

Weekly

April 30, 2004 / Vol. 53 / No. 16

Increases in Fluoroquinolone-Resistant *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* Among Men Who Have Sex with Men — United States, 2003, and Revised Recommendations for Gonorrhea Treatment, 2004

In the United States, an estimated 700,000-800,000 persons are infected with Neisseria gonorrhoeae each year (1,2). Since 1993, CDC has recommended use of fluoroquinolones (i.e., ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, or levofloxacin) for gonorrhea treatment. Fluoroquinolone therapy is used frequently because it is an inexpensive, oral, and single-dose therapy. However, because of increased prevalence of fluoroquinolone-resistant N. gonorrhoeae (QRNG)* in Asia, the Pacific Islands (including Hawaii), and California, fluoroquinolones are no longer recommended for treating gonorrhea acquired in those locations (3-5). This report describes increases in QRNG among men who have sex with men (MSM) in Massachusetts, New York City, and 30 sites surveyed by the Gonococcal Isolate Surveillance Project (GISP) during 2003. CDC recommends that clinicians no longer use fluoroquinolones as a first-line treatment for gonorrhea in MSM.

GISP

GISP is a CDC-sponsored sentinel surveillance system that monitors antimicrobial susceptibilities in *N. gonorrhoeae* through ongoing testing of approximately 5,000 male urethral gonococcal isolates obtained annually from patients at 30 sexually transmitted disease (STD) clinics in the United States. Preliminary data collected during January–September 2003 from all GISP sites indicate a QRNG prevalence of 4.2%, compared with 2.2% in 2002 (6) and 0.7% in 2001 (6). Excluding Hawaii and California, preliminary 2003 QRNG prevalence was 0.9% in 2003, compared with 0.4% in 2002 and 0.02% in 2001; in addition, in 2003, QRNG prevalence was 4.9% among MSM and 0.4% among heterosexual men (Figure), compared with 1.8% among MSM and 0.2% among heterosexual men in 2002.

Massachusetts

During January–August 2003, the Massachusetts State Laboratory Institute performed antimicrobial susceptibility tests on 249 gonococcal isolates from 235 patients in clinical facilities throughout the state. QRNG accounted for 10.4% (26 of 249) of gonococcal isolates tested during this period, compared with 2.1% (10 of 486) in 2002 and zero (0 of 386) in 2001.

The 26 QRNG isolates in 2003 were obtained from 24 patients, of whom 22 were male and two were female partners of men identified with QRNG; seven (29%) were STD clinic patients. Of the 22 male QRNG patients, four (18%) reported having sex exclusively with women, one reported having sex with men and women, and 17 (77%) reported having sex



^{*} Defined by the National Committee on Clinical Laboratory Standards as *N. gonorrhoeae* resistant to ciprofloxacin (minimum inhibitory concentration [MIC] >1.0 μ g/mL by agar dilution or disk diffusion zone size \leq 27 mm) or ofloxacin (MIC >2.0 μ g/mL or disk diffusion zone size <24 mm).

The MMWR series of publications is published by the Epidemiology Program Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA 30333.

SUGGESTED CITATION

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Article Title]. MMWR 2004;53:[inclusive page numbers].

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Julie L. Gerberding, M.D., M.P.H. Director

Dixie E. Snider, M.D., M.P.H. (Acting) Deputy Director for Public Health Science

> Tanja Popovic, M.D., Ph.D. (Acting) Associate Director for Science

Epidemiology Program Office

Stephen B. Thacker, M.D., M.Sc. Director

Office of Scientific and Health Communications

John W. Ward, M.D. Director Editor, MMWR Series

Suzanne M. Hewitt, M.P.A. Managing Editor, MMWR Series

Douglas W. Weatherwax (Acting) Lead Technical Writer/Editor

> Jude C. Rutledge Teresa F. Rutledge Writers/Editors


Lynda G. Cupell Malbea A. LaPete Visual Information Specialists

Kim L. Bright, M.B.A. Quang M. Doan, M.B.A. Erica R. Shaver Information Technology Specialists

Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics

Notifiable Disease Morbidity and 122 Cities Mortality Data Robert F. Fagan Deborah A. Adams Judith Allen Felicia I. Connor Lateka Dammond Rosaline Dhara Donna Edwards Patsy A. Hall Pearl C. Sharp

FIGURE. Prevalence of fluoroquinolone-resistant Neisseria gonorrhoeae infection, by sex, sexual behavior, and surveillance site — United States, 2003*



* Data from Massachusetts and New York City are from sexually transmitted disease clinics. In the Gonococcal Isolate Surveillance Project (GISP), data are presented for all areas, excluding Hawaii and California; no women are surveyed in GISP. All data are preliminary. Gonococcal Isolate Surveillance Project.

exclusively with men. None of the patients with QRNG was identified as a result of treatment failure.

Medical records were reviewed for all 111 male gonorrhea patients who had diagnosis by culture at STD clinics in the state. Of these, seven (6.3%) had QRNG identified, with 11.1% (six of 54) QRNG prevalence among MSM and 1.8% (one of 55) among heterosexual men. A total of 14 female patients had gonorrhea diagnosed at STD clinics; none had QRNG.

Since 1987, the Massachusetts Department of Public Health has recommended use of ceftriaxone rather than fluoroquinolones for treatment of uncomplicated gonococcal infections. When local increases in QRNG were identified in late 2002, the health department issued a clinical advisory to health-care providers throughout the state, alerting them to the increase and advising that fluoroquinolones were not recommended for gonorrhea treatment unless antimicrobial susceptibility testing excluded fluoroquinolone resistance^T. Beginning in June 2003, any health-care provider who reported a patient who had been treated with a fluoroquinolone was sent a notice recommending that a test of cure be performed unless susceptibility testing was performed initially to rule out QRNG.

[†]Available at http://www.state.ma.us/dph/cdc/std/ca_gc.htm.

New York City

During January-July 2003, antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed on 643 gonococcal isolates from patients evaluated at the 10 STD clinics operated by the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene's Bureau of Sexually Transmitted Disease Control. During this interval, antimicrobial resistance information was available from cultures performed on oropharyngeal and rectal specimens in all 10 clinics. Consequently, MSM probably are overrepresented among men who have culture-confirmed gonorrhea. In one clinic, cultures also were performed on urethral specimens. None of the clinics obtained endocervical specimens for culture. Most testing for gonorrhea was conducted by using nucleic acid amplification methods. QRNG accounted for 3.4% (22 of 643) of isolates tested during January–July 2003, compared with 0.3% (eight of 3,196) in 2002 and 0.1% (three of 3,144) in 2001.

Medical record reviews were performed at six of the STD clinics. During January–July 2003, a total of 394 gonococcal isolates from 369 patients at these six clinics were tested for antimicrobial susceptibility; QRNG was identified in 5% (18 of 369) of the patients. Seventeen (94%) of the 18 patients with QRNG were male, and 13 (77%) reported being MSM. QRNG prevalence among patients for whom sexual behavior was documented was 12.5% (14 of 112) among MSM, 1.6% (three of 183) among heterosexual men, and 2.4% (one of 42) among women.

Fourteen of the 17 patients with QRNG for whom gonorrhea treatment history was available had been treated with ceftriaxone. New York City STD clinic treatment protocols specify that gonorrhea be treated with ceftriaxone and that fluoroquinolones only be used if culture is performed so the patient can be recalled if QRNG is identified.

Reported by: S Ratelle, T Bertrand, W Dumas, Massachusetts Dept of Public Health. K Macomber, D Ganoczy, Michigan Dept of Community Health. J Schillinger, S Manning, J Reddy, S Blank, New York City Dept of Health and Mental Hygiene. S Wang, H Weinstock, J Newhall, K Workowski, S Berman, Div of STD Prevention, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention, CDC.

Editorial Note: Fluoroquinolones are used frequently to treat gonorrhea in the United States because they are inexpensive and easy to administer and their continued use might decrease the use of cephalosporins and delay the development of cephalosporin resistance. However, local and national data suggest that the prevalence of QRNG among MSM infected with gonorrhea is close to or exceeds 5%. This level of resistance often is used as the level at which a therapeutic regimen should be changed (7); other factors, including prevalence of gonorrhea, availability of antimicrobial susceptibility data, and cost

of various diagnostic and treatment options, might result in higher or lower thresholds for change. In the absence of antimicrobial susceptibility testing or tests of cure, fluoroquinolones should no longer be used to treat proven or suspected gonococcal infections in MSM in the United States. Health departments should notify clinicians about this new recommendation. Some local health departments have issued similar recommendations recently.

Fluoroquinolones also should not be used to treat patients whose gonorrhea was acquired in Asia, the Pacific Islands (including Hawaii), California, and other areas, such as England and Wales, with increased QRNG prevalence (4,8). For those infections acquired where QRNG is not endemic, before determining treatment, clinicians should obtain travel histories from patients and information on the sex of sex partners from male patients with proven or suspected gonorrhea. A list of places that should be included in a relevant travel history is available at http://www.cdc.gov/std/gisp.

For patients with gonorrhea who are MSM or who provide a history suggesting acquisition of infection in an area with high QRNG prevalence, CDC recommends ceftriaxone 125 mg intramuscularly or cefixime 400 mg orally (not currently available in the United States [9]); spectinomycin 2 g intramuscularly is an alternative. Spectinomycin may be used for urogenital and anorectal gonorrhea but is not sufficiently effective to treat pharyngeal gonorrhea (4,10). If *Chlamydia trachomatis* is not ruled out, each regimen should be followed with either azithromycin 1.0 g orally (single dose) or doxycycline 100 mg orally twice daily for 7 days to treat possible coinfection with chlamydia.

The limited availability of a recommended oral treatment regimen for gonorrhea poses practical problems for treating QRNG. Besides the fluoroquinolones, cefixime, whose manufacture was discontinued in 2002, is the only CDCrecommended oral agent for treating gonorrhea. Although Lupin, Ltd. (Baltimore, Maryland) received Food and Drug Administration approval to manufacture and market cefixime in February 2004, the 400-mg tablets to treat gonorrhea are not yet available; the suspension (100 mg/5 mL) is available. The health departments of California and Washington state have suggested alternative oral treatments (e.g., cefpodoxime 400 mg) that have not yet been evaluated adequately. CDC will provide additional information about the availability of cefixime and efficacy of other oral agents for treating gonorrhea as it becomes available (http://www.cdc.gov/std/ treatment/cefixime.htm).

Clinicians must be vigilant in identifying treatment failures when fluoroquinolones are used, advise their patients about the importance of follow-up if symptoms persist, and be prepared to evaluate such cases by culture. In cases of persistent gonococcal infection after treatment with fluoroquinolones, antimicrobial susceptibility testing should be performed. Only culture of N. gonorrhoeae can be used to determine antimicrobial susceptibility. Health departments without the capacity to perform culture and antimicrobial susceptibility testing should develop those capabilities locally or partner with laboratories outside their jurisdictions. The antimicrobial susceptibility testing panel should, at a minimum, include a fluoroquinolone, ceftriaxone, spectinomycin, azithromycin, and any other drugs in local use for gonorrhea treatment. Arrangements for antimicrobial susceptibility testing can be made by contacting state and local health departments. Through their state and local health departments, clinicians and laboratorians should report treatment failures or resistant gonococcal isolates to CDC, telephone 404-639-2059.

Given the apparent low prevalence of QRNG among heterosexuals, a national change in treatment in that group is not recommended at this time. However, QRNG prevalence among heterosexuals is likely to increase over time and already might be high enough in some areas to warrant new local treatment recommendations. For example, increased prevalence of QRNG among heterosexuals has been identified in several counties in Michigan, where recommendations have been made to avoid using fluoroquinolones among all persons infected with gonorrhea. Because gonococcal infections, especially in women, frequently are asymptomatic, monitoring for symptomatic treatment failures alone does not provide a reliable indication of emerging antimicrobial resistance. Therefore, as part of effective gonorrhea-control programs, health departments should evaluate their current QRNG surveillance activities and consider plans to monitor for the presence of QRNG among heterosexual populations with gonorrhea. If prevalence increases nationally among heterosexuals, guidance from CDC will be forthcoming. Local and state treatment recommendations, technical information, surveillance data, references, and other links related to gonococcal resistance are available at http://www.cdc.gov/std/gisp.

References

- Institute of Medicine, Division of Health Promotion and Disease Prevention. The hidden epidemic: confronting sexually transmitted diseases. Eng TR, Butler WT, eds. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 1997.
- Weinstock H, Berman S, Cates W. Sexually transmitted infections in American youth: incidence and prevalence estimates, 2000. Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health 2004;36:6–10.
- CDC. Fluoroquinolone-resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae in Hawaii, 1999 and decreased susceptibility to azithromycin in N. gonorrhoeae, Missouri, 1999. MMWR 2000;49:833–6.
- 4. CDC. Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines 2002. MMWR 2002;51(No. RR-6).

- CDC. Increases in fluoroquinolone-resistant Neisseria gonorrhoeae— Hawaii and California, 2001. MMWR 2002;51:1041–4.
- CDC. Sexually Transmitted Disease Surveillance 2002 Supplement: Gonococcal Isolate Surveillance Project (GISP) Annual Report 2002. Atlanta, Georgia: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 2003.
- Tapsall J. Antimicrobial resistance in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2001; WHO/CDS/DRS/ 2001.3:16.
- Fenton KA, Ison C, Johnson AP, et al. Ciprofloxacin resistance in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* in England and Wales in 2002. Lancet 2003;361:1867–9.
- 9. CDC. Discontinuation of cefixime tablets—United States. MMWR 2002;51:1052.
- CDC. Oral alternatives to cefixime for the treatment of uncomplicated *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* urogenital infections. Available at http:// www.cdc.gov/std/treatment/cefixime.htm.

Preliminary FoodNet Data on the Incidence of Infection with Pathogens Transmitted Commonly Through Food — Selected Sites, United States, 2003

In the United States, an estimated 76 million persons contract foodborne and other acute diarrheal illnesses each year (1). CDC's Emerging Infections Program Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet) collects data on diseases caused by enteric pathogens transmitted commonly through food in nine* U.S. sites (2). FoodNet quantifies and monitors the incidence of these infections by conducting active surveillance for laboratory-diagnosed illness (3). This report describes preliminary surveillance data for 2003 and compares them with 1996-2002 data. The data indicate substantial declines in the incidence of infections caused by Campylobacter, Cryptosporidium parvum, Escherichia coli O157, Salmonella, and Yersinia enterocolitica. These data represent progress toward meeting the 2010 national health objectives of reducing the incidence of foodborne infections (objective nos. 10.1a, 10.1b, and 10.1d) (4). However, increased efforts are needed to reduce further the incidence of foodborne illnesses, particularly among children.

In 1996, FoodNet began active surveillance for laboratorydiagnosed cases of *Campylobacter*, Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* (STEC) O157, *Listeria, Salmonella, Shigella, Vibrio*, and *Yersinia*. In 1997, FoodNet added surveillance for cases of *Cryptosporidium* and *Cyclospora cayetanensis*. During 1996– 2003, the FoodNet surveillance population increased from

^{*} California, Colorado, Connecticut, Georgia, Maryland, Minnesota, New York, Oregon, and Tennessee.

up-to-the-minute: adj

1 : extending up to the immediate present, including the very latest information; see also *MMWR*.



know what matters.



14.2 million persons in five sites to 41.5 million in nine sites (14% of the U.S. population).

To ascertain cases, FoodNet personnel routinely contact all clinical laboratories in their surveillance areas. Preliminary incidence for 2003 was calculated by using the number of cases of diagnosed infections divided by 2002 population estimates (5).

2003 Surveillance

In 2003, a total of 15,600 laboratory-diagnosed cases of infections under surveillance caused by nine pathogens were identified: 6,017, *Salmonella*; 5,215, *Campylobacter*; 3,021, *Shigella*; 480, *Cryptosporidium*; 443, *E. coli* O157; 161, *Yersinia*; 138, *Listeria*; 110, *Vibrio*; and 15, *Cyclospora*. Among the 5,455 (91%) *Salmonella* isolates serotyped, five serotypes accounted for 59% of infections: 1,104 (20%) Typhimurium, 759 (14%) Enteritidis, 653 (12%) Newport, 348 (6%) Heidelberg, and 331 (6%) Javiana. Among 2,810 (93%) *Shigella* isolates identified to species, 2,410 (86%) were *S.* sonnei, and 370 (13%) were *S.* flexneri. Among 100 (91%) *Vibrio* isolates identified to species, 46 (46%) were *V. parahaemolyticus*, and 16 (16%) were *V. vulnificus*.

As in previous years, certain infections affected children disproportionately. The incidence of *Salmonella* infection, defined as the number of laboratory isolations per 100,000 persons, was 122.7 for infants (i.e., aged <1 year) and 50.6 young children (i.e., aged 1–4 years), compared with 10.8 for other persons (i.e., aged \geq 5 years). The incidence of *Yersinia* infection was 9.6 for infants and 1.4 for young children, compared with 0.2 for other persons. The incidence of *E. coli* O157 infection was 4.5 for young children, compared with 0.9 for other persons. Substantial variation in incidence across sites also was reported for most infections (Table).

