

Weekly

April 15, 2005 / Vol. 54 / No. 14

# Health Concerns Associated with Disaster Victim Identification After a Tsunami — Thailand, December 26, 2004–March 31, 2005

The number of persons confirmed dead from the Indian Ocean tsunami that struck on December 26, 2004, had exceeded 174,000 as of March 31, 2005; the majority of decedents were buried or cremated without being identified. In contrast, in Thailand, disaster victim identification (DVI) continues, with approximately 1,800 persons identified among the 5,395 persons confirmed dead; of the dead, approximately 50% were not citizens of Thailand (1). This large-scale, multinational effort faced immediate challenges, including establishment of four temporary morgues, implementation of safeguards against environmental and occupational health hazards, and coordination of forensic procedures and safety protocols among Thai and international forensic teams. Public health and other agencies performing large-scale DVI in temporary morgues might consider implementing the recommendations and procedures described in this report.

# **Temporary Morgue Operations**

After the tsunami struck, DVI teams totaling at least 600 persons, from Thailand and approximately 30 other countries, converted temples and other buildings in the provinces of Phangna, Phuket, and Krabi into four temporary morgues by modifying buildings and procuring DVI equipment and supplemental electricity. To store and preserve bodies, which were initially cooled with dry ice, refrigerated containers were procured. Bodies were stored in these containers until identified and released.

Approximately 30 DVI teams at the four morgue sites initially used different forensic protocols, including various numbering systems and methods for obtaining DNA specimens. These factors and the long travel times between the morgue sites (i.e., up to 6 hours by road) delayed data sharing between morgues and, consequently, victim identification. As a result, the multinational Thailand Tsunami Victim Identification committee (TTVI) was formed on January 12, 2005, to create specific, standardized protocols and procedures for DVI, based on the Interpol Disaster Victim Identification Guide (2) and subsidiary procedures for pathology, odontology, photography, fingerprinting, reexamination, moving of bodies, chain of custody, and DNA testing of antemortem and postmortem samples (targeting 16 genetic loci). TTVI also recommended appointment of an infectioncontrol officer. Postmortem data were recorded on Interpol forms and matched with antemortem data (e.g., primary data such as dental, fingerprint, or DNA data and secondary data such as age, race, sex, hair color, and jewelry) compiled regarding missing persons at an information center (IMC) in Phuket. Antemortem data often were provided by relatives or friends directly to IMC or through the Royal Thai Police, embassies, or consulates. The Plass System (Plass Data Software, Holbaek, Denmark) and DNA-matching software were used to generate preliminary matches. If these matches were confirmed by a review board of Thai medical and police authorities, identification was confirmed, a death certificate issued, and the body released.

# INSIDE

- 352 Preliminary FoodNet Data on the Incidence of Infection with Pathogens Transmitted Commonly Through Food — 10 Sites, United States, 2004
- 356 Acute Public Health Consequences of Methamphetamine Laboratories — 16 States, January 2000–June 2004
- 359 Anhydrous Ammonia Thefts and Releases Associated with Illicit Methamphetamine Production — 16 States, January 2000–June 2004
- 361 Notice to Readers
- 363 QuickStats

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION The *MMWR* series of publications is published by the Coordinating Center for Health Information and Service\*, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA 30333.

#### **SUGGESTED CITATION**

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Article Title]. MMWR 2005;54:[inclusive page numbers].

#### **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention**

Julie L. Gerberding, MD, MPH Director

Dixie E. Snider, MD, MPH Chief Science Officer

Tanja Popovic, MD, PhD (Acting) Associate Director for Science

#### Coordinating Center for Health Information and Service\*

Blake Caldwell, MD, MPH, and Edward J. Sondik, PhD (Acting) Directors

#### National Center for Health Marketing\*

Steven L. Solomon, MD (Acting) Director

#### **Division of Scientific Communications\***

Maria S. Parker (*Acting*) *Director* 

Mary Lou Lindegren, MD (Acting) Editor, MMWR Series

Suzanne M. Hewitt, MPA Managing Editor, MMWR Series

Douglas W. Weatherwax (Acting) Lead Technical Writer-Editor

> Stephanie M. Neitzel Jude C. Rutledge Teresa F. Rutledge *Writers-Editors*

Lynda G. Cupell Malbea A. LaPete *Visual Information Specialists* 

Kim L. Bright, MBA Quang M. Doan, MBA Erica R. Shaver Information Technology Specialists

