhara Donna Edwards Patsy A. Hall Pearl C. Sharp having at least one U.S.-born parent; other persons were classified as foreign-born. U.S. Census population estimates were used to calculate national and state TB rates for 2003 (3), and the Current Population Survey (March 2002) was used to extrapolate the total U.S.-born and foreign-born populations for 2003 (4). The 2002 U.S. Census population estimates were used to extrapolate and calculate race/ethnicity TB rates for 2003 (5,6). U.S. Census Bureau national population estimates were used to calculate the U.S.-born, foreign-born, and racial/ethnic populations for 1998 (7,8).

During 2003, a total of 12 states and DC reported rates above the national average (5.1 cases per 100,000 population), and 24 states met the definition for low incidence (\leq 3.5 cases per 100,000 population) (Table 1). Among the 19 states that reported increases in cases during 2002–2003, California, New York, and Texas accounted for 42.4% of the 2003 national case total. Among those areas reporting <100 cases in 2003, only Alaska and DC had rates higher than the national average (Table 1).

In 2003, foreign-born persons accounted for 53.3% (7,845 cases) of the national case total, and 25 states reported \geq 50% of their cases among foreign-born persons. The foreign-born prevalence represents an increase from 1998, when foreign-born persons accounted for 41.7% (7,598) of TB cases nationwide, and 13 states reported \geq 50% of their cases among foreign-born persons. The 2003 TB rate among foreign-born persons (23.4 cases per 100,000 population) was 8.7 times greater than that among U.S.-born persons (2.7 cases per 100,000 population), representing an increased rate ratio from 1998 (7.0) and from 2002 (8.0). For the top three reporting states (California, New York, and Texas), the 1998–2003 decrease in cases among U.S.-born persons (32.2%; from 3,179 to 2,155) was four times greater than the decrease among foreign-born persons (7.6%; from 4,420 to 4,086).

In 2003, the five birth countries of foreign-born patients with TB reported most commonly were Mexico (25.6%), the Philippines (11.6%), Vietnam (8.4%), India (7.7%), and China (4.8%). TB patients from certain countries were concentrated in certain states. For example, New York reported 56.1% of the national total born in Ecuador, Minnesota reported 55.2% of patients born in Somalia, California reported 52.3% of patients born in the Philippines, and Florida reported 49.4% of patients born in Haiti. Among 6,429 foreign-born TB patients aged \geq 15 years, 3,410 (53.0%) had resided in the United States \geq 5 years before TB diagnosis, 1,778 (27.7%) resided in the United States <1 year.

Disparities in TB rates persist among racial/ethnic minority populations. In 2003, two modifications were made to the

	2003		20	02	% change 2	2002–2003	2003	
Area	No.	Rate	No.	Rate	No.	Rate	Rank by rate	
≥400 cases in 2003								
California	3,230	9.1	3,169	9.0	1.9	1.1	3	
Texas	1,594	7.2	1,550	7.1	2.8	1.4	6	
New York	1,477	7.7	1,434	7.5	3.0	2.7	5	
Florida	1,046	6.1	1,086	6.5	-3.7	-6.2	7	
Illinois	633	5.0	680	5.4	-6.9	-7.4	14	
Georgia	521	6.0	524	6.1	-0.6	-1.6	9	
New Jersey	495	5.7	530	6.2	-6.6	-8.1	11	
100-399 cases in 2003								
North Carolina	374	4.4	434	5.2	-13.8	-15.4	21	
Pennsylvania	336	2.7	353	2.9	-4.8	-6.9	32	
Virginia	332	4.5	315	4.3	5.4	4.7	20	
Arizona	295	5.3	263	4.8	12.2	10.4	13	
Tennessee	285	4.9	308	5.3	-7.5	-7.5	15	
Maryland	268	4.9	306	5.6	-12.4	-12.5	15	
Massachusetts	261	4.1	271	4.2	-3.7	-2.4	25	
Louisiana	260	5.8	230	5.1	13.0	13.7	10	
Alabama	258	5.7	233	5.2	10.7	9.6	11	
South Carolina	254	6.1	256	6.2	-0.8	-1.6	7	
Washington	251	4 1	252	4.2	-0.4	-2.4	25	
Michigan	243	24	315	3.1	-22.9	-22.6	35	
Ohio	229	2.4	257	23	-10.9	-13.0	39	
Minnesota	214	4.2	237	47	-9.7	-10.6	24	
Oklaboma	163	4.6	190	5.4	-14.2	-14.8	19	
Indiana	1/3	4.0	128	2.4	-14.2	-14.0	37	
Kentucky	130	2.5	1/6	2.1	-1.8	-5.6	28	
Missouri	121	2.4	126	2.4	-4.0	-5.0	20	
Mississippi	129	2.3	130	2.4	-5.7	-4.2	21	
Arkansas	120	4.4	136	4.7 5.0	-4.5	-6.0	18	
Hawaii	127	4.7	1/18	11.0	-20.0	-0.0	2	
Connecticut	117	3.0	140	3.0	-20.5	67	20	
Colorado	111	J.Z 2 4	104	2.0	6.7	4.2	25	
Novada	107	2.4	95	2.3	25.0	4.5	17	
Orogon	107	4.0	111	3.9	25.9	23.1	20	
	100	5.0	111	5.2	-4.5	-0.5	30	
Cloucases III 2003	70	14.0	00	111	27	2.0	1	
Konooo	79	14.0	02	14.4	-3.7	-2.0	21	
Missonain	75	2.0	09	3.3	-15.7	-10.2	31	
Alaska	57	1.2	10	1.4	-10.4	-14.3	45	
Alaska New Mexico	57	0.0	49	7.0	10.3	10.0	4	
New Mexico	49	2.0	57	3.1	-14.0	-10.1	33	
	40	4.3	49	4.0	-0.1	-0.0	23	
IOWA	40	1.4	34	1.2	17.0	10.7	44	
Otan	39	1.7	31	1.3	25.8	30.8	41	
Delaware	33	4.0	25	3.1	32.0	29.0	27	
Nebraska	28	1.6	28	1.6	0.0	0.0	42	
	25	1.9	23	1.8	8.7	5.6	40	
vvest virginia	21	1.2	30	1.7	-30.0	-29.4	45	
South Dakota	20	2.6	13	1.7	53.8	52.9	33	
New Hampshire	15	1.2	19	1.5	-21.1	-20.0	45	
Idaho	13	1.0	14	1.0	-7.1	0.0	48	
vermont	9	1.5	8	1.3	12.5	15.4	43	
Montana	1	0.8	12	1.3	-41.7	-38.5	50	
North Dakota	6	0.9	6	0.9	0.0	0.0	49	
Wyoming	4	0.8	3	0.6	33.3	33.3	50	
Total	14,871	5.1	15,075	5.2	-1.4	-1.9		

TABLE 1. Number and rate* of reported tuberculosis cases, percentage change in number of cases and rate, and rank according to rate, by area and year — United States, 2002 and 2003^{\dagger}

* Per 100,000 population. [†] Data for 2002 are final; data for 2003 are provisional.

TB report form: 1) multiple race entries were allowed, with 0.3% selecting more than one race, and 2) the previous category of Asian/Pacific Islander was divided into "Asian" and "Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander." During 2003, the highest rates were reported among racial/ethnic minority populations (Table 2). The non-Hispanic black population had the largest number of TB cases (3,041 cases, 45.0%).

During 2003, drug resistance among initial isolates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in persons with no previous TB episodes was more common in foreign-born patients than in U.S.-born patients. With 82.3% of data on drug-susceptibility testing complete, multidrug resistance (i.e., resistance to at least isoniazid and rifampin) among foreign-born persons was 1.2% (U.S.-born: 0.6%). During the preceding 5 years, the proportion of multidrug-resistant TB has been stable (1998: foreign-born, 1.3% and U.S.-born, 0.7%). In 2000, a total of 80.8% of reported TB patients completed therapy in ≤ 1 year, and 92.2% completed therapy overall.

Reported by: *Div of Tuberculosis Elimination, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention, CDC.*

Editorial Note: During 1993–2002, the average year-to-year decrease in TB rate was 6.8%. However, 2003 had the smallest annual decrease (1.9%), raising concern about a possible slowing of the progress against TB. During the preceding decade, certain key challenges to TB control were identified, including 1) an increasing proportion of TB among persons born in countries with high rates of TB, 2) disparities among racial/ethnic minority populations, and 3) unique TB epidemiologic trends found in localized areas throughout the United States.

Birthplace data were first collected by the national TB surveillance system in 1986, when 21.8% of TB cases occurred among foreign-born persons. Since then, the proportion has increased steadily, with the highest proportion being reported in 2003, a trend enhanced by the decrease in TB cases among U.S.-born persons. Foreign-born TB patients also are more likely to have multidrug-resistant TB than U.S.-born patients, and the cost of caring for patients with multidrug-resistant TB is high (9). CDC is collaborating with partners (e.g., U.S. Agency for International Development, International Union Against TB and Lung Disease, Royal Netherlands TB Association, and World Health Organization) to assist countries with high burdens of TB. CDC collaborations have focused on operational research and programmatic evaluation to address problems such as TB/human immunodeficiency virus coinfection and drug resistance in approximately 20 countries. CDC also is contributing to improvements in TB screening among immigrant and refugee visa applicants, both overseas and in the United States, through the development of innovative tracking mechanisms, new diagnostic tools, and updated medical screening guidelines. A CDC-sponsored assessment of TB prevention among foreign-born persons is under way in 22 locations in the United States and Canada.

The elimination of disparities among racial/ethnic minority populations is a priority for TB control. CDC continues to work with the Advisory Council for the Elimination of TB and public health partners to identify contributing factors and develop strategies to eliminate existing disparities.

Epidemiologic profiles for individual states often are varied and distinct. Changing immigration patterns, proximity to

TABLE 2.	Number and rate* of tuberculosis case	s and percentage change	e in rate in U.Sborn and fo	preign-born persons, by race/
ethnicity -	— United States, 1998 and 2003 [†]			

	U.Sborn						Foreign-	born				Total [§]			
	19	998	20	003	% change 1998–	1	998	20	003	% change 1998–	1	998	2	003	% change 1998–
Race/Ethnicity	No.	Rate	No.	Rate	2003	No.	Rate	No.	Rate	2003	No.	Rate	No.	Rate	2003
Hispanic	1,282	6.6	1,025	4.4	-33.3	2,785	26.0	3,035	19.3	-25.8	4,091	13.5	4,108	10.5	-22.2
Non-Hispanic															
Black	4,968	16.0	3,041	9.1	-43.1	841	48.5	1,033	51.3	5.8	5,816	17.8	4,099	11.5	-35.4
Asian/Pacific Islander [¶]	213	5.8	201	5.3	-8.6	3,411	55.4	3,241	40.6	-26.7	3,637	36.9	3,466	29.4	-20.3
Asian	_	—	154	4.4	—	—	—	3,205	40.5	—	—	_	3,383	29.7	—
Native Hawaiian or															
Other Pacific Islander	· _	_	47	15.1	_	_	_	36	48.6	_	_	_	83	21.5	_
White	3,914	2.1	2,328	1.2	-42.9	550	8.5	437	6.3	-25.9	4,473	2.3	2,784	1.4	-39.1
American Indian/															
Alaska Native	248	12.6	169	7.9	-37.3	_	_	_	_	_	254	12.7	175	8.0	-37.0
Total**	10,633	4.3	6,873	2.7	-37.2	7,598	30.2	7,845	23.4	-22.5	18,287	6.8	14,871	5.1	-25.0

* Per 100,000 population.

[†] Data for 2003 are provisional.

§ Includes persons for whom country of birth was unknown: 56 in 1998 and 153 in 2003.

[¶] For comparison with 1998, data for 2003 for Asian/Pacific Islanders include Asians plus Native Hawaiians or Other Pacific Islanders.

** Includes persons for whom race/ethnicity was unknown: 16 for total, eight for U.S-born, and five for foreign-born persons in 1998; 201 for total, 99 for U.S-born, and 66 for foreign-born persons in 2003. In 2003, persons were included who selected multiple races: 38 for total, 10 for U.S.-born, and 28 for foreign-born persons.

a-ware: *adj*

(ə-'wâr) 1 : marked by comprehension, cognizance, and perception; see

also MMWR.



know what matters.



the U.S.-Mexico border, drug resistance, and outbreaks can affect TB incidence. Tailored TB-control strategies and continued monitoring of TB epidemiology are needed to identify emerging populations at high risk.

The data described in this report reflect key challenges to TB control and the need for sustained efforts to eliminate TB in the United States. Targeted interventions for populations at high risk, active involvement in the global effort against TB, and adequate local resources are essential to eliminate TB in the United States (*10*).

Acknowledgments

The findings in this report are based on surveillance data contributed by TB control officials in state and local health departments.