1996–2003 Comparison

For most pathogens, the 2003 incidence of infection was lower than the average annual incidence for 1996–1998 (Table). However, the number of sites and the population under surveillance have increased since FoodNet began in 1996, confounding this comparison. To account for the increased population and variation in the incidence among sites, a main effects log-linear Poisson regression model was used to estimate the effect of time on the incidence of the various pathogens by treating calendar year as a categorical variable. The relative change in incidence from 1996 to 2003 was estimated along with corresponding confidence intervals (CIs).

During 1996–2003, the estimated incidence of several infections declined significantly (Figure 1). The estimated incidence of *Yersinia* infections decreased 49% (95% CI = 61% to 34% decrease), *E. coli* O157 decreased 42% (95% CI = 58% to 19% decrease), *Campylobacter* decreased 28% (95% CI = 36% to 20% decrease), and *Salmonella* decreased 17% (95% CI = 26% to 7% decrease). From 1997 to 2003, the incidence of *Cryptosporidium* infection decreased 51% (95% CI = 64% to 34% decrease). The decrease in *E. coli* O157 infections occurred primarily during 2002–2003. Although the incidence of *Cyclospora* infection has decreased since 1997,

TABLE. Incidence of cases of infection with nine pathogens under surveillance by the Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network, by site, compared with national health objectives for 2010 — United States, 1996–1998 and 2003

											Overall	National health objectives
Pathogens	California	Colorado	Connecticut	Georgia	Maryland	Minnesota	New York	Oregon	Tennessee	2003	1996–1998*	for 2010
Bacteria												
Campylobacter [†]	26.9	14.6	15.7	7.0	7.4	18.7	11.8	16.4	7.9	12.6	21.7	12.3
Escherichia coli O	157 [†] 0.9	1.5	1.1	0.3	0.3	2.7	1.2	2.4	0.6	1.1	2.3	1.0
Listeria§	5.3	2.4	6.4	3.7	5.1	1.2	2.8	1.4	1.9	3.3	4.9	2.5
Salmonella [†]	14.9	10.0	11.6	23.3	14.6	11.5	10.0	10.7	13.0	14.5	13.5	6.8
Shigella [†]	8.6	9.5	2.0	13.2	8.5	2.1	6.0	3.0	6.9	7.3	7.7	NA¶
Vibrio§	6.2	0.4	2.9	3.4	4.0	0.8	1.8	1.7	1.9	3.0	2.4	NA
Yersinia [§]	5.9	2.0	4.6	5.7	2.0	2.4	3.8	1.4	5.0	4.0	8.9	NA
Parasites												
<i>Cryptosporidium</i> [§]	5.7	4.8	5.8	14.1	3.1	30.7	12.1	9.7	7.2	10.9	26.8	NA
Cyclospora§	NR**	NR	1.2	0.9	0.4	NR	0.3	NR	NR	0.3	1.6	NA
Population in surveillance												
(millions) ^{††}	3.2	2.5	3.5	8.6	5.5	5.0	4.0	3.5	5.8	41.5	_	_

* Total number of reported cases during 1996–1998 (1997–1998 for parasitic pathogens) divided by total number of person-years under surveillance.

[†] Per 100,000 persons.


§ Per 1,000,000 persons.

[¶] No applicable health objective.

** None reported.

^{††} Population for some sites is entire state, for other sites, selected counties. For some sites, the catchment area for *Cryptosporidium* and *Cyclospora* is larger than for bacterial pathogens.

FIGURE 1. Relative rates compared with 1996 of laboratoryconfirmed cases of *Yersinia, Escherichia coli* 0157, *Campylobacter*, and *Salmonella*, by year — Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network, United States, 1996–2003




the Poisson regression model could not be applied because of the small number of cases.

During 1996–2003, the estimated incidence of the most common *Salmonella* serotype, *S*. Typhimurium, decreased 38% (95% CI = 47% to 27% decrease). The incidence of the next most common serotypes, *S*. Enteritidis, *S*. Newport, and *S*. Heidelberg, showed considerable variation by year and did not change significantly. The incidence of *S*. Javiana increased 227% (95% CI = 66% to 546% increase) from 1996 to 2003; most of this increase occurred in Georgia.

The estimated incidence of *Shigella* and *Listeria* infections showed considerable variation by year and site and did not change significantly during 1996–2003 (Figure 2). *Listeria* did not continue to decline in 2003, as observed during the preceding 4 years. During 1996–2003, the incidence of *Vibrio* infections increased 116% (95% CI = 24% to 276% increase).

FIGURE 2. Relative rates compared with 1996 of laboratoryconfirmed cases of *Shigella, Listeria*, and *Vibro*, by year — Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network, United States, 1996–2003



Reported by: D Vugia, MD, California Dept of Health Svcs. A Cronquist, MPH, Colorado Dept of Public Health and Environment. J Hadler, MD, Connecticut State Dept of Public Health. P Blake, MD, Div of Public Health, Georgia Dept of Human Resources. D Blythe, MD, Maryland Dept of Health and Mental Hygiene. K Smith, DVM, Minnesota Dept of Health. D Morse, MD, New York State Dept of Health. P Cieslak, MD, Oregon Dept of Human Svcs. T Jones, MD, Tennessee Dept of Health. D Goldman, MD, Office of Public Health Science, Food Safety and Inspection Svc, U.S. Dept of Agriculture. J Guzewich, MPH, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Food and Drug Administration. F Angulo, DVM, P Griffin, MD, R Tauxe, MD, Div of Bacterial and Mycotic Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases; K Kretsinger, MD, EIS Officer, CDC.

Editorial Note: During 1996–2003, the estimated incidence of *Campylobacter, Cryptosporidium, E. coli* O157, *Salmonella*, and *Yersinia* infections declined substantially. The decline in *Campylobacter* and *E. coli* O157 infections demonstrates that meeting the 2010 national health objectives of 12.3 and 1.0 per 100,000 persons, respectively (objective nos. 10.1a and 10.1b) is likely. Although the incidence of *Salmonella* infection has declined, it remains above its objective, and among the five most common *Salmonella* serotypes, only *S*. Typhimurium demonstrated a sustained decline in incidence.

The changes in the incidence of these infections occurred in the context of control measures implemented by government agencies and the food industry, enhanced food-safety education efforts, and increased attention by consumer groups and the media. In 1997, the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA)'s Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) implemented the Pathogen Reduction/Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) systems regulations in meat and poultry slaughter and processing plants. The decline of human E. coli O157 infections in 2003 follows an October 2002 FSIS notice to manufacturers of raw ground beef products that they must reassess their HACCP plans regarding this pathogen (6). Many beef processing plants do not distribute production lots of raw ground beef unless tests performed at the plant are negative for E. coli O157 (M. Koohmaraie, Meat Animal Research Center, USDA, personal communication, 2004). FSIS reported declines in the frequency of E. coli O157:H7 contamination of ground beef for 2003 (7). The decline in human Salmonella infections during 1996-2003 accompanies a decline in the isolation of Salmonella from meat and poultry by FSIS (8). The Food and Drug Administration has introduced additional interventions to prevent foodborne diseases. These include implementing HACCP regulations for the seafood industry beginning in 1997 and the juice industry beginning in 2002, publishing sprout safety guidance in 1999, publishing produce safety guidance in 1998, and

MMWR

(MMWR on line)

cdc.gov/mmwr



implementing regulations requiring the refrigeration and safety labeling of shell eggs in 2001 (9).

During 1996–2003, no substantial changes were observed in the incidence of infection caused by Listeria, Shigella, and several common Salmonella serotypes (S. Enteritidis, S. Newport, and S. Heidelberg). The incidence of Vibrio and S. Javiana infections increased. Listeriosis might decline after full implementation of the national *Listeria* Action Plan (9). Future control measures should include mandatory, on-farm prevention efforts to reduce egg contamination with S. Enteritidis (10) and greater use of pasteurized eggs and irradiated ground meat. Additional targeted efforts should include further steps to reduce the prevalence of pathogens in the following animal reservoirs and the foods derived from them: broiler chickens and turkeys (Salmonella and Campylobacter); cattle and ground beef (Salmonella and E. coli O157); and seafood, particularly oysters (Vibrio). Efforts also should include steps to reduce contamination of fresh produce. The high incidence of several of these infections in infants and young children is of major concern. Further efforts are needed to determine risk factors for these infections and opportunities for prevention.

The findings in this report are subject to at least four limitations. First, although the majority of foodborne illnesses are not laboratory-diagnosed, FoodNet data are limited to laboratory-diagnosed illnesses, and are thus biased by factors that affect the probability of an illness being reported. Second, illnesses reported to FoodNet might be acquired through nonfoodborne sources (e.g., contaminated water, person-toperson contact, and direct animal exposure); reported incidences do not represent foodborne sources exclusively. Third, although FoodNet data provide the most detailed information available for these infections, the findings might not be generalizable to the entire U.S. population. Finally, year-toyear changes in incidence might reflect either annual variation or sustained trends; further data are needed to discern trends clearly.

The 2003 FoodNet final report, available in late 2004 at http://www.cdc.gov/foodnet, will include incidence figures and other information such as illness severity, hemolytic uremic syndrome, and non-O157 STEC isolations.

Acknowledgment

This report is based on data contributed by members of the Emerging Infections Program FoodNet Working Group.

References

1. Mead PS, Slutsker L, Dietz V, et al. Food-related illness and death in the United States. Emerg Infect Dis 1999;5:607–25.

- 2. CDC. Preliminary FoodNet data on the incidence of foodborne illness—selected sites, United States, 2002. MMWR 2003;52:340-3.
- 3. Allos BM, Moore MR, Griffin PM, Tauxe RV. Surveillance for sporadic foodborne disease in the 21st century: the FoodNet perspective. Clin Infect Dis 2004;38(suppl 3).
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2010: Understanding and Improving Health, 2nd ed. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, November 2000.
- 5. Bureau of the Census, Economics and Statistics Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce. Population estimates. Available at http://eire.census.gov/popest/estimates.php.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food Safety and Inspection Service. E. coli O157:H7 contamination of beef products. Federal Register 2002;67:62,325–34. Available at http://www.fsis.usda.gov/oppde/rdad/ frpubs/00-022n.htm.
- Holt KG, Levine P, Naugle AL, Eckel R. Food Safety and Inspection Service Microbiological Testing Program for *Escherichia coli* O157 in Ground Beef Products, U.S., October 1994–September 2003. In: Program and Abstracts Book of the International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases, 2004. Atlanta, Georgia: International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases, 2004:100.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food Safety and Inspection Service. Pathogen Reduction/HACCP & HACCP Implementation. Available at http://www.fsis.usda.gov/oa/haccp/imphaccp.htm.
- 9. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition. National Food Safety Programs. Available at http://www.foodsafety.gov/ ~dms/fs-toc.html.
- Hogue A, White P, Guard-Petter J, et al. Epidemiology and control of egg-associated *Salmonella* Enteritidis in the United States of America. Rev Sci Tech 1997;16:542–53.

Progress Toward Poliomyelitis Eradication — Nigeria, January 2003–March 2004

Since the 1988 World Health Assembly resolution to eradicate poliomyelitis (1), three World Health Organization (WHO) regions (Americas, Western Pacific, and European) have been certified polio-free, and the number of countries where polio is endemic has decreased from 125 in 1988 to six in 2003 (Afghanistan, Egypt, India, Niger, Nigeria, and Pakistan). In 2003, Nigeria, the most populous country of the African continent (2003 population projected from 1991 census report: 125 million), reported 355 wild poliovirus (WPV) cases, accounting for 45% of cases reported globally and >80% of cases reported from the African Region (AFR). This report summarizes progress toward polio eradication in Nigeria during January 2003-March 2004. The findings indicate the urgent need to implement high-quality supplementary immunization activities (SIAs) in Nigeria to interrupt ongoing WPV transmission.

Routine Vaccination

To date, routine coverage estimates vary considerably from state to state. Because administrative data for 2003 are still being evaluated, national estimates of coverage are not available.

SIAs

Since 1996, SIAs targeting children aged <5 years have been conducted annually in Nigeria (2). National Immunization Days (NIDs)* were conducted annually through 2002 (3). During February and March 2004, two NID rounds were conducted, targeting all 37 states (36 states plus one Federal Capital Territory [FCT]). All states except Kano and Zamfara participated in the February round, and all except Kano participated in the March round.

In 2003, nine rounds of Subnational Immunization Days (SNIDs)[†] were conducted, targeting northern states where polio is endemic. The number of participating states and target population varied in each SNID, with the number of children vaccinated ranging from 3.6 to 15.0 million. Twelve states with endemic disease (Bauchi, Borno, Gombe, Jigawa, Kaduna, Kano, Katsina, Kebbi, Niger, Sokoto, Yobe, and Zamfara) and FCT participated in at least two rounds of SNIDs during 2003. Reported coverage at the state level during these SNIDs ranged from 56% to 100%. In addition, during 2003, four rounds of mop-up vaccination activities were conducted in Nasawara state and two rounds each in Benue and Kogi, sites that had been re-infected with WPV after being polio-free for >12 months. Reported coverage in these states during these mop-up activities ranged from 86% to 100%.

National polio eradication programs analyze the oral polio vaccine (OPV) vaccination status (routine and supplemental doses) of children aged <5 years, with nonpolio acute flaccid paralysis (AFP) as a proxy for OPV coverage in the general population. As the OPV coverage of population increases, the percentage of nonpolio AFP cases with >3 doses of OPV also should increase. During 2003, the proportion of nonpolio AFP cases in children aged <5 years who had received >3 doses of OPV was <60% in 12 of 13 states (median: 33%; range: 9%–75%) where polio is endemic. The proportion of nonpolio AFP cases in children aged <5 years who had received >3 doses of OPV was <60% in five of eight re-infected states, but in only one of the 14 states without endemic disease.

^{*} Nationwide mass campaigns during a short period (days to weeks) during which 2 doses of OPV are administered to all children (usually aged <5 years) regardless

of previous vaccination history, with an interval of 4–6 weeks between doses.

[†]Campaigns similar to NIDs but confined to certain parts of the country.

AFP Surveillance

Surveillance for AFP, initiated in 1997, is conducted at 4,035 reporting sites in the 774 Local Government Areas (LGAs) in Nigeria. AFP surveillance quality is evaluated by two key indicators: 1) annual reporting rate (target: nonpolio AFP rate of >1 case per 100,000 children aged <15 years) and 2) completeness of stool specimen collection (target: two adequate specimens from >80% of all persons with AFP). In 2003, Nigeria attained a national nonpolio AFP rate of 5.5; all 37 states attained rates of >1.0. The adequate stool specimen collection rate nationally for 2003 was 91%; all 37 states the target rate of >80% (Table). Surveillance performance at the LGA level varied; 123 (16%) of 774 LGAs were below the target levels for one or both surveillance indicators.

When surveillance quality is high, previous genetic analyses of WPV from all regions with endemic disease have indicated that nearly all isolates are >99% identical to some other previous isolate in the viral VP1 coding region. During 2003, approximately 17.5% of the isolates in Nigeria (type 1 [PV1], n = 43; type 3 [PV3], n = 19) were <98.5% identical to any other previous isolate, indicating that some of the closely related polioviruses were not detected because of gaps in surveillance quality (i.e., viruses missed in the chain of transmission for >1 year).

Stool samples collected from persons with AFP in Nigeria are tested at two WHO-accredited national polio laboratories, one in Ibadan (Oyo state) and one in Maiduguri (Borno state). In 2003, the Ibadan and Maiduguri laboratories processed 6,549 stool specimens. The proportion of specimens with nonpolio enterovirus (NPEV) isolated is used as a combined indicator of quality of specimen transport and sensitivity of laboratory processing; a rate of >10% is considered acceptable. The NPEV isolation rate during 2003 was 11.9% for Ibadan and 9.5% for Maiduguri. Median times for reporting primary isolation and intratypic differentiation results were 17 days (75% within 20 days) and 16 days (75% within 22 days), respectively.

WPV Incidence

During 2002–2003, the number of confirmed WPV in Nigeria increased from 202 to 355 (Table). Of these, 192 were PV1, and 163 were PV3. In 2003, a total of 23 of 37 states reported at least one WPV, representing a wider area of circulation than in 2002, when 15 states reported WPV (Figure). Of these 23 states, 13 are considered to have endemic transmission, whereas 10 were re-infected after being polio-free for >12 months. Early in 2004, PV1 was reported from Anambra state, one of 14 southern states that had remained polio-free in 2003.

In 2003, the outbreaks in Nigeria centered in Kano. Of 89 WPV cases in Kano, 57 (64%) were associated with PV3 and 32 (36%) with PV1. Virus sequence data indicated that the PV3 virus radiated outward along multiple independent chains of transmission. This outbreak started in March. The PV1 outbreak started in May, at the onset of high transmission season. A second peak of PV3 cases occurred in August, when numbers of PV1 and PV3 cases were equal. Of 355 polio cases reported in 2003, a total of 81 (23%) occurred in children aged >3 years, of which 69 (85%) were either never or incompletely vaccinated.

