

Weekly

December 3, 2004 / Vol. 53 / No. 47

National Drunk and Drugged Driving Prevention Month — December 2004

December is National Drunk and Drugged Driving Prevention Month (3D Month), which is supported by public- and private-sector organizations devoted to preventing impaired-driving crashes. During 2003, alcohol-related motor-vehicle crashes accounted for nearly 40% of all traffic fatalities in the United States. Alcohol-related fatalities are those with any alcohol detected in blood specimens of drivers. During 1994–2003, the rate of fatalities in alcohol-related motor-vehicle crashes decreased 12%, from 6.7 to 5.9 per 100,000 population. A national health objective for 2010 is to reduce alcohol-related traffic fatalities to \leq 4.0 per 100,000 population, a decline of 32% from 2003.

To achieve the national health objective, communities need comprehensive and effective strategies to prevent alcohol-impaired driving. CDC has determined that carefully planned and well-executed mass media campaigns that attain sufficient audience exposure and are implemented in conjunction with other ongoing prevention activities are effective in reducing alcohol-impaired driving. Six other interventions determined to be effective include 1) sobriety checkpoints, 2) 0.08g/dL blood alcohol concentration laws, 3) minimum legal drinking age laws, 4) zerotolerance laws for young or inexperienced drivers, 5) schoolbased approaches to reduce riding with drinking drivers, and 6) some types of server-intervention training programs. Comprehensive approaches that implement several interventions simultaneously will further reduce alcoholimpaired driving.

The 3D Month program planner, which contains sample public service announcements, media tool kits, and program guidance for conducting 3D Month activities, is available at http://www.stopimpaireddriving.org.

Trends in Motorcycle Fatalities Associated with Alcohol-Impaired Driving — United States, 1983–2003

Motorcycles are the most dangerous type of motor vehicle to drive (1). These vehicles are involved in fatal crashes at a rate of 35.0 per 100 million miles of travel, compared with a rate of 1.7 per 100 million miles of travel for passenger cars. The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) has reported increasing numbers of motorcycle deaths associated with alcohol-impaired driving in recent years, especially among persons aged ≥ 40 years (2). To determine trends by age group in motorcycle fatalities overall and in those involving alcohol impairment, CDC analyzed data from the NHTSA Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS) for 1983, 1993, and 2003. This report summarizes the results of that analysis, which indicated that, during 1983-2003, the overall prevalence of elevated blood alcohol concentrations (BACs) among motorcycle drivers who died in crashes declined; however, the peak rate of death among alcohol-impaired motorcycle drivers shifted from those aged 20-24 years to those aged 40-44 years. Strong enforcement of existing BAC laws, together with other public health interventions aimed at motorcyclists, might reduce the crash mortality rate, especially among older drivers.

FARS is an active, population-based surveillance system for motor-vehicle crashes that occur on public roadways in the United States and result in the death of an occupant or nonoccupant (e.g., pedestrian) within 30 days of the crash. FARS data are extracted primarily from law enforcement

INSIDE

- 1106 Diagnoses of HIV/AIDS 32 States, 2000–2003
- 1110 Number of Persons Tested for HIV United States, 2002
- 1113 Acute Flaccid Paralysis Surveillance Systems for Expansion to Other Diseases, 2003–2004

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION The *MMWR* series of publications is published by the Coordinating Center for Health Information and Service,* Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA 30333.

SUGGESTED CITATION

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Article Title]. MMWR 2004;53:[inclusive page numbers].

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Julie L. Gerberding, M.D., M.P.H. Director

Dixie E. Snider, M.D., M.P.H. Chief of Science

Tanja Popovic, M.D., Ph.D. (Acting) Associate Director for Science

Coordinating Center for Health Information and Service*

Blake Caldwell, M.D., M.P.H., and Edward J. Sondik, Ph.D. (Acting) Directors

National Center for Health Marketing*

Steven L. Solomon, M.D. (Acting) Director

Division of Scientific Communications*

John W. Ward, M.D. (Acting) Director Editor, MMWR Series

Suzanne M. Hewitt, M.P.A. Managing Editor, MMWR Series

Douglas W. Weatherwax (Acting) Lead Technical Writer/Editor

> Stephanie M. Malloy Jude C. Rutledge Teresa F. Rutledge *Writers/Editors*

Lynda G. Cupell Malbea A. LaPete *Visual Information Specialists*

Kim L. Bright, M.B.A. Quang M. Doan, M.B.A. Erica R. Shaver Information Technology Specialists

Notifiable Disease Morbidity and 122 Cities Mortality Data

Patsy A. Hall Felicia J. Connor Donna Edwards Tambra McGee Deborah A. Adams Rosaline Dhara Mechelle Hester Pearl C. Sharp

* Proposed.

accident reports, which typically document driver BACs. However, for the approximately 35% of fatally injured drivers for whom BACs are unknown, NHTSA imputes BACs from driver and crash characteristics (3). For this analysis, a BAC level ≥ 0.08 g/dL, the legal limit in all states, was defined as alcohol impairment. This analysis was restricted to persons who died as a result of injuries sustained while driving a motorcycle or passenger car. The passenger car category does not include pickups, vans, or sport-utility vehicles. Rates were calculated by using U.S. census population estimates for 1983, 1993, and 2003 (4).

Overall, motorcycle mortality rates per 100,000 population declined from 1.6 in 1983 to 0.9 in 1993 and then increased to 1.2 in 2003. Most of the decline occurred among motorcyclists aged <30 years. For example, among drivers aged 20–24 years, the mortality rate declined from 5.0 in 1983 to 3.0 in 1993 and 2.4 in 2003, whereas among drivers aged 40–44 years, the mortality rate declined from 1.2 in 1983 to 1.0 in 1993 and then increased to 1.9 in 2003 (Figure). Among alcohol-impaired motorcycle drivers, the mortality rate was highest among persons aged 20–24 years in 1983 and among persons aged 40–44 years in 2003. In 1983, 8.2% of alcoholimpaired, fatally injured motorcycle drivers were aged \geq 40 years; by 2003, 48.2% of such drivers were in this age group.

During 1983–2003, the overall proportion of both motorcycle and passenger-car drivers dying in crashes who were alcohol impaired declined (Table). Alcohol impairment occurred less often in automobile drivers of all ages in 2003 compared with 1983. This decrease also was observed among motorcycle drivers, except for persons aged 55–59 years, for whom the proportion with alcohol impairment increased from 16.7% in 1983 to 21.1% in 2003. In 2003, the proportion of fatally injured drivers with alcohol impairment was consistently lower among motorcycle drivers than among passenger-car drivers at each age through age 34 years. After age 34 years, however, higher proportions of motorcycle drivers than passenger-car drivers were alcohol-impaired.

Reported by: LJ Paulozzi, MD, R Patel, MPH, Div of Unintentional Injury Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, CDC.

Editorial Note: Sales of new on-road motorcycles increased substantially from 1997 through 2003, from 247,000 to 648,000 units (5). This increase coincided with a 69.8% increase in the number of motorcyclist traffic fatalities during that period, from 2,116 in 1997 to 3,592 in 2003 (6). The increased number of motorcycles on the road probably contributed to the increase in the motorcycle mortality rate during 1993–2003. The mortality rate increase has been restricted to older motorcycle drivers.

FIGURE. Motorcycle fatality rates* for all drivers and drivers with blood alcohol concentrations (BACs) \geq 0.08 g/dL, by age group — United States, 1983, 1993, and 2003





* Per 100,000 population.

TABLE. Percentage of drivers with blood alcohol concentrations \geq 0.08 g/dL who died in fatal crashes, by age group* and vehicle type — United States, 1983, 1993, and 2003

Age group			Year	
(yrs)	Vehicle type	1983	1993	2003
15–19	Motorcycle	28.0	14.0	8.6
	Passenger car	47.2	22.7	23.5
20–24	Motorcycle	52.7	32.1	22.2
	Passenger car	61.0	47.8	43.6
25–29	Motorcycle	59.2	40.3	26.8
	Passenger car	62.6	51.6	46.2
30–34	Motorcycle	60.8	51.2	34.2
	Passenger car	59.3	51.3	44.8
35–39	Motorcycle	50.7	55.1	41.3
	Passenger car	56.0	48.2	40.9
40–44	Motorcycle	54.2	50.5	44.1
	Passenger car	44.8	42.4	37.1
45–49	Motorcycle	51.4	36.7	35.9
	Passenger car	40.5	31.2	35.1
50–54	Motorcycle	32.6	32.9	30.0
	Passenger car	38.9	26.3	26.5
55–59	Motorcycle	16.7	22.8	21.1
	Passenger car	32.4	26.5	20.8
All ages	Motorcycle	48.6	38.5	29.5
	Passenger car	47.0	33.1	29.6

* Percentages are unstable for persons aged ≥60 years because of the small number of crash-related deaths among this age group and there-fore are not shown.

Although the proportion of alcohol-impaired motorcycle drivers in fatal crashes declined from 48.6% in 1983 to 29.5% in 2003, the decline has been comparatively small among motorcycle drivers aged \geq 40 years. Mortality rates might be increasing among motorcycle drivers aged \geq 40 years, not only because more persons in this age group are riding motorcycles, but also because older motorcycle drivers might now be more likely to consume alcohol before driving than younger motorcycle drivers. Older drivers might be more likely than younger drivers to limit their riding to recreational trips on weekends under circumstances that might involve alcohol consumption.

The findings in this report are subject to at least three limitations. First, because BAC levels were imputed for some cases, they must be considered estimates. Second, drinking drivers might be overrepresented among motorcycle drivers compared with passenger-car drivers because of other risk factors associated with drinking among motorcyclists. For example, motorcyclists who drink are also less likely to wear helmets (2), a factor that increases the risk for death in a motorcycle crash (7). Finally, because the number of motorcycle drivers in each age group is not known, age-specific rates cannot be calculated on the basis of the number of drivers in each age group nor on more sensitive measures (e.g., the number of miles of motorcycle travel by each age group).

Efforts to reduce alcohol consumption among motorcyclists should target older drivers. Several measures are effective in reducing the rate of alcohol-impaired driving (8). Certain measures, including sobriety checkpoints, enhanced enforcement of 0.08 g/dL BAC laws, and some types of server-intervention programs to reduce alcohol consumption in bars and restaurants, are most likely to impact motorcycle drivers aged \geq 40 years. Laws setting a BAC limit of 0.08 g/dL for drivers have already been passed in all 50 states. Strong enforcement of these laws, together with other public health interventions aimed at motorcyclists, might help reduce the crash mortality rate, especially among older drivers. Because BAC levels less than the legal limit also adversely affect performance (9), drivers of all ages can help by avoiding the consumption of any alcohol before driving.

Acknowledgments

This report is based, in part, on contributions by T Lindsey, National Highway Traffic Safety Admin, Washington, DC.

References

- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. Traffic safety facts 2002. Washington, DC: US Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, National Center for Statistics and Analysis; 2004. Publication no. DOT-HS-809-620.
- Shankar UG. Research note: alcohol involvement in fatal motorcycle crashes. Washington, DC: US Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, National Center for Statistics and Analysis; 2003. Publication no. DOT-HS-809-576.
- Hedlund J, Ulmer R, Northrup V. State laws and practices for BAC testing and reporting drivers involved in fatal crashes. Washington, DC: US Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; 2004. Publication no. DOT-HS-809-756.
- 4. US Census Bureau. U.S. resident population estimates, 1983, 1993, 2003. Available at http://eire.census.gov/popest.
- Motorcycle Industry Council. 2003 motorcycle statistical annual, Motorcycle Industry Council. Irvine, CA: Motorcycle Industry Council; 2004.
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. Motorcycle riders in fatal crashes. Washington, DC: US Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, National Center for Statistics and Analysis; 2004. Publication no. DOT-HS-809-734.
- 7. Branas CC, Knudson MM. Helmet laws and motorcycle rider death rates. Acc Anal Prev 2001;33:641–8.
- CDC. Guide to community preventive services. Available at http:// www.thecommunityguide.org/mvoi/default.htm.
- 9. Ogen EJ, Moskowitz H. Effects of alcohol and other drugs on driver performance. Traffic Inj Prev 2004;5:185–98.

Diagnoses of HIV/AIDS — 32 States, 2000–2003

An estimated 850,000–950,000 persons in the United States are living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), including 180,000-280,000 who do not know they are infected (1). To examine trends of diagnoses for 2000–2003, CDC analyzed HIV and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) together as HIV/AIDS (i.e., HIV infection with or without AIDS), counted by the year of earliest reported diagnosis of HIV infection. From 2000 to 2003, in 32 states* that used confidential, name-based reporting of HIV and AIDS cases for \geq 4 years, the overall annual rate of diagnosis of HIV/AIDS remained stable. However, rates among non-Hispanic black females were 19 times higher than rates among non-Hispanic white females, underscoring the need for continued emphasis on programs targeting females in racial/ ethnic minority populations to reduce the number of cases of HIV/AIDS.