References

- 1. CDC. A strategic plan for the elimination of tuberculosis in the United States. MMWR 1989;38(No. S-3).
- CDC. Reported tuberculosis in the United States, 2002. Atlanta, Georgia: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 2003. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchstp/tb/surv/surv2002/default.htm.
- U.S. Census Bureau. Annual estimates of the population for the United States and states, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2003. (Table NST-EST2003-01). Available at http://eire.census.gov/popest/ data/states/tables/NST-EST2003-01.php.
- U.S. Census Bureau. Current population survey, March 2002. Foreignborn population of the United States, detailed tables PPL-162. Population by sex, age, and citizenship status: March 2002. Available at http://www.census.gov/population/socdemo/foreign/ppl-162/tab01-01.pdf.
- U.S. Census Bureau. National population estimates. Annual resident population estimates of the United States by race and Hispanic or Latino origin: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2002 (Table NA-EST2002-ASRO-04). Available at http://eire.census.gov/popest/data/national/tables/asro/ NA-EST2002-ASRO-04.php.
- 6. U.S. Census Bureau. Census 2000 summary file 4 (SF4) detailed tables. Sex by age by citizenship status (PCT44), stratified by racial or ethnic grouping. Available at http://factfinder.census.gov/home/saff/ main.html.
- 7. U.S. Census Bureau. National estimates—annual population estimates by sex, race, and Hispanic origin, selected years from 1990 to 2000. Available at http://eire.census.gov/popest/archives/national/ nation3.php.
- U.S. Census Bureau. National population estimates by nativity. Resident population estimates by sex, race, and Hispanic origin, 1990 to 1999. Available at http://eire.census.gov/popest/archives/national/ us_nativity.php.
- 9. Rajbhandary S, Marks SM, Bock N. Costs of patients hospitalized for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2004 (in press).
- Institute of Medicine. Ending neglect: the elimination of tuberculosis in the United States. In: Geiter L, ed. Committee on the Elimination of Tuberculosis in the United States, Division of Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, Institute of Medicine. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2000.

Tuberculosis Outbreak in a Community Hospital — District of Columbia, 2002

After declining for nearly 30 years, during 1985–1992, tuberculosis (TB) rates in the United States experienced a resurgence, and several large nosocomial TB outbreaks occurred (1). Although data on such outbreaks are not collected systematically by CDC, the occurrence of nosocomial TB is believed to have declined sharply since the issuance and widespread implementation of infection-control guidelines in 1994 (2-4). During April 2-September 12, 2002, TB was diagnosed in six persons who either had been patients or had worked in a large community hospital (hospital A) in March or early April. This report describes the results of an investigation of the presumed source patient, who had spent 3 weeks on two general medical wards of hospital A before being placed in respiratory isolation and having TB diagnosed on April 2. To prevent transmission of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, hospital staff should remain vigilant to identify and treat suspected TB cases promptly.

In July 2002, after five patients at hospital A had been diagnosed with TB, the District of Columbia Department of Health requested epidemiologic assistance from CDC. An investigative team, consisting of CDC staff, the local health department TB-control program, and the infection-control department of hospital A was formed. The team conducted a contact and case-finding investigation by reviewing hospital and health department records of all six patients. Three patients were interviewed, including the index patient. An expanded contact investigation extended to persons possibly exposed to patients with TB disease at the hospital. Patients who spent ≥ 1 day on the same medical ward with the index patient were identified through the hospital A admission database and medical ward logs. Hospital staff contacts who were determined from the index patient's medical record and from hospital employment records were categorized as 1) direct-care providers, 2) workers assigned to the same ward but not involved in the patient's medical care, or 3) other workers who spent time on the medical ward but were not assigned there.

Latent TB infection (LTBI) was diagnosed in anyone with a tuberculin skin test (TST) reaction of ≥ 5 mm inducation who did not have evidence of TB disease on the basis of symptom and chest radiographic evaluation. Among staff contacts, a positive TST was defined as inducation of ≥ 5 mm during the investigation in a person with a documented negative TST during the preceding 2 years (5). *M. tuberculosis* isolates from all six patients were sent to CDC for genotyping.

Index Patient

The index patient was a man aged 42 years with schizophrenia and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). The mental illness contributed to patient-care problems because of inability of the patient to understand questions and follow instructions. He was admitted twice to a different hospital (hospital B) in late February 2002 for fever and nonproductive cough. His chest radiographs were interpreted as normal. He produced one sputum specimen, which was negative for acid-fast bacilli (AFB), before leaving the hospital against medical advice. In early March, he was admitted to hospital A with similar symptoms, treated with intravenous vancomycin for a presumed central line infection, and released after a 6-day hospital stay. Three days later, he returned to the hospital. His CD4 T-lymphocyte count was 30 cells/µL. A chest radiograph revealed hilar adenopathy, and a computerized tomography scan of the chest revealed a questionable left upper lobe infiltrate thought to represent pneumonia; ceftriaxone was administered. On April 2, the hospital staff learned that a stool culture obtained during the patient's first admission had grown *M. tuberculosis*. The patient was placed in isolation that day. Three subsequent sputum specimens were 4+ AFB smear-positive, indicative of a high degree of infectiousness, and a contact investigation was initiated. The index patient was ambulatory and was in contact with several patients and medical personnel. This contact continued even after the patient was placed in isolation.

Secondary Patients

During June 21–September 12, five secondary TB patients were identified. Four were men aged 35–49 years who had been hospitalized in different rooms on the same medical ward as the index patient. All four had at least one condition associated with increased risk for progression to TB disease (one had human immunodeficiency virus [HIV] infection and diabetes, one had diabetes, and two had end-stage renal disease) (1). The fifth secondary patient was a phlebotomist on the same medical ward as the index patient. She had been evaluated in May and found to have a TST of 50 mm induration. She was asymptomatic at that time. Because of slightly elevated serum liver enzyme concentrations, she was not treated for LTBI and was monitored only for development of symptoms. In September, she had TB diagnosed.

All five secondary patients received diagnoses 3–6 months after exposure to the index patient (Figure). *M. tuberculosis* isolates from all six patients had matching genotypes by three methods (i.e., identical spoligotyping, mycobacterial interspersed repetitive units, and a 6-band pattern on restriction





* All five secondary patients spent time on the medical ward with the index patient during his last admission to hospital A. The area within the box indicates the period of overlap. All secondary patients had tuberculosis (TB) diagnosed within 6 months of the exposure.

(TB) diagnosed within 6 months of the exposure. ⁺ The index patient was treated at hospital B during March 4–6. He was [§] placed in respiratory isolation in hospital A on April 2. [§] Patient 5 had TB diagnosed posthumously when a sputum culture grew

⁹Patient 5 had TB diagnosed posthumously when a sputum culture grew Mycobacterium tuberculosis. His specimen was collected on May 9, and he died on May 20 from acute respiratory failure secondary to a stroke. His specimen was confirmed on June 21.

His specimen was confirmed on June 21. Patient 6 worked as a phlebotomist for hospital A and was assigned to the patient ward.

fragment-length polymorphism). All strains were susceptible to isoniazid and rifampin.

Contact Investigation

Of the 1,045 contacts who were identified as having been exposed to the index patient during March 9–April 2 at hospital A, 261 (25%) were patients, and 784 (75%) were staff. All staff contacts with positive TST reactions were evaluated for disease by symptom assessment and chest radiograph. Among the 784 staff members, 106 (14%) provided direct care to the index patient, 49 (6%) were ward-based staff, and 629 (80%) were other staff who had spent some time on the ward during the admissions of the index patient. Of 261 patient-contacts, 173 (66%) received TSTs, and 39 (23%) had a positive reaction. In addition, 495 (63%) of 784 staff members were evaluated with TSTs; 56 staff members tested positive, of whom 21 (38%) were direct-care providers, six (11%) were ward-based staff, and 29 (52%) were other staff (Table).

During the investigation, hospital A engineers and the infection-control staff determined that the index patient's room

TABLE. Tuberculin skin test (TST) results among staff a
hospital A, by type of work assignment - District of Columbia
April–September 2002

	No.	No.	TST-p	ositive*		
Assignment	workers	evaluated	No.	(%)	R R [†]	(95% CI§)
Direct care	106	65	21	(32)	4.5	(2.7–7.4)
Ward-based	49	26	6	(23)	3.2	(1.5-7.0)
Other	629	404	29	(7)		Referent
Total	784	495	56	(11)		

* A TST of ≥5 mm during the investigation in a person with a documented _negative TST during the preceding 2 years.

[†]Relative risk.

§Confidence interval.

met specifications for an airborne infection isolation room. Infection-control staff at hospital B also were notified about the index patient so that a contact investigation could be initiated there.

Contacts with HIV and a negative TST were recommended for therapy with isoniazid for 9 months (1). Staff contacts who did not know their HIV status were offered voluntary HIV counseling and testing. Foreign-born persons and contacts with a previous positive TST reaction were offered treatment if they had no past history of treatment.

Reported by: *MA Tipple, MD, W Heirendt, Virginia Dept of Health. B Metchock, DrPH, K Ijaz, MD, PD McElroy, PhD, Div of TB Elimination, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention; AM Andre, MD, EIS Officer, CDC.*

Editorial Note: This report describes recent nosocomial transmission of *M. tuberculosis* in a community hospital. The index patient spent 3 weeks hospitalized with unrecognized TB, possibly masked by HIV infection. AIDS patients can have atypical presentations of TB disease resulting in diagnostic delays (6). Because TB was not initially a diagnostic consideration, the patient was not placed immediately in respiratory isolation.

Although the incidence of TB continues to decline (7), heightened awareness and vigilance is required by hospital staff to identify and treat persons with suspected TB promptly. Patients with suspected TB should be placed in respiratory isolation until infectious TB is ruled out. When the patient is transported for medical procedures that cannot be performed in the isolation room, the patient should wear a surgical mask. Hospital infection-control programs are encouraged to develop protocols and implement administrative procedures for HIV-infected patients with pulmonary symptoms suggestive of TB. Finally, local TB-control programs can assist hospital infection-control staff in investigating community contacts of persons hospitalized with TB (2).

References

- Pearson ML, Jereb JA, Frieden TR, et al. Nosocomial transmission of multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Ann Intern Med 1992;117:191–6.
- 2. CDC. Guidelines for preventing the transmission of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in health-care facilities, 1994. MMWR 1994;43(No. RR-13).
- 3. Bock NN, Sotir MJ, Parrott PL, Blumberg HM. Nosocomial tuberculosis exposure in an outpatient setting: evaluation of patients exposed to healthcare providers with tuberculosis. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1999;20:421–5.
- 4. Menzies D, Fanning A, Yuan L, Fitzgerald M. Tuberculosis among health care workers. N Engl J Med 1995;332:92–8.
- 5. CDC. Targeted tuberculin skin testing and treatment of latent tuberculosis infection. MMWR 2000;49(No. RR-6).
- Kenyon T, Ridzon R, Luskin-Hawk R, et al. A nosocomial outbreak of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. Ann Intern Med 1997;127:32–6.
- 7. CDC. Trends in tuberculosis—United States, 1998–2003. MMWR 2004;53:209–14.

School-Associated Pertussis Outbreak — Yavapai County, Arizona, September 2002–February 2003

On September 21, 2002, a pertussis case (confirmed by isolation of Bordetella pertussis) was reported to the Yavapai County Health Department (YCHD). The patient was a child aged 13 years in the 8th grade at a middle school in Yavapai County; the child had attended school during the illness. A case consistent with the clinical definition of pertussis had been reported in another student in the same classroom 2 weeks earlier. On September 22, a second culture-confirmed case was reported from the same classroom. Subsequent investigation identified five additional persons (two students in the same classroom, two 8th-grade teachers, and one parent of an ill student) with prolonged cough illnesses. In comparison, during the previous 10 years, an average of four pertussis cases were reported annually from this county. On September 26, YCHD, in conjunction with the Arizona Department of Health Services (ADHS) and school officials, notified the community of the pertussis outbreak in the middle school and initiated control measures. This report summarizes the epidemiology of the outbreak and the control measures used to contain it. Health-care providers should consider pertussis in persons of any age with acute cough illnesses and consider obtaining nasopharyngeal (NP) specimens for B. pertussis culture.

A probable case of pertussis was defined as an acute cough illness lasting ≥ 14 days (1). In a person with ≥ 1 day of cough, cases were confirmed by isolation of *B. pertussis* from an NP specimen. In persons with cough of ≥ 14 days, cases were confirmed by either 1) a positive polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test result for *B. pertussis* DNA from an NP specimen or 2) epidemiologic linkage to a person with a laboratory-confirmed case. Epidemiologic linkage was defined as close contact with a person with laboratory-confirmed pertussis or attendance at the same school as a person with a laboratory-confirmed case.