Of the 18 genetic clusters (corresponding to groups of related chains of transmission) observed in Nigeria in 2002 (14 PV1 and four PV3), seven were not observed in 2003 (six PV1 and one PV3). However, the large outbreaks in 2003 have increased the genetic diversity of several clusters such that some previous PV1 clusters have expanded into at least four new genetic clusters, indicating intense transmission.

Reported by: Federal Ministry of Health, Country Office of the World Health Organization, Abuja, Nigeria. Vaccine Preventable Diseases, World Health Organization Regional Office for Africa, Harare, Zimbabwe. Vaccines and Biologicals Dept, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. Div of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases; Global Immunization Div, National Immunization Program, CDC.

TABLE. Number of confirmed wild poliovirus (WPV) cases and key surveillance indicators, by year - Nigeria, January 2002-Marcl	า
2004	

	No. confirmed		type distrib WPV isolat		No. AFP*	Nonpolio	% persons with AFP with adequate
Year	WPV cases	Туре 1	Type 1 Type 2 Type 3		cases	AFP rate	stool specimens
2002	202	174	0	28	3,010	5.7	84
2003	355	192	0	163	3,319†	5.5	91
2004 [§]	19	15	0	4	565	2.6	92

* Acute flaccid paralysis.

A total of 60 persons with AFP, inadequate stool samples, and negative laboratory results or with no stool samples are pending classification and awaiting review by the National Polio Expert Committee.

§As of March 19.




FIGURE. Distribution of wild poliovirus (WPV) isolates from acute flaccid paralysis cases — Nigeria, January–December 2002 and January–December 2003

Editorial Note: After gains toward polio eradication during 1996–2002, Nigeria suffered a resurgence of WPV transmission attributable to the suspension of vaccination campaigns in fall 2003 in several northern states, particularly Kano. This resurgence resulted in the reintroduction of WPV into previously polio-free Nigerian states and exportation of WPV to eight polio-free countries in West and Central Africa (Benin, Cameroon, the Central African Republic, Chad, Ghana, Ivory Coast, Burkina Faso, and Togo).

The increased intensity of WPV transmission in the states with endemic polio in northern Nigeria in 2003 occurred despite an increased number of targeted SIAs. Seven of the 13 states with endemic disease were involved in four or more SNID rounds in 2003; all 13 states have continued to confirm WPV. Continued WPV transmission with expansion of genetic diversity, the occurrence of polio in older children, and OPV data from nonpolio AFP cases demonstrate the failure to reach a substantial proportion of children during the vaccination campaigns.

False rumors about OPV safety adversely affected SNIDs, with the greatest impact in Kano, where 25% of all Nigerian WPV cases occurred in 2003. Citing vaccine safety concerns, state authorities in Kano (which last conducted a SNID in April 2003) decided in August 2003 to suspend all SIAs (4). Statewide suspension of SIAs at different times during 2003– 2004 also occurred in Kaduna, Zamfara, and (to a limited extent) in Niger state. As a result of these rumors, public health managers and frontline health-care workers found it increasingly difficult to improve microplanning, training, and implementation of SIAs.

On January 15, 2004, the federal minister of health signed the Geneva Declaration on Polio Eradication together with the ministers from the other five countries with endemic disease. To address OPV safety concerns, the president of Nigeria established a safety verification committee, which included religious and traditional leaders, state officials, and federal government scientists. The committee undertook testing of OPV in India and South Africa and, in March 2004, presented its report, which indicated that OPV is safe. As a result, the northern states, except Kano, fully endorsed their participation in SIAs, with the president of Nigeria personally launching the campaign in Zamfara.

Nigeria and its polio partner agencies have endorsed a strategic plan that proposes six SIA rounds in all states with endemic disease by December 2004. The plan focuses on improving the quality of microplanning, vaccination team selection, training, and social mobilization. In addition, a new mechanism for rapidly disbursing funds to vaccinators is being planned for 2004. Restoring public confidence in the safety of OPV will be critical to the success of SIAs.

During 2003, Nigeria maintained certification-quality AFP surveillance at the national and state levels. Remaining gaps at the LGA level will be addressed through greater scrutiny of the timeliness and completeness of surveillance reports and prioritized supervision of low-performing LGAs. The opportunity to achieve polio eradication globally has never been greater. The government of Nigeria and its partners must ensure that every child is administered OPV, so that Nigeria, the rest of Africa, and the world can achieve polio eradication.

References

- World Health Assembly. Global eradication of poliomyelitis by the year 2000: resolution of the 41st World Health Assembly. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 1988 (WHA resolution no. 41.28).
- CDC. Progress toward poliomyelitis eradication—Nigeria, January 2002–March 2003. MMWR 2003;52:567–70.
- 3. CDC. Progress toward poliomyelitis eradication—Nigeria, January 2000–March 2002. MMWR 2002;51:479–81.
- Samba E, Nkrumah F, Leke R. Getting polio eradication back on track in Nigeria. N Engl J Med 2004;350:645–6.

Notice to Readers

Update on *MMWR* Table II: AIDS Surveillance Data and Provisional Nationally Notifiable Disease Data

CDC has received inquiries about the absence of provisional 2004 AIDS surveillance data among National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System (NNDSS) case report data presented in Table II of *MMWR* (1). The AIDS case report data usually are updated monthly in this table. However, although states report data to CDC on a monthly basis, because of an internal data processing problem, CDC did not publish 2004 data until last week (2). If any delay occurs in presenting monthly updates in provisional AIDS case counts in Table II, CDC will add a footnote to explain the reason for the delay.

MMWR Table II includes cumulative (year-to-date) incidence data for selected nationally notifiable diseases for the current and preceding year, by state. NNDSS data can be used to identify aberrations or discrepancies in reported disease incidence, whether because of a true change in disease incidence or reporting artifacts. However, because of reporting delays, data reported in the current year are only an estimate of true disease incidence, whereas data with which they are compared from the preceding year represent finalized (or nearly finalized) data that account for delayed case reporting from states to CDC and include subsequent corrections. When current year-to-date provisional data indicate fewer cases than for the preceding year, the difference might indicate 1) a real decrease in cases or 2) artifactual changes attributed to reporting delays in the current year. The interpretation of provisional NNDSS weekly case counts also is complicated because it differs by state and disease program and is highly subject to changes in state-specific surveillance system policies and procedures.

Readers can send their comments on the use and display of provisional NNDSS data to CDC by telephone, 770-488-8359 or by e-mail, soib@cdc.gov.

References

- CDC. Table II: provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 27, 2004 and March 22, 2003 (12th week). MMWR 2004;53:271–9.
- CDC. Table II: provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 17, 2004 and April 12, 2003 (15th week). MMWR 2004;53:325–33.

Notice to Readers

Innovative STD Prevention Programs

April is National STD Awareness Month. Sexually transmitted diseases (STD) continue to be a serious health threat in the United States. In 2000, an estimated 18.9 million persons were infected with STDs, of which half were persons aged <25 years; direct medical costs of STDs were approximately \$9.3-\$15.5 billion. Despite these data, innovative STD prevention efforts are having a positive impact on infection rates in several U.S. cities.

Because of the high prevalence of chlamydia in young women and its frequent lack of symptoms, CDC recommends annual chlamydia screening for all sexually active women aged <25 years. However, screening levels might be low in many settings (1). In nonmedical settings, innovative chlamydia screening and treatment efforts have been successful. For example, a program in Philadelphia identified and treated approximately 800 chlamydia infections among female students in city schools during the 2002–03 school year. The intervention prevented an estimated 240 cases of pelvic inflammatory disease and saved the health-care system an estimated \$300,000 in future treatment costs (2).

Recent studies have shown potential for reaching sex partners through e-mail and Internet chat rooms and referring them for STD testing and treatment (3, 4). Recent data also have demonstrated the potential of "patient-delivered partner therapy," an approach in which persons who have an STD diagnosed are given appropriate medication to provide for their sex partners. Continued innovations, coupled with continued commitment to STD prevention and treatment by healthcare providers, health educators, public health agencies, and community leaders, are necessary to reduce the burden of STDs. Additional information about STD prevention is available at http://www.cdc.gov/std.

References

 Burstein G, Snyder M, Conley D, et al. Screening females for *Chlamydia trachomatis* (CT) in a large managed care organization (MCO). J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol 2000;13:91.

Recommended Childhood and Adolescent Immunization Schedule — United States, July–December 2004

Weekly

April 30, 2004 / Vol. 53 / No. 16

CDC's Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) periodically reviews the recommended childhood and adolescent immunization schedule to ensure that the schedule is current with changes in manufacturers' vaccine formulations and reflects revised recommendations for the use of licensed vaccines, including those newly licensed. Recommendations and format of the childhood and adolescent immunization schedule for January–June 2004 were approved by ACIP, the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP), and the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) and published in January 2004 (*1*).

QuickGuide

This report updates that schedule with the recommendation that, beginning in fall 2004, children aged 6–23 months, as well as household and out-of-home caregivers for such children, receive annual influenza vaccine (2). This change is reflected in the revised childhood and adolescent immunization schedule for July–December 2004 (Figure). A catch-up immunization schedule for children and adolescents who start late or who are >1 month behind remains unchanged from that published in January 2004 (Table).

Changes in the Schedule for July– December 2004

The childhood and adolescent immunization schedule for July–December 2004 differs from the previous schedule in the following ways:

• The range of recommendations bar for influenza vaccine for children aged 6–23 months has been moved above the dotted red line, indicating that these children should be vaccinated annually.

Suggested citation: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Recommended Childhood and Adolescent Immunization Schedule—United States, 2004. MMWR 2004;53:Q1–4.

- The influenza vaccine footnote has been updated to highlight the recommendation that healthy children aged 6–23 months and close contacts of healthy children aged 0–23 months receive influenza vaccine because children in this age group are at substantially increased risk for influenzarelated hospitalizations.
- The influenza vaccine footnote has been updated to highlight the recommendation that health-care workers and other persons (including household members) in close contact with persons in groups at high risk be vaccinated annually.

Vaccine Information Statements

The National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act requires that all health-care providers provide parents or patients with copies of Vaccine Information Statements before administering each dose of the vaccines listed in the schedule. Additional information is available from state health departments and at http://www.cdc.gov/nip/publications/vis.

Detailed recommendations for using vaccines are available from the manufacturers' package inserts, ACIP statements on specific vaccines, and the 2003 Red Book (3). ACIP statements for each recommended childhood vaccine can be viewed, downloaded, and printed from CDC's National Immunization Program website at http://www.cdc.gov/nip/publications/ acip-list.htm. Instructions on the use of Vaccine Information Statements are available at http://www.cdc.gov/nip/publications/vis/vis-instructions.pdf. In addition, guidance on how to obtain and complete a Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) form is available at http://www.vaers.org or by telephone, 800-822-7967.

References

- 1. CDC. Recommended Childhood and Adolescent Immunization Schedule—United States, January–June 2004. MMWR 2004;52:Q1–4.
- CDC. Prevention and control of influenza: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR 2004;53(RR):(in press).
- American Academy of Pediatrics. Active and passive immunization. In: Pickering LK, ed. 2003 Red Book: Report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases, 26th ed. Elk Grove Village, Illinois: American Academy of Pediatrics, 2003.

The Recommended Childhood and Adolescent Immunization Schedule and the Catchup Childhood and Adolescent Immunization Schedule have been adopted by the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, the Academy of Pediatrics, and the Academy of Family Physicians. The standard *MMWR* footnote format has been modified for joint publication of this harmonized schedule.

	Rang	e of recom	mended ag	es	Catch-up vaccination				Preadolescent assessment			
Vaccine	Birth	1 mo	2 mo	4 mo	6 mo	12 mo	15 mo	18 mo	24 mo	4—6 У	11–12 y	13–18 y
Hepatitis B ²	HepB #1	only if mothe								НерВ	series	
			HepB #2			Hep	B #3					
Diphtheria, Tetanus, Pertussis ³			DTaP	DTaP	DTaP		D1	TaP		DTaP	Td	Td
<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i> type b ⁴			Hib	Hib	Hib ⁴	н	<mark>ib</mark>					
Inactivated Poliovirus			IPV	IPV		IF	<mark>PV</mark>			IPV		
Measles, Mumps, Rubella ⁵						ММ	<mark>R #1</mark>			MMR #2	MM	R #2
Varicella ⁶							Varicella			Vario	cella	
Pneumococcal ⁷			PCV	PCV	PCV	P	cv		PC	PI	PV	
Influenza ⁸						Influenz	<mark>a (yearly)</mark>			Influenz	<mark>a (yearly)</mark>	
Hepatitis A ⁹	below this I	ine are for s	selected pop	oulations -						HepA	series	

FIGURE. Recommended childhood and adolescent immunization schedule¹ — United States, July–December 2004

1. Indicates the recommended ages for routine administration of currently licensed childhood vaccines, as of April 1, 2004, for children through age 18 years. Any dose not given at the recommended age should be given at any subsequent visit when indicated and feasible. Solutional vaccines age groups that warrant special effort to administer those vaccines not given previously. Additional vaccines may be licensed and recommended during the year. Licensed combination vaccines may be used whenever any components of the combination are indicated and the vaccine's other components are not contraindicated. Providers should consult the manufacturers' package inserts for detailed recommendations. Clinically significant adverse events that follow vaccination should be reported to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS). Guidance about how to obtain and complete a VAERS form is available at http://www.vaers.org/ or by telephone, 800-822-7967.

2. Hepatitis B vaccine (HepB). All infants should receive the first dose of HepB vaccine soon after birth and before hospital discharge; the first dose also may be given by age 2 months if the infant's mother is HBsAg-negative. Only monovalent HepB vaccine can be used for the birth dose. Monovalent or combination vaccine containing HepB may be used to complete the series; 4 doses of vaccine may be administered when a birth dose is given. The second dose should be given at least 4 weeks after the first dose except for combination vaccines, which cannot be administered before age 6 weeks. The third dose should be given at least 16 weeks after the first dose and at least 8 weeks after the second dose. The last dose in the vaccination series (third or fourth dose) should not be administered before age 24 weeks. Infants born to HBsAg-positive mothers should receive HepB vaccine and 0.5 mL hepatitis B immune globulin (HBIG) within 12 hours of birth at separate sites. The second dose is recommended at age 1-2 months. The last dose in the vaccination series should not be administered before age 24 weeks. These infants should be tested for HBsAg and anti-HBs at age 9-15 months. Infants born to mothers whose HBsAg status is unknown should receive the first dose of the HepB vaccine series within 12 hours of birth. Maternal blood should be drawn as soon as possible to determine the mother's HBsAg status; if the HBsAg test is positive, the infant should receive HBIG as soon as possible (no later than age 1 week). The second dose is recommended at age 1-2 months. The last dose in the vaccination series should not be administered before age 24 weeks.

3. Diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis vaccine (DTaP). The fourth dose of DTaP may be administered at age 12 months provided that 6 months have elapsed since the third dose and the child is unlikely to return at age 15–18 months. The final dose in the series should be given at age 24 years. Tetanus and diphtheria toxoids (Td) is recommended at age 11–12 years if at least 5 years have elapsed since the last dose of tetanus and diphtheria toxoid-containing vaccine. Subsequent routine Td boosters are recommended every 10 years.

4. Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib) conjugate vaccine. Three Hib conjugate vaccines are licensed for infant use. If PRP-OMP (PedvaxHIB® or ComVax® [Merck]) is administered at ages 2 and 4 months, a dose at age 6 months is not required. DTaP/Hib combination products should not be used for primary vaccination in infants at ages 2, 4, or 6 months but can be used as boosters after any Hib vaccine. The final dose in the series should be given at age ≥12 months.

5. Measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine (MMR). The second dose of MMR is recommended routinely at age 4–6 years but may be administered during any visit, provided at least 4 weeks have elapsed since the first dose and both doses are administered beginning at or after age 12 months. Those who have not received the second dose previously should complete the schedule by the visit at age 11–12 years. 6. Varicella vaccine (VAR). Varicella vaccine is recommended at any visit at or after age 12 months for susceptible children (i.e., those who lack a reliable history of chickenpox). Susceptible persons aged ≥13 years should receive 2 doses given at least 4 weeks apart.

7. Pneumococcal vaccine. The heptavalent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV) is recommended for all children aged 2–23 months and for certain children aged 24–59 months. The final dose in the series should be given at age \geq 12 months. Pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine (PPV) is recommended in addition to PCV for certain high-risk groups. See *MMWR* 2000;49(No. RR-9):1–35.