CDC surveillance reports of HIV/AIDS are limited to cases among residents of states and U.S. territories where surveillance for non-AIDS HIV infection is conducted by using the same confidential, name-based reporting approach as for AIDS case reporting (2). The number of states conducting HIV/AIDS surveillance in this manner has gradually increased, resulting in available data for a greater proportion of cases in the United States. Numbers of cases, age-adjusted rates, and associated confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated, adjusting for random variation, reporting delay, and missing information on HIV risk factors (e.g., men who have sex with men [MSM] and injection-drug use [IDU]) (3,4). Data from territories were not included in this analysis.

Cases were classified in the following hierarchy of transmission categories: MSM, IDU, both MSM and IDU, high-risk heterosexual contact (i.e., with someone of the opposite sex known to have HIV/AIDS or a risk factor [e.g., MSM or IDU] for HIV/AIDS), and all other HIV risk factors combined. Age-adjusted rates were calculated by the direct method, using the age distribution of the 2000 U.S. population as the standard. The statistical significance of differences between a pair of rates was assessed by the z test. To estimate the annual proportional change in a rate or number of diagnoses during 2000–2003, the logarithm of the rate or number was fit to a linear model. The significance of a trend was assessed by determining whether the 95% CI for the estimated annual proportional change included zero.

^{*} Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

During 2000-2003, HIV/AIDS was diagnosed in 125,800 persons who resided in the 32 states. Of these persons, 35,241 (28.0%) were female (Table 1). Although non-Hispanic blacks constituted 13% of the population of the 32 states during these 4 years (5), they accounted for more than half (64,532)[51.3%]) of the HIV/AIDS diagnoses, including 68.8% of diagnoses among females and 44.5% of those among males. The remaining cases were among non-Hispanic whites (40,284 [32.0%]), Hispanics (18,642 [14.8%]), Asians/Pacific Islanders (799 [0.6%]), and American Indians/Alaska Natives (715 [0.6%]). Non-Hispanic blacks constituted 35.2% of cases in the MSM transmission category, 56.9% of cases in the IDU transmission category, 70.4% of cases in the high-risk heterosexual contact category, and 69.8% of cases of mother-to-child transmission. The transmission category with the largest proportion of males with HIV/AIDS was MSM (61.2%), followed by high-risk heterosexual contact (17.3%), and IDU (14.6%) (Table 1). The transmission category with the largest proportion of females with HIV/AIDS was high-risk heterosexual contact (77.7%), followed by IDU (19.4%). The proportional distribution of cases by transmission category varied by race/ethnicity (Table 2).

During 2000–2003, annual age-adjusted rates of HIV/AIDS diagnosis per 100,000 population changed little (Figure 1). Overall, the rate increased 1.0%, from 19.5 in 2000 to 19.7 in 2003. Further analyses indicated statistically significant (p<0.05) changes among certain populations. The rate among males increased 3.0% (from 27.9 to 28.8), and the rate among females decreased 3.7% (from 11.2 to 10.8). The rate among non-Hispanic white males increased 6.2% (from 14.3 to 15.2), and the rate among Asian/Pacific Islander males increased 39.7% (from 7.0 to 9.8); the rate among non-Hispanic black females decreased 6.0% (from 56.4 to 53.0). Trends in annual age-adjusted rates among other sex and racial/ethnic groups were not significant.

Rates among non-Hispanic black females were 19 times the rate among non-Hispanic white females, five times the rate among Hispanic females, and also higher than rates among males in any racial/ethnic population other than non-Hispanic blacks. Rates among non-Hispanic black males were

TABLE 1. Estimated number and percentage of persons with HIV/AIDS diagnosed, by sex and selected characteristics — 32 states*, 2000–2003

	M	ale	Fer	nale	То	tal [†]
Characteristic	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)
Race/Ethnicity						
White, non-Hispanic	33,738	(37.3)	6,545	(18.6)	40,284	(32.0)
Black, non-Hispanic	40,278	(44.5)	24,254	(68.8)	64,532	(51.3)
Hispanic [§]	14,851	(16.4)	3,792	(10.8)	18,642	(14.8)
Asian/Pacific Islander	616	(0.7)	183	(0.5)	799	(0.6)
American Indian/Alaska Native	505	(0.6)	210	(0.6)	715	(0.6)
Unknown	570	(0.6)	257	(0.7)	827	(0.7)
Total [†]	90,558	(100.0)	35,241	(100.0)	125,800	(100.0)
Age group (yrs) at diagnosis						
<13	367	(0.4)	435	(1.2)	802	(0.6)
13–24	8677	(9.6)	5992	(17.0)	14,669	(11.7)
25–34	25,244	(27.9)	10,685	(30.3)	35,930	(28.6)
35–44	34,208	(37.8)	10,793	(30.6)	45,001	(35.8)
45–54	16,057	(17.7)	5,318	(15.1)	21,375	(17.0)
55–64	4644	(5.1)	1531	(4.4)	6176	(4.9)
<u>></u> 65	1360	(1.5)	487	(1.4)	1846	(1.5)
Total [†]	90,558	(100.0)	35,241	(100.0)	125,800	(100.0)
Transmission category						
Men who have sex with men (MSM)	55,431	(61.2)	_	_	55,431	(44.1)
Injection-drug use (IDU)	13,235	(14.6)	6,847	(19.4)	20,083	(16.0)
Both MSM and IDU	5,145	(5.7)	_		5,145	(4.1)
High-risk heterosexual contact [¶]	15,711	(17.3)	27,387	(77.7)	43,098	(34.3)
Other**	1,036	(1.1)	1,007	(2.9)	2,042	(1.6)

* States with confidential, name-based reporting of HIV infection: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

† Totals include one person of unknown sex and also can differ from the apparent sums because of rounding of estimates that resulted from adjustments for reporting delay and missing risk factors.

§ Hispanics might be of any race.

[¶] Sexual contact with someone of the opposite sex known to have HIV/AIDS or at least one of the following HIV risk factors: MSM, IDU, or hemophilia.

** Mother-to-child exposure, receipt of transfusion of blood, blood components, or blood products, receipt of organ or tissue transplant, artificial insemination, or unintentional occupational exposure to human blood or other body fluids.

	Wh non-Hi	ite, spanic	Bla non-Hi	ck, spanic	Hisp	anic†	As Pacific	sian/ Islander	Americ Alasi	an Indian/ a Native
Transmission category	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)
Male										
Men who have sex with men (MSM)	25,842	(76.6)	19,535	(48.5)	9,047	(60.9)	399	(64.8)	308	(60.9)
Injection-drug use (IDU)	3,264	(9.7)	7,372	(18.3)	2,362	(15.9)	74	(12.0)	76	(15.1)
Both MSM and IDU	2,251	(6.7)	2,018	(5.0)	753	(5.1)	25	(4.0)	55	(11.0)
High-risk heterosexual contact§	2,071	(6.1)	10,815	(26.8)	2,527	(17.0)	106	(17.3)	62	(12.2)
Other [¶]	310	(0.9)	537	(1.3)	162	(1.1)	12	(1.9)	4	(0.8)
Total	33,738	(100.0)	40,278	(100.0)	14,851	(100.0)	616	(100.0)	505	(100.0)
Female										
IDU	1,989	(30.4)	4,060	(16.7)	674	(17.8)	21	(11.4)	61	(29.1)
High-risk heterosexual contact§	4,390	(67.1)	19,510	(80.4)	2,982	(78.7)	153	(83.6)	146	(69.3)
Other [¶]	166	(2.5)	685	(2.8)	136	(3.6)	9	(5.0)	3	(1.6)
Total**	6.545	(100.0)	24.254	(100.0)	3.792	(100.0)	183	(100.0)	210	(100.0)

TABLE 2. Estimated number and percentage of persons with HIV/AIDS diagnosed, by race/ethnicity, sex, and transmission category — 32 states*, 2000-2003

* States with confidential, name-based reporting of HIV infection: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

[†] Hispanics might be of any race.

§ Sexual contact with someone of the opposite sex known to have HIV/AIDS or at least one of the following HIV risk factors: MSM, IDU, or hemophilia. [¶] Mother-to-child exposure, receipt of transfusion of blood, blood components, or blood products, receipt of organ or tissue transplant, artificial insemina-

tion, or unintentional occupational exposure to human blood or other body fluids.

** Totals include one person of unknown sex and also can differ from the apparent sums because of rounding of estimates that resulted from adjustments for reporting delay and missing risk factors.

FIGURE 1. Estimated annual age-adjusted rate of diagnosis of HIV/AIDS*, by sex and race/ethnicity — 32 states[†], 2000–2003



* Diagnoses of HIV infection (with or without AIDS) per 100,000 population, adjusted for reporting delays and directly adjusted to the age distribution of the

2000 U.S. population. [†] States with confidential, name-based reporting of HIV infection: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, [†] States with confidential, name-based reporting of HIV infection: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, [†] States with confidential, name-based reporting of HIV infection: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, [†] States with confidential, name-based reporting of HIV infection: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, [†] States with confidential, name-based reporting of HIV infection: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, [†] States with confidential, name-based reporting of HIV infection: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, States with confidential, name-based reporting of HIV infection: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, States with confidential, name-based reporting of HIV infection: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, States with confidential, North Carolina, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

seven times higher than those among non-Hispanic white males and three times higher than those among Hispanic males.

Statistically significant trends in the annual number of diagnoses included a 4.9% increase, from 2000 to 2003, among males (from 22,117 to 23,203). A 2.1% decrease among females (from 8,986 to 8,791) was not statistically significant. The increasing rate and number of diagnoses among males largely reflected the upward trend in the number of diagnoses associated with MSM, which increased 10.8% (Figure 2) from 13,099 to 14,510, consistent with the trend previously reported (6). The number of diagnoses associated with the combination of MSM and IDU decreased 10.3% (from 1,363 to 1,223).

Reported by: *RM Selik, MD, MK Glynn, DVM, MT McKenna, MD, Div of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention, CDC.*

Editorial Note: The analysis of surveillance data for 2000–2003 reveals overall stable annual rates of HIV/AIDS diagnosis; these rates reflect the interaction between HIV incidence and HIV testing. CDC has determined that national HIV incidence has been stable since the early 1990s (7) and that 25% of those living with HIV do not know they are infected (1). The stable rates during 2000–2003 suggest that enhanced

FIGURE 2. Estimated annual number of HIV/AIDS diagnoses, by transmission category and year of diagnosis — 32 states*, 2000–2003



Note: Estimates are adjusted for reporting delays and redistribution of transmission category for cases without risk factor information. Confidence intervals are indicated by broken lines.

* Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

Sexual contact with someone of the opposite sex known to have HIV/AIDS or at least one of the following HIV risk factors: MSM, IDU, or hemophilia. prevention efforts are needed to decrease HIV incidence and increase knowledge of HIV status. In 2003, CDC launched Advancing HIV Prevention (AHP), an initiative aimed at reducing barriers to early diagnosis of HIV and increasing access to quality medical care, treatment, and ongoing prevention services for HIV-infected persons (8). The availability of simple, rapid HIV tests, including those that use oral fluid, should increase testing opportunities for those at high risk for HIV; rapid testing was first implemented in U.S. prevention programs in late 2003. As part of AHP, CDC also encourages physicians to routinely provide prevention messages and screening for sexually transmitted diseases for their patients who are HIV positive (9). For those persons who have difficulty initiating and sustaining safer behaviors, more intensive interventions (e.g., individualized support and counseling through prevention case management or multisession behavioral interventions) might be beneficial.

Rates among non-Hispanic blacks, and to a lesser extent Hispanics, are substantially greater than rates among non-Hispanic whites in the United States. Race/ethnicity likely is associated with behavioral risk factors and underlying socioeconomic circumstances and barriers to risk reduction. To eliminate racial/ethnic disparities, opportunities for early diagnosis of HIV infection should be expanded. In addition, culturally sensitive prevention programs should be improved to promote avoidance of risk factors (e.g., by having only one sex partner of known infection status or abstaining from sex and illicit drug use) and to reduce the harm from risk factors (e.g., by using condoms correctly and consistently and by using aseptic practices to prevent transmission from IDU).

The findings in this report are subject to at least one limitation. Confidential, name-based HIV/AIDS surveillance was not conducted in all states and territories. The 32 states included in the analysis accounted for only 49% of the national total of AIDS diagnoses (excluding U.S. territories) during the same period and might not be nationally representative. Data from states with the highest AIDS morbidity in 2003 (e.g., California and New York) were not included. However, on the basis of national AIDS statistics with similar patterns, the racial/ethnic disparities in HIV/AIDS described in this report likely are indicative of substantial disparities nationwide (*10*).

In 2003, CDC reported a 17% increase in HIV/AIDS diagnoses in MSM, from 1999 to 2002, in 29 states; the largest increase occurred from 2001 to 2002. For this report, an 11% increase was observed in HIV/AIDS diagnoses in MSM from 2000 to 2003 in 32 states, with the largest increase occurring from 2001 to 2002. MSM continue to constitute a substantial proportion of HIV/AIDS cases. CDC funds prevention programs for MSM, including counseling and test-

ing through community outreach. Effective behavioral interventions for MSM include conducting small group sessions on HIV transmission, training in how to negotiate risk reduction, such as condom use, and training of popular opinion leaders in how to promote risk reduction or elimination.