Public health and school officials implemented an aggressive control strategy requiring the exclusion of any coughing student or staff member from the school through the fifth day of treatment with an antibiotic recommended for pertussis (1). Parents of excluded students were given letters advising them to contact their health-care providers for medical examination, to contact YCHD to have an NP specimen collected for culture, and to stay at home and away from others (particularly infants and young children) through the fifth day of treatment. Health-care providers were alerted to the pertussis outbreak through an existing e-mail and facsimile network and were urged to send patients with suspected pertussis to YCHD for NP specimen collection. To attempt isolation of B. pertussis, YCHD forwarded all NP specimens collected to Arizona's Bureau of State Laboratory Services (BSLS). If identified at another laboratory, B. pertussis isolates were forwarded to BSLS in accordance with Arizona administrative code. All B. pertussis isolates were forwarded to CDC for pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) profiling. A sample of NP specimens collected by YCHD was forwarded from BSLS to CDC for PCR testing. PCR testing targeted genes coding for an insertion element (IS481) and for pertussis toxin subunit 1 (ptxS1).

On October 24, YCHD and ADHS recommended initiation of an accelerated pertussis vaccination schedule for infants because of the increasing numbers of pertussis cases identified throughout six communities in Yavapai County. On the accelerated schedule, the first 3 doses of the diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis (DTaP) vaccine are administered at ages 6, 10, and 14 weeks rather than at the usual recommended ages of 2, 4, and 6 months (2). Other vaccinations recommended according to the childhood immunization schedule (2,3) also were administered on the accelerated schedule.

A total of 485 pertussis cases were reported from six communities (2000 population: 83,550) in the county (580.5 per 100,000 population): 218 confirmed cases (16 by isolation of *B. pertussis* and 202 by epidemiologic linkage) and 267 probable cases (Figures 1 and 2). Of the 485 cases, 203 (42%) were associated with schools; 113 (56%) were in students, eight (4%) were in school staff, and 82 (40%) were in family members (including the nine infants with cases confirmed by epidemiologic linkage) or close contacts of ill students or staff members. Cases were identified in an elementary school, a





FIGURE 2. Number and rate* of reported pertussis cases, by age group — Yavapai County, Arizona, September 2002– February 2003



* Per 100,000 population.

middle school, and a high school (Table). The highest attack rate was among students in the 8th grade of the middle school; of 198 students in this grade, 20 (10%) were confirmed to have pertussis. Males accounted for 193 (54%) of 357 persons aged \leq 19 years and 24 (19%) of 128 persons aged \geq 20 years. The median age of persons with pertussis was 13 years (range: 0–83 years). Among the 29 infants aged <1 year, 20 (69%) had onset before October 24, when the accelerated schedule was recommended; of the nine cases that occurred after October 24, one infant was too young to be vaccinated, seven were aged \geq 14 weeks and were ineligible for the accelerated schedule, and one was eligible but did not receive

TABLE. Number of reported pertussis cases in students and attack rate, by school — Yavapai County, Arizona, September 2002–February 2003

School	No. culture- positive cases	Total no. confirmed cases	Attack rate
Elementary (n = 685)	1	27	3.9%
Middle $(n = 614)$	8	38	6.2%
High (n = 1,599)	2	48	3.0%

vaccine according to the accelerated schedule. DTaP vaccination data were available for 24 (83%) infants: three (13%) infants were not vaccinated; eight (33%) received 1 DTaP vaccination; five (21%) received 2 DTaP vaccinations; and eight (33%) received 3 DTaP vaccinations. Although 15 (52%) of the 29 infants were aged <6 months, no infants were hospitalized for pertussis.

Of 1,047 NP samples sent to BSLS, CDC tested 569 (54%) by PCR. Of these 569 samples, 11 (2%) had positive PCR results for *B. pertussis* DNA, 462 (81%) had negative results, and 96 (17%) could not be tested because of improper specimen processing or were indeterminate because of contamination. Of the 11 persons with positive PCR results, 10 (91%) also had *B. pertussis* isolated at BSLS. The one case with a positive PCR result and a negative culture result was in a person in close contact with a person from whom *B. pertussis* was isolated.

All 16 *B. pertussis* isolates were profiled genetically by PFGE, and four profiles were identified: profile 10 (63%), profile 160 (25%), profile 13 (6%), and profile 55 (6%). Profile 10 was identified in *B. pertussis* isolates from epidemiologically linked patients attending the middle and high school. Seven of the eight isolates from middle school students were profile 10; these seven students were linked epidemiologically and had cough onset within 1 month of each other. The eighth student had onset of pertussis 3 months later, and the isolate was PFGE profile 55.

The outbreak peaked in mid-October and lasted 6 months. The last culture-positive case occurred in a person who had cough onset on January 10, 2003.

Reported by: S Everett, MPH, M Jacobson, S Halldorson, MPH, D Savoini, B Supalla, MPH, Yavapai County Health Dept, Prescott; S Goodykoontz, C Snider, MHS, S Anderson, MPH, B Mathison, V Waddell, PhD, E Denious, MS, K Komatsu, MPH, V Vaz, PhD, C McRill, MD, B England, MD, Arizona Dept of Health Svcs. P Cassiday, MS, GN Sanden, PhD, Div of Bacterial and Mycotic Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases; P Srivastava, MS, R Woodruff, MPH, KM Bisgard, DVM, Epidemiology and Surveillance Div, National Immunization Program, CDC.

Editorial Note: Middle and high school–associated pertussis outbreaks are recognized increasingly and reported to state health departments, but few outbreak investigation results are published (1,4). The Yavapai outbreak shared features of many of these outbreaks, including a substantial number of cases among older children and adolescents (i.e., persons aged 10–19 years) and subsequent spread to the community, with cases among infants aged <1 year. In the United States, cases in older children and adolescents are reported most commonly in the fall, when students return to school (5). Because of waning immunity, older children and adolescents can become

susceptible to pertussis 5–15 years after the last DTaP dose (6). In 2002, pertussis cases in persons aged 10–19 years constituted 29% (7.0 per 100,000 population) of 9,771 nationally reported cases (CDC, unpublished data, 2003). In the six affected communities in Yavapai County, the incidence of confirmed and probable pertussis among older children and adolescents was 1,348 per 100,000 population.

Attack rates among children in the three schools differed by school and grade. The outbreak was recognized first among students in the 8th grade of the middle school, which had higher attack rates than either the elementary or the high school. Although control measures implemented when the outbreak was identified appear to have contributed to lower attack rates in the elementary and high schools, differences in susceptibility, efficiency of transmission, or mixing patterns also might have been factors. The coverage level for \geq 4 DTaP doses among children entering elementary school was >90% (ADHS, unpublished data, 2003); these children probably had immunity from recent DTaP vaccination. Although high school students can be susceptible to pertussis, and high attack rates have been documented (1, 4), immunity boosted by exposure to B. pertussis before this outbreak might account for the low attack rate at this school.

In this outbreak, CDC's PCR testing was as specific as *B. pertussis* isolation but not more sensitive in confirming *B. pertussis* infection. The concordance of results was high and probably reflects the use of two sets of primers and a stringent quality-assurance program that detected false-positive results. In other pertussis outbreaks in which different PCR primers and protocols were used, cases with PCR-positive but culture-negative results were identified. Although they are widely used in the United States, PCR assays have not been standardized, and their predictive value for pertussis is unknown. Exclusive use of nonstandardized PCR assays can result in either underestimation or overestimation of pertussis (1, 7).

As in other school outbreaks (8), a single PFGE profile predominated among the middle school isolates, indicating student-to-student spread. Communitywide outbreaks have been associated with an increase in *B. pertussis* infections with PFGE profiles that predominated before the epidemic (9). Although minimal data are available on the profiles of strains circulating in Yavapai County before the outbreak, outbreak PFGE profiles 10 and 13 were identified among 165 sporadic isolates recovered in Arizona during 1999–2003 (CDC, unpublished data, 2003).

The data described in this report are subject to at least two limitations. First, because persons can have cough of ≥ 14 days from other illnesses, the use of the probable case definition and epidemiologic linkage to confirm cases in Yavapai County might have led to an overestimation of the size of the outbreak.

However, although pertussis is challenging to confirm, studies of pertussis incidence have documented that passive reporting underestimates pertussis incidence (1, 5, 6). The absence of severe illness among infants could have resulted from the lack of specificity of the case definition used; milder illness also is consistent with DTaP vaccine—induced protection. Second, because the epidemic peak coincided with the time that the accelerated DTaP vaccination schedule was recommended, the impact of this recommendation could not be evaluated. Additional studies are needed to evaluate the effectiveness of the accelerated schedule.

Although infants with pertussis can become severely ill and die (5, 10), no pertussis-associated hospitalizations or deaths were reported during this outbreak. In contrast to disease severity observed commonly among infants, older persons with pertussis often have a mild illness. As a result, older persons might not visit a health-care provider until several weeks after cough onset, when recovery of the fastidious B. pertussis bacterium is unlikely and diagnosis might not be confirmed (6). Recognizing pertussis outbreaks in schools is challenging for several reasons, including 1) patients usually do not seek medical care early, 2) a diagnosis of pertussis might be delayed or not considered, and 3) the sensitivity and specificity of diagnostic tests will be low if NP specimens are not obtained and transported to the laboratory under optimal conditions. Health-care providers should consider pertussis in persons of any age with an acute cough illness and consider obtaining NP specimens for B. pertussis culture. Early recognition, treatment, and chemoprophylaxis can help prevent transmission to others; because of its severity in young unvaccinated infants, preventing pertussis in this population is of greatest importance (1,4,5,10).

References

- CDC. Guidelines for the control of pertussis outbreaks. Atlanta, Georgia: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 2000. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nip/publications/pertussis/guide.htm.
- CDC. General recommendations on immunization: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) and the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP). MMWR 2002;51(No. RR-2).
- 3. CDC. Recommended childhood and adolescent immunization schedule—United States, January–June 2004. MMWR 2004;53:Q1–4.
- 4. CDC. Pertussis—United States, 1997–2000. MMWR 2002;51:73-6.
- Tanaka M, Vitek CR, Pascual FB, Bisgard KM, Tate JE, Murphy TV. Trends in pertussis among infants in the United States, 1980–1999. JAMA 2003;290:2968–75.
- 6. CDC. Pertussis outbreak among adults at an oil refinery—Illinois, August–October 2002. MMWR 2003;52:1–4.
- Lievano FA, Reyolds MA, Waring AL, et al. Issues associated with using PCR to detect outbreaks of pertussis. J Clin Microbiol 2002;40:2801–5.
- Brennan M, Strebel P, George H, et al. Evidence for transmission of pertussis in schools, Massachusetts, 1996: epidemiologic data supported by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis studies. J Inf Dis 2000;181:210–5.

- Bisgard KM, Christie CD, Reising SF, et al. Molecular epidemiology of *Bordetella pertussis* by DNA fingerprinting with pulsed-field gel electrophoresis, Cincinnati, 1989–1996. J Infect Dis 2001;183:1360–7.
- Vitek CR, Pascual FB, Baughman AL, Murphy TV. Increase in deaths from pertussis among young infants in the United States in the 1990s. Ped Infect Dis J 2003;22:628–34.

Evaluation of an Association Between Loratadine and Hypospadias — United States, 1997–2001

Hypospadias is a birth defect that affects approximately seven in 1,000 male infants in the United States. In affected infants, the urethral opening is located along the underside of the penis, scrotum, or perineum; the condition usually is corrected by surgery. Hypospadias is classified in order of increasing severity as first, second, or third degree. In 2002, a study in Sweden noted that among male infants born to women who while pregnant had taken loratadine (Claritin[®]), a nonsedating antihistamine commonly used for seasonal allergies, hypospadias prevalence was twice that of the general population (1). However, insufficient data were available to determine the severity of the hypospadias cases, and the study did not control for confounding variables (e.g., family history of hypospadias or maternal age). In 2003, a prospective study using data from four countries indicated that five of 142 pregnancies in women exposed to loratadine resulted in infants with major malformations, a prevalence consistent with that of the general population; none had hypospadias (2). To further assess any potential association between loratadine and hypospadias, CDC analyzed data from the National Birth Defects Prevention Study (NBDPS). This report summarizes the results of that analysis, which determined that no increased risk for second- or third-degree hypospadias existed among women who used loratadine in early pregnancy (Table). These results might be useful for women and health-care providers to address concerns about loratadine use and hypospadias.