8. Influenza vaccine. Influenza vaccine is recommended annually for children aged ≥6 months with certain risk factors (including but not limited to asthma, cardiac disease, sickle cell disease, HIV, and diabetes), health care workers, and other persons (including household members) in close contact with persons in groups at high risk (see *MMWR* 2004;53;[RR][in press]] and can be administered to all others wishing to obtain immunity. In addition, healthy children aged 6–23 months and close contacts of healthy children aged 0–23 months are recommended to receive influenza vaccine, because children in this age group are at substantially increased risk for influenzarelated hospitalizations. For healthy persons aged 5–49 years, the intranasally administered live, attenuated influenza vaccine (LAIV) is an acceptable alternative to the intramuscular trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine (TIV). See *MMWR* 2003;52(No. RR-13):1–8. Children receiving TIV should be administered a dosage appropriate for their age (0.25 mL if 6–35 months or 0.5 mL if ≥3 years). Children aged ≤8 years who are receiving influenza vaccine for the first time should receive 2 doses (separated by at least 4 weeks for TIV and at least 6 weeks for LAIV).

9. Hepatitis A vaccine. Hepatitis A vaccine is recommended for children and adolescents in selected states and regions and for certain high-risk groups. Consult your local public health authority and *MMWR* 1999;48(No.RR-12):1–37. Children and adolescents in these states, regions, and high-risk groups who have not been immunized against hepatitis A can begin the hepatitis A vaccination series during any visit. The 2 doses in the series should be administered at least 6 months apart.

Additional information about vaccines, including precautions and contraindications for vaccination and vaccine shortages is available at http://www.cdc.gov/nip or from the National Immunization Information Hotline, 800-232-2522 (English) or 800-232-0233 (Spanish). Approved by the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (http://www.cdc.gov/nip/acip), the American Academy of Pediatrics (http://www.aap.org), and the American Academy of Family Physicians (http://www.aafp.org).

TABLE. Catch-up immunization schedule for children and adolescents who start late or who are >1 month behind

Catch-up schedule for children aged 4 months-6 years

Dose 1		Minimum interval betwee	n doses	
(minimum age)	Dose 1 to dose 2	Dose 2 to dose 3	Dose 3 to dose 4	Dose 4 to dose 5
DTaP <mark>(6 wk)</mark>	4 wk	4 wk	6 mo	6 mo ¹
IPV (6 wk)	4 wk	4 wk	4 wk ²	
HepB ³ (birth)	4 wk	8 wk (and 16 wk after 1 st dose)		
MMR (12 mo)	4 wk ⁴			
VAR (12 mo)				
Hib ⁵ (6 wk)	 4 wk: if 1st dose given at age <12 mo 8 wk (as final dose): if 1st dose given at age 12–14 mo No further doses needed: if 1st dose given at age ≥15 mo 	 4 wk⁶: if current age <12 mo 8 wk (as final dose)⁶: if current age ≥12 mo and 2nd dose given at age <15 mo No further doses needed: if previous dose given at age ≥15 mo 	8 wk (as final dose): this dose only necessary for children aged 12 mo–5 y who received 3 doses before age 12 mo	
PCV ⁷ (6 wk)	 4 wk: if 1st dose given at age <12 mo and current age <24 mc 8 wk (as final dose): if 1st dose given at age ≥12 mo or current age 24–59 mo No further doses needed: for healthy children if 1st dose giver at age ≥24 mo 	current age ≥12 mo No further doses needed: for healthy children if previous dose given at age ≥24 mo	8 wk (as final dose): this dose only necessary for children aged 12 mo–5 y who received 3 doses before age 12 mo	
	-	o schedule for children aged 7–1	l8 years	
		Minimum interval between doses		
Dose	1 to dose 2	Dose 2 to dose 3	Dose 3 to booster	dose
Td: 4 w	k Td:	6 mo	 Td⁸: 6 mo: if 1st dose given at and current age <11 y 5 y: if 1st dose given at ag and 3rd dose given at ag current age ≥11 y 10 y: if 3rd dose given at ag 	ge <u>></u> 12 mo je <7 y and
IPV ⁹ : 4 wk		4 wk	IPV ^{2,9}	
HepB: 4 wk	Hepl	3: 8 wk (and 16 wk after 1 st dose)		
MMR: 4 wk	[
VAR ¹⁰ : 4 wk				

Note: A vaccine series does not require restarting, regardless of the time that has elapsed between doses.

1. Diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis vaccine (DTaP): The fifth dose is not necessary if the fourth dose was given after the fourth birthday.

2. Inactivated polio vaccine (IPV): For children who received an all-IPV or all-oral poliovirus (OPV) series, a fourth dose is not necessary if third dose was given at age \geq 4 years. If both OPV and IPV were given as part of a series, a total of 4 doses should be given, regardless of the child's current age.

3. Hepatitis B vaccine (HepB): All children and adolescents who have not been vaccinated against hepatitis B should begin the hepatitis B vaccination series during any visit. Providers should make special efforts to immunize children who were born in, or whose parents were born in, areas of the world where hepatitis B virus infection is moderately or highly endemic.

4. Measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine (MMR): The second dose of MMR is recommended routinely at age 4-6 years, but may be given earlier if desired.

5. *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib) conjugate vaccine: Vaccine generally is not recommended for children aged ≥5 years. 6. Hib: If current age is <12 months and the first 2 doses were PRP-OMP (PedvaxHIB[®] or ComVax[®] [Merck]), the third (and final) dose should be given at age 12–15 months and at least 8 weeks after the second dose.

7. Pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV): Vaccine generally is not recommended for children aged ≥5 years.

8. Tetanus and diphtheria toxoids (Td): For children aged 7–10 years, the interval between the third and booster dose is determined by the age when the first dose was given. For adolescents aged 11-18 years, the interval is determined by the age when the third dose was given.

9. IPV: Vaccine generally is not recommended for persons aged ≥18 years.

10. Varicella vaccine (VAR): Give 2-dose series to all susceptible adolescents aged ≥13 years.

Reporting adverse reactions. Clinically significant adverse events that follow vaccination should be reported to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS). Guidance on completing a VAERS form is available at http://www.vaers.org or at telephone, 800-822-7967. Disease reporting. Suspected cases of vaccine-preventable diseases should be reported to state or local health departments. Additional information about vaccines, including precautions and contraindications for vaccination and vaccine shortages, is available at http://www.cdc.gov/nip or at the National Immunization information hotline, telephone 800-232-2522 (English) or 800-232-0233 (Spanish).







- Lawrence D, Salmon M, Asbell L, et al. An economic evaluation of a citywide school-based screening program for *Chlamydia trachomatis*. Presented at the 2004 National STD Prevention Conference (P076), Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 2004.
- 3. Constant P. Utilizing the Internet for partner notification. Presented at the 2004 National STD Prevention Conference (B09E), Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 2004.
- CDC. Internet use and early syphilis infection among men who have sex with men—San Francisco, California, 1999–2003. MMWR 2003;52:1229–32.

Notice to Readers

International Course in Applied Epidemiology

CDC and Emory University's Rollins School of Public Health will co-sponsor a course, "International Course in Applied Epidemiology," during September 27–October 22, 2004, in Atlanta, Georgia. The course is directed at public health professionals from countries other than the United States and will include presentations and discussions of epidemiologic principles, basic statistical analysis, public health surveillance, field investigations, surveys and sampling, and epidemiologic aspects of current major public health problems in international health. Included are small group discussions of epidemiologic case exercises based on field investigations. Participants are encouraged to give a short presentation reviewing epidemiologic data from their own countries.

Computer training using Epi Info (Windows[®] version), a software program developed by CDC and the World Health Organization for epidemiologists, is included. Prerequisites include familiarity with the vocabulary and principles of basic epidemiology or completion of CDC's "Principles of Epidemiology" home-study course (SS3030) or equivalent. Preference will be given to applicants whose work involves priority public health problems in international health. Early registration deadline is June 1; late registration deadline is September 1. Tuition is charged.

Additional information and applications are available from Emory University's Rollins School of Public Health, International Health Dept. (PIA), 1518 Clifton Road, N.E., Room 746, Atlanta, GA 30322; fax, 404-727-4590; at http:// www.sph.emory.edu/epicourses; or by e-mail, pvaleri@sph. emory.edu.

e ncore.

Week after week, MMWR Online plays an important role in helping you stay informed. From the latest CDC guidance to breaking health news, count on MMWR Online to deliver the news you need, when you need it.

Log on to cdc.gov/mmwr and enjoy MMWR performance.

know what matters.



Notice to Readers

National Sexual Violence Prevention Conference, May 26–28, 2004

CDC, in conjunction with numerous partners, is hosting the 2004 National Sexual Violence Prevention Conference, May 26–28, 2004, at the Westin Bonaventure Hotel and Suites in Los Angeles, California. The theme of this third national conference is "Building Leadership and Commitment to End Sexual Violence." The purpose of the conference is to strengthen communication and working relationships among national, state, and local representatives in fields working to end sexual violence. The deadline for advance registration is May 14. Registration information is available from CDC at http://www.cdc.gov/ncipc/2004nsvpc.htm and by e-mail, dvpinfo@cdc.gov.

CASES CURRENT DISEASE DECREASE INCREASE 4 WEEKS Hepatitis A, acute 246 300 Hepatitis B, acute Hepatitis C, acute 61 Legionellosis 40 2 Measles, total 82 Meningococcal disease Mumps 9 438 Pertussis 0 Rubella 0.03125 0.0625 0.125 0.25 0.5 1 2 4

FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, United States, comparison of provisional 4-week totals April 24, 2004, with historical data

Ratio (Log scale)[†]

Beyond historical limits

* No rubella cases were reported for the current 4-week period yielding a ratio for week 16 of zero (0).
 * Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

TABLE I. Summary of provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending April 24, 2004 (16th Week)*

	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003		Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003
Anthrax	-	-	Hemolytic uremic syndrome, postdiarrheal [†]	17	33
Botulism:	-	-	HIV infection, pediatric ^{†§}	52	73
foodborne	5	5	Measles, total	7¶	14**
infant	21	22	Mumps	49	71
other (wound & unspe	cified 3	5	Plague	-	-
Brucellosis [†]	22	24	Poliomyelitis, paralytic	-	-
Chancroid	10	16	Psittacosis [†]	2	3
Cholera	1	-	Q fever [†]	8	17
Cyclosporiasis [†]	30	11	Rabies, human	-	-
Diphtheria	-	-	Rubella	12	3
Ehrlichiosis:	-	-	Rubella, congenital syndrome	-	1
human granulocytic (H	GE)† 7	20	SARS-associated coronavirus disease ^{† ††}	-	4
human monocytic (HM	E)† 10	8	Smallpox ^{† §§}	-	NA
human, other and unsp	pecified -	2	Staphylococcus aureus:	-	-
Encephalitis/Meningitis:	-	-	Vancomycin-intermediate (VISA)† §§	4	NA
California serogroup vi	ral [†] -	-	Vancomycin-resistant (VRSA)† §§	-	NA
eastern equine [†]	-	-	Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome [†]	34	69
Powassan [†]	-	-	Tetanus	3	1
St. Louis [†]	2	-	Toxic-shock syndrome	37	44
western equine [†]	-	-	Trichinosis	2	-
Hansen disease (leprosy) [†]	19	22	Tularemia [†]	6	4
Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome [†]	2	5	Yellow fever	-	-

-: No reported cases.

* Incidence data for reporting years 2003 and 2004 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

^T Not notifiable in all states.

⁶ Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention — Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last update March 26, 2004.

[¶] Of seven cases reported, four were indigenous, and three were imported from another country.

** Of 14 cases reported, nine were indigenous, and five were imported from another country.

Line Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (notifiable as of July 2003).

§§ Not previously notifiable.

	AIDS		Chla	Chlamydia [†]		domycosis	Cryptosp	oridiosis	Encephalitis/Meningitis West Nile	
Reporting area	Cum. 2004§	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003
JNITED STATES	8,910	12,447	243,769	260,313	1,516	1,065	708	562	5	-
NEW ENGLAND	311	429	8,749	8,493	-	-	36	41	-	-
/laine I.H.	5 11	13 7	520 534	599 477	N	N	6 10	2 5	-	-
t.	7	5	340	329	-	-	5	6	-	-
lass. 	84 32	186 29	4,411 1,054	3,295 981	-	-	9 1	21 5	-	-
onn.	172	189	1,890	2,812	Ν	Ν	5	2	-	-
11D. ATLANTIC	1,283	2,836	33,075	31,443	-	- N	118	85 17	-	-
pstate N.Y. .Y. City	134 380	139 1,589	6,584 9,496	5,387 10,712	N -	N -	27 25	33	-	-
l.J. a.	386 383	424 684	4,130 12,865	4,410 10,934	N	N	7 59	3 32	-	-
a. .N. CENTRAL	806	1,182	41,326	48,560	5	2	161	130	-	
hio	229	154	9,405	13,495	-	-	45	19	1	-
nd. I.	117 279	178 554	5,120 10,199	5,162 14,989	N	N	25 8	7 21	-	-
lich.	132	236	12,513	9,639	5	2	37	26	-	-
/is.	49	60	4,089	5,275	-	-	46	57	-	-
/.N. CENTRAL linn.	228 48	194 41	14,311 2,486	15,040 3,325	4 N	1 N	78 32	49 27	1 -	-
owa Io.	11 107	27 83	1,087 5,749	1,509 5,497	N 3	N 1	12 15	7 5	- 1	-
. Dak.	107	-	324	405	N	N	-	1	-	-
. Dak. ebr.¶	- 6	4 18	775 1,567	727 1,390	- 1	-	9 1	7 2	-	-
ans.	46	21	2,323	2,187	Ň	Ν	9	-	-	-
ATLANTIC	3,510	3,586	44,653	47,362	-	1	149	83	2	-
el. d.	42 343	57 193	934 5,820	953 4,997	N	N 1	- 7	1 6	-	-
.C.	149	380	1,127	1,069	-	-	1	-	-	-
a. /. Va.	141 30	297 20	7,066 883	5,097 774	N	N	15 2	9	-	-
.C.	243	437	8,425	7,224	N	Ν	30	10	-	-
.C. [¶] a.	204 509	213 492	5,947 2,399	4,456 10,177	-	-	5 52	1 31	2	-
la.	1,849	1,497	12,052	12,615	Ν	N	37	25	-	-
.S. CENTRAL y.	446 42	493 57	14,905 1,657	16,970 2,584	N N	N N	34 8	38 8	-	-
enn.¶	187	221	6,743	5,867	N	N	12	11	-	-
la. liss.	127 90	110 105	3,286 3,219	4,520 3,999	N	N	9 5	16 3	-	-
/.S. CENTRAL	1,307	1,280	32,084	31,729	1	5	22	13	1	-
rk.	43	35	2,258	1,940	1	-	8	2	-	-
a. Ikla.	281 37	137 51	7,734 3,193	5,578 2,838	N N	N N	- 8	- 3	1	-
ex.	946	1,057	18,899	21,373	-	5	6	8	-	-
IOUNTAIN lont.	257	459 7	12,846 263	15,782 699	944 N	748 N	36 4	27 3	-	-
laho	2	5	981	806	N	N	4	6	-	-
/yo. olo.	2 48	4 105	337 2,441	316 4,078	N	N	2 18	1 6	-	-
. Mex.	20	41	1,459	2,231	7	-	1	1	-	-
riz. tah	109 17	217 22	5,148 845	4,791 971	908 10	734 2	5 1	2 6	-	-
ev.	59	58	1,372	1,890	19	12	1	2	-	-
ACIFIC	762	1,988	41,820	44,934	560	308	74	96	-	-
/ash. reg.	127 53	160 87	5,308 1,759	4,739 2,362	N -	N -	4 9	- 9	-	-
aliť. Iaska	543 8	1,700 9	33,624 1,118	35,079 1,100	560	308	60	87	-	-
awaii	8 31	32	1,118	1,654	-	-	1	-	-	-
uam	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
R. I.	143 2	325 9	494 20	659 110	N	N	N	N	-	-
mer. Samoa	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
.N.M.I.	2	U	32	U	-	U	-	U	-	U

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 24, 2004, and April 19, 2003 (16th Week)*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. -: No reported cases. C.N.M.L: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. * Incidence data for reporting years 2003 and 2004 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date). * Chlamydia refers to genital infections caused by *C. trachomatis.* * Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention — Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last update March 26, 2004. * Contains data reported through National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