CDC also funds prevention activities for females that emphasize 1) better integration of testing, treatment, and prevention services for all females; 2) recognition of the relationship between drug use and sexual transmission of HIV; 3) research on effective female-controlled prevention methods for women unwilling or unable to negotiate condom use with a male partner; 4) and programs proven effective for changing risky behavior and sustaining those changes over time. CDC funds 104 community-based organizations involved in HIV/AIDS prevention, for which \geq 15% of the target populations are females; 84% of these groups serve black females and 72% Hispanic females. Most of these prevention activities are funded through the Minority AIDS Initiative, a capacity-building initiative that supports implementation of effective prevention interventions among racial/ethnic minority populations. A sustained, comprehensive effort is required to reduce racial/ethnic disparities in HIV/AIDS diagnoses among females.

References

- Fleming P, Byers RH, Sweeney PA, et al. HIV prevalence in the United States, 2000 [Abstract 11]. Presented at the Ninth Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Seattle, WA; February 24–28, 2002.
- CDC. Guidelines for national human immunodeficiency virus case surveillance, including monitoring for human immunodeficiency virus infection and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. MMWR 1999;48(No. RR-13).
- 3. Green T. Using surveillance data to monitor trends in the AIDS epidemic. Stat Med 1998;17:143–54.
- Song R, Hall HI, Frey R. Uncertainties associated with incidence estimates of HIV/AIDS diagnoses adjusted for reporting delay and risk redistribution. Stat Med 2004 (In press).
- CDC. U.S. census populations with bridged race categories. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, National Center for Health Statistics. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/ about/major/dvs/popbridge/popbridge.htm.
- CDC. Increases in HIV diagnoses—29 states, 1999–2002. MMWR 2003; 52:1145–8.
- 7. CDC. HIV and AIDS—United States, 1981–2000. MMWR 2001; 50:430–4.
- CDC. Advancing HIV prevention: new strategies for a changing epidemic—United States, 2003. MMWR 2003;52:329–32.
- CDC. Incorporating HIV prevention into the medical care of persons living with HIV: recommendation of CDC, the Health Resources and Services Administration, the National Institutes of Health, and the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. MMWR 2003;52(No. RR-12).
- CDC. HIV/AIDS Surveillance Report. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2004. Available at http://www. cdc.gov/hiv/stats/hasrlink.htm.

Number of Persons Tested for HIV — United States, 2002

Strategies for preventing infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) emphasize testing to identify infected persons and ensure access to appropriate medical care, treatment, and prevention services (1). To determine the number of persons who were tested for HIV during the preceding 12 months, CDC analyzed data from both the 2002 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) and the 2002 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) survey. This report summarizes the results of these analyses, which indicated that, in 2002, approximately 10%-12% of persons aged 18-64 years in the United States reported being tested for HIV during the preceding 12 months, an estimated 16-22 million persons. Continued measurement of HIV testing by health surveys such as BRFSS and NHIS can be used in combination with program data and other surveys of populations at high risk to determine the impact of HIV strategies on increasing testing.

NHIS is a stratified, multistage probability sample survey representing the U.S. civilian, noninstitutionalized population (2). The estimates in this analysis were based on personal interviews with a nationally representative sample of 31,044 adults aged \geq 18 years; the overall survey response rate was 74.3%.

BRFSS is an ongoing, state-based, random-digit-dialed telephone survey of the U.S. civilian, noninstitutionalized population aged ≥ 18 years (3). In 2002, the median state/area response rate was 58.3% (range: 42.2%–82.6%) (4). State data from BRFSS are often combined to produce national estimates. In 2002, a total of 188,952 adults aged 18–64 years were asked questions about HIV testing.

In both surveys respondents were asked whether they had ever been tested for HIV and, if "yes," the month and year of the most recent test; both surveys asked respondents to exclude tests that occurred through blood donations. Questions on NHIS used to estimate trends in the percentage tested during the preceding 12 months have changed over time. Neither survey asked about specific HIV-risk behaviors, but both asked respondents to state whether an identified risk category applied to them, without stating which category (Table 1). This analysis excluded HIV tests that were performed when persons donated blood. All other tests were counted, including those that were required (e.g., for employment, insurance, or military service) and those that were obtained to determine infection status. Persons with missing HIV testing data (about 4% of persons interviewed in the two surveys) were included in the denominator. Estimates were weighted for unequal selection probabilities and nonresponse. Statisti-

Survey type/	No.	%		National estimate o no. persons tested	f s
Characteristic	surveyed	tested	(95% Cl [†])	(in 1,000s)	(95% CI)
NHIS					
Total	25,184	10.0	(9.6–10.4)	17,279	(16,439–18,118)
One or more HIV risk	factors§				
Age group (yrs)					
18–24	88	28.8	(18.6–39.0)	186	(109–263)
25–44	450	25.0	(20.8–29.2)	661	(524–797)
45-64	216	10.4	(6.0–14.9)	133	(75–190)
Total	754	21.5	(18.2–24.8)	979	(813–1,145)
Pregnant women	372	48.4	(42.5–54.4)	1,211	(1,025–1,397)
BRFSS					
Total	188,952	12.2	(12.0–12.5)	21,667	(21,155–22,178)
One or more HIV risk	factors¶				
Age group (yrs)					
18–24	1,335	35.4	(30.6–40.2)	778	(638–918)
25–44	2,844	25.0	(22.3–27.7)	757	(665–849)
45–64	1,241	15.0	(11.4–18.6)	167	(126–207)
Total	5,420	26.8	(24.5–29.1)	1,702	(1,530–1,874)
Pregnant women	2,592	54.0	(50.7–57.3)	1,392	(1,266-1,518)

Human immunodeficiency virus.

^TConfidence interval.

[§]NHIS defined as 1) You have hemophilia and have received clotting factor concentrations; 2) You are a man who has had sex with other men, even just one time; 3) You have taken street drugs by needle, even just one time; 4) You have traded sex for money or drugs, even just one time; 5) You have tested positive for HIV (the virus that causes acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; or 6) You have had sex (even just one time) with someone who would answer yes to any of these statements.

¹BRFSS defined as 1) You have used intravenous drugs in the preceding 12 months; 2) You have been treated for a sexually transmitted or venereal disease in the preceding 12 months; 3) You have given or received money or drugs in exchange for sex in the preceding 12 months; or 4) You had anal sex without a condom in the preceding 12 months.

cal software was used to adjust for the effects of the complex sampling design.

Results from NHIS indicated an increase in the percentage of respondents during 1987–2002 who had been tested during their lifetimes (Figure). In 2002, 37.8% of adults aged 18–64 years (95% confidence interval [CI] = 37.0%–38.6%) reported that they had been tested for HIV at least once in their lifetimes, compared with 5.7% in 1987 (CI = 5.3%–6.1%). In addition, in 2002, 10.0% of adults aged 18–64 years reported having been tested during the preceding 12 months, a percentage equivalent to an estimated 16–18 million persons tested nationally (Table 1). Persons tested during the preceding 12 months reported being tested, on average, 1.28 times (range: one to 24 times), a ratio equivalent to an estimated 21–24 million HIV tests per year for persons aged 18–64 years.

BRFSS data provided similar estimates of the percentages of persons tested. Results indicated that among adults aged 18-64years, 43.5% (CI = 43.1%-43.9%) reported having been tested at least once in their lifetimes, and 12.2% reported having been tested during the preceding 12 months, a proportion equivalent to an estimated 21-22 million persons tested (Table 1).

In both surveys, greater percentages of pregnant women and persons at increased risk for HIV reported being tested during the preceding 12 months than other persons. According to NHIS and BRFSS data, approximately 48.4% and 54.0% of pregnant women, respectively, reported HIV tests. Among persons at increased risk for HIV, NHIS and BRFSS data indicated 21.5% and 26.8%, respectively, reported HIV tests (Table 1). Among those at increased risk, the percentage tested during the preceding 12 months was greater among younger age groups in both surveys. According to NHIS data, 28.8% of those aged 18-24 years were tested, compared with 10.4% of those aged 45-64 years; according to BRFSS data, 35.4% of those aged 18-24 years were tested, compared with 15.0% of those aged 45-64 years.

Data from the 2002 NHIS interviews regarding the most recent HIV tests indicated





* Confidence interval.

the majority of tests were obtained from physicians and health-maintenance organizations (43.5%) or hospitals (22.4%) (Table 2). Of 5.1% of tests reported as taking place "at home," 93.4% (CI = 88.2%– 98.5%) were administered by a nurse or health-care worker. Testing sources that usually receive public funding (e.g., public health department clinics, family planning clinics, and prenatal clinics) accounted for 23.6% of tests during the preceding 12 months. Sources of HIV testing (Table 2) typically funded by CDC's HIV-prevention programs accounted for 17.3% of the tests, yielding an estimated 3.4 million to 4.3 million tests.

Reported by: JE Anderson, PhD, Div of HIV/ AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention.

Editorial Note: HIV testing is an integral part of current approaches to HIV prevention, which seek to expand testing practices, making HIV tests part of routine medical care and also more widely available outside of medical settings (1). However, as NHIS data indicate, the percentage of adults reporting new HIV tests each year has remained fairly constant at 10%–12% for more than a decade.

The findings in this report are subject to at least three limitations. First, persons aged <18 years and those not living in households were not interviewed. Second, because testing was self-reported and subject to recall bias, errors are possible in reporting whether tests occurred and the date and source of the tests. NHIS and BRFSS take steps to minimize the effects of these potential errors, including pretesting of questionnaires to ensure comprehension and accuracy of reporting and by using weighting factors to compensate for nonresponse. Finally, measures of behavioral or exposure HIV risk might not include all persons at increased risk and might include persons who are no longer at risk. Nevertheless, the indirect risk measures are associated with recent HIV testing. NHIS and BRFSS surveys yield similar results despite using different methodologies.

HIV-prevention strategies emphasize testing because many infected persons are unaware of their status or became aware late in their infection (5,6). Despite recommendations of universal voluntary testing during pregnancy, in recent years, an estimated 20%–40% of pregnant women were not tested (7,8).

TABLE 2. Percentage and estimated number of HIV* tests conducted during the
preceding 12 months among persons aged 18–64 years, by source of most recent
test — National Health Interview Survey, United States, 2002

			National estimate of	:
	% of		no. tests	
Source	tests	(95% Cl†)	(in 1,000s)	(95% CI)
Private doctor/health maintenance				
organization	43.5	(40.9-46.2)	9,647	(8,951-10,343)
Hospital, emergency department,				
outpatient clinic	22.4	(19.5–25.4)	4,969	(4,146–5,791)
Public source	23.6	(21.3–25.9)	5,220	(4,656–5,783)
Public health department clinic	6.2	(4.9-7.5)	1,375	(1,073–1,677)
AIDS clinic/counseling/testing site	5.2	(4.2-6.1)	1,149	(939–1,358)
Community health clinic	3.0	(2.1-3.8)	657	(467–847)
Sexually transmitted disease clinic	0.1	(0-0.2)	16	(0–35)
Family planning clinic	1.6	(1.0-2.2)	350	(224–476)
Prenatal clinic	0.7	(0.3-1.0)	153	(72–233)
Prison/Correctional facility	0.6	(0.2-1.0)	134	(55–214)
Drug treatment clinic	0.7	(0.2-1.1)	144	(40-249)
Military site	3.3	(2.0-4.6)	733	(447–1,018)
Immigration site	0.6	(0.3-1.0)	134	(55–212)
Other clinic	1.7	(1.1–2.2)	374	(250–498)
Other source				
Employer clinic	0.6	(0.3-0.9)	134	(72–196)
At home	5.1	(4.2-6.0)	1,131	(930-1,332)
Other location	4.8	(3.4-6.1)	1,058	(743–1,372)
Total	100.0		22,158	(20,782–23,534)
CDC-funded source§	17.3	(15.5–19.2)	3,843	(3,395–4,292)

* Human immunodeficiency virus.

¹Confidence interval.

[§] Includes HIV/AIDS counseling and testing center, community health clinic, sexually transmitted disease clinic, family planning clinic, prenatal clinic, drug treatment clinic, and public health department.

> Persons who are at high priority for receiving HIV testing (e.g., those at increased risk or pregnant) reported testing at rates higher than the general population; however, many members of these priority groups were not tested during the preceding 12 months.

> CDC's Advancing HIV Prevention initiative encourages testing for HIV by making voluntary testing a routine part of regular medical care, by offering rapid HIV testing in nonclinical settings (e.g., outreach into communities at high risk), and by making HIV testing part of the routine battery of prenatal tests for all pregnant women, unless declined (i.e., the "opt-out" approach). Continued surveys of HIV testing can help assess the success of these and other programs aimed at increasing the percentage of persons tested for HIV.

References

- 1. CDC. Advancing HIV prevention: new strategies for a changing epidemic—United States, 2003. MMWR 2003;52:329–32.
- National Center for Health Statistics. 2002 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) public use data release, NHIS survey description. Hyattsville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, National Center for Health Statistics; 2003.
- CDC. 2002 BRFSS survey data public use data and documentation. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/technical_infodata/surveydata/ 2002.htm.