NBDPS is an ongoing, multistate, case-control study of environmental and genetic risk factors for major birth defects that can be used in response to public health concerns regarding rare drug exposures and birth defects (3, 4). Infants are identified through birth defect surveillance systems in eight states; mothers undergo a detailed interview by telephone in English or Spanish. For this analysis, the case population was defined as male infants with second- or third-degree hypospadias. Infants with first-degree hypospadias are not included in NBDPS because the mildest form of hypospadias is much

	Expo	osed*	Not exposed [†]					
Medication	Cases	Controls	Cases	Controls	OR§	(95% CI¶)	AOR**	(95% CI)
Loratadine Nonsedating antihistamines	11	22	547	1,410	1.29 ^{††}	(0.62–2.68)	0.96	(0.41–2.22)
(including loratadine) Sedating	17	33	541	1,392	1.33	(0.73–2.40)	0.95	(0.48–1.89)
antihistamines	43	104	489	1,258	1.06	(0.73–1.54)	1.02	(0.68–1.53)

TABLE. Risk for hypospadias in male infants associated with exposure to loratadine and nonsedating and sedating antihistamines — National Birth Defects Prevention Study, United States, October 1997–June 2001

* Infants whose mothers reported using the medication during the period from 1 month before pregnancy through the first trimester.

[†] Infants whose mothers did not report using the medication during the period from 3 months before pregnancy until delivery.

§ Odds ratio.

[¶] Confidence interval.

** Adjusted odds ratio. Adjusted for birth month, maternal age, maternal race/ethnicity, and state of residency at delivery.

^{††} This analysis had 80% power to detect OR of \geq 2.3, using a one-sided test.

less completely ascertained by routine surveillance. Infants were excluded if they had 1) known or suspected chromosome abnormalities, 2) single gene conditions, or 3) other recognized multiple congenital anomaly phenotypes. The control population consisted of live-born male infants with no major birth defects, selected at random from the same populations as the case group. Excluded from the analysis were 86 infants whose mothers had incomplete interviews and 30 infants (28 in the case population and two in the control population) who had fathers or brothers with hypospadias. The study populations consisted of 563 male infants with hypospadias and 1,444 male infant controls; all were born during October 1, 1997–June 30, 2001.

Exposure was defined as any maternal use of loratadine from 1 month before pregnancy through the first trimester. To control for confounding by indication, exposure to other nonsedating or sedating antihistamines during the same period also was assessed. Potential confounding factors tested by multivariate logistic regression analysis included maternal age, maternal race/ethnicity (i.e., non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Hispanic, and other), birth month, and state of residence at delivery.

Of 563 male infants with hypospadias, 46 (8.2%) had multiple major birth defects that were not recognized phenotypes, and 517 (91.8%) had hypospadias with no other major birth defects. Among the 1,957 mothers of infants in the case and control populations, 33 (1.7%) reported using loratadine during the exposure period. Univariate analyses showed no association between this use of loratadine and hypospadias (Table). Use of nonsedating antihistamines (including loratadine) and sedating antihistamines also were not associated with hypospadias. Multivariate adjusted odds ratio estimates did not vary significantly from the univariate estimates. In addition, no association between loratadine use and hypospadias was determined when cases with multiple major defects were excluded or when different exposure periods were examined. **Reported by:** M Werler, ScD, Slone Epidemiology Center, Boston Univ School of Public Health, Massachusetts. C McCloskey, MD, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Administration. LD Edmonds, MSPH, R Olney, MD, MA Honein, PhD, Div of Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities, National Center on Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities; J Reefhuis, PhD, EIS Officer, CDC.

Editorial Note: The findings in this report indicated that hypospadias was not associated with use of loratadine during the period from 1 month before pregnancy through the first 3 months of pregnancy. During 1998–1999, loratadine was the drug most advertised directly to consumers (5) and was used by 3% of women of childbearing age (6). In November 2002, loratadine was approved by the Food and Drug Administration for over-the-counter use (7). Antihistamines are used widely by the general population, including women of childbearing age, 20%–30% of whom have allergic conditions, primarily rhinitis and sinusitis (8). Because an estimated 50% of all pregnancies in the United States are unintended (9), women frequently are exposed inadvertently to medications before learning they are pregnant.

This report is subject to at least two limitations. First, NBDPS does not track all birth defects. Because first-degree hypospadias is excluded, the potential association between this mildest form of hypospadias and loratadine could not be assessed. Second, women are interviewed about their pregnancy exposures after delivery, and recall of drug use might be different among mothers of infants with major birth defects compared with mothers of infants without major birth defects.

The results of this analysis might be useful for women and health-care providers to address concerns about loratadine use and hypospadias. These results do not provide definitive information on the overall safety of loratadine. Women should continue to consult their health-care providers before using any medications during pregnancy. Future studies of medications and birth defects, possibly using NBDPS, are needed to address some of the current knowledge gaps on the effects of medication use during pregnancy.

Acknowledgments

This report is based in part on contributions by CA Hobbs, MD, Univ of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, Arkansas. GM Shaw, DrPH, S Carmichael, PhD, California Birth Defects Monitoring Program, Emeryville, California. PA Romitti, PhD, Univ of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa. K Kelley, Slone Epidemiology Center, Boston Univ School of Public Health; M Anderka, MPH, Massachusetts Dept of Public Health. M Royle, PhD, New Jersey Dept of Health and Senior Svcs. C Druschel, PhD, New York State Health Dept. M Canfield, PhD, P Langlois, PhD, Texas Dept of Health.

References

- 1. Kallen B. Use of antihistamine drugs in early pregnancy and delivery outcome. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;11:146–52.
- Moretti ME, Caprara D, Coutinho CJ, et al. Fetal safety of loratadine use in the first trimester of pregnancy: a multicenter study. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2003;111:479–83.
- Yoon PW, Rasmussen SA, Lynberg MC, et al. The national birth defects prevention study. Public Health Rep 2001;116(suppl 1):32–40.
- Rasmussen SA, Olney RS, Holmes LB, Lin AE, Keppler-Noreuil KM, Moore CA. Guidelines for case classification for the National Birth Defects Prevention Study. Birth Defects Res Part A Clin Mol Teratol 2003;67:193–201.
- 5. Findlay SD. Direct-to-consumer promotion of prescription drugs: economic implications for patients, payers and providers. Pharmacoeconomics 2001;19:109–19.
- Kaufman DW, Kelly JP, Rosenberg L, Anderson TE, Mitchell AA. Recent patterns of medication use in the ambulatory adult population of the United States: the Slone survey. JAMA 2002;287:337–44.
- 7. Food and Drug Administration. FDA approves OTC Claritin. FDA Consum 2003;37:3.
- Schatz M, Zeiger RS. Diagnosis and management of rhinitis during pregnancy. Allergy Proc 1988;9:545–54.
- 9. Henshaw SK. Unintended pregnancy in the United States. Fam Plann Perspect 1998;30:24–9, 46.

Notice to Readers

National Colorectal Cancer Awareness Month

March is National Colorectal Cancer Awareness Month. This national health observance serves to increase public awareness about the importance of regular testing to decrease the burden of colorectal cancer (i.e., cancer of the colon or rectum) and to encourage persons aged \geq 50 years to reduce their risk for colorectal cancer through regular screening examinations.

Colorectal cancer is the second leading cause of cancerrelated death in the United States; during 2004, an estimated 56,730 such deaths will occur, and 146,940 new cases will be diagnosed (1). Regular testing beginning at age 50 years is the key to preventing colorectal cancer (2). However, despite recommendations for screening, the majority of persons who are at risk for colorectal cancer are not being screened. In 2000, only 45% of men and 41% of women aged \geq 50 years had had a flexible sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy during the preceding 10 years or had used a home-fecal occult blood test during the preceding 1 year. Screening rates were particularly low among persons who had no health insurance, had no usual source of health care, or had not visited a doctor during the preceding 1 year (3).

To reduce the colorectal cancer death rate, CDC has implemented a broad-based initiative to 1) promote colorectal cancer screening nationwide through the "Screen for Life" campaign; 2) build national and state partnerships that focus on colorectal cancer awareness; 3) support education and training efforts for the public and health professionals; 4) conduct surveillance and research to evaluate screening test prevalence, barriers to screening, and the safety and availability of screening tests; and 5) fund comprehensive cancer-control programs that promote colorectal cancer screening. Additional information about colorectal cancer is available at http:// www.cdc.gov/cancer. Information about CDC's "Screen for Life" campaign is available at http://www.cdc.gov/screenforlife.

References

- 1. American Cancer Society. Cancer facts and figures, 2004. Atlanta, Georgia: American Cancer Society, 2004; publication no. 5008.04.
- Pignone M, Rich M, Teutsch SM, Berg AO, Lohr KN. Screening for colorectal cancer in adults at average risk: a summary of the evidence for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2002;137: 132–41.
- Swan J, Breen N, Coates RJ, Rimer BK, Lee NC. Progress in cancer screening practices in the United States: results from the National Health Interview Survey. Cancer 2003;97:1528–40.

Notice to Readers

Protocols for Confirmation of Reactive Rapid HIV Tests

On November 7, 2002, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) announced approval of the OraQuick[®] Rapid HIV-1 Antibody Test (OraSure Technologies, Inc., Bethlehem, Pennsylvania) for use by trained personnel as a point-of-care test to aid in the diagnosis of infection with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1). Subsequently, two other rapid HIV tests have been approved by FDA: the Reveal[™] HIV-1 Antibody Test (MedMira Laboratories, Halifax, Nova Scotia) and the Uni-Gold Recombigen[™] HIV Test (Trinity Biotech, Wicklow, Ireland).

All reactive rapid HIV test results require confirmatory testing. CDC described protocols for confirming reactive rapid HIV tests based on a consultation convened in January 2003 with expert laboratory scientists, FDA, and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (1). These protocols recommend 1) confirmation of all reactive rapid HIV test results with either Western blot (WB) or immunofluorescent assay (IFA), even if an enzyme immunoassay (EIA) screening test is negative, and 2) follow-up testing for persons with negative or indeterminate confirmatory test results, with a blood specimen collected 4 weeks after the initial reactive rapid test result.

In September 2003, CDC initiated postmarketing surveillance in 14 state and local health departments to monitor the performance of the OraQuick[®] test. Follow-up was attempted for all persons with reactive OraQuick® tests who had either nonreactive EIAs or negative or indeterminate WB or IFA results. For the 21 such persons who were identified through the surveillance system (Table), follow-up testing was initiated at the testing sites' reference laboratories only as a result of postmarketing surveillance; test results are available for 13 of these persons.

At least five HIV-infected persons were informed incorrectly that their rapid HIV test results were false-positive. Several public health and commercial laboratories contacted during this same period also indicated that they did not perform WB or IFA on OraQuick[®]-reactive specimens if the laboratory EIA was nonreactive. Additional persons might have received erroneous results from incomplete confirmatory testing.

CDC emphasizes that reactive rapid HIV tests must be confirmed with WB or IFA, even if a subsequent EIA is nonreactive. If such confirmatory testing yields negative or indeterminate results, follow-up testing should be performed on a blood specimen collected 4 weeks after the initial reactive rapid HIV test result.

Reference

1. CDC. Quality Assurance Guidelines for Testing Using the OraQuick® Rapid HIV-1 Antibody Test. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/ rapid_testing/materials/qa-guide.htm.

TABLE: TOOL			onro oraquior								
		Initial specime	en		Follow-up spec	cimen					
OraQuick	EIA*	Confirmat	ory test	EIA	Supplem	nental test	Interpretation				
Reactive	ND [†]	IFA	neg§	neg	IFA¶	pos**	Initial EIA or confirmatory test				
Reactive	neg	_	ND	ND	Viral load	>750,000 copies	false-negative				
Reactive	neg	WB ^{††,§§}	Indeterm ^{¶¶}	pos	WB	Pos	-				
Reactive	neg	WB ^{§§}	pos	ND	ND	_					
Reactive	neg	WB§§	pos	ND	ND	—					
Reactive	pos	IFA	indeterm	pos	WB	Pos	Early infection, evolving				
Reactive	pos	WB	indeterm	pos	WB	Pos	confirmatory test				
Reactive	pos	WB	indeterm	ND	Viral load	>750,000 copies					
Reactive	pos	WB	indeterm	pos	WB	Pos					
Reactive	neg	WB	neg	ND	Viral load	neg	False-positive OraQuick [®]				
Reactive	neg	WB	indeterm	ND	WB	neg					
Reactive	neg	WB	neg	neg	WB	neg					
Reactive	neg	WB	neg	neg	WB	neg					
Reactive	pos	WB	neg	_	_	_	Unsuccessful follow-up, HIV status				
Reactive	neg	WB	indeterm	_	_	_	unconfirmed				
Reactive	neg	WB	indeterm	_	_	_					
Reactive	neg	WB	neg	_	_	_					
Reactive	neg	WB	neg	_	_	_					
Reactive	neg	WB	neg	_	_	_					
Reactive	neg	WB	neg	_	_	_					
Reactive	neg	WB	neg	—	—	—					

TABLE Test results for persons with reactive OraQuick® tests and discordant confirmatory test results

Enzyme immunoassay.

Not done.

Negative.