350

(16th Week)*		Escher	richia coli, Ente	rohemorrhagic	(EHEC)					
		LSCHEI	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n positive,	Shiga toxi	n positive,				
	O15	7:H7	serogroup	non-0157		grouped		diasis		orrhea
Reporting area	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003
UNITED STATES	293	300	36	54	37	20	4,305	4,566	85,344	97,464
NEW ENGLAND	17	15	1	7	6	4	389	331	2,097	2,153
Maine N.H.	- 3	1 5	-	- 1	-	-	35 13	35 17	82 40	51 41
Vt.	- 2	- 4	-	2	-	-	25	22	23	29
Mass. R.I.	2	1	-	-	6	4	202 33	180 33	1,050 293	828 295
Conn.	10	4	1	4	-	-	81	44	609	909
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y.	23 10	35 9	1 1	1	9 3	5 2	942 297	962 226	10,642 2,177	12,459 2,142
N.Y. City	4	3	-	-	-	-	287	368	3,030	4,160
N.J. Pa.	1 8	4 19	-	- 1	2 4	- 3	81 277	135 233	1,605 3,830	2,731 3,426
E.N. CENTRAL	56	77	9	12	5	4	532	801	16,667	21,224
Ohio Ind.	17 9	16 9	-	9	5	4	225	237	4,610 1,811	6,968 1,990
III.	9	16	-	-	-	-	59	238	4,197	6,464
Mich. Wis.	10 11	16 20	1 8	3	-	-	165 83	191 135	4,891 1,158	3,972 1,830
W.N. CENTRAL	54	40	8	7	7	6	503	448	4,714	5,036
Minn. Iowa	23 5	14 4	4	5	-	-	166 66	133 64	998 160	818 298
Mo.	7	14	4	1	2	-	155	147	2,333	2,620
N. Dak. S. Dak.	2 2	1 2	-	-	3	1 -	8 19	13 14	37 84	15 46
Nebr. Kans.	7 8	4 1	-	1	- 2	- 5	42 47	43 34	318 784	448 791
S. ATLANTIC	27	23	- 12	- 18	2	-	716	693	20,214	23,191
Del.	-	-	Ň	N	N	Ν	16	15	310	392
Md. D.C.	3	- 1	-	-	-	-	27 20	32 13	2,520 738	2,375 774
Va.	1	4	5	-	-	-	109	77	2,853	2,446
W.Va. N.C.	1	1 -	4	- 8	-	-	9 N	7 N	255 4,576	261 3,984
S.C. Ga.	1 7	- 6	- 2	- 2	-	-	16 193	34 225	2,746 1,256	2,497 4,892
Fla.	14	11	1	8	3	-	326	290	4,960	5,570
E.S. CENTRAL	10	13	1	-	5	-	88	95	6,793	8,394
Ky. Tenn.	4 2	2 7	1	-	3 2	-	N 36	N 42	703 2,436	1,071 2,528
Ala. Miss.	1 3	3 1	-	-	-	-	52	53	1,939 1,715	2,762 2,033
W.S. CENTRAL	16	13	-	2	1	-	74	64	11,900	12,818
Ark.	1	2	-	-	-	-	35	36	1,037	1,122
La. Okla.	- 3	1 1	-	-	-	-	8 31	4 24	3,628 1,380	3,183 1,127
Tex.	12	9	-	2	1	-	-	-	5,855	7,386
MOUNTAIN Mont.	48 2	34	3	6	1	1	364 11	361 13	3,200 11	3,324 44
Idaho	6	9	1	4	-	-	49	45	25	27
Wyo. Colo.	- 24	- 14	- 1	- 1	-	- 1	3 115	5 104	18 816	16 906
N. Mex.	3 4	- 8	N	1 N	- N	N	17	16 65	179	394 1,269
Ariz. Utah	5	3	-	-	N -	-	69 69	65 77	1,458 102	83
Nev.	4	-	1	-	-	-	31	36	591	585
PACIFIC Wash.	42 8	50 16	1	1	-	-	697 72	811 61	9,117 835	8,865 872
Oreg. Calif.	5 22	8 26	1	1	-	-	116 461	84	225 7,864	289 7,221
Alaska	1	- 20	-	-	-	-	20	614 26	192	168
Hawaii	6	-	-	-	-	-	28	26	1	315
Guam P.R.	N	N _	-	-	-	- 25	- 6	- 27	- 46	- 76
V.I.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	30
Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	U -	U U	U -	U U	U -	U U	U -	U U	U 3	U U
		-		-				-	-	-

 TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 24, 2004, and April 19, 2003

 (16th Week)*

MMWR

(16th Week)*										
				Haemophilus	influenzae, inv	vasive			Нер	atitis
	All	ages			Age <5	ō years			(viral, acu	te), by type
		rotypes	Serot	ype b	Non-sei	rotype b	Unknown	serotype		A
	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.
Reporting area	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003
UNITED STATES	654	543	6	6	36	40	70	67	1,660	1,923
NEW ENGLAND	56 5	43	1	1	3	3	2	1	293	61
Maine N.H.	5 11	2 4	-	-	2	-	-	1 -	8 6	1 4
Vt.	4	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	3
Mass. R.I.	24 1	20 1	1	1	-	3	2	-	243 6	31 4
Conn.	11	10	-	-	1	-	-	-	25	18
MID. ATLANTIC	125	93	-	-	1	1	20	13	195	377
Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City	45 19	28 15	-	-	1	1	4 4	3 4	28 66	31 143
N.J.	23	18	-	-	-	-	2	1	42	65
Pa.	38	32	-	-	-	-	10	5	59	138
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio	104 47	78 20	-	1	9 2	2	14 7	16 5	142 17	201 31
Ind.	17	12		-	3	1	1	-	9	12
III. Miah	19	32	-	- 1	-	-	5	9	49	74
Mich. Wis.	9 12	6 8	-	-	4	1	- 1	- 2	54 13	61 23
W.N. CENTRAL	28	37	1	-	2	4	2	3	50	53
Minn.	12	15	-	-	2	4	-	-	11	14
lowa Mo.	1 8	- 15	1	-	-	-	- 1	- 3	11 15	13 11
N. Dak.	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
S. Dak. Nebr.	- 4	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 7	- 3
Kans.	2	5	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	3	12
S. ATLANTIC	175	116	-	-	7	4	13	8	318	452
Del.	5	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	3	3
Md. D.C.	28	25	-	-	2	3	-	-	49 3	43 9
Va.	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	2	28	31
W.Va. N.C.	8 17	3 10	-	-	- 1	-	3	-	2 22	4 26
S.C.	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	18
Ga. Fla.	61 44	25 39	-	-	- 4	- 1	8	4 2	123 76	182 136
E.S. CENTRAL	22	35	-	_	-	2	5	3	55	56
Ky.	-	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	9	10
Tenn. Ala.	14 8	19 12	-	-	-	1	4 1	2 1	31 5	26 9
Miss.	-	1		-	-	-	-	-	10	11
W.S. CENTRAL	25	29	-	-	3	3	-	3	106	185
Ark.	1 3	4 8	-	-	-	1	-	- 3	18	10
La. Okla.	21	0 17	-	-	3	2	-	-	2 13	28 4
Tex.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	73	143
MOUNTAIN	95	62	2	2	11	9	11	9	167	125
Mont. Idaho	- 2	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	3 8	1 6
Wyo.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
Colo. N. Mex.	29 16	12 10	-	-	- 4	- 2	5 2	4 1	24 4	12 8
Ariz.	37	30	-	2	6	4	1	2	102	73
Utah Nev.	5 6	6 4	2	-	- 1	1 2	1	2	21 4	9 15
PACIFIC		4 50	2	2	1		•			
Wash.	24 3	3	2	-	-	12 2	3 1	11 1	334 16	413 18
Oreg.	13	15	-	-	-	-	-	3	19	27
Calif. Alaska	3	29	-	2	-	10	2	7	290 3	361 4
Hawaii	5	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	3
Guam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P.R. V.I.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	18
Amer. Samoa	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
C.N.M.I.	-	U	-	U	-	U	-	U	-	U

 TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 24, 2004, and April 19, 2003

 (16th Week)*

352

Hearting (ring, surge), by ype Ligs = 1 Ligs = 1 Ligs = 1 Ligs = 1 Lyrne disease Regenting and the stress of t	(16th Week)*								, ,		
Lum. Lum. <thlum.< th=""> Lum. Lum. <thl< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>nellosis</th><th>Lister</th><th>iosis</th><th>Lyme</th><th>disease</th></thl<></thlum.<>							nellosis	Lister	iosis	Lyme	disease
UNITED STRES 1.708 1.994 382 668 296 512 110 142 1.229 2.210 Maine 1 - - - 1 1 6 6.47 2006 Maine 1 - - - 1 1 8 5 Mass. 54 70 - - 2 5 - 2 6 1009 Conn - 21 U U 2 4 2 2 32 41 Conn - 21 U U 2 4 2 2 32 41 Conn - 21 U U 2 4 2 32 42 MALAUX 24 35 36 30 9 11 62 7 7 Pa 89 82 32 36 30 9 11 62 4 4 <th>Penorting area</th> <th>Cum.</th>	Penorting area	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.
Maine 1 - - - - 1 1 30 - Mass. 54 70 - - 2 5 - 2 6 100 Mass. 54 70 - - 2 5 - 2 6 100 Mass. 54 70 - 2 1 1 1 2 10 100 MD.ATLANTC 22 24 35 6 12 19 8 562 524 524 MD.MUM 113 76 - 16 7 7 7 7 34 7		·									
N.H. 15 3 - - - 1 1 8 5 Verses. 54 10 1 - - 1 1 - 2 15 14 R.L. 54 10 - - 1 1 - - 15 14 R.L. 10 10 2 4 2 2 15 14 Conn. - 21 10 10 2 4 2 2 15 MD.ATLANTIC 242 28 10 3 6 15 19 6 5 34 Pa. 89 82 32 35 36 30 9 11 43 32 Control 51 41 1 1 2 4 1 1 33 36 22 7 1 4 4 32 57 Ind. 6 4 1 1 1 2 1 1 3 3 1 - - Wick 73 15 34 27 2 6 6 - - - - Wick 70 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>98</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>11</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>206</td>			98	1	-		11				206
Mass. 64 70 - - 2 5 - 2 51 1 2 61 100 Colm. - 21 0 0 2 4 2 2 32 44 Colm. 22 1 10 0 0 10 10 29 36 56 22 11 1.680 NY Clyv. 28 10 3 6 12 7 6 334 375 PAL 113 75 - - 16 5 7 7 6 334 375 PAL 199 48 72 73 15 14 62 7 - <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>- 5</td></td<>				-	-		-				- 5
R.I. 1 3 - - 1 1 1 - - 18 44 MD. ATLANTIC 24 24 24 24 25 35 41 MD. ATLANTIC 242 281 35 41 67 61 27 29 1.517 1.680 Upstein NV. 13 176 - - 16 5 7 6 334 375 N.J. 19 140 19 48 72 73 15 14 32 57 Ohio 51 41 2 366 29 7 1 22 26 8 Ind. 8 4 1 - 1 2 26 6 - - - Wis - 21 5 164 85 7 11 4 4 2 6 45 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -				1	-			-			
MD. ATLANTIC 242 241 35 41 67 61 27 29 15.77 18.80 N.Y.GIV 18 104 - - 2 7 3 7 - 2 N.J. 113 157 - 2 7 3 7 - 2 R.G. CENTRAL 119 110 12 48 72 23 15 14 32 5 GO. CENTRAL 14 14 2 4 7 1 1 1 2 6 6 6 - - Ind. - 1 1 1 2 2 2 6 6 6 - - - - - - - 1 1 2 2 2 6 6 6 - - - - 1 1 2 2 7 5 5 6 6 - - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1<	R.I.	1	3		-	1	1		-	18	48
Upstale NY. 22 20 3 6 13 19 8 5 562 524 NA. 163 72 3 6 13 19 8 5 562 524 NA. 163 72 3 6 30 9 11 621 777 Chino 151 410 16 8 72 73 15 14 226 6 Ind. 8 4 1 - 5 4 1 1 6 6 - - 4 III. . 1 1 12 2 6 6 6 - - - - 4 4 2 2 6 6 6 6 6 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 <td></td>											
N.I. 113 75 - - 16 5 7 6 334 375 EN.CENTRAL 119 140 19 48 72 73 15 14 32 57 Onio 51 441 2 336 22 6 6 - - Ind. 8 4 1 1 2 2 6 6 - - Wis. - 21 - - 2 6 1 2 2 6 4 2 2 2 7 15 3 Win.CENTRAL 133 85 164 85 7 1 4 4 29 22 2 7 15 3 Mon. 107 57 163 84 4 2 1 - 1 - 1 <td>Upstate N.Y.</td> <td>22</td> <td>20</td> <td>3</td> <td>6</td> <td>13</td> <td>19</td> <td>8</td> <td>5</td> <td>562</td> <td>524</td>	Upstate N.Y.	22	20	3	6	13	19	8	5	562	524
E N. CENTRAL 119 140 19 46 72 73 15 14 32 57 Ind. 51 44 1 2 3 36 74 1 1 2 32 77 Ind. 6 75 15 34 1 1 1 2 12 1 3 - 4 Moh. 6 75 15 34 2 2 6 6 6 6 6 6 W.N. CENTRAL 133 85 164 85 7 1 1 4 4 2 29 215 Ind. 1 7 57 163 84 4 2 1 - 1 1 4 2 2 2 7 15 Ind. 1 7 57 163 84 4 2 1 - 1 1 6 4 N. Dak. 1 - 1 1 1 1 - 1 - 16 4 N. Dak. 1 - 1 1 1 1 - 1 - 16 4 S. Dak. 1 1 - 1 - 1 1 1 - 1 - 16 4 S. Dak. 1 1 - 1 - 1 1 1 - 1 - 16 4 S. Dak. 1 1 - 1 - 1 1 1 - 1 - 16 4 S. Dak. 1 1 - 1 - 1 1 1 - 1 - 16 4 S. Dak. 1 1 - 1 - 1 1 1 - 1 - 16 4 S. Dak. 1 1 - 1 - 1 1 1 - 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	N.J.	113	75	-	-	16	5	7	6	334	375
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $											
III. - 1 1 11 2 12 - 3 - Wick. - 21 - - 22 6 6 - - Wink. - 21 - - 22 6 1 2 6 45 Wink. - 1 4 1 - - 2 2 2 7 15 Iowa 3 4 - - 1 4 1 - - 5 2 Mo. 107 5 163 84 4 2 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 1 1 - - 1 - - 1 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 1 - - 1 1 1 1 1 1 <th< td=""><td>Ohio</td><td>51</td><td>41</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>36</td><td>29</td><td>7</td><td>2</td><td></td><td>8</td></th<>	Ohio	51	41	2	3	36	29	7	2		8
Wis. - 2 6 1 2 6 45 Mino. 8 8 14 1 - 2 2 2 7 5 Jowa 3 4 - - 1 4 2 2 7 5 Mo. 107 57 163 84 4 2 1 - 16 4 N.Dak. 1 1 - - 1 1 - - 1 1 - - 1 1 - - 1 1 - - 1 1 - - 1 1 - - 1 1 - 1 1 - 1 1 - 1 1 - 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0<		-				2	12	-	3	-	
NUM 133 85 164 85 7 11 4 4 29 22 lowa 3 4 - - 1 4 1 - 7 15 lowa 107 57 163 B44 4 2 1 - 16 4 N.Dak. 1 - - 1 1 - 1 <											
Iowa 3 4 - - 1 4 1 - 5 2 Ma. 1 - - 1 1 - - 1 - 1 - - - - 1 - - 1 - - 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <td>W.N. CENTRAL</td> <td></td> <td>85</td> <td>164</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>11</td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td>29</td> <td>22</td>	W.N. CENTRAL		85	164			11		4	29	22
Mo. 107 57 163 84 4 2 1 - 16 4 N.Dak. - 1 -				1	1						
S. Dak. · </td <td>Mo.</td> <td>107</td> <td>57</td> <td>163</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Mo.	107	57	163			2		-		
Kans. 6 6 6 - - 1 - - 1 1 Dal. 6 2 - 3 93 19 34 171 175 Dal. 6 2 - 3 - N N N 14 36 DC. 5 1 1 - - 1 - - 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 10 1 10 13 18 10 6 2 - 2 2 2 1 1 10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	S. Dak.	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Del. 6 2 - - 3 - N N 14 36 Md. 51 34 6 6 10 15 3 3 933 100 DC. 5 1 1 - - 1 - - 2 2 Va. 62 37 9 - 5 6 1 4 8 10 W.a. 2 2 3 - 2 - 1 1 1 - - 2 2 1 1 1 1 - - 2 2 1 1 1 1 - - 1 3 3 4 1 1 4 4 4 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 3 4 1 3 3 1 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3				-				-		- 1	- 1
Md. 51 34 6 6 10 15 3 3 93 100 DC. 5 1 1 - 1 - 2 2 2 Va. 62 37 9 - 5 6 1 4 8 10 N.C. 57 50 5 3 7 8 4 7 33 17 Sc. 33 44 1 14 4 - 2 1 1 Ga. 177 157 7 4 6 10 4 8 1 3 Fla. 177 157 7 4 6 10 4 8 1 3 Fla. 162 114 25 29 11 6 6 4 4 17 Ky. 13 18 10 6 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 2 2 Ky. 13 39 10 5				63							
Va. 62 37 9 - 5 6 1 4 8 10 N.C. 57 50 5 3 7 8 4 7 33 17 Ga. 177 157 7 4 6 10 4 8 1 3 Fla. 177 157 7 4 6 10 4 8 1 3 ES.CENTRAL 162 114 25 29 11 6 6 4 4 17 Ky. 13 18 10 6 2 - 2 - 2 2 Fan. 53 38 6 3 7 3 4 - 1 1 1 Ky. 13 18 20 6 2 - 1 </td <td>Md.</td> <td>51</td> <td>34</td> <td></td> <td>6</td> <td>10</td> <td>15</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>93</td> <td>100</td>	Md.	51	34		6	10	15			93	100
N.C. 57 50 5 3 7 8 4 7 33 17 Ga. 177 157 7 4 6 10 4 8 1 3 Fla. 177 157 7 4 6 10 4 8 1 3 Fla. 177 192 31 27 36 49 6 9 18 6 E.S. CENTRAL 162 114 25 29 11 6 6 4 4 17 Ky. 13 18 10 6 2 - 2 - 2 2 2 Miss. 78 33 9 16 - 2 - 1 1 1 0 WS. CENTRAL 19 29 294 27 371 17 20 9 17 2 27 Ark. 11 32 - 2 - 1 1 1 2 2 23		62			-	5		- 1	4		
S.C. 33 44 1 14 1 4 - 2 1 1 Ga. 177 192 31 27 36 49 6 9 18 6 E.S. CENTRAL 162 114 25 29 11 6 6 4 4 17 Ky. 13 18 10 6 2 - 2 - 2 2 Tenn. 53 38 6 3 7 3 4 - 1 10 WS. CENTRAL 29 294 27 371 17 20 9 17 2 27 Ait. 11 32 - 2 2 - 1 1 10 VS. CENTRAL 29 294 27 371 17 20 9 17 2 27 La. 8 50 10 54 13 315 15 17 9 15 2 23 Mout. 1 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>- 8</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>- 17</td></th<>							- 8				- 17
Fla.1771923127364969186E.S. CENTRAL162114252911664417Ky.13181062-2-222Tenn.533863734-15Ala.1825-421-3Miss.7833916-2-1110V.S. CENTRAL29294273711720917227La.8501054-1-1La.8501054-1-1Tex197153151517915223MOUNTAIN1541781410221661043Mont82111Vilo.28292355-411Vilo.28292355-411Vilo.28292355-411Vilo.282923 <t< td=""><td>S.C.</td><td>33</td><td>44</td><td>1</td><td>14</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>-</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></t<>	S.C.	33	44	1	14	1	4	-	2	1	1
Ky.13181062-2-222Tenn.533863734-15Ala.1825-421-3Miss.7833916-2-1110WS. CENTRAL29294273711720917227Ark.1132-2La.8501054-1-1Okla.10152-22-1Tex197153151517915223MOUNTAIN1541781410221661043Mont8211Idaho32-11111Vita51241141Vita151161124-11111<											
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											
Miss. 78 33 9 16 - 2 - 1 1 10 W.S. CENTRAL 29 294 27 371 17 20 9 17 2 27 Ark. 11 32 - 2 - <td>Tenn.</td> <td>53</td> <td>38</td> <td>6</td> <td>3</td> <td>7</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>5</td>	Tenn.	53	38	6	3	7	3	4	-	1	5
Ark.1132-2 <td></td>											
La.8501054-1-1-4Okla.10152-22-1-4Okla.197153151517915223MOUNTAIN1541781410221661043Mont8211Idaho32-1111Wyo.474111Colo.2128443414Ariz.82892355-41Ariz.82892355-41Nev.242161124-1-1PACIFIC227285142024212825232323Wash.22163342513Calif.174219512201920231316Alaska42NNGuam								9		2	
Tex. - 197 15 315 15 17 9 15 2 23 MOUNTAIN 154 178 14 10 22 16 6 10 4 3 Mont. - 8 2 1 - - 1 - - 1 Idaho 3 2 - 1 1 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - - 1 -	La.	8	50	10		-	1	-	1	-	
Mont. - 8 2 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 Idaho 3 2 - 1 1 1 1 - - 1 1 Wyo. 4 7 - - 4 1 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 -					315			- 9			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		154					16	6		4	3
Colo. 21 28 4 4 3 4 1 4 - - N.Mex. 5 12 - - - 1 - 1 - - Ariz. 82 89 2 3 5 5 - 4 1 - Nev. 24 21 6 1 1 2 4 - - 1 PACIFIC 227 285 14 20 24 21 28 25 23 23 Wash. 22 16 3 3 4 2 5 1 3 - Oreg. 26 42 4 4 N N 3 1 7 6 Calif. 174 219 5 12 20 19 20 23 13 16 Alaska 4 2 - - - - - 1 1 Hawaii 1 6 2 1 - <	Idaho		2			1	- 1	- 1	1		- 1
N. Mex.5121-1Ariz.82892355-41-Utah15118221Nev.2421611241PACIFIC2272851420242128252323Wash.22163342513-Oreg.264244NN3176Calif.174219512201920231316Alaska4211Hawaii16211GuamP.R.7381NNV.IAmer.SamoaUUUUUUUUUUU		4 21		- 4	- 4			- 1	- 4	1	-
Utah 15 11 - - - 8 2 - - 2 1 Nev. 24 21 6 1 1 2 4 - - 1 PACIFIC 227 285 14 20 24 21 28 25 23 23 Wash. 22 16 3 3 4 2 5 1 3 - Oreg. 26 42 4 4 N N 3 1 7 6 Calif. 174 219 5 12 20 19 20 23 13 16 Alaska 4 2 - - - - - 1 Hawaii 1 6 2 1 - - - - 1 Guam - - - - - - N N P.R. 7 38 - - - - N N N </td <td>N. Mex.</td> <td>5</td> <td>12</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>- 1</td> <td>-</td>	N. Mex.	5	12	-	-	-		-		- 1	-
PACIFIC 227 285 14 20 24 21 28 25 23 23 Wash. 22 16 3 3 4 2 5 1 3 - Oreg. 26 42 4 4 N N 3 1 7 6 Calif. 174 219 5 12 20 19 20 23 13 16 Alaska 4 2 - - - - - 1 Hawaii 1 6 2 1 - - - 1 1 Guam - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Utah	15	11	-	-	8	2	-	-		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									- 25	- 23	
Calif. 174 219 5 12 20 19 20 23 13 16 Alaska 4 2 - - - - - - 1 Hawaii 1 6 2 1 - - - - - 1 Guam -	Wash.	22	16	3	3	4	2	5	1	3	-
Hawaii 1 6 2 1 - - - N N Guam - - - - - - - N N P.R. 7 38 - - 1 - - N N V.I. - - - 1 - - N N Amer.Samoa U U U U U U U U U	Calif.	174	219	5	12	20	19	20	23	13	16
P.R. 7 38 - - 1 - - N N V.I. - - - - - - - - - - - - - N N Amer. Samoa U U U U U U U U U U U						-	-	-	-		
Amer. Samoa U <th< td=""><td>P.R.</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>- 1</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>N</td><td>N</td></th<>	P.R.			-	-	- 1	-	-	-	N	N
	Amer. Samoa		U								U