- CDC. 2002 Summary Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, summary data quality report. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion; 2003.
- MacKellar DA, Valleroy LA, Secura GM, Behel SK. Unrecognized HIV infection, risk behaviors, and mis-perceptions of risk among young men who have sex with men—six United States cities, 1994–2000 [Abstract]. Presented at the XIV International AIDS Conference, Barcelona, Spain; July 5–12, 2002.
- Neal JJ, Fleming PL. Frequency and predictors of late HIV diagnosis in the United States, 1994 through 1999 [Abstract]. Presented at the Ninth Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Seattle, WA; February 24–28, 2002.
- CDC. HIV testing among pregnant women—United States and Canada, 1998–2001. MMWR 2002;51:1013–6.
- Anderson JE, Santelli J, Mugallah C. Changes in HIV-related preventive behavior in the U.S. population: data from national surveys, 1987– 2002. J AIDS 2003;34:195–202.

Acute Flaccid Paralysis Surveillance Systems for Expansion to Other Diseases, 2003–2004

Since the 1988 World Health Assembly resolution to eradicate poliomyelitis, the number of countries where polio is endemic has decreased from 125 in 1988 to six at the end of 2003 (1). As part of the eradication strategy, a global surveillance system was established to 1) identify acute flaccid paralysis (AFP) cases in children aged \leq 15 years and 2) deploy a network of accredited laboratories to perform virologic testing of stool specimens to determine whether the paralysis resulted from poliovirus infection. As AFP surveillance systems matured, countries increasingly applied AFP surveillance strategies and infrastructure to detect other diseases (2). This report describes the status of global AFP surveillance, including its expansion or use as a model in 131 (66%) of 198 countries for the reporting of measles and other vaccine-preventable diseases. As poliomyelitis is eradicated, AFP surveillance systems in these and other countries might be further expanded and adapted to improve the detection of and response to other diseases.

AFP Surveillance System

Any disease eradication initiative relies on sensitive and timely surveillance. Such surveillance is especially challenging for polio eradication, because only one of every 200 poliovirus infections results in clinically apparent paralytic disease. To ensure that paralytic polio cases will be detected if they occur, countries conduct surveillance for all AFP by using a standard case definition. All cases identified are tested to determine whether paralysis is caused by poliovirus infection. The quality of AFP surveillance is measured by using a standard definition for sensitivity and completeness, as follows: A rate of one or more nonpolio AFP cases per 100,000 population aged ≤ 15 years with timely collection of specimens indicates that surveillance is sensitive enough to detect polio and allows comparison of AFP reporting completeness among and within countries (Table).

As of the end of 2003, a total of 196 of 214 countries and territories operated AFP surveillance systems* and reported

^{*}A total of 192 member states, one WHO associate, and 21 reporting entities report to WHO. Only 18 member states do not report AFP cases to WHO: Canada, Comoros, Denmark, Finland, France, Iceland, Japan, Luxembourg, Mauritius, Monaco, Netherlands, Reunion, San Marino, Saint Helena, Seychelles, Sweden, United Kingdom, and United States.

		No. of countries	No. of national and interna-			Repo confirm	orted AFF	P and case	s	R	eported s	suspec measle	ted and es cases		
	No. of countries with AFP	AFP with measles/ neonatal tetanus	tional staff members funded by polio	No. of lab	oratories	No. rate AFP (nonpol	and e* of cases io AFP)	AFP v adequ specin test	with ıate [†] nens ed	No. of laboratory- confirmed	No. of clinically suspected measles	No. clinic suspe cases te	of ally cted ested	No. labora confir measles	of atory- med cases
WHO region	systems	reporting	partnership	Poliovirus	Measles	No.	Rate	No.	(%)	cases	cases	No.	(%)	No.	(%)
Africa	46	28	780	16	34	8,181	2.6	7,199	(88)	446	262,314	14,583	(6)	3,543	(24)
Americas	44	44	1	9	178	2,229	1.3	1,805	(81)	0	34,766	33,028	(95)	105	_
Eastern Mediterranean	22	22	806	12	20	5,290	2.4	4,761	(90)	113	52,882	8,619	(16)	4,650	(54)
Europe	39	2	15	48	60	1,529	1.2	1,269	(83)	0	27,158 [§]	7,904	(29)	737	(9)
Southeast Asia	. 11	10	1,087	16	16	11,289	1.9	9,369	(83)	225	83,862	1,083	(1)	506	(47)
Western Pacific	36	25	17	44	382	6,397	1.4	5,629	(88)	0	101,810	N/A	. ,	13,193	. ,
WHO headquarters	_	_	45	—	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	_	_
Total	198	131	2,752	145	690	34,915		30,032	(86)	784	562,792	65,217		22,734	

TABLE. Structure and performance of global acute flaccid paralysis (AFP) and measles surveillance systems, by World Health Organization (WHO) region, 2003

^{*} Annual number of nonpolio AFP cases per 100,000 population aged ≤15 years.

¹/_c Two specimens collected 24 hours apart within 14 days of onset of paralysis, arriving in the laboratory in good condition.

§ Expanded Program on Immunization monthly surveillance data.

data weekly to WHO. For many developed countries, the AFP surveillance system is integrated into existing disease surveillance systems. Countries with fewer public health resources receive external funding for polio eradication and to support a network of surveillance medical officers (SMOs). To promote quality AFP surveillance, SMOs maintain links to clinicians and the informal health sector (e.g., traditional healers, communities, and community informants).

AFP field activities are supported by a three-tiered global polio laboratory network that operates in all six WHO regions and consists of 145 laboratories: 123 at the national level, 15 regional reference laboratories, and seven global specialized laboratories (Figure) (*3*). Network laboratories process stool samples from AFP cases to perform virus isolation, serotyping, intratypic differentiation, and genomic sequencing. A WHO-sponsored laboratory accreditation program monitors laboratory performance; in 2003, AFP surveillance in all six WHO regions met or exceeded performance standards, and 96% of network laboratories were fully accredited by WHO. During 2003, approximately 35,000 AFP cases were reported globally, with adequate stool specimens tested in 86% of all AFP cases (Table). A WHO region is certified polio-free after a period of 3 years without isolation of wild poliovirus from an AFP case, in the presence of high-quality AFP surveillance[†]. Three of the six WHO regions have been certified as polio-free.

Expansion of Surveillance System

Measles. Globally, more than two thirds of countries with AFP surveillance have used that infrastructure, or applied it as a model, for measles surveillance (Table). As incidence of measles has declined to low levels, countries have shifted from aggregate measles reporting by age group to case-based reporting with laboratory confirmation. However, the extent of measles surveillance (i.e., as measured by the proportion of suspect cases that are tested) and the manner in which AFP strategies have been adapted for measles surveillance vary according to country resources and program goals (e.g., measles elimination versus mortality reduction) (Table).

[†] High-quality (i.e., certification-standard) AFP surveillance requires 1) detection of at least one case of nonpolio AFP per 100,000 population aged ≤15 years, 2) testing of two adequate stool specimens from at least 80% of AFP cases, and 3) testing of all specimens in WHO-accredited laboratories.





^{*} The designation employed and the presentation of material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the secretariat of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city, or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

Consisting of 690 laboratories, the global measles laboratory network (Figure) developed much like the global polio laboratory network (4). The network's primary roles are serologic confirmation of suspected measles cases and genetic characterization of measles viruses. Measles laboratories have used much of the polio laboratory infrastructure; they are often housed at the same institutions and use similar systems for specimen transport, data management, communication, and reporting of results. Most network laboratories routinely test measles-negative sera for rubella and processed approximately 65,000 serum specimens from suspected measles cases in 2003 (Table). Measles laboratories also perform serologic diagnosis of yellow fever in countries in the Africa and Americas regions where yellow fever is endemic (Figure).

In the Region of the Americas, the AFP surveillance system has been expanded or used as a model to fully implement case-based measles surveillance with laboratory confirmation of suspected cases. This approach has been instrumental in the successful interruption of endemic measles virus transmission (5). The system used in the Americas is now being expanded further to integrate rubella with measles surveillance in support of a regional goal to eliminate rubella and congenital rubella syndrome by 2010. In the Americas, in 2003, blood specimens were tested in 95% of suspected measles cases (Table). In other WHO regions, this proportion ranged from 1% to 29%.

In polio-free countries in the African, Southeast Asian, and Eastern Mediterranean regions, polio-funded SMOs have conducted measles surveillance activities. In addition, measles funds support approximately 80 international and national staff members (e.g., epidemiologists, surveillance officers, data managers, and laboratory coordinators) and fund diagnostic kits and laboratory equipment. Measles program activities, including surveillance, have been supported by the Measles Initiative, which is supported by an international coalition[§].

Other Diseases. In 57 countries, neonatal tetanus (NT) is a major public health problem, causing 14% of all neonatal deaths (*6*); however, current reporting captures <5% of NT cases. With expansion of AFP surveillance programs, in certain countries, SMOs now search for cases of NT and other diseases in addition to cases of AFP when they visit health centers and hospitals. This active search identifies areas with NT cases and enables prioritizing areas for intervention through vaccination and education.

In certain countries of the Africa Region, AFP surveillance provides a functional infrastructure, trained personnel, and other resources used to implement Integrated Disease Surveillance and Response (IDSR), a strategy adopted in 1998 by the Regional Committee of the WHO Regional Office for the Africa Region to strengthen all infectious disease surveillance activities, especially at the district level. This strategy includes integration of surveillance activities with laboratory support so that surveillance and laboratory data can be used to take specific and timely public health actions. As of June 2004, a total of 42 (91%) of 46 countries had completed surveillance assessments, 35 (76%) had adopted IDSR technical guidelines, and 44 (96%) countries had participated in a proficiency testing program through the National Public Health Laboratory in South Africa (7).

Expansion of AFP surveillance systems has increased the responsibilities of SMOs in dozens of countries. In 2003, SMOs and polio/measles laboratory workers assisted in the detection and investigation of outbreaks of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), cholera, dengue, Rift Valley fever, shigellosis, hemorrhagic fevers, meningitis, and malaria. SMOs conducted field and case investigations, collected samples, shipped them to laboratories, and organized outbreak response measures in coordination with local and regional health authorities. Laboratory workers processed the samples and reported the results locally and to regional networks as needed.

Reported by: Immunization, Vaccines, and Biologicals Dept, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland. Div of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases; Global Immunization Div, National Immunization Program, CDC.

Editorial Note: Adoption of the global polio eradication goal in 1988 required implementation of AFP surveillance in all countries and territories, including areas affected by conflict and other obstacles. As the AFP surveillance system matured, countries and regions began to use this system to conduct surveillance for other diseases. At present, two thirds of countries with AFP systems have adapted their systems for surveillance of measles and other vaccine-preventable diseases.

The 2003 outbreak of SARS, the threat of influenza pandemics, and the importance of early detection of and response to outbreaks of other infectious diseases highlight the need for a more comprehensive global disease detection system. The urgent need for such a system is underscored by ongoing efforts to restructure the International Health Regulations (IHR) (8) as a framework for containment of global public health risks.

In resource-poor countries and areas of conflict, the AFP surveillance system often is the only method for early detection of diseases that are prone to epidemics. External technical and funding support for AFP surveillance is provided by the international polio partnership⁹. During 2003, of the more

[§] Consisting of WHO, UNICEF, the United Nations Foundation, the American Red Cross, the International Federation of Red Cross/Red Crescent Societies, the Canadian International Development Agency, and CDC.

[¶]Led by Rotary International, WHO, UNICEF, and CDC.

than \$98 million provided for AFP surveillance by the partnership, \$47 million was used for surveillance activity costs (e.g., operation of the laboratory network, transportation, communication, and meetings), and \$51 million was used to pay approximately 2,700 international and national staff members who supported and conducted AFP surveillance and vaccination activities (Table).

To date, diseases that have been successfully monitored by systems modeled after AFP and measles surveillance systems share common traits: well-defined case of syndromic presentation, relative ease of specimen collection for laboratory confirmation, strong international commitment and funding for control/elimination, and continued focus on using surveillance data for targeted control activities. The most obvious way to maintain and expand existing AFP and measles reporting systems is to phase in reporting of other diseases that support integration of surveillance activities. However, polio eradication must not be jeopardized by overburdening the systems.

AFP and measles surveillance systems have the potential to serve as a foundation for a global network of public health laboratories that conducts surveillance for other infectious diseases. Expansion of these systems might encourage development of additional partnerships for global disease detection that will also help maintain the quality of future AFP and measles surveillance.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. Progress towards global eradication of poliomyelitis, 2003 and January–April 2004. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2004;79:229–34.
- Nsubuga P, McDonnell S, Perkins B, et al. Polio eradication initiative in Africa: influence on other infectious disease surveillance development. BMC Public Health 2002;2:1–6.
- 3. CDC. Laboratory surveillance for wild and vaccine-derived polioviruses. MMWR 2004;53:990–3.
- Featherstone D, Brown D, Sanders R. Development of the Global Measles Laboratory Network. J Infect Dis 2003;187(Suppl 1):S264–9.
- CDC. Progress toward measles elimination—Region of the Americas. MMWR 2004;53:304–6.
- 6. UNICEF, World Health Organization, United Nations Population Fund. Maternal and neonatal tetanus elimination by 2005: strategies for achieving and maintaining elimination. New York, NY: UNICEF, World Health Organization, United Nations Population Fund.; 2000.
- World Health Organization, Regional Office for Africa. In: Proceedings of the Fifth Annual Meeting of the Integrated Disease Surveillance and Response Task Force. Harare, Zimbabwe; June 15–17, 2004.
- World Health Organization. Expanded Program on Immunization (EPI) progress towards poliomyelitis eradication, 1995–1997, Bangladesh. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 1998;73:19–24.

e asy.