# Notifiable Disease Morbidity and 122 Cities Mortality Data

Patsy A. Hall Deborah A. Adams Felicia J. Connor Rosaline Dhara Donna Edwards Tambra McGee Pearl C. Sharp An estimated 700 bodies were identified and released by using varying protocols in place at the temporary morgues before establishment of the TTVI process. Since January 12, a total of 4,082 postmortem, and 2,164 antemortem data files had been created for matching as of March 31, 2005. From these data files, 1,112 bodies were identified, including 1,046 on the basis of one type of data (962 dental, 71 fingerprint, 10 physical, and three DNA); 66 others were identified by combinations of data types. Approximately 95% of identifications were of persons aged  $\geq$ 18 years. Because little antemortem dental or fingerprint data are available for children, their identification will rely more heavily on DNA matching.

### Site Safety and Health Assessment

Until TTVI decided in late March to centralize DVI operations at a newly built morgue, Wat Yan Yao in northern Phang Na Province was the largest temporary morgue, handling approximately 3,000 bodies during the first 3 months after the tsunami. To ensure optimal worker safety, health, and environmental protections, on January 8, the Thai Ministry of Public Health (MOPH) requested an assessment of this morgue by occupational and environmental health teams from MOPH and CDC. They were joined by staff from the Armed Forces Research Institute for Medical Science, Bangkok.

At Wat Yan Yao, the temple grounds were separated into a front semipublic area and a rear area restricted to DVI procedures. By mid-January, an estimated 300 persons per day were working at the temple. Interviews were conducted with a convenience sample of 20 DVI workers and four administrators. Tasks included lifting bodies out of trucks or refrigerated containers, performing autopsies, collecting other victim information and property, entering data regarding the deceased, disposing of waste, communicating with the public and media, and issuing death certificates. DVI procedures were conducted in the open, in converted open enclosures, or in airconditioned closed enclosures; these procedures included general observation of the body, photography, fingerprinting, dental examination and radiographs, and extraction of teeth and sampling of bone (e.g., clavicle, rib, or femur) for DNA testing. Equipment for DVI procedures included scalpels, knives, scissors, probes, hand and oscillating saws, dental pliers, and dental radiograph equipment.

Investigators learned that no overall site safety and health plan was in effect and that certain site staff members and nearby residents had expressed concerns regarding the risk for infection from bodies and proper disposal of liquid autopsy waste. Investigators observed that multiple procedures to ensure occupational and site safety were already in place, including restricted access to DVI processing areas and refrigerated

\* Proposed.

containers, collection of solid and sharps waste in labeled biohazard bags or containers, and transportation of solid waste to a local hospital for incineration. Liquid waste was stored in large holding tanks and then transported by truck to a local hospital sanitary drain for municipal wastewater treatment. Personal protective equipment (PPE) was available, including disposable gowns, aprons and coveralls, nitrile and latex gloves, rubber boots, various types of respirators, and surgical masks. However, use of PPE was left to the personal preference of workers, often resulting in overuse and increased risk for heat stress and dehydration. Moreover, many workers did not remove PPE when exiting DVI areas and returning to public areas. Eye protection was available but infrequently used, except by dentists. Hand-washing facilities were insufficient; rest, food, and refreshment areas were inappropriately located within DVI work areas adjacent to forensic procedure areas, generating risk for contamination of food and refreshments; and limited worker training on bio- or physical safety was provided. Multiple trip hazards were noted, including electrical wires and open drains.

Basic first-aid was provided at a temporary occupational health clinic in the morgue. Immunization status of workers was not assessed, but the clinic provided tetanus vaccinations. Review of a single day of activity at the clinic in mid-January logged the following: 60 wound dressings, 50 persons with vertigo, 45 persons with headache, 28 persons needing eye washes, 26 persons receiving tetanus vaccination, and one person with a head injury. In addition, interviews with staff members at a nearby hospital determined that workers from the morgue had sought care during the previous 2 weeks for dry-ice burns, abrasions, sharps and construction injuries, and mucosal splashes with body fluids.