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 24, 2004, and April 19, 2003 (16th Week)*

(16th Week)*			Mening	ococcal					Rocky Mountain		
		laria	dise	ease		ussis		s, animal	spotte	d fever	
Reporting area	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	
UNITED STATES	276	303	557	646	2,379	1,958	1,018	2,048	134	82	
NEW ENGLAND	16	8	25	32	569	203	130	128	4	-	
Maine N.H.	-	1 2	7 3	4 3	- 14	1 14	11 6	11 6	-	-	
Vt. Mass.	1 8	- 5	1 14	- 21	20 517	22 151	5 49	8 50	- 4	-	
R.I.	2	-	-	1	9	1	10	13	-	-	
Conn. MID. ATLANTIC	5 58	- 70	- 65	3 66	9 652	14 181	49 123	40 249	- 13	- 9	
Upstate N.Y.	11	14	17	11	488	71	94	85	1	-	
N.Y. City N.J.	23 10	39 5	11 12	15 11	- 56	21 32	-	1 58	2 2	4 4	
Pa.	14	12	25	29	108	57	29	105	8	1	
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio	18 6	32 6	80 31	98 28	273 131	130 72	5 2	8 2	8 6	1 1	
Ind.	-	-	10	13	22	12	2	2	1	-	
III. Mich.	2 5	13 10	8 25	27 19	32	- 13	- 1	1 3	- 1	-	
Wis.	5	3	6	11	88	33	-	-	-	-	
W.N. CENTRAL Minn.	19 8	9 6	28 8	52 13	137 28	96 33	108 16	192 7	5	2	
lowa Mo.	1 3	2	5 7	8 22	19 68	32 20	14 3	23 2	- 5	1 1	
N. Dak.	1	-	-	-	5	1	18	17	-	-	
S. Dak. Nebr.	1 1	-	1 1	1 4	7	2 1	10 15	38 37	-	-	
Kans.	4	1	6	4	10	7	32	68	-	-	
S. ATLANTIC Del.	92 2	78	102 1	122 7	153 3	138 1	508 9	836	84	65	
Md. D.C.	23 4	22 4	5	11 1	33 1	16	50	112	4	8	
Va.	7	7	4	6	39	33	121	143	-	1	
W.Va. N.C.	- 5	2 6	3 14	1 16	2 29	1 54	17 192	23 211	- 73	- 47	
S.C. Ga.	5 12	1 11	9 14	9 14	10 17	5 8	40 64	46 113	2 3	3 3	
Fla.	34	25	52	57	19	20	15	188	2	3	
E.S. CENTRAL Ky.	7 1	8 1	24 3	28 2	27 4	34 4	36 7	63 10	15	5	
Tenn.	1	3	9	7	15	19	13	47	7	3	
Ala. Miss.	4 1	2 2	6 6	8 11	4 4	8 3	16	5 1	2 6	- 2	
W.S. CENTRAL	24	33	55	80	78	101	49	499	-	-	
Ark. La.	1 2	2 1	12 12	7 24	3 2	4 4	15	25	-	-	
Okla. Tex.	1 20	1 29	3 28	6 43	10 63	4 89	34	63 411	-	-	
MOUNTAIN	12	9	31	31	291	357	21	24	1	-	
Mont.	-	-	1	2	4	-	3	2	-	-	
Idaho Wyo.	-	-	3 2	2 2	14 3	9 114	-	-	-	-	
Colo. N. Mex.	5 1	7	14 4	5 3	166 32	115 19	-	-	1	-	
Ariz. Utah	1 3	1	4 3	14	47 22	72 21	18	22	-	-	
Nev.	2	-	-	3	3	7	-	-	-	-	
PACIFIC	30	56 7	147	137 11	199 111	718 109	38	49	4	-	
Wash. Oreg.	2 3	5	10 31	26	58	78	-	-	2	-	
Calif. Alaska	24	44	101 1	92 2	23 3	530	30 8	44 5	2	-	
Hawaii	1	-	4	6	4	1	-	-	-	-	
Guam P.R.	-	-	- 2	- 5	- 1	- 1	- 16	- 21	N	N	
V.I. Amer. Samoa	- U	- U	- - U	- U	U U	U U	U III	 U	U	U	
C.N.M.I.	-	U	-	U	-	U	-	Ŭ	-	U	

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 24, 2004, and April 19, 2003 (16th Week)*

MMWR

(16th Week)*										
					Stroptopoo	al disease		ptococcus pne	<i>umoniae</i> , inv I	asive
	Salmo	nellosis	Shige	llosis	Streptococo invasive,		Drug res all a		Age <	5 years
Reporting area	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003
UNITED STATES	7,079	7,748	2,863	5,753	1,708	2,302	963	916	161	183
NEW ENGLAND	340	371	63	94	76	236	8	37	3	1
Maine N.H.	17 22	22 27	1 3	4 1	3 9	13 15	-	-	N	N
Vt.	17	9	1	3	4	11	3	4	1	1
Mass. R.I.	199 25	216 19	42 2	59 3	55 5	108 1	N 5	N	N 2	N
Conn.	60	78	14	24	-	88	-	33	Ū	U
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y.	920 224	955 167	350 155	486 82	253 95	390 137	57 25	48 23	36 26	33 22
N.Y. City	259	301	95	131	31	52	U	U	U	U
N.J. Pa.	161 276	167 320	58 42	118 155	45 82	87 114	N 32	N 25	N 10	N 11
E.N. CENTRAL	1,001	1,111	229	442	288	564	221	166	58	72
Ohio Ind.	274 97	324 77	52 44	81 32	102 33	121 41	169 52	115 51	36 15	43 7
III.	252	390	76	219	26	153	-	-	-	-
Mich. Wis.	194 184	152 168	32 25	73 37	115 12	162 87	N N	N N	N 7	N 22
W.N. CENTRAL	492	423	105	204	135	148	90	82	20	17
Minn. Iowa	117 88	112 89	13 29	27 12	63 N	64 N	N	N	17 N	14 N
Mo.	148	113	28	71	29	31	5	4	3	1
N. Dak. S. Dak.	12 21	10 20	1 6	3 8	4 8	7 14	- 1	3	-	2
Nebr.	38 68	34 45	7 21	57 26	8 23	17 15	- 84	75	N N	N N
Kans. S. ATLANTIC	1,717	45 1,821	907	1,917	400	405	84 480	464	4	5
Del.	12	24	3	94	2	4	3	-	N	N
Md. D.C.	124 11	182 10	31 13	175 17	73 2	123 3	- 2	2	- 3	-
Va. W. Va.	185 29	171 17	30	85	16 11	36 16	N 45	N 23	N 1	N 5
N.C.	225	302	121	221	45	36	N	N	Ŭ	U
S.C. Ga.	84 349	92 247	132 198	63 408	23 151	12 87	31 168	73 123	N N	N N
Fla.	698	776	379	854	77	88	231	243	Ν	Ν
E.S. CENTRAL Ky.	388 71	432 80	162 24	284 35	79 27	66 13	52 14	56 5	1 N	N
Tenn.	112	150	61	94	52	53	38	51	Ν	N
Ala. Miss.	131 74	132 70	56 21	99 56	-	-	-	-	N -	N
W.S. CENTRAL	441	720	458	1,302	82	120	22	49	34	29
Ark. La.	65 33	77 113	14 30	17 121	4	3 1	4 18	14 35	4 4	4 5
Okla.	60	62	105	186	23	32	N	N	16	6
Tex. MOUNTAIN	283 597	468 511	309 232	978 282	55 215	84 190	N 14	N 13	10 5	14 26
Mont.	28	30	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Idaho Wyo.	45 16	58 8	4 1	6 1	3 5	10	N 4	N 2	N -	N
Colo. N. Mex.	149 44	143 47	48 35	47 55	68 33	57 52	- 5	- 11	3	24
Ariz.	202	144	113	145	91	67	-	-	N	Ν
Utah Nev.	62 51	48 33	12 16	14 13	14 1	4	3 2	-	2	2
PACIFIC	1,183	1,404	357	742	180	183	19	1	-	-
Wash. Oreg.	79 84	125 131	19 18	58 24	20 N	N	N	N	N N	N N
Calif.	910	1,069	304	646	128	149	N	N	N	N
Alaska Hawaii	30 80	29 50	3 13	4 10	32	34	- 19	- 1	N -	N
Guam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P.R. V.I.	34	156	1	2	N	N	N	N	N	N
Amer. Samoa	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
C.N.M.I.	3	U	-	U	-	U	-	U	-	U

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 24, 2004, and April 19, 2003