MMWR Online makes it possible for you to access vital public health reports and news as soon as CDC publishes them. Get the information you want, when you need it, from a trusted source.

Visit **cdc.gov/mmwr** and stay current on important public health topics-the easy way.

know what matters.



FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, United States, comparison of provisional 4-week totals November 27, 2004, with historical data



Ratio (Log scale)[†]

Beyond historical limits

* No measles cases were reported for the current 4-week period yielding a ratio for week 47 of zero (0). † Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area

begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

TABLE I. Summary of provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending November 27, 2004 (47th Week)*

	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003		Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003
Anthrax	-	-	HIV infection, pediatric [†]	140	185
Botulism:	-	-	Influenza-associated pediatric mortality**	-	NA
foodborne	12	12	Measles, total	24††	52 ^{§§}
infant	67	67	Mumps	195	197
other (wound & unspecified)	9	27	Plague	1	1
Brucellosis [†]	104	92	Poliomyelitis, paralytic	-	-
Chancroid	34	52	Psittacosis [†]	9	12
Cholera	4	1	Q fever [†]	66	60
Cyclosporiasis [†]	206	66	Rabies, human	3	2
Diphtheria	-	1	Rubella	11	7
Ehrlichiosis:	-	-	Rubella, congenital syndrome	-	1
human granulocytic (HGE) [†]	309	295	SARS-associated coronavirus disease [†] **	-	8
human monocytic (HME) [†]	287	250	Smallpox [†] 11	-	NA
human, other and unspecified	32	45	Staphylococcus aureus:	-	-
Encephalitis/Meningitis:	-	-	Vancomycin-intermediate (VISA)† 11	-	NA
California serogroup viral ^{†§}	84	108	Vancomycin-resistant (VRSA)† 1	1	NA
eastern equine ^{†§}	4	13	Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome [†]	91	142
Powassan [†] §	-	-	Tetanus	17	17
St. Louis ^{† §}	8	41	Toxic-shock syndrome	109	111
western equine ^{† §}	-	-	Trichinosis	5	4
Hansen disease (leprosy) [†]	74	73	Tularemia [†]	91	79
Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome [†]	19	21	Yellow fever	-	-
Hemolytic uremic syndrome, postdiarrheal ⁺	130	157			

-: No reported cases.

* Incidence data for reporting years 2003 and 2004 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

^T Not notifiable in all states.

[§] Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (ArboNet Surveillance).

¹ Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention — Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last update October 24, 2004.

++ Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases.

¹¹ Of 24 cases reported, 11 were indigenous, and 13 were imported from another country.

§§ Of 52 cases reported, 31 were indigenous, and 21 were imported from another country.

^{¶¶} Not previously notifiable.

(AID	s	Chlam	iydia [†]	Coccidioo	lomycosis	Cryptosp	Cryptosporidiosis		s/Meningitis t Nile [§]
Reporting area	Cum. 2004 ¹	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003
UNITED STATES	34,915	39,177	776,549	782,419	5,448	3,660	3,022	3,145	845	2,859
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn	1,149 23 41 14 435 115 521	1,371 49 36 16 598 101 571	26,188 1,849 1,522 890 11,882 3,024 7,021	25,229 1,821 1,431 968 10,060 2,701 8,248	N - - - N	- N - - N	156 18 30 23 54 4 27	180 19 22 31 75 16 17		31 - - 12 5 12
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	7,373 792 4,086 1,230 1,265	9,154 831 5,089 1,412 1,822	95,506 20,060 29,309 13,034 33,103	97,232 18,194 31,464 14,454 33,120	N N N	N N N	491 172 101 31 187	411 124 114 19 154	17 5 2 1 9	223 - 57 21 145
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	2,858 561 339 1,279 537 142	3,551 718 483 1,597 584 169	134,236 32,017 16,008 38,080 32,584 15,547	142,358 38,789 15,426 43,515 28,578 16,050	15 N 15	7 N - 7	878 211 80 87 148 352	939 155 87 95 135 467	61 11 5 28 12 5	150 84 15 30 14 7
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr.** Kans.	727 193 58 307 15 8 41 105	687 140 75 320 3 10 49 90	48,235 8,795 5,900 18,809 1,316 2,237 4,637 6,541	45,035 9,620 4,475 16,575 1,450 2,319 4,286 6,310	6 N 3 N - 3 N	2 N 1 N - 1 N	378 125 82 66 12 37 27 29	550 145 118 48 12 39 24 164	82 13 13 26 2 6 4 18	696 48 81 39 94 151 194 89
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C.** Ga. Fla	11,003 137 1,292 785 567 73 1,031 641 1,407 5,070	10,791 199 1,437 862 848 78 990 738 1,666 3,973	151,066 2,658 17,060 3,020 19,039 2,479 24,943 17,693 26,668 37,506	147,336 2,720 15,044 2,870 17,668 2,370 23,668 13,074 32,238 37,684	- N N N N	5 N 5 · · N N · · N	480 21 13 58 6 75 15 15 170 122	351 4 25 13 42 4 4 45 8 109 101	56 - 7 1 - 3 - 12 29	191 12 49 3 19 1 16 3 27 61
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn.** Ala. Miss.	1,654 215 684 388 367	1,844 198 769 442 435	50,528 5,333 19,730 9,882 15,583	49,861 7,292 18,399 12,997 11,173	4 N N - 4	1 N N - 1	115 43 29 20 23	125 24 38 53 10	58 1 13 13 31	91 11 21 25 34
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.**	4,027 182 812 173 2,860	4,431 171 521 202 3,537	93,424 6,330 20,017 9,275 57,802	96,658 7,144 18,351 10,322 60,841	2 1 1 N N	- - N N	69 16 3 20 30	111 18 4 18 71	189 12 68 11 98	604 23 96 56 429
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	1,294 6 16 15 288 169 496 55 249	1,370 13 24 6 340 98 576 60 253	43,943 2,045 2,555 976 11,036 5,139 14,279 3,145 4,768	44,005 1,837 2,211 871 11,788 6,686 12,061 3,365 5,186	3,548 N 2 20 3,434 35 57	2,187 N 1 9 2,134 9 34	154 34 27 3 54 12 17 5 2	125 18 26 5 34 11 6 17 8	232 2 39 30 128 6 25	871 75 - 621 74 7 - 2
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	4,830 352 250 4,061 51 116	5,978 420 229 5,214 19 96	133,423 15,868 7,486 102,328 3,232 4,509	134,705 14,843 6,733 104,807 3,389 4,933	1,873 N - 1,873 -	1,458 N - 1,458 - -	301 36 31 232 - 2	353 58 36 258 1 -	150 - 150 -	2 - - 2 -
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	2 617 17 U 2	5 940 33 U U	- 3,131 272 U 32	545 2,406 377 U U	N U	- N - U U	N U	- N - U U	- - - U	- - - U

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 27, 2004, and November 22, 2003 (47th Week)*

N: Not notifiable.

Not notifiable.
 U: Unavailable.
 No reported cases.
 C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
 * Incidence data for reporting years 2003 and 2004 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).
 * Chamydia refers to genital infections caused by *C. trachomatis*.
 * Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (ArboNet Surveillance).
 * Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention — Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last update October 31, 2004.

** Contains data reported through National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

MMWR

(47 III WEEK)										
		Escher	<i>richia coli</i> , Ente	rohemorrhagi	c (EHEC)					
			Shiga tox	in positive,	Shiga toxi	n positive,	-		-	
	015	57:H7	serogrou	p non-O157	not sero	grouped	Giar	diasis	Gond	orrhea
Reporting area	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003
UNITED STATES	2,233	2,424	245	226	160	142	16,396	17,386	275,940	299,306
NEW ENGLAND	150	142	41	42	16	13	1,548	1,475	6,077	6,601
Maine	10	10	-	3	-	-	115	170	198	200
N.H. Vt.	12	16	5	-	-	-	155	113	76	81
Mass.	65	63	10	8	16	13	681	765	2,794	2,641
R.I.	9	1 34	1	- 28	-	-	107	106	754 2 143	865 2 700
	000	004	25	20	-	-	2 400	203	2,143	2,700
Upstate N Y	268	234	56 41	23	28	33 17	3,428	3,448 951	30,890 6 496	37,270
N.Y. City	35	7	-	-	-	-	864	1,099	9,402	12,306
N.J.	44	31	4	2	5	-	365	468	5,255	7,261
	09	109	11	9	10	10	934	930	9,737	10,571
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio	404 94	546 127	37	30	28 20	19 19	2,357	2,994 830	57,043 16,557	63,514 20,520
Ind.	51	79	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,878	6,044
III.	64	120	2	2	1	-	475	862	16,868	19,580
Wich. Wis	83 112	88 132	9 17	- 12	/ -	-	681 474	721 581	13,719 4 021	12,267
WN CENTRAL	468	/31	37	51	17	20	1 9/8	1 923	15 256	15 705
Minn.	111	128	18	21	1	1	752	735	2,640	2,764
Iowa	121	102	-	-	-	-	278	253	1,042	1,097
Mo.	84	79	13	17	7	1	496	480	8,081	7,880
S. Dak.	31	28	2	4	-	o -	58	73	253	198
Nebr.	67	48	4	5	-	-	146	135	940	1,444
Kans.	39	33	-	-	2	10	196	208	2,211	2,321
S. ATLANTIC	158	136	38	44	60	40	2,446	2,491	68,553	73,631
Del. Md	2	11	N 5	N 3	N 4	N 1	39	45 110	803 7 282	1,032
D.C.	1	1	-	-	-	-	60	47	2,268	2,271
Va.	35	36	17	13	-	-	482	327	7,546	8,198
W. Va. N.C.	2	5	-	-	-	- 32	40 N	40 N	814 13 152	//5 13 764
S.C.	7	2	-	-	-	-	52	128	8,628	7,684
Ga.	21	26	9	7	-	-	649	779	11,811	16,013
Fla.	70	42	/	21	12	7	1,005	1,015	16,249	16,777
E.S. CENTRAL	88	78	4	2	9	6	336	363	21,902	25,078
ry. Tenn.	25 31	∠⊃ 33	2	-	3	- -	157	168	2,388	3,208 7,663
Ala.	23	16	-	-	-	-	179	195	6,060	8,365
Miss.	9	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,987	5,782
W.S. CENTRAL	66	91	2	4	2	4	299	277	36,466	40,060
Ark.	14	12	1	-	-	-	116	139	3,174	3,829
Okla.	17	28	-	-	-	-	137	125	3,948	4,237
Tex.	31	48	1	4	2	4	N	N	19,793	21,406
MOUNTAIN	235	303	29	26	-	7	1,395	1,468	9,521	9,452
Mont.	16	16	-	-	-	-	76	101	62	103
Wyo	50 9	78	5	15	-	-	22	21	58	39
Colo.	50	64	2	4	-	7	480	420	2,432	2,582
N. Mex.	9	13	2	5	-	-	62	49	736	1,056
Ariz. Litah	25 49	37 68	N 3	N _	IN _	IN _	301	333	3,482	3,332
Nev.	27	23	1	1	-	-	108	132	2,178	1,919
PACIFIC	396	463	1	4	-	-	2.639	2.947	30.232	27.905
Wash.	139	111	-	1	-	-	356	335	2,481	2,459
Oreg.	66	100	1	3	-	-	411	379	1,116	898
Alaska	1	239 5	-	-	-	-	84	∠,009 83	23,093 467	22,920 507
Hawaii	10	8	-	-	-	-	70	81	1,075	1,121
Guam	Ν	Ν	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	63
P.R.	1	2	-	-	-	-	119	314	226	249
V.I. Amer Samoa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		80	82
C.N.M.I.	-	U	-	Ŭ	-	U	-	U	3	U

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 27, 2004, and November 22, 2003 (47th Week)*