¶ Immunoflourescent assay.

* Positive. ++

Western blot.

Not performed until after surveillance follow-up was initiated. 11

Indeterminate.

CASES CURRENT DECREASE INCREASE DISEASE 4 WEEKS 315 Hepatitis A, acute 320 Hepatitis B, acute Hepatitis C, acute 60 Legionellosis 47 0 Measles, total 92 Meningococcal disease 13 Mumps 391 Pertussis Rubella 2 0.03125 0.0625 0.125 0.25 0.5 2 1 4 Ratio (Log scale)[™]

FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, United States, comparison of provisional 4-week totals March 13, 2004, with historical data

* No measles cases were reported for the current 4-week period yielding a ratio for week 10 of zero (0).
† Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

Beyond historical limits

TABLE I. Summary of provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending March 13, 2004 (10th Week)*

	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003		Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003
Anthrax	-	-	Hemolytic uremic syndrome, postdiarrheal [†]	9	28
Botulism:	-	-	HIV infection, pediatric ^{†§}	-	48
foodborne	2	2	Measles, total	1¶	3**
infant	13	14	Mumps	31	38
other (wound & unspecified	4	4	Plague	-	-
Brucellosis [†]	12	25	Poliomyelitis, paralytic	-	-
Chancroid	7	9	Psittacosis [†]	2	5
Cholera	1	-	Q fever [†]	4	14
Cyclosporiasis [†]	5	21	Rabies, human	-	-
Diphtheria	-	-	Rubella	7	1
Ehrlichiosis:	-	-	Rubella, congenital syndrome	1	-
human granulocytic (HGE) [†]	4	17	SARS-associated coronavirus disease ^{† ††}	-	2
human monocytic (HME) [†]	6	19	Smallpox ^{† §§}	-	NA
human, other and unspecified	-	1	Staphylococcus aureus:	-	-
Encephalitis/Meningitis:	-	-	Vancomycin-intermediate (VISA)† §§	3	NA
California serogroup viral [†]	-	-	Vancomycin-resistant (VRSA)† §§	-	NA
eastern equine [†]	-	2	Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome [†]	21	46
Powassan [†]	-	-	Tetanus	2	4
St. Louis [†]	1	2	Toxic-shock syndrome	26	22
western equine [†]	-	-	Trichinosis	1	-
Hansen disease (leprosy) [†]	8	19	Tularemia [†]	3	4
Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome [†]	2	5	Yellow fever	-	-

-: No reported cases.

Incidence data for reporting years 2003 and 2004 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date). t

Not notifiable in all states.

[§] Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention — Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last update December 28, 2003.

¹ Of one case reported, one was indigenous, and none were imported from another country.

** Of three cases reported, two were indigenous, and one was imported from another country.

†† Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (notifiable as of July 2003).

§§ Not previously notifiable.

MMWR

(AID	S	Chla	mydia†	Coccidio	domycosis	Cryptosp	oridiosis	Encephalitis/Meningitis West Nile	
Reporting area	Cum. 2004§	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003
UNITED STATES	-	8,321	140,132	157,427	1,364	657	488	454	5	57
NEW ENGLAND	-	279	5,532	5,261	-	-	28	25	-	-
Maine	-	8	213	347	Ν	N	4	1	-	-
N.H. Vt		3 5	330 220	290	-	-	3	3	-	-
Mass.	-	111	2,822	2,011	-	-	10	13	-	-
R.I.	-	21	704	526	- N	-	-	3	-	-
	-	131	1,243	1,000	IN	IN	4	2	-	-
Upstate N.Y.	-	2,163	20,739	2,776	N	N	87 18	50 10	-	-
N.Y. City	-	1,272	6,286	6,316	-	-	14	22	-	-
N.J.	-	296	2,331	2,854	- N	-	4	2	- 1	-
	-	303	0,009	3,717	2		100	77	I	-
Ohio	-	128	21,469	8.210	-	-	35	11	-	-
Ind.	-	119	3,426	3,419	Ν	N	14	4	-	-
III. Mich	-	365	5,470	9,531	-	-	8	13	-	-
Wis.		42	1,860	3,290	-	-	22	32	-	-
W.N. CENTRAL	-	136	7,983	8,988	-	1	56	28	1	-
Minn.	-	23	1,223	2,090	N	N	19	16	-	-
lowa Mo	-	23	3 665	783	N	N 1	7	5	- 1	-
N. Dak.	-	-	207	200	N	Ň	-	-	-	-
S. Dak.	-	4	456	437	-	-	4	4	-	-
Nebr. Kans	-	6 7	943 1 489	1 292	N	N	1 12	1	-	-
S ATLANTIC		1 814	22 388	27 804		-	98	163	2	57
Del.	-	49	589	573	Ν	Ν	-	1	-	-
Md.	-	187	3,805	2,941	-	-	6	6	-	-
Va.	-	233	1.245	2.694	-	-	9	4	-	-
W.Va.	-	13	404	490	Ν	Ν	-	-	-	-
N.C.	-	192	4,926	4,500	N	N	24	4	- 1	-
Ga.	-	415	743	5,720	-	-	30	18	-	-
Fla.	-	292	6,689	7,660	Ν	N	26	129	1	57
E.S. CENTRAL	-	324	8,972	10,587	N	N	19	19	-	-
Ky. Tenn	-	38	1,110	1,637	N	N	5	2	-	-
Ala.	-	64	2,025	2,838	-	-	2	6	-	-
Miss.	-	77	2,183	2,620	Ν	N	2	2	-	-
W.S. CENTRAL	-	940	19,879	19,801	-	1	19	8	1	-
Ark. La	-	23 49	1,460 4 870	1,171	- N	N	8	2	- 1	-
Okla.	-	40	1,481	1,553	N	N	8	1	-	-
Tex.	-	828	12,068	13,228	-	1	3	5	-	-
MOUNTAIN	-	312	8,552	10,010	1,035	501	26	15	-	-
Idaho	-	4	27 647	399 490	N	N	1	4	-	-
Wyo.	-	2	215	208	-	-	2	-	-	-
Colo. N. Mey	-	72	1,191	2,571	N 2	N	15	3	-	-
Ariz.	-	145	3,675	3,154	1,020	493	5	1	-	-
Utah	-	14	498	476	4	1	-	4	-	-
Nev.	-	41	1,054	1,168	9	/	1	2	-	-
PACIFIC Wash	-	1,497 117	24,618 3,285	27,235	326 N	152 N	55 3	69	-	-
Oreg.	-	66	1,312	1,296	-	-	6	5	-	-
Calif.	-	1,294	19,388	21,402	326	152	45	64	-	-
Hawaii	-	13	11	1,030	-	-	- 1	-	-	-
Guam	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P.R.	-	235	298	199	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	-	-
v.i. Amer Samoa	-	6 11	-	60 11	-	-	-	-	-	-
C.N.M.I.	-	Ŭ	32	Ŭ	-	Ũ	-	Ŭ	-	Ŭ

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 13, 2004, and March 8, 2003 (10th Week)*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. -: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. * Incidence data for reporting years 2003 and 2004 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date). † Chlamydia refers to genital infections caused by *C. trachomatis.* § Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention — Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last update December 28, 2003. ¶ Contains data reported through National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

224

MMWR

		Escher	<i>ichia coli</i> . Ente							
			Shiga toxi	n positive,	Shiga toxi	n positive,				
	015	5 <u>7:H7</u>	serogroup	o non-0157	not sero	grouped	Gia	rdiasis	Gon	orrhea
Reporting area	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003
UNITED STATES	168	228	29	51	19	20	2,525	3,816	49,234	61,175
NEW ENGLAND	9	9	2	1	2	2	213	217	1,357	1,414
Maine	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	20	46	23
Vt.	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	14	10	20
Mass.	1	3	1	-	2	2	105	105	658	522
R.I. Conn.	- 7	4	- 1	-	-	-	9 55	18 44	178 445	180 648
MID. ATLANTIC	16	21	2	1	2	2	543	569	6,929	7,504
Upstate N.Y.	4	3	2	-	-	-	168	110	1,254	1,127
N.Y. City N.J.	-	3	-	-	- 1	-	41	233 75	2,051	2,569
Pa.	8	11	-	1	1	2	161	151	2,631	2,098
E.N. CENTRAL	38	48	6	6	3	2	330	515	8,360	13,745
Ind	11 10	13 4	-	3	3	2	139	161	1,154 1 273	4,286 1,308
III.	4	8	-	-	-	-	51	151	2,098	4,194
Mich. Wis	8	9 14	-	- 3	-	-	96 44	125 78	3,314 521	2,772
	21	20	6	3	6	2	242	283	2 762	3 140
Minn.	9	12	2	3	-	-	88	70	456	526
lowa	1	3	÷	-	÷	-	36	38	-	158
Mo. N. Dak.	5	6 1	4	-	1 3	-	73	104	1,478 24	1,696
S. Dak.	-	2	-	-	-	-	10	8	43	22
Nebr. Kans	2	4	-	-	- 2	- 1	16 18	31 24	235 526	239 493
S ATLANTIC	11	52	8	33	2	10	428	1 373	10 622	14 120
Del.	-	-	Ň	N	Ň	N	11	11	199	263
Md.	2	-	-	-	-	-	18	21	1,624	1,481
Va.	-	2	2	-	-	-	59	35	424 472	1,356
W.Va.	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	128	158
S.C.	-	-	-	6	-	-	N 4	12	2,869	2,582
Ga.	5	3	2	2	-	-	108	154	495	2,795
Fla.	4	47	1	25	2	10	220	1,136	2,833	3,499
E.S. CENTRAL	6	10 1	1	-	3	-	36 N	54 N	4,226	5,338 697
Tenn.	2	5	-	-	-	-	23	24	1,393	1,593
Ala.	1	3	-	-	-	-	13	30	1,200	1,747
	0	0	-	-	-	2	- 	40	7,155	9.205
Ark.	o -	o 1	-	-	-	-	25	26	667	687
La.	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	3	2,336	2,144
Tex.	3 5	- 7	-	2	-	2	21	- 11	3.773	4.743
MOUNTAIN	35	19	3	4	1	-	272	249	2 083	2 190
Mont.	1	-	-	-	-	-	5	4	2,000	28
Idaho Wyo	3	5	1	3	-	-	37	30	12	16 10
Colo.	17	4	1	-	1	-	87	68	399	617
N. Mex.	1	-	-	1	-	- N	10	11	152	255
Utah	2	2	-	-	-	-	44	55	51	43
Nev.	3	-	-	-	-	-	17	25	455	341
PACIFIC	24	32	1	1	-	-	407	516	5,429	5,519
vvasn. Oreg.	4	9	- 1	- 1	-	-	35 67	25 61	540 159	537 169
Calif.	14	19	-	-	-	-	284	398	4,619	4,522
Alaska Hawaii	- 4	-	-	-	-	-	8 13	14 18	110 1	102 189
Guam	N	N	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
P.R.	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	12	24	27
V.I. Amor Sames	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
C.N.M.I.	-	U	-	U	-	U	-	U	3	U

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 13, 2004, and March 8, 2003 (10th Week)*

				Haemophilus	influenzae, inv	vasive			Нер	Hepatitis (viral, acute), by type	
	All a	ages			Age <5	5 years			(viral, acu		
	All ser	otypes	Sero	type b	Non-ser	otype b	Unknow	n serotype	A		
Reporting area	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	
UNITED STATES	392	429	4	6	25	28	37	44	1,026	1,461	
NEW ENGLAND	35	28	-	1	2	2	1	-	196	36	
Maine	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	1	
N.H. Vt	9	3	-	-	1	-	-	-	3	3	
Mass.	12	14	-	1	-	2	1	-	164	19	
R.I.	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	
	7	5	-	-	1	-	-	-	10	9	
MID. AI LANTIC Upstate N Y	72 23	58 17	-	-	1	-	10	1	119 14	212	
N.Y. City	11	10	-	-		-	3	2	39	87	
N.J.	14	9	-	-	-	-	2	-	17	33	
Pa.	24	22	-	-	-	-	4	2	49	76	
E.N. CENTRAL Obio	55 30	46 12	-	1	9	2	6 4	10	80	128	
Ind.	10	5	-	-	3	1	1	-	5	5	
III.	-	19	-	-	-	-	-	7	26	49	
Mich. Wis	8	5	-	1	4	1	1	-	31	35 14	
	11	23	1		1	2		2	26	22	
Minn.	7	23	-	-	1	3	-	-	20	32 4	
lowa	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	
Mo. N Dak	2	10	-	-	-	-	-	3	7	7	
S. Dak.	-	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	
Nebr.	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	3	
Kans.	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	9	
S. ATLANTIC	111	162	-	1	2	8	9	11	219	603	
Md.	21	13	-	-	- 1	- 1	-	-	36	2 35	
D.C.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	
Va. W. Vo	9	5	-	-	-	-	-	1	20	10	
N.C.	7	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	15	
S.C.	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	12	
Ga. Fla	41 28	15 123	-	- 1	- 1	- 7	5	1	87 55	114 411	
	14	25		1	·	1	1	3	29	-11	
Ky.	-	23	-	-	-	1	-	-	20	5	
Ténn.	9	10	-	-	-	-	3	2	20	16	
Ala. Miss	5	11	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	8	
	1 5	15			2	4			20	07	
Ark.	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	∠o 6	97	
La.	1	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	
Okla. Tex	14	9	-	-	2	1	-	-	9 13	3	
	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	13	74 04	
MOUNTAIN	61 -	47	-	-	-	8	5	5	112	64 -	
Idaho	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	4	4	
Wyo.	-	- 7	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	
N. Mex.	7	4	-	-	- 1	2	-	-	3	5	
Ariz.	31	28	-	1	5	3	1	3	80	38	
Utah	1	5	1	-	-	1	-	1	10	5	
	15	3	-	-	1	2	-	F	210	055	
Wash.	3	25	2	-	-	3	2 1	5 1	∠10 11	255	
Oreg.	7	10	-	-	-	-	-	2	15	19	
Calif.	2	11	-	2	1	2	1	2	188	223	
Hawaii	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	
Guam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
P.R.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	5	
V.I.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	- -	U	-	U	-	U	-	U	-	U	