(16th Week)*				,			, , , 				
		Syphi							Varicella		
		secondary	Ĩ	enital	Tuberculosis Cum. Cum.		Typhoi		(Chicke		
Reporting area	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	
UNITED STATES	1,967	2,167	66	151	2,102	3,377	70	85	5,313	5,596	
NEW ENGLAND	41	57	1	-	73	95	8	8	296	1,317	
Maine N.H.	- 1	3 8	-	-	- 6	- 4	-	-	43	359	
Vt.	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	253	292	
Mass. R.I.	29 3	37 4	-	-	50 8	44 14	8	4 2	-	80 2	
Conn.	8	5	1	-	9	31	-	2	-	584	
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y.	265 25	237 5	9 1	24 1	544 55	566 47	14 1	15 3	20	8	
N.Y. City	138	131	5	14	284	313	4	8	-	-	
N.J. Pa.	46 56	52 49	3	9	116 89	97 109	5 4	3 1	- 20	- 8	
E.N. CENTRAL	199	291	23	29	291	278	3	8	2,249	2,253	
Ohio	65	67	1	2	56	49	1	-	604	473	
Ind. III.	13 62	12 106	4 1	6 10	13 193	38 130	-	2 1	-	-	
Mich. Wis.	52 7	100 6	17	11	8 21	47 14	2	5	1,534 111	1,407 373	
W.N. CENTRAL	37	68	-	3	92	126	2		106	14	
Minn.	5	21	-	-	37	47	1	-	-	-	
lowa Mo.	2 21	5 26	-	- 3	7 27	8 32	- 1	-	N 2	N _	
N. Dak.	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	66	14	
S. Dak. Nebr.	- 4	- 1	-	-	3 6	9 5	-	-	38	-	
Kans.	5	15	-	-	10	25	-	-	-	-	
S. ATLANTIC Del.	551 2	565 2	9	29	426	644	12	20	851 3	827 3	
Md.	100	92	2	6	58	54	2	3	-	-	
D.C. Va.	23 14	12 26	- 1	- 1	- 47	- 57	- 4	- 10	9 246	7 174	
W.Va.	1	-	-	-	6	5	-	-	464	574	
N.C. S.C.	44 42	56 38	1	5 4	50 51	60 42	2	3	- 129	69	
Ga. Fla.	82 243	129 210	- 5	5 8	11 203	146 280	2 2	2 2	-	-	
E.S. CENTRAL	98	108	3	7	144	199	1	2	2	_	
Ky.	14	16	-	1	17	31	-	-	-	-	
Tenn. Ala.	45 30	43 40	1	1 4	42 52	63 76	1	- 1	-	-	
Miss.	9	9	1	1	33	29	-	-	2	-	
W.S. CENTRAL	334	255	16	21	150	517	5	3	722	1,086	
Ark. La.	12 72	12 30	-	-	36	26	-	-	- 3	- 7	
Okla. Tex.	7 243	15 198	2 14	- 21	39 75	37 454	- 5	- 3	- 719	- 1,079	
MOUNTAIN	243 115	190	5	17	60	434	6	3	1,067	91	
Mont.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Idaho Wyo.	8 1	4	-	-	- 1	1 1	-	-	- 14	- 12	
Colo.	-	11	-	3	12	28	3	3	813	-	
N. Mex. Ariz.	20 79	21 59	- 5	4 10	33	5 33	1	-	26	-	
Utah Nev.	3 4	1 4	-	-	14	9 4	1 1	-	214	79	
PACIFIC	327	486	-	21	322	871	19	27	_	_	
Wash.	23	18	-	-	61	71	1	-	-	-	
Oreg. Calif.	9 295	15 448	-	- 21	20 201	27 721	1 12	2 25	-	-	
Alaska	-	-	-	-	8	21	-	-	-	-	
Hawaii	-	5	-	-	32	31	5	-	-	-	
Guam P.R.	- 38	64	-	7	14	- 22	-	-	92	164	
V.I. Amer. Samoa	- U	1 U	- U	- U	- U	- U	- U	- U	- U	- U	
C.N.M.I.	2	Ŭ	-	Ŭ	10	Ŭ	-	Ŭ	-	Ŭ	

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 24, 2004, and April 19, 2003 (16th Week)*

TABLE III. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,* week ending April 24, 2004 (16th Week)