MMWR

				Hoomonhiluo	influonzoo ini	a a luca			Hom	otitio
	All	ages		naemophilus	Age <5	vears			(viral, acu	ite), by type
	All se	rotypes	Sero	type b	Non-sei	rotype b	Unknowr	n serotype	(1.1.4.) 404	A
Reporting area	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003
UNITED STATES	1.606	1.665	14	25	96	100	148	180	5.105	6.849
NEW ENGLAND Maine N H	142 12 18	130 4 12	1	2 - 1	6	5	4-1	3	930 11 26	298 16 17
Vt.	8	8	-	-	-	-	1	-	8	6
Mass. R.I. Conn.	53 6 45	61 9 36	1 - -	1 - -	- 1 3	5 - -	2 - -	1 1 -	799 21 65	168 15 76
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Po	357 114 73 67	350 123 62 66	1 1 -	3 3 -	5 5 -	3 3 -	36 5 14 4	45 9 11 11	620 103 240 133	1,679 123 420 195
E.N. CENTRAL	247 95	273 64	1	3	6	5	35 15	47	497 47	630 155
III. Mich. Wis	48 50 20 34	42 100 23 44		- - 3 -	4	- - 5	1 11 6 2	5 21 1 9	93 171 135 51	62 174 195 44
WIN. CENTRAL Minn.	99 43 1	104 45	2 1 1	2 2	3 3	7 7	12 1	12 2	159 32 50	166 44 27
Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak	35 4	37 4 1	-	-	-	-	7	9	40 1	56 1
Nebr. Kans.	9 7	2 15	-	-	-	-	2 2	- 1	10 23	12 26
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md.	363 - 56	370 - 89	1 - -	2 - 1	22 - 5	17 - 8	25 - -	23 - 1	930 5 101	1,590 8 170
D.C. Va. W.Va	35	1 52 15	-	-	- - 1	-	- 1 3	6	7 122 6	43 93 14
N.C. S.C. Ga	54 4 93	36 6 67	1 -	-	6	3	1 - 17	2 2 7	99 24 297	98 35 749
Fla. E.S. CENTRAL	106 65	104 75	- 1	1 1	10 2	6 3	3	5 8	269 140	380 250
Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	11 38 13 3	6 46 21 2	- 1	- - 1	2 - -	2 1 -	1 6 2 -	5 3	29 80 8 23	31 181 23 15
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla	67 3 11 52	73 6 21 43	1 - -	2 - -	8 - - 8	10 1 2 7	2 1 1	4 - 4	503 56 50 20	636 32 45 21
Tex. MOUNTAIN	1 175	3	1 4	2 6	- 25	23	- 18	- 16	377 417	538 427
Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo.	- 5 1 44	- 4 2 35	- - -	- - -	- - 1 -	-	- 2 - 5	- 1 - 6	6 21 5 49	8 17 1 62
N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	35 61 16 13	17 76 12 10	1 - 2 1	- 6 -	7 12 2 3	4 10 5 4	5 2 3 1	1 4 4	20 255 48 13	21 234 35 49
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawai	91 3 42 34 4	134 11 34 57 19	2 2 - -	4 - - 4 -	19 - 19 -	27 7 20	7 1 3 1 1	22 3 3 10 6	909 57 61 761 5	1,173 65 56 1,031 9
Guam P.R.	- -	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	- - 1	23 - 24	2 75
V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	- U	U	U	U U	U	- U U	U	- U U	U	- U U

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 27, 2004, and November 22, 2003 (47th Week)*

1120

· · · ·	Hepatitis (viral, acute), by type			ре							
		В	(;	Legio	nellosis	Lister	riosis	Lyme d	lisease	
Reporting area	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	
UNITED STATES	5,960	6,381	759	975	1,692	1,956	586	603	16,179	18,777	
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt.	337 2 37 5	328 1 17 4	13 - - 8	8 - - 8	55 - 10 6	112 2 9 6	40 7 3 2	47 7 4 1	2,514 53 202 47	3,678 152 155 43	
Mass. R.I. Conn.	196 5 92	201 18 87	4 - 1	-	9 15 15	54 15 26	11 1 16	17 _ 18	907 197 1,108	1,498 564 1,266	
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	1,136 82 103 679 272	688 86 174 170 258	134 15 - 119	120 15 - 105	491 105 52 92 242	567 139 68 85 275	138 44 19 23 52	122 33 23 22 44	10,822 3,674 - 3,018 4,130	12,401 4,113 202 2,792 5,294	
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	501 109 39 71 250 32	473 125 34 64 206 44	110 6 9 12 83	134 9 8 21 91 5	450 207 72 20 136 15	412 213 27 45 109 18	91 39 16 5 26 5	81 23 8 21 19 10	808 68 18 1 34 687	895 66 21 70 9 729	
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nabr	289 46 14 174 4 -	305 32 13 214 2 2	49 17 32 -	232 8 1 221 - -	55 7 6 29 2 4	64 3 9 32 1 2 6	20 6 3 7 - 1 3	16 5 - 6 -	566 459 44 52 - - 8	399 277 49 66 - 1 2	
Kans. S. ATLANTIC	15 1.710	20 16 1.831	- - 147	- 137	4 3 357	0 11 493	- 104	4 1 121	8 3 1.272	2 4 1.141	
Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	28 151 19 243 38 171 68 532 460	11 122 12 167 37 148 147 614 573	16 3 16 23 11 6 15 57	9 - 7 4 11 24 13 69	12 71 9 49 9 37 4 36 130	26 128 19 88 17 36 7 34 138	N 16 17 4 24 3 14 26	N 24 1 9 6 16 5 30 30	137 737 10 166 27 112 12 13 58	201 672 10 87 22 95 9 10 35	
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	387 63 174 64 86	426 69 180 90 87	87 23 35 5 24	82 19 18 6 39	86 39 33 11 3	96 40 32 19 5	21 4 10 5 2	29 8 8 11 2	46 15 17 3 11	60 15 16 8 21	
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	546 69 59 47 371	1,050 76 110 53 811	115 3 67 3 42	150 3 98 2 47	56 - 4 5 47	72 2 1 7 62	27 2 3 - 22	49 1 4 3 41	31 8 4 - 19	90 - 6 - 84	
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	468 2 10 7 56 12 265 48 68	507 16 8 29 74 32 227 44 77	35 2 - 2 - 7 6 5 13	47 2 1 - 12 - 7 25	77 2 9 5 19 4 11 23 4	66 4 3 12 3 11 22 9	25 - 12 1 - 3 8	31 2 9 2 10 2 4	30 6 3 - 1 6 14 -	14 3 2 - 1 3 2 3	
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	586 48 99 413 15 11	773 68 104 572 5 24	69 21 14 28 - 6	65 18 14 30 - 3	65 10 N 54 1	74 10 N 63 - 1	120 9 6 101 - 4	107 7 5 90 - 5	90 13 32 43 2 N	99 3 15 78 3 N	
Guam P.R. V.I.	51	9 120 -	-	5 - -	2	1 - -	- -	- -	N	N	
Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	U -	U U	U	U U	U -	U U	U -	U U	U -	U U	

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 27, 2004, and November 22, 2003 (47th Week)*

	Ma	aria	Mening	ococcal	Pertu	ussis	Rabies	animal	Rocky Mountain spotted fever		
Reporting area	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum.	Cum. 2004	Cum.	
UNITED STATES	1,157	1,207	1,136	1,475	15,206	8,749	5,055	6,339	1,358	858	
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	68 6 5 4 34 4 15	59 2 6 2 29 2 18	64 9 7 3 33 2 10	69 6 5 3 42 2 11	1,515 4 90 71 1,300 38 12	1,537 12 91 61 1,287 20 66	623 41 29 35 273 35 210	558 64 26 30 203 64 171	19 - 1 15 1 2	8 - - 8 -	
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	305 48 158 55 44	332 54 177 60 41	139 33 24 31 51	179 45 39 25 70	2,540 1,728 154 215 443	1,096 546 134 159 257	529 488 12 - 29	854 395 6 62 391	91 4 20 33 34	40 - 13 16 11	
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	98 28 17 23 20 10	101 21 42 23 11	160 65 24 12 45 14	232 53 40 70 42 27	4,669 551 232 461 277 3,148	1,028 253 60 88 115 512	153 74 10 49 16 4	163 52 27 24 46 14	25 13 6 2 4	21 9 1 5 6	
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	63 25 4 19 3 1 4 7	45 20 6 1 3 - 9	82 23 17 19 2 2 4 15	117 26 25 46 1 1 7 11	1,851 437 189 295 717 43 50 120	428 141 143 79 7 5 13 40	458 84 101 58 57 10 53 95	606 38 99 40 54 126 95 154	124 4 1 97 - 4 18	62 1 2 49 - 5 4 1	
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla	309 6 71 13 52 2 19 9 50 87	295 2 67 14 36 4 21 4 63 84	196 3 10 4 20 5 28 11 15 100	246 8 26 5 24 6 32 21 29 95	609 8 117 5 196 18 79 45 19 122	630 9 81 3 91 19 118 179 29 101	1,781 9 292 447 59 549 125 298 2	2,481 58 330 483 81 742 223 376 188	694 4 70 31 5 484 17 63 20	504 1 105 1 31 5 252 33 64 12	
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	28 4 7 12 5	27 8 5 7 7	59 11 15 16 17	82 18 25 20 19	255 67 135 38 15	145 45 68 18 14	132 22 36 63 11	203 37 100 62 4	172 2 88 47 35	123 3 66 21 33	
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	92 7 5 7 73	121 4 4 4 109	105 16 34 10 45	166 14 38 17 97	710 69 11 33 597	701 44 10 85 562	999 47 - 99 853	1,080 25 5 185 865	203 125 5 71 2	90 33 1 42 14	
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	46 - 15 4 13 8 5	40 - 1 21 3 7 5 2	59 3 7 3 15 7 12 5 7	84 5 7 22 10 29 1 8	1,497 52 37 30 835 130 204 170 39	944 5 74 124 337 68 181 120 35	209 25 8 6 43 5 109 10 3	173 20 15 6 38 5 70 14 5	25 3 4 5 1 2 2 8 -	9 1 2 2 1 - 1	
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	148 17 16 110 2 3	187 25 9 146 1 6	272 30 54 178 3 7	300 31 52 198 7 12	1,560 686 400 441 11 22	2,240 699 420 1,044 66 11	171 - 6 157 8 -	221 - 206 9 -	5 - 3 2 -	1 - 1 -	
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	- - - U	1 2 - U U	- 8 - U	- 11 - U U	- 6 - U	1 4 - U U	56 - - -	- 66 - U U	N - U	- N - U U	

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 27, 2004, and November 22, 2003 (47th Week)*

MMWR

(47th Week)*											
					Stroptopool diopoo		Strep Drug res	otococcus pne	umoniae, invasive		
	Salmor	nellosis	Shigellosis		invasive,	group A	all a	ges	Age <5 years		
Reporting area	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	
UNITED STATES	35,992	39,321	10,747	21,168	4,030	5,151	1,854	1,795	637	638	
NEW ENGLAND	1,840	1,944	265	315	162	426	60	94	60	9	
Maine	80	126	5	6	8	27	2	-	3	-	
Vt.	57	66	3	8 7	8	19	- 8	6	3	5	
Mass.	1,052	1,133	166	211	107	187	31	N	47	N	
R.I. Conn	108 414	122 366	18 65	19 64	21	15 149	19	10 78	7 U	4 U	
MID ATLANTIC	5 012	4 515	1 048	2 195	649	874	124	120	109	89	
Upstate N.Y.	1,153	1,066	395	514	212	331	51	65	78	67	
N.Y. City	1,101	1,236	344	388	92	136	U	U	U	U	
Pa.	1,886	1,440	213 96	334 959	200	246	73	55	25	20	
E.N. CENTRAL	4,419	5,186	999	1.698	787	1,193	440	394	158	281	
Ohio	1,126	1,250	155	277	209	277	306	253	73	90	
Ind.	532	509	189	155	93	111	134	141	39	27	
Mich.	796	730	200	229	275	338	N	N	Ň	N	
Wis.	744	879	157	121	49	156	N	Ν	39	51	
W.N. CENTRAL	2,210	2,286	396	737	279	307	18	18	98	68	
Minn.	570	515	63	96	138 N	145 N	-	- N	65 N	47 N	
Mo.	564	835	152	342	57	71	13	14	13	3	
N. Dak.	41	36	3	9	12	16	-	3	4	7	
S. Dak. Nebr	112 172	112 158	10	16 86	17 14	22 25	5	1	-	-	
Kans.	343	272	73	107	41	28	Ν	Ν	10	6	
S. ATLANTIC	10,090	10,007	2,420	6,224	776	840	902	957	53	18	
Del.	81	96	6	161	3	6	4	1	N	N	
Na. DC	758 58	782 43	37	542 72	153	204	- 6	- 25	39	- 7	
Va.	1,111	987	151	406	68	94	Ň	N	Ň	Ň	
W.Va.	219	119	9	-	23	33	99 N	67	11	11	
S.C.	774	745	278	469	37	38	69	131	N	N	
Ga.	1,705	1,894	577	1,105	156	165	207	214	N	N	
Fla.	3,857	4,113	882	2,546	208	191	517	519	N	N	
E.S. CENTRAL	2,355	2,725	736	940	189	185	123	130	5 N	- N	
Tenn.	523	702	327	339	132	141	93	113	N	N	
Ala.	684	710	291	311	-	-	-	-	N	N	
MISS.	827	950	47	168	-		1	-	5	-	
W.S. CENTRAL	3,036	5,668 761	2,428	5,442	228	257	58 10	70 20	113	108	
La.	723	817	252	429	2	1	48	50	25	21	
Okla.	367	438	432	785	60	81	N	N	43	52	
Iex.	1,414	3,652	1,670	4,128	150	169	IN OO	N	37	28	
MOUNTAIN	2,213 179	2,076	769 4	1,154	465	483	36	8	- 39	65	
Idaho	145	168	13	29	9	18	N	N	Ν	Ν	
Wyo.	49	73	5	8	8	2	10	7	-	-	
N. Mex.	247	455 269	140	244	70	109	5	-	- 30	49 11	
Ariz.	702	635	389	463	210	193	N	N	N	N	
Utan Nev.	232 154	204 167	47 51	46 62	39	32	19	1	- 3	5	
PACIFIC	4 817	4 914	1 686	2 463	495	586	93	4	2	-	
Wash.	525	522	101	151	53	74	-	-	Ň	Ν	
Oreg.	377	395	69	206	N	N	N	N	N	N	
Alaska	3,526 55	3,699 90	6	2,051	329	385	IN -	- -	N	N	
Hawaii	334	208	44	44	113	127	93	4	2	-	
Guam	-	43	-	34		-	-		-	-	
P.R. VI	272	657	8	27	N	N	N	N	N	N	
Amer. Samoa	Ū	U	U	Ū	U	Ū	Ū	U	U	U	
C.N.M.I.	3	U	-	U	-	U	-	U	-	U	