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 13, 2004, and March 8, 2003 (10th Week)*

226

Reporting area Description Listervise Listervise Lyrne disease Reporting area 2004 2003 2004 2003 2004 2003 2004 2003 2004 2004 2004 2004 2004 2005 2004 <t< th=""><th></th><th>Н</th><th>epatitis (viral</th><th>, acute), by ty</th><th>ре</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th colspan="4"></th></t<>		Н	epatitis (viral	, acute), by ty	ре								
cham Cum. Cum. <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>В</th><th></th><th></th><th>Legio</th><th>nellosis</th><th>Liste</th><th>riosis</th><th colspan="3">Lyme disease</th></th<>			В			Legio	nellosis	Liste	riosis	Lyme disease			
UNITED STATES 000 1.001 242 471 188 327 65 117 1.016 1.399 Maine 38 61 - - 2 8 2 4 66 117 1.016 1.399 Maine 8 2 - - - 1 1 - 1 1 - 1	Reporting area	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003		
NEW FIGUAND 38 61 - - 2 8 2 4 34 67 N.H. 8 2 - - - 1 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	UNITED STATES	909	1,901	242	471	188	327	65	117	1,018	1,399		
Mane 1 -	NEW ENGLAND	38	61	-	-	2	8	2	4	34	67		
M. J <thj< th=""> J J J</thj<>	Maine	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-		
Mass. 28 44 - - 1 3 - 2 10 60 Con. - 14 0 0 1 3 1 <	Vt.	o 1	2	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	-	3		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mass.	28	44	-	-	1	3	-	2	10	60		
DATA ATTIC 10 2 0 40 10 10 10 100 N V. Chay 11 9 3 2 10 6 1 5 200 N V. Chay 11 00 - 11 4 4 3 144 225 Pa. 44 60 28 24 19 22 4 6 412 522 CENTRAL 63 33 12 32 4 1 14 5 Mich. 25 45 10 20 15 14 2 2 - - - - - 1 3 13 10 6 23 1 - 6 23 1 - 6 33 1 - - 1 - - 1 3 13 1 - 1 - 1 3 13 13 1 - 1 1	K.I. Conn	-	- 14	-	-	- 1	1	-	- 1	4 14	3		
Displaye NATO Displaye	MID ATLANTIC	107	210	31	26	40	40	12	16	825	1 062		
N. Control 3 102	Upstate N.Y.	11	8	3	2	10	8	3	2	269	305		
Na. 49 50 28 24 19 24 6 443 5 444 5 E.N. CENTRAL 63 93 12 32 31 50 6 7 20 30 I.N. CENTRAL 63 93 12 32 31 22 4 1 14 2 I.N. CENTRAL 25 510 0 2 9 1 3 - - Wis. - 18 - - 2 3 1 - 6 23 Wis. - 18 - - - 1 2 14 18 Min. 6 3 - - 1 1 - 2 2 1 18 2 2 1 18 2 2 1 18 2 2 12 14 18 18 10 10 10 10 10	N.Y. City	3	102	-	-	-	6	1	5	-	-		
E M CENTRAL 63 93 12 32 50 50 8 7 20 30 ndc. 1 - 2 2 1 1 - 2 Mch. 25 45 00 20 15 14 2 2 - 1 Mch. 25 45 00 20 15 14 2 2 - 1 Mch. 27 55 112 42 4 5 1 2 14 18 Mch. 6 3 - 2 3 1 2 13 13 Mch. 6 3 - 2 3 1 2 13 13 Mch. 7 4 4 2 3 1 2 13 13 Mch. 7 5 4 5 1 2 42 4 5 1 2 1 4 Mch. 7 5 5 1 2 42 4 5 1 2 1 4 Mch. 7 5 5 5 - 1 1 1 2 1 1 3 13 Mch. 5 1 4 2 1 4 S. Dak. 5 1 4 2 14 1 3 S. Dak. 5 1 4 2 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 S. Dak. 5 1 4 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 Mch. 1 3 2 961 30 96 4 9 180 14 53 98 170 S. Dak. 5 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 Mch. 1 2 2 1 5 8 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Pa.	49	50	28	24	19	22	4	6	412	532		
Ohlo 37 29 2 3 31 22 4 1 14 5 III. In. 1 1 9 2 2 1 1 1 5 III. In. 2 16 17 2 3 1 2 6 23 MIN.CENTRAL 72 55 112 42 4 5 1 2 14 18 MIN.CENTRAL 72 55 112 42 3 1 1 2 14 18 Mon 59 40 112 42 3 1 1 - - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 1 1 - - - 1 1 1 - - - 1 1 1 1 - - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	E.N. CENTRAL	63	93	12	32	50	50	8	7	20	30		
MD. 1 - - - - - - - - - 2 - - - 2 - - - 2 - - - - 2 -	Ohio	37	29	2	3	31	22	4	1	14	5		
Mich. 25 45 10 20 15 14 2 2 . <th< td=""><td>Ind. III</td><td>1</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>- 9</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>-</td><td>2</td></th<>	Ind. III	1	-	-	- 9	2	2	1	1	-	2		
Mis. - 18 - - 2 3 1 - 6 23 Min. M.C.CNTRAL 72 55 112 42 4 5 1 2 14 18 Mos. 59 40 112 42 3 1 1 - 8 22 Mos. 59 40 112 42 3 1 1 - 8 2 Solut. - - - - - 1 1 - 8 2 Solut. 3 - - - - 1 1 - <th< td=""><td>Mich.</td><td>25</td><td>45</td><td>10</td><td>20</td><td>15</td><td>14</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></th<>	Mich.	25	45	10	20	15	14	2	2	-	-		
W.N.CENTRAL 72 55 112 42 4 5 1 2 14 18 owa 1 4 - - - - 1 3 3 owa 1 4 - - - 2 - - 2 2 Mohe 59 40 112 42 3 1 1 - - 2 2 S.Dak - - - - - 1 - 1 - - - - - - -	Wis.	-	18	-	-	2	3	1	-	6	23		
mini- Mo 0 3 -<	W.N. CENTRAL	72	55	112	42	4	5	1	2	14	18		
Mo. 59 40 112 42 3 1 1 - 8 2 S. Dak. - - - 1 - - - - - S. Dak. - - - 1 - - - - - S. Dak. - - - 1 - - - 1 S. Dak. - - - 1 - - 1 - SATLANTC 32 961 30 96 49 180 14 53 98 170 Del. 1 2 - - 2 N N 7 25 D.C. 4 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - Va. - 1 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - - MA. - 1 1 - 1 - 1 - - - MA. - 1 3 7 7 4 2 4 1 9 S.C. 8 12 2 1	lowa	1	4	-	-	-	2		-	2	2		
N.Dak. 1 - <td>Mo.</td> <td>59</td> <td>40</td> <td>112</td> <td>42</td> <td>3</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>8</td> <td>2</td>	Mo.	59	40	112	42	3	1	1	-	8	2		
Nebr 5 5 - - - - - 1 - 1 - 1 <th1< th=""> 1 1 1</th1<>	N. Dak. S. Dak	1	-	-	-	- 1	1	-	-	-	-		
Kans. - 3 - - - 1 - - 1 1 1 Del. 1 2 961 30 96 49 180 14 53 98 170 Del. 2 2 1 5 8 12 2 3 7 25 D.C. 4 - 1 - - - - 1 2 2 V.A. - 1 1 - 1 - 1 - 2 2 2 S.C. 10 28 5 6 5 6 3 4 - 3 3 Fla. 119 636 18 80 22 151 4 38 9 80 Ky. 7 11 7 2 2 1 1 - - - - - - - - 3 - - - - - - - - - -	Nebr.	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-		
S.ATLANTIC 322 991 30 96 49 180 14 53 98 170 Del. 1 2 2 - N N 7 25 Md. 29 20 1 5 8 12 2 3 57 51 D.C. 4 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 N.C. 24 17 1 3 - 4 4 - 1 2 2 MVa 1 1 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	Kans.	-	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	1		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	S. ATLANTIC	322	961	30	96	49	180	14 N	53 N	98	170		
D.C. 4 - 1 - 1 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	Md.	29	20	1	5	8	12	2	3	57	51		
val. 21/ 15 3 - 4 4 - 1 2 2 N.C. 24 17 1 3 7 5 4 5 21 9 Sc. 8 12 - 2 - 2 - 2 1 - Ga. 110 258 5 6 5 6 3 4 - 3 Falz. 119 636 18 80 22 15 4 38 9 80 E.S.CENTRAL 56 66 33 15 7 4 2 4 1 9 Timn 29 13 25 2 4 2 1 - 1 - 1 2 2 Aia 22 1 9 - 1 - 3 2 2 - 1 2 2 - 1 2 2 - 1 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 <td>D.C.</td> <td>4</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td>	D.C.	4	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	va. W.Va.	- 27	15	3	-	4	4-	-	-	2	-		
S.C. 8 12 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 1 - - - 3 3 1 - 3 3 1 - 3 3 1 - 3 3 1 - 1 - 3 3 1 - 1 1 1 2 1 <td>N.C.</td> <td>24</td> <td>17</td> <td>1</td> <td>3</td> <td>7</td> <td>5</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> <td>21</td> <td>9</td>	N.C.	24	17	1	3	7	5	4	5	21	9		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	S.C.	8 110	12	- 5	2	- 5	2	-	2	1	-		
E.S. CENTRAL 56 66 33 15 7 4 2 4 1 9 Ky. 7 11 7 2 2 - 1 - <td>Fla.</td> <td>119</td> <td>636</td> <td>18</td> <td>80</td> <td>22</td> <td>151</td> <td>4</td> <td>38</td> <td>9</td> <td>80</td>	Fla.	119	636	18	80	22	151	4	38	9	80		
Ky. 7 11 7 2 2 - 1 - - - - Ala. 2 20 - 2 1 1 - 3 - - Miss. 18 22 1 9 - 1 - 3 - - WS. CENTRAL 15 196 13 244 6 18 2 8 3 23 Ark. 4 20 - 1 - <td< td=""><td>E.S. CENTRAL</td><td>56</td><td>66</td><td>33</td><td>15</td><td>7</td><td>4</td><td>2</td><td>4</td><td>1</td><td>9</td></td<>	E.S. CENTRAL	56	66	33	15	7	4	2	4	1	9		
Hemin.29132524211-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-111	Ky.	7	11	7	2	2	-	1	-	-	-		
Miss. 18 22 1 9 - 1 - 1 - 7 WS.CENTRAL 15 196 13 244 6 18 2 8 3 23 Ark. 4 20 - 1 - - - - - - - - Cal. 5 28 7 35 - - - 1 2 Okla. 6 7 - - 2 2 - 1 - - Tex. - 141 6 208 4 16 2 7 2 21 MOUNTAIN 96 106 4 5 14 10 7 9 3 3 Mont. - 4 - - 1 1 - - 1 Idaho 2 2 - 1 1 1 - - - Colo. 13 12 - 2 2 3 4 3 1 - Ariz. 59 57 2 2 2 3 4 3 1 -	Ala.	29	20	- 25	2	4	2	-	3	-	-		
W.S. CENTRAL 15 196 13 244 6 18 2 8 3 23 Ark. 4 20 - 1 - 1 1 - - - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 -	Miss.	18	22	1	9	-	1	-	1	-	7		
Ark.420-1 <td>W.S. CENTRAL</td> <td>15</td> <td>196</td> <td>13</td> <td>244</td> <td>6</td> <td>18</td> <td>2</td> <td>8</td> <td>3</td> <td>23</td>	W.S. CENTRAL	15	196	13	244	6	18	2	8	3	23		
Line1111111111Tex141620841627221MOUNTAIN961064514107933Mont41Idaho221111Vyo.121111Vyo.12211-Nex.28Nex.285211Nev.1115211111Nev.1115211111Nev.111521313-2-Oreg.202923NN3165Calif.10511226131111131212Alaska21NNNGuamNNNGuam <td>Ark.</td> <td>4</td> <td>20 28</td> <td>- 7</td> <td>1 35</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>- 2</td>	Ark.	4	20 28	- 7	1 35	-	-	-	-	-	- 2		
Tex141620841627221MOUNTAIN961064514107933Mont411Mov.22111-11Wyo.122111Colo.1312-23215Ariz.59572223431-Nev.1115211111Nev.111521111Nev.111521313-2-Oreg.202923NN3165Calif.10511226131111131212Alaska21RR.419NNGuanRR.419Am	Okla.	6	7	-	-	2	2	-	1	-	-		
MOUNTAIN 96 106 4 5 14 10 7 9 3 3 Mont. - 4 - - - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 -	Tex.	-	141	6	208	4	16	2	7	2	21		
Wolf. - <td>MOUNTAIN</td> <td>96</td> <td>106</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> <td>14</td> <td>10</td> <td>7</td> <td>9</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td>	MOUNTAIN	96	106	4	5	14	10	7	9	3	3		
Wyo. 1 2 - - 2 1 - - 1 - Colo. 13 12 - 2 3 2 1 5 - - N. Mex. 2 8 - - - - - - - - Ariz. 59 57 2 2 2 3 4 3 1 - Utah 8 6 - - 5 2 - - 1 1 PACIFIC 140 153 7 11 16 12 17 14 20 17 Wash. 13 8 2 1 3 1 3 - 2 - Oreg. 20 29 2 3 N N 3 1 6 5 Calif. 105 112 2 6 13 11 11 13 12 12 Alaska 2 1 - - - <td>Idaho</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>- 1</td> <td>- 1</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>- 1</td>	Idaho	2	2	-	-	- 1	- 1	1	-	-	- 1		
Colo.1312-23215N. Mex.28Ariz.59572223431-Utah865211Nev.1115211111PACIFIC140153711161217142017Wash.13821313-2-Oreg.202923NN3165Calif.10511226131111131212Alaska21Hawaii-311GuamVIQuemP.R.419Amer.SamoaUUUUUUUUUUUU <td>Wyo.</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td>	Wyo.	1	2	-	-	2	1	-	-	1	-		
Ariz.595722223431-Utah8652111Nev.11152111111PACIFIC140153711161217142017Wash.13821313-2-Oreg.202923NN3165Calif.10511226131111131212Alaska21Buam-311P.R.419Amer. SamoaUUUUUUUUUUUU	Colo. N Mex	13	12	-	2	3	2	1	5	-	-		
Utah 8 6 - - 5 2 - - 1 1 Nev. 11 15 2 1 1 1 1 - - 1 PACIFIC 140 153 7 11 16 12 17 14 20 17 Wash. 13 8 2 1 3 1 3 - 2 - Oreg. 20 29 2 3 N N 3 1 6 5 Calif. 105 112 2 6 13 11 11 13 12 12 Alaska 2 1 - - - - - - - - Guam - - 3 1 1 - - - N N R. 4 19 - - - - - N N Merc. Samoa U U U U U U <td>Ariz.</td> <td>59</td> <td>57</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>3</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td>	Ariz.	59	57	2	2	2	3	4	3	1	-		
Nev. II IS Z I <thi< th=""> I<td>Utah</td><td>8</td><td>6 15</td><td>- 2</td><td>- 1</td><td>5</td><td>2</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></thi<>	Utah	8	6 15	- 2	- 1	5	2	-	-	1	1		
		140	153	7	11	16	12	17	11	20	17		
Oreg. 20 29 2 3 N N 3 1 6 5 Calif. 105 112 2 6 13 11 11 13 12 12 Alaska 2 1 -	Wash.	13	8	2	1	3	1	3	-	20	-		
Calif. 105 112 2 6 13 11 11 13 12 12 Alaska 2 1 - <td>Oreg.</td> <td>20</td> <td>29</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>N</td> <td>N</td> <td>3</td> <td>1</td> <td>6</td> <td>5</td>	Oreg.	20	29	2	3	N	N	3	1	6	5		
Hawaii - 3 1 1 N N Guam N N P.R. 4 19 N N V.I N N Amer. Samoa U U U U U U U U U	Alaska	105	112	2	6	13	- 11	- 11	-	12	12		
Guam - N	Hawaii	-	3	1	1	-	-	-	-	Ν	Ν		
P.R. 4 19 N N V.I N N Amer. Samoa U U U U U U U U U U U	Guam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Amer.Samoa U U U U U U U U U U	P.R. VI	4	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	N	N		
	Amer. Samoa	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U		

 TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 13, 2004, and March 8, 2003

 (10th Week)*

(Toth week)	Ма	laria	Mening	ococcal ease	Pert	ussis	Rabies	, animal	Rocky Mountain spotted fever		
Peporting area	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	
UNITED STATES	171	254	359	453	1.355	1.231	548	876	<u>1 2004 </u> 86	67	
NEW ENGLAND Maine	12	7	13	17 1	402	126	54 10	77 6	4	-	
N.H.	- 1	2	2	1	7	7	4	5	-	-	
Mass.	7	4	10	13	382	101	18	29	4	-	
R.I. Conn.	1 3	-	-	- 2	- 3	- 1	- 18	1 30	-	-	
MID. ATLANTIC	29	41	45	40	386	125	87	137	7	9	
Upstate N.Y.	7	7	12	5	276	47	58	46	1	-	
N. F. City N.J.	3	4	9 5	6	31	21	-	33	-	4	
Pa.	7	8	19	19	79	57	29	57	5	1	
E.N. CENTRAL	14	18	43	56	156	89	3	4	2	1	
Ind	3	5	18	19	90 7	56 4	2	- 2	2	1	
III.	1	8	1	11	-	-	-		-	-	
Mich. Wis.	5 5	3 2	15 3	12 8	22 37	10 19	-	2	-	-	
W.N. CENTRAL	11	4	17	22	64	73	69	81	2	2	
Minn. Iowa	6 1	2	3	4	14 10	27 28	9	5 9	-	- 1	
Mo.	3	-	5	11	33	12	2	-	2	1	
N. Dak. S. Dak	-	-	- 1	-	1	- 1	11	12	-	-	
Nebr.	-	-	1	1	-	-	12	9	-	-	
Kans.	1	-	4	1	6	5	16	39	-	-	
S. ATLANTIC	69	120	66 1	148	72	173	276	499	61	51	
Md.	19	16	4	6	22	14	50	57	2	4	
D.C.	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	
va. W. Va.	- 4	2	2 3	1	-	1	13	10	-	-	
N.C.	3	4	7	5	16	36	106	104	56	27	
S.C. Ga.	3 10	3	5 10	6 11	-	2	64	25 57	- 2	-	
Fla.	26	92	34	107	12	114	11	170	1	18	
E.S. CENTRAL	4	5	16	21	20	21	11	28	8	2	
Ky. Tenn.	1	1 2	3	2	13	3	2	4 19	- 2	- 1	
Ala.	1	2	2	5	1	8	2	5	1	-	
Miss.	1	-	4	11	4	2	-	-	5	1	
W.S. CENTRAL Ark.	5 1	16 1	35 5	51 2	18 2	28	26	18	-	2	
La.	2	1	9	19	2	4	-	-	-	-	
Okla. Tex	1	- 14	1 20	3 27	- 14	2 20	18	18	-	- 2	
MOUNTAIN	8	6	23	15	150	193	14	12	-	-	
Mont.	-	-	1	-	4	_	1	1	-	-	
Idaho Wyo	-	1	2	-	13	7 28	-	-	-	-	
Colo.	3	4	10	4	79	77	-	-	-	-	
N. Mex.	1	-	2	2	8	16	-	-	-	-	
Utah	2 1	-	1	-	17	16	-	-	-	-	
Nev.	1	-	-	3	-	5	-	-	-	-	
PACIFIC	19	37	101	83	87	403	8	20	2	-	
oreg.	2	4 5	/ 22	8 21	60 26	29 49	-	-	-	-	
Calif.	16	28	67	51		324	8	19	2	-	
Alaska Hawaii	-	-	1 4	-	1	- 1	-	1	-	-	
Guam	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
P.R.	-	-	-	1	1	-	14	10	Ν	Ν	
v.i. Amer. Samoa	-	- U	- U	- U	- U	- U	-	- U	- U	-	
C.N.M.I.	-	Ŭ	-	Ŭ	-	Ŭ	-	Ŭ	-	Ŭ	

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 13, 2004, and March 8, 2003

MMWR

(10th week)*							Streptococcus pneumoniae, invasive					
	Salmo	nellosis	Shine	llosis	Streptococ	cal disease,	Drug res	sistant,				
Reporting area	Cum. 2004	Cum.	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum.		
UNITED STATES	4.280	8.858	1.769	5.841	957	1.512	573	1.091	74	92		
NEW ENGLAND	189	200	43	64	43	112	1	26	1	1		
Maine	8	11	-	3	2	4	-	-	-	-		
N.H. Vt.	13	13	-	- 1	6	6	-	- 3	IN -	N 1		
Mass.	112	129	29	44	33	58	N	Ň	N	Ň		
R.I. Conn	7 43	10 33	- 11	2 14	2	- 37	1	- 23	1 U	- U		
	522	593	189	325	140	236	33	27	16	18		
Upstate N.Y.	113	72	82	41	56	69	15	14	10	14		
N.Y. City	148	196	51	88	14	35	U	U	U	U		
Pa.	168	206	26	115	47	70	18	13	6	4		
E.N. CENTRAL	605	677	160	282	163	320	123	93	32	52		
Ohio	172	196	44	56	60	77	96	73	23	32		
Ind. III	56 157	37 256	12 59	14 141	13 16	12 89	27	20	6	3		
Mich.	114	90	27	41	66	91	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν		
Wis.	106	98	18	30	8	51	N	N	3	17		
W.N. CENTRAL	247	258	56	138	80	73	54	63	7	10		
lowa	54 48	65	3	6	36 N	24 N	N	N	Ň	o N		
Mo.	70	62	20	54	14	22	3	3	-	-		
N. Dak. S. Dak	6 11	5 13	1	- 8	3	3	-	2	-	2		
Nebr.	19	14	2	43	6	7	-	-	Ν	Ν		
Kans.	39	30	18	13	16	9	51	58	N	N		
S. ATLANTIC	1,133	5,304	580	3,546	260	381	304	818	2	2		
Del. Md.	ъ 81	12	22	74 122	49	2 57	-	- 1	IN -	IN -		
D.C.	4		8		2	-	-	-	2	-		
Va. W Va	112	71	19	42	10	8	N 13	N 12	N	N 2		
N.C.	162	225	91	158	22	22	Ň	Ň	U	Ū		
S.C.	59	64	54	23	2	4	17	40	N	N		
Fla.	476	4,669	262	2,849	51	231	145	611	N	N		
E.S. CENTRAL	201	290	89	159	44	32	32	24	-	-		
Ky.	35	51	16	29	20	5	8	1	N	N		
Ienn. Ala	63 60	97 92	42 15	42 59	24	27	24	23	N N	N N		
Miss.	43	50	16	29	-	-	-	-	-	-		
W.S. CENTRAL	236	398	210	627	32	120	18	30	15	7		
Ark.	40	52	11	6	3	2	3	7	2	2		
Okla.	41	33	69	110	13	18	N	N N	8	2		
Tex.	131	254	113	443	16	99	N	N	3	-		
MOUNTAIN	414	318	206	201	76	126	8	9	1	2		
Mont. Idaho	14 29	15 20	3	- 3	-	- 7	- N	N	N	N		
Wyo.	5	4	1	1	3	-	4	-	-	-		
Colo.	99	90 29	38	27	40	29 34	-	-	-	-		
Ariz.	193	114	120	122	4	54	-	-	Ν	Ν		
Utah	30	25	8	6	8	2	-	-	1	2		
	700	21	10	0	-	-	1	-	-	-		
Wash.	733 54	o∠∪ 55	∠30 11	499 24	10	-	-	1 -	N	N		
Oreg.	50	49	11	.11	N	N	N	N	N	N		
Calif. Alaska	555 22	671 18	203	454 2	84	94	N -	N -	N N	N N		
Hawaii	52	27	9	8	25	18	-	1	-	-		
Guam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
P.R.	21	80	1	2	N	N	N	N	N	N		
Amer. Samoa	Ū	U	Ū	U	U	Ū	U	U	U	U		
C.N.M.I.	3	U	-	U	-	U	-	U	-	U		