Every Endigability Solution S.ATLANTIC 1.279 B83 292 101 26 22 Bridgeport, Coron. 44 33 30 1 - - 7 Edition or Mail 148 98 38 10 1 1 Considie Assa 15 3 1 - - 7 Chainer, Mail 148 188 38 10 1 1 3 3 6 2 2 Chainer, Mail 138 60 33 15 1 3 1			All causes, by age (years)							All causes, by age (years)								
EVE KGLAND Cols 3/7 107 23 6 9 6 6 ALLANTIC 1279 683 202 101 26 22 Bridgeport, Corn. 44 33 10 1 - - 7 Ballimore, ML 148 98 38 10 1 - - 7 Chantone, ML, Mas, 19 15 3 1 - - 7 Chantone, ML, Mas, 19 15 3 1 - - 7 Chantone, ML, Mas, 19 10 3 1	Reporting Area		>65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1		Reporting Area		>65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	P&I [†] Total		
Beaton, Mass. 151 103 33 6 6 3 25 Altonin, Ga. 160 98 37 16 5 2 Berdigept, Common 44 33 10 1 - - 2 Charlone, M.C., 148 98 38 10 1 1 Common Age 33 10 - - 2 Charlone, M.C., 148 98 38 10 1 1 Lowel, Mass. 38 30 6 2 - 1 Nortoki, Va. 60 41 11 6 2 - 1 Nortoki, Va. 60 33 13 1 - - Nortoki, Va. 60 33 10 1 1 - Nortoki, Va. 60 33 10 1 1 Nortoki, Va. 60 32 5 1 4 1 - Nortoki, Va. 10 7 10 16 1 1 N												1				88		
Cambringe, Mass. 19 15 3 1 2 C Charlotte, N.C. 148 108 22 9 3 6 1 3 Hardrot, Com. 47 20 15 1 2 9 Man, K.C. 148 108 22 9 3 15 1 3 Hardrot, Com. 47 20 15 1 2 9 Man, K.C. 148 108 22 9 3 15 1 3 Hardrot, Com. 47 20 15 1 1 Reverse and the second of t																5		
Fall River, Mass. 14 13 1 5 Jacksonville, Fab. 133 76 38 15 1 3 Jacksonville, Fab. 135 80 38 11 6 1 Loveril, Mass. 29 15 1 - 2 9 15 1 - 2 9 Mortpik, Ma. 64 37 76 38 15 1 3 Jacksonville, Fab. 138 80 38 11 6 2 - Jacksonville, Fab. 138 80 38 11 6 2 - Jacksonville, Fab. 138 80 38 11 6 2 - Jacksonville, Fab. 138 80 38 11 6 2 - Jacksonville, Fab. 138 80 38 11 6 2 - Jacksonville, Fab. 138 80 38 11 6 2 - Jacksonville, Fab. 138 80 38 11 6 2 - Jacksonville, Fab. 138 80 38 11 6 2 - Jacksonville, Fab. 138 80 38 11 6 2 - Jacksonville, Fab. 138 80 38 11 6 2 - Jacksonville, Fab. 138 80 38 11 6 2 - Jacksonville, Fab. 138 80 38 11 6 2 - Jacksonville, Fab. 138 80 38 11 6 2 - Jacksonville, Fab. 138 80 38 11 6 2 - Jacksonville, Fab. 138 80 38 11 6 - Jacksonville, Fab. 138 80 38 11 6 - Jacksonville, Fab. 138 80 39 14 4 4 1 1 Jacksonville, Mass. 25 20 7 1 - Jacksonville, Fab. 138 70 39 14 4 4 1 1 Jacksonville, Mass. 25 20 7 1 - Jacksonville, Fab. 138 70 38 15 4 Jacksonville, Mass. 25 20 7 1 - Jacksonville, Fab. 138 70 53 19 3 2 Jacksonville, Mass. 25 14 7 4 1 5 Jacksonville, Fab. 138 70 18 12 3 Jacksonville, Mass. 14 1 7 - Jacksonville, Fab. 148 13 - Jacksonville, Fab. 148 14 1 - Jacksonville, Fab. 148 14 1 - Jacksonville, Fab. 148 14 1 - Jacksonville, Fab. 148 14 14 1 - Jacksonville, Fab. 148 14 14 1 - Jacksonville, Fab. 148 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	01					-	-									15		
Hartford, Conn. 47 29 15 1 - 2 9 Miam, Fig 136 80 38 11 6 1 Lynn, Mass. 38 3 1 - - - 1 Richmond, Va 60 41 16 2 - - Richmond, Va 60 41 16 2 - Richmond, Va 60 41 16 1 - - - Richmond, Va 60 41 16 1 - - - Richmond, Va 60 44 16 1 - - - Richmond, Va 60 41 16 16 17 17 19 16 Significit, Mass. 12 - - 16 Significit, Mass. 13 17 19 15 13 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 <					1	-										8		
Lowell, Mass. 38 30 6 2 1 Nordel, Va. 60 41 11 6 2 Nordel, Va. 60 34 7 4 3 Nordel, Va. 60 34 7 4 1 Nordel, Va. 60 34 7					-											3		
Lynn, Mass. 4 3 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						-			,							5 3		
New Bedrod, Mass. 29 23 3 1 2 - 1 Savannah, Ga. 6.4 6.7 7 20 4 - 3 Providence, RI. 00 38 15 4 - 3 - Tampe, Fla. 59 39 14 4 1 1 Providence, RI. 00 38 15 4 - 1 Tampe, Fla. Col 100 61 23 5 1 4 1					2	-										1		
New Haven, Conn. U U U U U U St. Peterburg, Fla. 53 39 14 4 1 1 Somerville, Mass. 1 1 - - - - - Washington, D.C. 100 67 23 5 1 4 5 1 Somerville, Mass. 32 7 1 - - - 6 E.S. CENTRAL 800 53 210 71 19 16 Mill ATLANTC 2.246 1.543 476 150 38 33 113 C Chatancoga, Fern. 86 2.25 5 3 2 - - 1 Buffalo, N. 60 39 13 5 5 3 1 3					1	2	-									2		
Samerule, Mass. 1 1 - - - - Washington, D.C. 10 67 23 5 1 4 Waterbuy, Conn. 35 28 7 2 - - 1 2 Washington, D.C. 100 67 23 5 1 4 Woresster, Mass. 52 42 6 4 - - 6 5 2 25 5 2 2 2 MD, ATLANTIC 2.44 16 15 3 1 1 6 Charoline, N.R. 18 16 1 1 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3					U		U	U		59	39		4	1	1	9		
Springfied, Mass. 32 23 7 1 - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 1 3 2	Providence, R.I.	60	38	15	4	-	3	-	Tampa, Fla.	211	147	44		5		35		
Waterbury, Conn. 35 26 7 2 - - 6 E.S. CENTRAL 860 553 210 71 19 16 2 MD, ATLANTIC 2.245 1.543 476 150 38 33 113 Chattanooga, Tenn. 89 61 26 9 2 - Albaro, N.Y. 46 11 5 - - 2 Lexington, Kg. 80 39 15 4 1 1 Buffalo, N.Y. 24 13 7 4 - 1 Montgomery, Ala. 58 34 19 3 - 2 Elsabeth, N.J. 23 14 3 - - Montgomery, Ala. 58 44 13 - - Montgomery, Ala. 58 49 13 - 2 Elsabeth, N.J. 216 14 9 - - 3 - - - - - - -	,				-	-										1		
Worcester, Mass. 52 42 6 4 - - 6 Ebs. CENTRAL 983 533 210 71 13 15 32 2 Albarty, NY, 46 3.5 6 150 3.8 33 113 6 Knowille, Tern. 187 153 3 13 5 5 2 2 Albarty, NY, 46 63 13 6 1 1 8 Monphis, Tern. 175 113 39 13 5 5 Garden, N.Y. 23 16 4 3 - - 1 Motip, Ala. 74 9 16 5 3 1 Jarsey City, N.J. 44 32 11 1 - - - Motip, Ala. 74 14 4 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3						-			Wilmington, Del.	14	13	-	1	-	-	1		
MID. ATLANTIC 2.245 1.543 476 150 38 33 113 125 35 19 32 2 Alhany, N.Y. 46 35 6 2 - 1 6 7 32 25 2 2 Alhany, N.Y. 46 35 6 2 - 1 6 7 3 35 13 5 5 2 2 2 Burlish, N.Y. 46 33 7 4 - 1 Momphis, Fran. 175 33 13 5 5 Camden, N.J. 24 13 1 - - 1 Montgomery, A.B. 68 34 19 3 - 2 Jersey City, N.J. 41 32 21 7 56 Ausin, Tax. 1507 100 51 35 Newark, N.Y. 21 16 - - 11 Host, Nat. 1507 30 2									E.S. CENTRAL	869	553	210	71	19	16	73		
Albarny, N.Y. 46 35 8 2 - 1 6 Knoxville, Tenn. 98 61 26 9 2 - Buffal, N.Y. 24 63 13 6 1 1 8 Mobile, Alk. 74 4 1 1 Buffal, N.Y. 24 63 7 4 - - 1 Mobile, Alk. 74 4 1 5 5 1 1 3 9 13 5 5 1 1 5 1 1 7 3 1 - - 1 Mobile, Alk. 74 4 1 - - 3 Baterson, N.J. 101 2 2 - - 1 Dalias, Fix. 102 18 23 2 4 1 6 2 - 1 Baterson, N.J. 10 2 2 - - 1 Houston, Tex. 102 1 2 1	*															27		
Allentöwn, Pa. 16 11 5 - - - 2 Leinigton, Ky. 60 39 15 4 1 1 Camden, N.J. 24 13 7 4 - - 1 Momphis, Fann. 77 113 39 13 5 5 Camden, N.J. 23 16 4 3 - - 1 Mostipomery, Ala. 58 34 19 3 3 3 Jersey City, N.J. 44 32 11 1 - - 3 Nashville, Fenn. 102 56 30 7 6 33 3		,	,													7		
Buffair, N.Y. 84 63 13 6 1 1 8 Memphs, Tenn. 75 113 39 13 5 5 Carnden, N.J. 24 13 7 4 - - 1 Mobile, Ala. 74 49 16 5 3 1 Elizabeth, N.J. 23 16 4 3 - - Mohile, Ala. 74 49 16 5 3 3 Jersey City, N.J. 44 32 11 1 - - - WS, CENTRAL 1,507 1,012 308 100 51 35 Phateson, N.J. 28 14 9 - - 16 Corpus Christi, Tex. 52 39 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td></t<>					2											2		
Cambén, N.J., 24, 13, 7, 4, -, -, 1 Elizabeth, N.J., 23, 16, 4, 3, -, -, 1 Erie, Pa, 55, 47, 4, 32, 11, -, -, -, 1 Mobile, Aia, 74, 49, 16, 5, 3, 1 Mobile, Aia, 77, 35, 13, 3, 3 Mobile, Aia, 77, 35, 14, 14, 14, 51, 150, 10, 15, 39, 20, 10, 51, 35 Mobile, Aia, 77, 35, 14, 14, 14, 51, 150, 10, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14	,				-											1 16		
Elizabeth, N.J. 23 16 4 3 · · · · Montgömery, Ala. 68 34 19 3 · 2 Jersey City, N.J. 44 32 11 1 · · · · · New York City, N.J. 158 795 252 80 21 7 56 New Trik, N.J. 26 14 9 · · 3 · · V.S. CENTRAL 1.507 1.012 308 100 51 35 New Ark, N.J. 26 14 9 · · · 3 · · Construction from the second se						-	-									4		
Erie, Pa. 55 47 4 3 1 - 3 Nashville, Ten. 133 79 35 13 3 Jersey City, N.J. 44 32 11 1 - - - W.S. CENTRAL 1,507 1,012 308 100 51 35 New York City, N.Y. 118 79 24 20 80 20 9 19 Mustin, Tex. 20 30 1 - 1 4 Datassoc 20 32 23 2 - 1 4 Datassoc 20 32 2 - - 1 4 Datassoc 20 32 33 23 2 - - 1 1 Datassoc 20 31 8 1 4 4 4 1 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					-	_	_									6		
Jersey City, N.J., 44, 32, 11, 1, -, -, -, -, -, W.S.CENTRAL, 1,507, 1,012, 308, 100, 51, 35 New York (N.Y., Y., 15, 58, 795, 252, 80, 21, 7, 54, Austin, Tex., 102, 56, 30, 7, 6, 3 New Ark, N.J., 71, 37, 14, 14, 5, 1, 3 Philadelphia, Pa, 322, 202, 80, 20, 9, 19, 8 Phitsburgh, Pa, 322, 202, 80, 20, 9, 19, 8 Corpus Christin, Tex., 52, 39, 4, 4, 4, 1, 4 Philadelphia, Pa, 322, 202, 80, 20, 9, 19, 8 Corpus Christin, Tex., 52, 39, 4, 4, 4, 1, 4 Philadelphia, Pa, 322, 21, -, 2, -, 3 Reading, Pa, 23, 21, -, 2, -, 3 Scranton, Pa, 25, 17, 6, 2, -, -, 7 Scranton, Pa, 25, 17, 6, 2, -, -, 7 Syracuse, N.Y., 116, 4, 1, -, -, 1 Hitte Rock, Ark, 66, 242, 86, 28, 14, 9 Scranton, Pa, 25, 17, 7, 12, 8, 1, -, -, 7 San Antonio, Tex., 245, 177, 41, 16, 6, 4 Utica, N.Y., 21, 12, 8, 1, -, -, -, 7 San Antonio, Tex., 245, 177, 41, 16, 6, 4 Utica, N.Y., 21, 12, 8, 1, -, -, -, 7 San Antonio, Tex., 245, 177, 41, 16, 6, 4 Utica, N.Y., 21, 12, 8, 1, -, -, -, 7 San Antonio, Tex., 245, 177, 41, 16, 6, 4 Utica, N.Y., 21, 12, 8, 1, -, -, -, 7 Construction, Tex., 245, 177, 41, 16, 6, 4 Utica, N.Y., 26, 19, 5, -, 2, 4 Contor, Ohio, 38, 26, 7, 3, -, 4 Contor, Ohio, 38, 26, 7, 3, -, 4 Contor, Ohio, 199, 126, 44, 22, 4, 3, 16 Diste, Idaho, 33, 22, 6, 2, 2, 1 Utica, N.M., 208, 95, 77, 23, 9, 4, 10 Denver, Colo, 99, 64, 15, 8, 5, 7 Lovano, Ohio, 134, 95, 30, 6, 1, 2, 5 Photin, Kariz, U,						1	-									10		
New York City, N.Y. 1, 158 // 137 // 14 14 5 // 13 // 14 <th 14<="" th=""> <th 14<="" th=""></th></th>	<th 14<="" th=""></th>		Jersey City, N.J.	44	32	11	1	-	-	-		1 507	1 0 1 2	200	100	F1	25	83
Dewark, N.J. 26 14 9 - 3 Baton Rouge, La. 47 35 10 2 - - Philaclphia, Pa. 332 202 60 20 9 19 8 Dallas, Tex. 209 132 39 23 9 6 Philaclphia, Pa. 24 20 3 1 - 1 Elevant, No. 39 23 9 6 Reading, Pa. 28 21 - 2 - 3 F!.Worth, Tex. 109 78 21 2 6 2 3 14 9 1 5 7 6 2 - 1 Little Rock, Ark. 65 42 16 2 2 3 3 14 9 1 5 2 3 7 11 2 2 1 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 10 10 10 10 <td< td=""><td>New York City, N.Y.</td><td>1,158</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>,</td><td>,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>03 1</td></td<>	New York City, N.Y.	1,158								,	,					03 1		
Patalespin, N.J. 20 14 9 -																1		
Pittsburgh, Pa, 5 24 20 3 1 - - 1 Datas, 1ex. 209 1,22 39 2,3 9 0 Reading, Pa. 23 21 - 2 - - 11 11 2 60 19 1 2 - 2 60 19 1 2 3 3 1 </td <td></td> <td>3</td>																3		
Reading, Pa. 23 21 - 2 -						9	19		Dallas, Tex.	209	132	39	23		6	19		
Rochester, N.Y. 118 91 21 6 - - 11 PL Worth, Rex. 109 76 21 2 6 2 Schenectady, N.Y. 21 16 4 1 - 1 Houston, Tex. 392 249 82 38 14 9 2 33 Stranuen, Pa, 25 17 6 2 - - 7 New Orleans, La. 40 31 8 - 1 Trenton, N.J. 29 25 - 2 1 1 1 Sta Anton, Tex. 245 177 41 16 6 4 Vinters, N.Y. 26 19 5 2 - - 2 New Orleans, La. 40 31 3 5 7 83 20 111 76 27 3 2 2 11 113 76 27 33 2 2 11 113 76 27 2 3 30 35 7 83 30 30 22 4							-		,							1		
Schenectady, N.Y. 21 16 4 1 - - 1 Houston, IeX. 392 249 82 38 14 9 Stranton, Pa. 25 17 6 2 - - 1 Huttle Rock, Ark. 65 42 16 2 2 3 Syracuse, N.Y. 79 57 22 - - - 7 New Oftenss, La. 40 31 8 - 1 - Trenton, N.J. 29 25 - 2 1 1 7 San Antonio, Tex. 45 177 16 6 4 Vinkers, N.Y. 21 12 8 1 - - 2 1 114 74 33 5 - 2 3 Canton, Ohio 36 26 7 3 - 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 3 7 4 3 5 7						-	-									5		
Scranton, Pa. 25 17 6 2 - - - Nomoleans, La. 40 31 8 - 1 - Syratuse, N.Y. 29 25 - 2 1 1 1 San Antonio, Tex. 245 177 41 16 6 4 Yonkers, N.Y. 26 19 5 2 - - 2 Tilean, Okia. 111 76 27 3 2 3 E.N. CENTRAL 2,100 1,368 487 165 40 38 134 Albuquerque, N.M. 114 76 27 3 2 2 1 Chicago, III. 386 231 103 35 7 8 32 12 12 1 16 10 0 14 9 2 - 3 Clorelago, III. 386 231 103 35 7 8 32 12 4 3 6 - 2 1 Las Vegas, Nev. 278 190 61 6 - -	'					-	-									30		
Syratouse, N.Y. 79 57 22 - - - 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 Yonkers, N.Y. 26 19 5 2 - - 4 1 1 7 4 1 6 6 2 1 <	Scranton, Pa.	25	17	6	2	-	-									-		
Iferition, N.J. 29 25 - 2 1 1 Shreeport, La. 53 37 11 2 2 1 Yonkers, N.Y. 26 19 5 2 - - 2 Shreeport, La. 53 37 11 7 3 2 3 E.N. CENTRAL 2,100 1,368 487 165 40 38 134 Albuquerque, N.M. 114 74 33 5 - 2 2 1 Charon, Ohio 36 26 7 3 - - 4 Colo. Springs, Colo. 48 34 9 2 2 1 Columbus, Ohio 198 126 44 22 4 3 16 - - - Phoenix, Ariz. U						-	-		1 · · · ·							16		
Unical, N.Y. 21 12 8 1 -						1	1									1		
E.N. CEN IRAL 2,100 1,368 487 165 40 38 134 Albuquerque, N.M. 114 74 33 5 - 2 Canton, Ohio 36 26 7 3 - 4 Boise, Idaho 33 22 6 2 2 1 Chicago, III, 386 231 103 35 7 8 32 Colo, 99 64 15 8 7 Cincinnati, Ohio 245 170 52 16 1 6 10 Colo, 99 64 15 8 7 Columbus, Ohio 134 95 30 6 1 2 5 Puebio, Colo. 22 16 3 1 2 - Evansville, Ind. 53 39 10 2 2 - 2 10 4 4 Gary, Ind. 16 6 7 2 1 - - PACIFIC 1,275 913 230 71 31 30 33 2 - 2	,					-	-		Tulsa, Ökla.	111	76	27		2	3	6		
Aktor, Unito 36 40 10 5 - 3 0 Boise, Idaho 33 22 6 2 2 1 Canton, Ohio 36 26 7 3 - - 4 Colo. Springs, Colo. 99 64 15 8 5 7 3 Cincinnati, Ohio U U U U U U U U U U 0 0 0 0 16 16 9 2 - 3 Cilevaland, Ohio 245 170 52 16 1 6 10 0 U <td>E.N. CENTRAL</td> <td>2,100</td> <td>1,368</td> <td>487</td> <td>165</td> <td>40</td> <td>38</td> <td>134</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>66 7</td>	E.N. CENTRAL	2,100	1,368	487	165	40	38	134								66 7		
Canton, Unito 36 26 7 3 - - 4 Colo. Springs, Colo. 48 34 9 2 - 3 Chicago, Ill. 366 231 103 35 7 8 32 Denver, Colo. 99 64 15 8 5 7 Cincinnati, Ohio U <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td>						-	3									1		
Chicago, III. 386 231 103 335 7 8 325 Derver, Colo. 99 64 15 8 5 7 Cincinati, Ohio 245 170 52 16 1 6 10 Las Vegas, Nev. 278 190 61 16 9 2 Columbus, Ohio 199 126 44 22 4 3 16 - - Phoenix, Ariz. U																1		
Cleveland, Ohio 245 170 52 16 1 6 10 Columbus, New 278 190 61 16 9 2 Columbus, Ohio 199 126 44 22 4 3 6 -														5		11		
Columbus, Ohio 199 126 44 22 4 3 16 Organ, Otan 2.3 14 3 6 - - - Dayton, Ohio 134 95 30 6 1 2 5 Phoenix, Ariz. U <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Las Vegas, Nev.</td><td>278</td><td>190</td><td>61</td><td>16</td><td>9</td><td>2</td><td>18</td></th<>									Las Vegas, Nev.	278	190	61	16	9	2	18		
Dayton, Ohio 134 95 30 6 1 2 5 Phoenix, Ariz. U <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>3</td></t<>																3		
Detroit, Mich. 208 95 77 23 9 4 10 Pueblo, Colo. 22 16 3 1 2 3 Evansville, Ind. 53 39 10 2 2 - 2 Salt Lake City, Utah 121 75 27 12 4 4 Gary, Ind. 16 6 7 2 1 - - PACIFIC 1,275 913 230 71 31 30 Grand Rapids, Mich. 50 38 6 2 2 2 6 Berkeley, Calif. 130 93 26 9 2 - - 2 Indianapolis, Ind. 204 133 48 17 4 2 11 Fresno, Calif. 130 93 26 9 2 - - 2 1 - - - 1400lulu, Hawaii 74 52 14 7 1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td>,</td> <td></td> <td>U</td>	,															U		
Evansville, Ind. 53 39 10 2 2 - 2 Fort Wayne, Ind. 16 6 7 2 1 - 2 Gary, Ind. 16 6 7 2 1 - - PACIFIC 1,275 913 230 71 31 30 Grand Rapids, Mich. 50 38 6 2 2 2 6 Berkeley, Calif. 13 9 2 - - 2 Indianapolis, Ind. 204 133 48 17 4 2 11 Berkeley, Calif. 130 93 26 9 2 - - 2 Milwaukee, Wis. 124 78 31 11 2 2 10 Honolulu, Hawaii 74 52 14 7 1 - Peoria, III. 50 35 11 1 2 2 10 Honolulu, Hawaii 74 52 14 7 1 - Youngstow, Ohio 57 49 6 1		208	95	77	23	9	4	10								2		
Fort Wayne, Ind. 54 41 9 3 1 - 2 PACIFIC 1.275 913 230 71 31 30 Gary, Ind. 16 6 7 2 1 - - PACIFIC 1,275 913 230 71 31 30 Grand Rapids, Mich. 50 38 6 2 2 2 6 Berkeley, Calif. 13 9 2 - - 2 Lansing, Mich. 50 36 7 6 1 - 3 Glendale, Calif. 130 93 26 9 2 - - Peoria, III. 50 35 11 1 2 1 5 Lorg Beach, Calif. 325 219 67 24 9 6 South Bend, Ind. U </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>10 13</td>							-									10 13		
Grand Rapids, Mich. 50 38 6 2 2 2 6 Berkeley, Calif. 13 9 2 - - 2 Indianapolis, Ind. 204 133 48 17 4 2 11 Fresno, Calif. 130 93 26 9 2 - - 2 Lansing, Mich. 50 36 7 6 1 - 3 Glendale, Calif. 130 93 26 9 2 - - 2 10 Milwaukee, Wis. 124 78 31 11 2 2 10 Honolulu, Hawaii 74 52 14 7 1 - - Long Beach, Calif. 325 219 67 24 9 6 South Bend, Ind. U																		
Indianapolis, Ind. 204 133 48 17 4 2 11 Fresno, Calif. 130 93 26 9 2 - Lansing, Mich. 50 36 7 6 1 - 3 Glendale, Calif. 25 23 1 1 - - Milwaukee, Wis. 124 78 31 11 2 2 10 Honolulu, Hawaii 74 52 14 7 1 - - Peoria, III. 59 41 12 4 1 1 3 Los Angeles, Calif. 325 219 67 24 9 6 South Bend, Ind. U																125		
Lansing, Mich.5036761-3Glendale, Calif.252311Milwaukee, Wis.1247831112210Honolulu, Hawaii74521471Peoria, III.50351112210Long Beach, Calif.68471722-Rockford, III.5941124113Los Angeles, Calif.325219672496South Bend, Ind.UUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUToledo, Ohio11789176233Portland, Oreg.53361034-Youngstown, Ohio574961-16Sacramento, Calif.UUUUUUW.N. CENTRAL62341613638161745San Diego, Calif.19113636964Duluth, Minn.32265115San Jose, Calif.UUUUUUSanase City, Kans.43241522-5Seattle, Wash.72551232-Kansas City, Mo.936616 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>- 13</td></t<>																- 13		
Milwaukee, Wis. 124 78 31 11 2 2 10 Honolulu, Hawaii 74 52 14 7 1 - Peoria, III. 50 35 11 1 2 1 5 Long Beach, Calif. 68 47 17 2 2 - Rockford, III. 59 41 12 4 1 1 3 Los Angeles, Calif. 325 219 67 24 9 6 South Bend, Ind. U				40		1	-					20	1	-	-	5		
Peoria, III. 50 35 11 1 2 1 5 Long Beach, Calif. 68 47 17 2 2 - Rockford, III. 59 41 12 4 1 1 3 Long Beach, Calif. 325 219 67 24 9 6 South Bend, Ind. U<				31		2	2					14	7	1	-	8		
South Bend, Ind. U														2	-	10		
Toledo, Ohio 117 89 17 6 2 3 3 Portland, Oreg. 53 36 10 3 4 - Youngstown, Ohio 57 49 6 1 - 1 6 Sacramento, Calif. U		59	41	12	4		1	3		325	219	67	24			42		
Youngstown, Ohio 57 49 6 1 - 1 6 Sacramento, Calif. U															U	U		
W.N. CENTRAL 623 416 136 38 16 17 45 San Diego, Calif. 191 136 36 9 6 4 Des Moines, Iowa 40 33 6 1 - - 1 San Diego, Calif. U San Jose, Calif. 153 112 20 7 2 12 Sata Sata Cruz, Calif. 13 5 Spokane, Wash. 72	,														-	1		
W. N. CENTRAL 623 416 136 38 16 17 45 San Francisco, Calif. U	0															U 13		
Des Moines, Iowa 40 33 6 1 - - 1 San Jose, Calif. 153 112 20 7 2 12 Duluth, Minn. 32 26 5 - - 1 1 San Jose, Calif. 153 112 20 7 2 12 Kansas City, Kans. 43 24 15 2 2 - 5 Santa Cruz, Calif. 23 18 4 1 - - Kansas City, Mo. 93 66 16 4 4 3 5 Spokane, Wash. 72 55 12 3 2 - Lincoln, Nebr. 32 22 8 2 - - 2 Spokane, Wash. 52 43 4 3 - 2 Minneapolis, Minn. 57 37 12 4 1 3 5 Tacoma, Wash. 96 70 17 2 3 4 Omaha, Nebr. 59 50 7 1 - 1 5 TOTAL																Ű		
Dulutin, Minn. 32 26 5 - - 1 1 Santa Cruz, Calif. 23 18 4 1 - - Kansas City, Kans. 43 24 15 2 2 - 5 Santa Cruz, Calif. 23 18 4 1 - - - Santa Cruz, Calif. 23 18 4 1 - - - Santa Cruz, Calif. 23 18 4 1 - - Santa Cruz, Calif. 23 18 4 1 - - Santa Cruz, Calif. 23 18 4 1 - - Santa Cruz, Calif. 23 18 4 1 - - Santa Cruz, Calif. 23 18 4 1 - - Seattle, Wash. 72 55 12 3 2 - Spokane, Wash. 52 43 4 3 - 2 Tacoma, Wash. 96 70 17 2 3 4 3 5 ToTAL 11,316 ¹ 7,615 2,435 781 255 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>13</td>					1											13		
Kansas City, Mo. 93 66 16 4 4 3 5 Seattle, Wash. 72 55 12 3 2 - Lincoln, Nebr. 32 22 8 2 - - 2 Spokane, Wash. 52 43 4 3 - 2 Minneapolis, Minn. 57 37 12 4 1 3 5 Tacoma, Wash. 96 70 17 2 3 4 Omaha, Nebr. 59 50 7 1 - 1 5 TOTAL 11,316 [¶] 7,615 2,435 781 255 222 St. Louis, Mo. 120 66 32 13 4 5 11 11,316 [¶] 7,615 2,435 781 255 222					-					23	18	4	1	-		-		
Lincoln, Nebr. 32 22 8 2 - - 2 Spokane, Wash. 52 43 4 3 - 2 Minneapolis, Minn. 57 37 12 4 1 3 5 Tacoma, Wash. 96 70 17 2 3 4 Omaha, Nebr. 59 50 7 1 - 1 5 TOTAL 11,316 ¹ 7,615 2,435 781 255 222 St. Louis, Mo. 120 66 32 13 4 5 11 11 11,316 ¹ 7,615 2,435 781 255 222									,							5		
Minneapolis, Minn. 57 37 12 4 1 3 5 Tacoma, wash. 96 70 17 2 3 4 Omaha, Nebr. 59 50 7 1 - 1 5 TOTAL 11,316 ¹ 7,615 2,435 781 255 222 St. Louis, Mo. 120 66 32 13 4 5 11 11,316 ¹ 7,615 2,435 781 255 222																10		
Omaha, Nebr. 59 50 7 1 - 1 5 TOTAL 11,316 ¹¹ 7,615 2,435 781 255 222 St. Louis, Mo. 120 66 32 13 4 5 11 11,316 ¹¹ 7,615 2,435 781 255 222									Tacoma, Wash.	96	70	17	2	3	4	5		
St. Louis, Mo. 120 66 32 13 4 5 11					-	-			TOTAL	11,316¶	7,615	2,435	781	255	222	786		
					2													
Wichita, Kans. 94 57 22 9 3 5 Ut Upgreijble No reported ences Image: No reported ences<		-		22	9	3	3	5										

U: Unavailable. -: No reported cases.

* Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of ≥100,000. A death is reported by the place of its

¹ Total includes unknown ages.

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy each week, send an e-mail message to *listserv@listserv.cdc.gov*. The body content should read *SUBscribe mmwr-toc*. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's World-Wide Web server at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at *ftp://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/publications/mmwr*. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone 202-512-1800.

Data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, to Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop C-08, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone 888-232-3228.

All material in the MMWR Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

All MMWR references are available on the Internet at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr. Use the search function to find specific articles.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to *MMWR* readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of these sites. URL addresses listed in *MMWR* were current as of the date of publication.

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 2004-633-140/00007 Region IV ISSN: 0149-2195