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 27, 2004, and November 22, 2003

Primary & secondary Com- 2004	(47 till Week)		Synh	ilis						Varicella (Chickenpox)		
Cum, Cum, <th< th=""><th></th><th>Primary</th><th>& secondary</th><th>Cong</th><th>enital</th><th>Tuber</th><th>culosis</th><th>Typho</th><th>id fever</th></th<>		Primary	& secondary	Cong	enital	Tuber	culosis	Typho	id fever			
DMILTID STATES 6.614 6.377 296 308 9.62 11.232 296 300 15.949 15.336 NEW ENGLAND 16 102 5 1 339 376 20 27 697 2.26 NH 4 17 3 - 14 13 - 3 - 174 NH 10 - - 1 3 - 1 777 171 NH 10 - - 20 163 14 12 - 163 Sonon 31 25 1 1 74 94 5 7 - 1.349 MDATLATIC 868 40 4 9 2251 285 8 12 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -<	Benorting area	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2003	Cum. 2004	Cum.	
NEW EXCLAND 164 182 5 1 339 376 20 27 007 2996 N14. 4 17 3 - 14 19 - 3 - 72 Name 0 121 - - 20 188 77 7 RL 22 20 1 - 30 43 1 2 - 157 Conn 31 22 20 1 - 30 43 1 2 - - 1.4 94 94 9 201 1.6 1.4 30 43 11 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.14 9 31 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.14 1.6 1.8 1.7 32 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 1.6 1 1.6 1.6 1 1.6 1.6 1.6 1	UNITED STATES	6,614	6,377	295	396	9,682	11,232	256	330	15,949	15,336	
N.H. 4 17 3 - 14 13 - 3 - 721 Nass. 10 12 1 - 221 9 14 15 42 721 Hal. 122 12 - 230 43 14 15 42 - 16 Conn 31 25 1 1 74 49 50 58 75 80 38 MD.ATLANTIC 865 777 38 59 1,824 2,005 58 75 80 38 Pa, 111 140 1 - 200 314 15 7 80 38 39 183 163 17 30 38 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	NEW ENGLAND Maine	164 2	192 8	5	1	339	376 19	20	27	607 180	2,996 774	
Mass 105 121 - - 221 198 14 15 Car. 14 Conn 31 25 1 1 70 94 5 7 - 1.34 MBATLANTC 85 76 7 38 59 1.82 2.05 58 75 80 38 MBATLANTC 86 465 14 91 201 1.057 30 155 7 - 1.34 NALW 80 465 14 91 30 1.057 30 30 155 7 60 38 NALW 118 10 1 - 290 131 13 206 133 10 10 3.640 3.64 1.6 1 - 181 60 2 1 16 1 - 153 130 10 10 3.680 3.86 3.86 3.86 3.86 3.86 3.8	N.H.	4	17	3	-	14	13	-	3	-	- 701	
R.I. 22 20 1 - 30 43 1 2 - 5 MID. ALLANTIC 865 79 33 59 1,824 2,005 58 7 5 60 33 NJ. 128 162 19 19 382 399 15 21 - - - - - - 1 100 31 173 181 5 21 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 103 1717 181 5 2 2 5,464 1,34 100 - - 16 0 - - 16 0 - - 16 16 - - 181 101 10 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	Mass.	105	121	-	-	221	198	14	15	427	147	
DATLANTIC BS TO DATLANTIC BS TO DATLANTIC NY CAIN, 540 455 14 31 001 1.027 20 35 - - N.Y CAIN, 540 455 14 31 001 1.027 20 35 - - - PA 111 140 1 - 280 314 15 7 60 338 Chion 208 144 9 3 1773 181 5 2 1.269 1.101 Mich, 208 244 14 20 473 160 - 16 1 - 160 1 - 160 - - 160 2 1.073 5 2 1.073 5 2 1.073 5 2 1.073 5 2 1.073 5 2 1.074 1.074 1.074 1.074 1.074 1.074 1.074	R.I. Conn	22 31	20 25	1	- 1	30 74	43 94	1	2	-	5 1 349	
Upstate NX. 166 40 4 9 251 265 8 12 - - N. N.Z. 128 162 19 19 382 399 15 21 Pa. 111 140 1 - 220 314 15 7 80 38 E.N.CENTRAL 778 816 55 71 1.053 1.064 15 7 2 5.469 1.105 Min. 288 344 14 20 473 106 - 16 17 399 392 399 393 10 10 3.999 393 10 10 3.999 393 10 10 3.999 393 10 10 3.999 393 10 10 3.999 393 10 2.10 3.999 393 10 10 3.999 394 394 394 2 1 1.5	MID. ATLANTIC	865	797	38	59	1.824	2.005	58	75	80	38	
$\begin{split} NL(I(I(Y)) & 540 & 452 & 14 & 39 & 500 & 1.269 & 29 & 35 & \\ Pa, & 111 & 140 & 1 &$	Upstate N.Y.	86	40	4	9	251	265	8	12	-	-	
Pa. 111 140 1 - 290 314 15 7 80 33 EN.CENTRAL 778 816 55 71 1053 1.068 17 32 5.404 5.345 Ohio 208 144 13 113 118 5 2 1260 1.053 Ind. 328 344 14 20 473 508 - 16 1 - Min. 132 228 344 14 20 473 508 - 16 1 - 359 737 359 737 359 737 359 74 - - 359 74 - - 359 74 - - 2 N N N N N 0.0 5 56 2 - - 44 4 - - 82 74 - - - 2 N N N N N N N N N N N N <td< td=""><td>N.Y. City N.J.</td><td>540 128</td><td>455 162</td><td>14</td><td>19</td><td>382</td><td>399</td><td>20 15</td><td>35 21</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></td<>	N.Y. City N.J.	540 128	455 162	14	19	382	399	20 15	35 21	-	-	
E.N.CENTRAL 778 816 55 71 1.053 1.058 17 32 5.404 5.345 Trid. 30 43 9 14 113 118 5 4 16 1.005 Trid. 30 93 94 14 23 248 98 1 0 1 3.849 3.367 Wis. 29 26 31 4 13 208 98 0 1 10 3.849 3.367 Wis. 29 26 31 4 13 208 98 0 2 1 3.849 3.367 Wis. 29 26 31 5 1 38 6 40 6 9 6 130 74 Min. 15 31 1 5 5 6 2 4 102 104 2 1 5 . No. 200 5 5 6 2 4 102 104 2 1 5 . No. 200 5 8 6 2 4 102 104 2 1 5 . No. 200 5 8 6 2 4 102 104 2 1 5 . No. 200 5 8 6 2 4 102 104 2 1 5 . No. 200 5 8 6 2 4 102 104 2 1 5 . No. 200 5 8 6 2 4 102 104 2 1 5 . No. 200 5 8 6 2 4 102 104 2 1 5 . No. 200 5 8 6 2 4 102 104 2 1 5 . No. 200 5 8 6 7 . S.Dak. 6 2 . S.Dak. 7 . S.Dak. 6 2 . S.Dak. 7 .	Pa.	111	140	1	-	290	314	15	7	80	38	
	E.N. CENTRAL	778	816	55	71	1,053	1,058	17	32	5,404	5,345	
III. 142 328 344 14 20 473 506 - 16 1	Ind.	50	43	9	14	113	118	-	4	61	1,105	
Wils 129 16 0 10 10 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	III. Mich	328 163	344	14	20	473	506 193	- 10	16 10	1 3.690	- 3 361	
WN.CENTRAL 134 137 5 5 996 428 9 6 130 74 lowa 5 8 - - 33 30 - 2 N N lowa 5 8 - - 33 30 - 2 N N N.Dak. - 2 2 - 4 4 - - 82 74 S.Dak. - 2 2 - 58 73 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 14 167 14 167 14 167 14 167 14 167 14 167 14 167 168 10 13 - - - <	Wis.	29	16	-	1	81	60	2	-	392	879	
$\begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	W.N. CENTRAL	134	137	5	5	396	426	9	6	130	74	
Mo. 85 56 2 4 102 104 2 1 5 J. N.Dak. - 2 - - 8 16 - - 43 - S.Dak. - 2 - 5.8 73 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <t< td=""><td>Minn. Iowa</td><td>15 5</td><td>41 8</td><td>1</td><td>-</td><td>159 33</td><td>175 30</td><td>5</td><td>2</td><td>N</td><td>N</td></t<>	Minn. Iowa	15 5	41 8	1	-	159 33	175 30	5	2	N	N	
N.D.B.K. - 2 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -<	Mo.	85	56	2	4	102	104	2	1	5	-	
Nebr. 6 5 . 1 32 24 2 1 . . S.ATLANTIC 1.732 1.672 46 77 2.068 73 <	S. Dak.	-	2	-	-	4 8	4 16	-	-	82 43	- 74	
Name Lo L	Nebr.	6	5	-	1	32	24	2	1	-	-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	S ATLANTIC	1 732	1 672	46	77	2 068	2 283	44	51	1 965	1 998	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Del.	8	6	1	-	-	23	-	-	4	29	
Va. 92 74 3 1 229 235 10 14 467 483 N.C. 170 140 10 16 274 285 8 9 N N S.C. 101 191 7 14 163 145 - - 254 234 Ga. 304 449 1 13 326 470 5 6 - - Fla. 654 583 16 21 768 885 10 13 - - ES, CENTRAL 354 292 19 12 484 625 7 6 - - Tenn. 116 122 8 2 135 208 4 2 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <t< td=""><td>Md. D.C.</td><td>316 85</td><td>281 46</td><td>7</td><td>12</td><td>220 69</td><td>220</td><td>11</td><td>9</td><td>- 23</td><td>1 27</td></t<>	Md. D.C.	316 85	281 46	7	12	220 69	220	11	9	- 23	1 27	
with value 2 2 - - - 19 20 - - 1,19 1,224 S.C. 101 91 7 14 163 145 - - 254 234 Ga. 3044 449 1 13 326 4700 5 6 - - Fla. 654 583 16 21 768 885 10 13 - - Ky. 44 31 1 1 103 112 3 1 - - Tenn. 116 82 2 33 100 - - - - Miss. 47 33 2 2 33 100 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Va.	92	74	3	1	229	235	10	14	487	483	
S.C. 101 91 7 14 163 145 - - 254 234 Ga. 304 4449 1 13 326 470 5 6 - - Fla. 654 583 16 21 768 885 10 13 - - E.S. CENTRAL 354 292 19 12 484 625 7 6 - - Tenn. 116 122 8 2 195 208 4 2 - - Aia. 147 106 8 7 153 205 - 3 - - Miss. 47 33 2 2 100 1.636 19 30 5.413 4.308 Ark. 38 45 - 2 102 86 76 1.417 18 29 5.664 4.222 Mollation 22 11 2 2 4 8 - 1 - - <	N.C.	170	140	10	16	274	285	8	9	1,197 N	1,224 N	
La.DotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotDotD	S.C.	101	91 449	7	14	163 326	145	- 5	-	254	234	
E.S. CENTRAL354292191248462576Ky.44311110311231Ala.14710687153205-3Miss.47332233100W.S. CENTRAL1,06385148721,0061,63619305,4134,308Ark.3845-210286Ckla.2458211.3813311Okla.2458211.3813311MOUNTAIN3272914832438410762,350577Mont44Woo.3445346Colo.3834-39597231,790Nex.54591918433 </td <td>Fla.</td> <td>654</td> <td>583</td> <td>16</td> <td>21</td> <td>768</td> <td>885</td> <td>10</td> <td>13</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	Fla.	654	583	16	21	768	885	10	13	-	-	
Ky.44311110311231Ala.1471068219520842Ala.14710687153205-3Miss.47332233100Miss.47332233100MS. CENTRAL1,06385148721,0061,63619305,4134,308Ark.3845-210286Cal.252159-1<	E.S. CENTRAL	354	292	19	12	484	625	7	6	-	-	
Ala.14710687153205-3Miss.47332233100Miss.1063851487210061,63619305,4134,308Ark.3845-210286La.252159-14916Okla.24582113813311Tex.74958946687661,41718295,3644,292MOUNTAIN3272914832438410762,350577Idaho22112248-1Idaho22112248-1Vavo.3445346600.3	Ky. Tenn.	44 116	31 122	1 8	1 2	103 195	112 208	3	1 2	-	-	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ala.	147	106	8	7	153	205	-	3	-	-	
W.S. CENTRAL 1,003 631 46 72 1,006 1,035 19 30 5,415 4,306 La. 252 159 - 1 - - - - - 49 16 Ckla. 24 58 2 1 18 133 1 1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		47	33	2	2	1 006	1.626	-	-	-	4 209	
La.252159-14916Okla.245821138133111Tex.74958946687661,41718295,3644,292MOUNTAIN3272914832438410762,350577Mont45Idaho22112248-1-Vyo.3445346Colo.3834-39597231,790-Nex.54591918433953Ariz.169165451819719622Utah71136351-412528Nev.341120321963Oreg.2542749824Alaska113552Hawaii79129710161Gaim-1 </td <td>Ark.</td> <td>38</td> <td>45</td> <td>40</td> <td>2</td> <td>102</td> <td>86</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>- 5,415</td> <td>4,300</td>	Ark.	38	45	40	2	102	86	-	-	- 5,415	4,300	
Tex. 749 589 46 68 766 $1,417$ 18 29 $5,364$ $4,292$ MOUNTAIN 327 291 48 32 438 410 7 6 $2,350$ 577 Mont. $ 4$ 5 $ -$ Mont. $ 4$ 4 $ -$ Wyo. 3 $ 4$ 4 $ 53$ 46 Colo. 38 34 $ 3$ 95 97 2 3 $1,790$ $-$ N.Mex. 54 59 1 9 18 43 $ 93$ 46 Nev. 34 11 $ 36$ 35 1 $ 412$ 528 Nev. 34 11 $ 80$ 22 2 $ -$ Vash. 127 74 $ 203$ 219 6 3 $ -$ Oreg. 25 42 $ 74$ 98 2 4 $ -$ Alaska 1 1 $ 48$ $ -$ Alaska 1 1 $ 48$ $ -$ Hawaii 7 9 1 2 97 101 6 <td< td=""><td>La. Okla</td><td>252 24</td><td>159 58</td><td>- 2</td><td>1</td><td>- 138</td><td>- 133</td><td>- 1</td><td>- 1</td><td>49</td><td>16</td></td<>	La. Okla	252 24	159 58	- 2	1	- 138	- 133	- 1	- 1	49	16	
MOUNTAIN 327 291 48 32 438 410 7 6 2,350 577 Mont. - - - - 4 5 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 9 3 - - - - - - - - - 9 3 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Tex.	749	589	46	68	766	1,417	18	29	5,364	4,292	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MOUNTAIN	327	291	48	32	438	410	7	6	2,350	577	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Idaho	22	11	2	2	4	8	-	- 1	-	-	
N.Mex. 54 59 1 9 18 43 - - 95 3 Ariz. 169 165 45 18 197 196 2 2 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Wyo. Colo	3 38	34	-	-	4 95	4 97	- 2	-	53 1 790	46	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N. Mex.	54	59	1	9	18	43	-	-	95	3	
Nev. 34 11 80 22 2 PACIFIC $1,197$ $1,329$ 31 67 $2,074$ $2,413$ 75 97 Wash. 127 74 203 219 6 3 Oreg. 25 42 74 98 2 4 Calif. $1,037$ $1,203$ 30 65 $1,665$ $1,943$ 61 89 Alaska11 35 52 Hawaii7912 97 101 6 1Guam-1 488 143P.R.151 184 5 14 84 100 265 556 V.I.41Amer.SamoaUUUUUUUUUUUCN.M.I.2U-U 10 U-UUUU	Arız. Utah	169 7	165 11	45	18	197 36	196 35	2	2	- 412	- 528	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Nev.	34	11	-	-	80	22	2	-	-	-	
Wash.12774112032190311Oreg.2542749824Calif.1,0371,20330651,6651,9436189Alaska113552Hawaii79129710161Guam-148143P.R.15118451484100265556V.I.41Amer. SamoaUUUUUUUUUUUCN.M.I.2U-U10U-U-U	PACIFIC	1,197	1,329	31	67	2,074	2,413	75	97	-	-	
	Oreg.	25	42	-	-	74	98	2	4	-	-	
Hawaii79129710161Guam-148143PR.15118451484100265556V.I.41Amer.SamoaUUUUUUUUUUCN.M.I.2U-U10U-U-	Calif. Alaska	1,037 1	1,203 1	30	65	1,665 35	1,943 52	61	89	-	-	
Guam - 1 - - - 48 - - - 143 P.R. 151 184 5 14 84 100 - - 265 556 V.I. 4 1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <t< td=""><td>Hawaii</td><td>7</td><td>9</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>97</td><td>101</td><td>6</td><td>1</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></t<>	Hawaii	7	9	1	2	97	101	6	1	-	-	
r.n. 151 184 5 14 84 100 265 556 V.I. 4 1	Guam	-	1	-	-	-	48	-	-	-	143	
Amer.Samoa U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U <thu< td=""><td>г.п. V.I.</td><td>4</td><td>184</td><td>5</td><td>- 14</td><td>84 -</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>205</td><td>000</td></thu<>	г.п. V.I.	4	184	5	- 14	84 -	-	-	-	205	000	
	Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	U 2	U	U	U	U 10	U	U -	U	U	U	