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 13, 2004, and March 8, 2003

(10th Week)*					<u> </u>				Martin			
	Primary	Syph	ilis Cong	onital	Tuber	culocic	Typho	id fover	Varicella (Chickenpox)			
	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.		
Reporting area	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003		
	1,111	1,284	35	98	975	1,735	36	62	2,567	3,130		
Maine	- 15	- 29	-	-	- 29	40	4	-	172	549 292		
N.H.	1	4	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-		
Vt. Mass.	- 8	21	-	-	25	11	- 4	2	155	207 48		
R.I.	2	2	-	-	3	7	-	-	-	2		
Conn.	4	2	-	-	1	16	-	1	-	-		
MID. AI LAN LIC	162 9	143	5	14	229 18	332	4	12 1	8	4		
N.Y. City	84	68	3	5	174	170	1	7	-	-		
N.J. Pa	29 40	40 32	-	8	- 37	56 79	2	3	- 8	-		
EN CENTRAL	95	177	13	19	170	174	2	4	1 1 1 9	1 533		
Ohio	36	34	-	2	31	31	1	-	273	353		
Ind.	10	6	-	5	13	22	-	2	-	-		
III. Mich.	23	69 66	- 13	9	107	82 31	- 1	1	- 814	- 972		
Wis.	3	2	-	-	11	8	-	-	32	208		
W.N. CENTRAL	23	42	-	-	39	84	-	-	40	5		
Minn. Iowa	2	15	-	-	18	26	-	-	- N	- N		
Mo.	14	16	-	-	11	21	-	-	-	-		
N. Dak. S. Dak	-	-	-	-	- 2	- 8	-	-	21	5		
Nebr.	4	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-		
Kans.	3	9	-	-	4	22	-	-	-	-		
S. ATLANTIC	296	302	2	17	213	290	7	23	344	482		
Md.	48	47	-	- 4	26	26	2	2	- 1	-		
D.C.	15	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-		
Va. W Va	1	13	-	1	6	24	1	4	42 257	101 358		
N.C.	30	29	-	1	20	22	2	1	-	-		
S.C.	25	27	-	3	16	17	-	-	39	22		
Fla.	142	114	2	3	129	127	2	15	-	-		
E.S. CENTRAL	59	75	1	6	50	112	-	-	1	-		
Ky.	14	14	-	1	6	16	-	-	-	-		
Ala.	27 12	28 25	-	4	30 14	32 49	-	-	-	-		
Miss.	6	8	-	-	-	15	-	-	1	-		
W.S. CENTRAL	202	151	12	14	41	283	2	1	333	543		
Ark. La	11 42	9 15	-	-	20	11	-	-	-	- 5		
Okla.	6	8	2	-	21	14	-	-	-	-		
Tex.	143	119	10	14	-	258	2	1	333	538		
MOUNTAIN	84	57	2	14	32	38	5	2	550	14		
Idaho	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Wyo.	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	11	2		
Colo. N Mex	- 20	8 15	-	2	2	17	-	2	386	-		
Ariz.	54	31	2	8	21	18	3	-	-	-		
Utah	2	1	-	-	9	1	1	-	135	12		
	175	208	-	11	172	202	12	17	-	_		
Wash.	11	12	-	-	41	43	1	-	-	-		
Oreg.	9	12	-	-	12	15	-	2	-	-		
Alaska	155	280	-	14	87 7	∠89 14	8 -	15	-	-		
Hawaii	-	4	-	-	25	21	3	-	-	-		
Guam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
P.R. V.I.	20	26 1	-	1	-	11	-	-	69	86		
Amer. Samoa	U	Ü	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U		
C.N.M.I.	2	U	-	U	10	U	-	U	-	U		

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 13, 2004, and March 8, 2003

TABLE III. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,* week ending March 13, 2004 (10th Week)

	All causes, by age (years)						All causes, by age (years)								
Reporting Area	All Ages	<u>≥</u> 65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	P&I [†] Total	Reporting Area	All Ages	<u>></u> 65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	P&I [†] Total
NEW ENGLAND	508	370	87	31	12	8	65	S. ATLANTIC	1,498	951	371	105	39	32	78
Boston, Mass.	135	89	27	11	4	4	16	Atlanta, Ga.	192	109	53	18	7	5	7
Bridgeport, Conn.	33	27	3	3	-	-	5	Baltimore, Md.	157	93	38	20	4	2	12
Cambridge, Mass.	19	14	4	1	-	-	3	Charlotte, N.C.	113	67	31	11	1	3	8
Fall River, Mass.	27	23	2	2	-	-	-	Jacksonville, Fla.	166	109	39	11	4	3	6
Hartford, Conn.	41	27	9	3	2	-	2	Miami, Fla.	158	94	44	14	1	5	6
Lowell, Mass.	20	14	6	-	-	-	3	Norfolk, Va.	50	35	11	1	1	2	4
Lynn, Mass.	10	7	3	-	-	-	1	Richmond, Va.	63	31	25	4	2	1	7
New Bedford, Mass.	23	19	2	1		1	4	Savannah, Ga.	63	47	15	1	-	-	3
New Haven, Conn.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	St. Petersburg, Fla.	53	34	11	6	1	1	2
Providence, R.I.	64	51	8	5	-	-	3	Tampa, Fla.	169	128	27	10	2	5	11
Somerville, Mass.	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	vvasnington, D.C.	298	192	73	12	16	5	9
Springfield, Mass.	57	39	9	2	5	2	17	Vviimington, Dei.	16	12	4	-	-	-	3
Waterbury, Conn.	34	25	5	3	1	-	3	E.S. CENTRAL	919	626	203	49	17	21	60
worcester, wass.	41	31	9	-	-	1	8	Birmingham, Ala.	189	135	41	5	4	1	15
MID. ATLANTIC	2,934	2,049	576	190	56	59	187	Chattanooga, Tenn.	69	50	12	3	2	2	5
Albany, N.Y.	55	40	9	4	1	1	7	Knoxville, Tenn.	110	73	29	6	1	1	1
Allentown, Pa.	18	17	1	-	-	-	-	Lexington, Ky.	67	40	16	7	1	3	4
Buffalo, N.Y.	87	62	17	4	2	2	12	Memphis, Tenn.	207	135	45	14	2	11	14
Camden, N.J.	32	21	8	-	-	3	-	Mobile, Ala.	104	72	22	5	4	1	4
Elizabeth, N.J.	9	4	5	-	-	-	-	Montgomery, Ala.	31	20	9	1	-	1	5
Erie, Pa.	49	39	7	1	1	1	2	Nashville, Tenn.	142	101	29	8	3	1	12
Jersey City, N.J.	45	25	11	9	-	-	-		1 450	0.00	224	101	24	4.4	00
New York City, N.Y.	1,808	1,263	365	115	33	28	114	VV.S. CENTRAL	1,459	920	334	121	34	44	00
Newark, N.J.	41	22	10	9	-	-	3	Austin, Iex.	100	65	12	12	-	1	5
Paterson, N.J.	15	8	4	2	-	1	-	Balon Rouge, La.	60	49	13	0	-		2
Philadelphia, Pa.	382	241	80	30	12	19	18	Delles Terr	0	0	70	0	0	10	0
Pittsburgh, Pa.§	28	17	7	1	3	-	-	Dallas, Tex.	258	141	13	22	9	13	20
Reading, Pa.	26	22	3	-	-	1	3	El Paso, Tex.	122	21	10	1 4	2		3
Rochester, N.Y.	137	114	16	5	1	1	10	FL. WOITIN, Tex.	133	10	29	14	5	10	15
Schenectady, N.Y.	26	19	6	1	-	-	2	Housion, lex.	325	195	12	20	9	13	15
Scranton, Pa.	32	29	3	-	-	-	1	Little Rock, Alk.	27	43	10	2	1	2	5
Syracuse, N.Y.	63	45	12	4	2	-	9	Son Antonio Tox	21	170	ے 50	2	-	-	-
Trenton, N.J.	33	23	7	2	-	1	-	Sall Allionio, Tex.	200	170	00	20	0	0	23
Utica, N.Y.	22	17	3	2	-	-	3	Tuloa Okla	30	23	22	1	-	2	3
Yonkers, N.Y.	26	21	2	1	1	1	3	Tuisa, Okia.	117	00	23	4	2	-	4
	2 1 2 1	1 / 27	107	162	50	20	162	MOUNTAIN	1,101	755	229	70	22	25	85
Akron Obio	2,121	1,437	421	103	1	30	102	Albuquerque, N.M.	143	91	31	13	6	2	12
Conton Ohio	47	35	0	4	1	2	5	Boise, Idaho	67	47	13	5	-	2	8
Canton, Onio	244	102	80	1	10	-	21	Colo. Springs, Colo.	88	68	17	2	-	1	6
Cincinnati Obio	105	67	22	47	0	3	2 I 1 1	Denver, Colo.	104	64	24	6	4	6	4
Cloveland Ohio	226	101	22	5	3	2 1	11	Las Vegas, Nev.	284	187	65	25	3	4	23
Columbus, Ohio	220	126	47	15	6	3	17	Ogden, Utah	30	25	5	-	-	-	3
Davton Ohio	104	73	10	9	2	1	5	Phoenix, Ariz.	39	28	6	2	2	1	3
Detroit Mich	177	105	50	15	5	2	20	Pueblo, Colo.	38	29	8	1	-	-	4
Evansville Ind	48	38	7	2	-	1	20	Salt Lake City, Utah	111	70	26	6	6	3	9
Fort Wayne Ind	82	54	14	7	6	1	1	Tucson, Ariz.	197	146	34	10	1	6	13
Gary Ind	18	10	7		1		2	PACIFIC	2 4 8 5	1 769	494	133	53	35	227
Grand Rapids Mich	58	41	14	3		-	9	Berkeley Calif	2,400	1,703	2	-	-	-	-
Indianapolis Ind	176	114	38	14	3	7	16	Fresno Calif	155	112	34	5	1	2	11
Lansing Mich	42	26	8	7	-	1	2	Glendale Calif	51	39	q	2		1	3
Milwaukee Wis	105	79	15	4	2	5	à	Honolulu Hawaii	90	63	19	6		2	5
Peoria III	60	43	9	8	-	-	4	Long Beach, Calif	94	59	23	4	4	4	14
Rockford III	62	49	10	2	1	-	5	Los Angeles Calif	882	623	173	55	23	8	83
South Bend Ind	58	40	13	4	1	-	5	Pasadena Calif	11	11	1/0	11	20	ŭ	11
Toledo Ohio	89	68	13	6		2	8	Portland Oreg	163	122	31	4	6	-	10
Youngstown Ohio	78	61	12	1	_	1	6	Sacramento Calif	226	171	40	10	3	2	28
ioungstown, Onio	70	01	12	4		'	0	San Diego Calif	164	118	30	9	1	6	20
W.N. CENTRAL	676	460	132	43	18	22	60	San Francisco Calif	146	90	37	12	4	3	11
Des Moines, Iowa	88	61	20	3	-	4	10	San Jose Calif	101	1//	31	8	5	3	25
Duluth, Minn.	33	29	3	1	-	-	6	Santa Cruz Calif	/7	20	7	1	-	-	20
Kansas City, Kans.	16	10	4	-	1	1	1	Seattle Wash	47 110	71	י 1	6	- 2	2	<u>ک</u>
Kansas City, Mo.	103	69	16	10	1	6	6	Seallie, Wash	E0	11	31 44	5	∠ 1	∠ 1	0
Lincoln, Nebr.	37	25	6	2	2	2	1	Tacoma Wash	03	30 71	16	с С	2	1	4
Minneapolis, Minn.	66	47	9	4	5	1	4		91	11	10	0	3	1	3
Omaha, Nebr.	96	71	19	3	1	2	16	TOTAL	13,701¶	9,343	2,853	905	301	284	1,010
St. Louis, Mo.	138	75	33	17	7	6	7	1							
St. Paul, Minn.	43	34	8	1	-	-	6								
Wichita, Kans.	56	39	14	2	1	-	3								

U: Unavailable. -: No reported cases.

* Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of >100,000. A death is reported by the place of its

¹ Total includes unknown ages.

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy each week, send an e-mail message to *listserv@listserv.cdc.gov*. The body content should read *SUBscribe mmwr-toc*. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's World-Wide Web server at *http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr* or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at *ftp://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/publications/mmwr*. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone 202-512-1800.

Data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, to Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop C-08, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone 888-232-3228.

All material in the MMWR Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

All MMWR references are available on the Internet at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr. Use the search function to find specific articles.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to *MMWR* readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of these sites. URL addresses listed in *MMWR* were current as of the date of publication.

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 2004-633-140/69178 Region IV ISSN: 0149-2195