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 27, 2004, and November 22, 2003 (47th Week)*

TABLE III. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,* week ending November 27, 2004 (47th Week)

	All causes, by age (years)							All causes, by age (years)							
Reporting Area	All Ages	<u>≥</u> 65	45-64	25–44	1–24	<1	P&l⁺ Total	Reporting Area	All Ages	<u>≥</u> 65	45-64	25–44	1–24	<1	P&l⁺ Total
NEW ENGLAND Boston, Mass.	213 U	153 U	42 U	11 U	5 U	2 U	21 U	S. ATLANTIC Atlanta, Ga	540 125	325 75	142	40 10	20 7	12	24 7
Bridgeport, Conn.	29	23	2	3	1	-	7	Baltimore, Md.	164	94	48	15	3	3	9
Cambridge, Mass.	11	6	4	-	1	-	1	Charlotte, N.C.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Fall River, Mass.	17	15	2	-	-	-	1	Jacksonville, Fla.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Hartford, Conn.	48	34	10	1	2	1	4	Miami, Fla.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Lowell, Mass.	11	10	3	- 1	-	-	2	Norrolk, va. Richmond Va	28	20	0 1/	2	1	- 1	2
New Redford Mass	14	12	U L	ů.	- U	- U	ů.	Savannah Ga	26	14	7	3	1	1	-
New Haven Conn	ŭ	ŭ	ŭ	Ŭ	ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	St Petersburg Fla	35	15	13	2	4	1	-
Providence, R.I.	Ū	Ū	Ū	Ū	Ū	Ū	Ū	Tampa, Fla.	116	82	24	6	3	1	2
Somerville, Mass.	9	6	3	-	-	-	-	Washington, D.C.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Springfield, Mass.	27	20	5	2	-	-	1	Wilmington, Del.	9	7	2	-	-	-	-
Waterbury, Conn.	U	U	U 10	U	U	U	U	E.S. CENTRAL	358	219	92	21	13	13	29
worcester, Mass.	47	29	12	4	I	I	4	Birmingham, Ala.	110	63	32	4	2	9	9
MID. ATLANTIC	1,495	1,046	329	74	20	25	91	Chattanooga, Tenn.	61	44	12	4	1	-	6
Albany, N.Y.	37	26	7	1	1	2	4	Knoxville, Tenn.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Allentown, Pa.	14	9	5	-	-	-	1	Lexington, Ky.	47	30	10	4	3		5
Camden N.I	/3	50	10	2	i.	2	14	Mobile Ala	31	20	6	3	2	0	1
Flizabeth N.J	Ű	ŭ	ŭ	Ŭ	ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Montgomery Ala	20	20	8	-	1	2	1
Erie, Pa.	32	24	8	-	-	-	2	Nashville, Tenn.	89	53	24	6	4	2	7
Jersey City, N.J.	19	6	10	3	-	-	-		820	510	106	62	21	20	40
New York City, N.Y.	863	597	197	46	13	9	42	Austin Tex	43	33	190	2		29	49
Newark, N.J.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	Baton Rouge, La.	Ű	U	Ú	Ū	U	Ů	Ŭ
Paterson, N.J.	0	150	U	10	U	10	U	Corpus Christi, Tex.	26	17	8	-	-	1	1
Pittsburgh Pa §	16	155	44	3	2	12	1	Dallas, Tex.	128	71	31	17	5	4	6
Reading, Pa.	Ű	Ŭ	Ů	Ŭ	U	U	Ū.	El Paso, Tex.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Rochester, N.Y.	96	84	9	3	-	-	11	Ft. Worth, Tex.	83	55	20	3	1	4	1
Schenectady, N.Y.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	Houston, lex.	237	138	48	24	10	17	20
Scranton, Pa.	26	19	6	1	-	-	1	New Orleans La	46	29	14	3	_	_	-
Syracuse, N.Y.	80	60	15	4	1	-	8	San Antonio, Tex.	111	68	30	7	4	2	6
Irenton, N.J.	18	9	6	1	2	-	-	Shreveport, La.	29	19	8	2	-	-	2
Yonkers, N.Y.	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Tulsa, Okla.	82	62	15	4	1	-	8
E.N. CENTRAL	764	560	131	49	14	10	47	MOUNTAIN	962	642	223	65	17	15	61
Akron, Ohio	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	Albuquerque, N.M.	81	46	22	10	1	2	1
Canton, Ohio	40	29	9	2	-	-	1	Colo Springs Colo	35	20	9 10	1	1	3	-
Chicago, III.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	Denver, Colo.	90	59	19	5	2	5	8
Cincinnati, Ohio	/2	49	11	8	2	2	2	Las Vegas, Nev.	232	147	59	20	6	-	12
Columbus Ohio	153	99	29	17	4	4	6	Ogden, Utah	26	19	4	2	1	-	6
Davton. Ohio	86	72	9	5	-	-	6	Phoenix, Ariz.	248	186	48	10	1	3	14
Detroit, Mich.	U	U	Ū	Ŭ	U	U	Ū	Pueblo, Colo.	29	19	6	4	-	-	2
Evansville, Ind.	26	20	4	-	-	2	3	Tucson Ariz	100	47	19	5	2	- 1	3
Fort Wayne, Ind.	70	57	8	2	2	1	3		100						
Gary, Ind.	0	0	U	U	U 1	U	U	PACIFIC Barkalov Calif	650	442	145	42	12	8	47
Indianapolis Ind	11	21	0	11	ů.	- U	5	Fresno Calif	90	59	22	- 6	-	-	5
Lansing, Mich.	39	33	5	1	-	-	2	Glendale. Calif.	4	3	1	-	-	-	-
Milwaukee, Wis.	64	46	16	2	-	-	5	Honolulu, Hawaii	55	41	9	3	2	-	1
Peoria, III.	30	24	5	-	-	1	1	Long Beach, Calif.	54	39	12	2	1	-	8
Rockford, III.	35	26	8	1		-	3	Los Angeles, Calif.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
South Bend, Ind.	0	0	10	0	0	U	0	Pasadena, Calif.	110	75	0	U	U	U	0
Youngstown, Ohio	50	44	9	1	-	-	8	Sacramento. Calif.	U	73 U	29 U	Ű	Ŭ	U	U
	/10	280	02	26	0	10	24	San Diego, Calif.	102	63	21	11	1	6	5
Des Moines. Iowa	91	69	15	20	2	1	6	San Francisco, Calif.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Duluth, Minn.	24	18	5	1	-	-	6	San Jose, Calif.	U 10	U	U	U	U	U	U ₁
Kansas City, Kans.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	Santa Gruz, Galli. Seattle Wash	10	0 58	22	- 6	- 1	-	a a
Kansas City, Mo.	69	46	12	5	3	3	1	Spokane, Wash	47	33	11	2	-	1	3
Lincoln, Nebr.	26	23	3	-	-	-	1	Tacoma, Wash.	72	56	12	3	-	1	7
Minneapoils, Minn.	28	20	4	3	- 1	1 0	3	τοται	6 2211	1 100	1 202	200	120	124	303
St. Louis. Mo.	90	48	28	8	1	2	2		0,221"	ч, 100	1,505	390	150	124	030
St. Paul, Minn.	40	28	10	-	1	1	1								
Wichita, Kans.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U								

U: Unavailable. -: No reported cases.

* Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of >100,000. A death is reported by the place of its

¹ Total includes unknown ages.

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy each week, send an e-mail message to *listserv@listserv.cdc.gov*. The body content should read *SUBscribe mmwr-toc*. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's World-Wide Web server at *http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr* or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at *ftp://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/publications/mmwr*. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone 202-512-1800.

Data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, to Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop E-96, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone 888-232-3228.

All material in the MMWR Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

All MMWR references are available on the Internet at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr. Use the search function to find specific articles.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to *MMWR* readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of these sites. URL addresses listed in *MMWR* were current as of the date of publication.

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 2005-733-116/00058 Region IV ISSN: 0149-2195