Odors and flies at the morgue were controlled by using a commercial bacterial inhibitory solution (EM-1, EMRO, Okinawa, Japan). Several types of disinfectants were available, including chlorine solutions, glutaraldehyde, benzalkonim chloride, isopropyl alcohol, and Virkon<sup>®</sup> S (Antec International, Suffolk, United Kingdom). EM-1 and Virkon S are frequently used in animal husbandry and veterinary settings and have not formally been assessed for efficacy against odor and fly control (EM-1) and disinfection (Virkon S) in DVI settings. Formalin solution was used only during the first few days.

# **Recommendations for Temporary Morgues**

To address gaps in worker and environmental safety, the investigative teams provided recommendations to MOPH to improve site and environmental safety at Wat Yan Yao and other temporary morgues (Box). The teams also developed

# BOX. Public health and safety recommendations after assessment of operation of a temporary morgue — Thailand, 2005

- Develop a site safety plan that has a clear chain of command.
- Develop an emergency-care plan for splash, sharps, and other injuries.
- Configure and construct space for optimal worker and environmental safety (e.g., control access between public and disaster victim identification [DVI] areas, separate food and beverage areas from DVI, and ensure an adequate number of hand-washing stations and the ability to flush eyes or other mucosal surfaces).
- Ensure appropriate use and disposal of personal protective equipment (PPE).
- Avoid inappropriate use of PPE and ensure adequate supply of refreshments to prevent dehydration.
- Limit use of sharps, avoid generation of infectious aerosols, and minimize use of oscillating bone saws. Use face shields and surgical masks as needed.
- Reduce trip hazards (e.g., electrical wires and open drains).
- Prevent musculoskeletal injuries (e.g., avoid overhead lifting, use wheeled carts to transport bodies, and reduce pinch hazards).
- Vaccinate workers appropriately (3).
- Ensure appropriate handling and decontamination of autopsy-related waste (e.g., use appropriate containers for sharps and biohazardous waste, then autoclave or incinerate; dispose of liquid waste in municipal waste treatment plants or other approved disposal location).
- Develop a worker registry for site security and follow-up.
- Provide social and psychological counseling.
- Educate and train staff members regarding personal safety and site safety (e.g., correct use of PPE and procedures to follow in case of injury). Designate training staff and monitors and maintain training records.
- Develop and distribute fact sheets to staff members and the public regarding the low risk for infection from bodies, air, or properly handled waste in temporary morgues.

fact sheets in Thai and English regarding 1) the low risk for infection from working with bodies or breathing air in the morgue, 2) what PPE to use when working at the morgue, and 3) what steps to take if splashed with liquid waste from a body or cut with a sharp object. In addition, CDC staff developed guidelines for appropriate disposal of liquid waste from morgue procedures (4). In late January, follow-up interviews with TTVI officials determined that many of the recommendations were implemented at Wat Yan Yao, including distribution of fact sheets to workers, appropriate disposal of liquid waste, movement of food and refreshment areas away from work areas, and installation of hand-washing stations.

**Reported by:** Thai Ministry of Public Health (MOPH); Armed Forces Research Institute for Medical Science; US Embassy, Bangkok; MOPH-CDC Collaboration, Nonthaburi, Thailand. Joint POW/MIA Accounting Command, Central Identification Laboratory, Hickam Air Force Base, Hawaii. US Dept of State. CDC.

**Editorial Note:** The DVI effort in Thailand is likely the largest multinational DVI operation ever conducted. Complex public health and logistical challenges arose related to identifying disaster victims from approximately 30 countries and working in temporary morgues; these challenges resulted in formation of the TTVI committee and institution of standardized protocols among DVI teams.

However, even with standardized protocols, DVI in Thailand and parallel efforts in Sri Lanka and the Maldives are likely to take as long as 1 year. For comparison, after the destruction of the World Trade Center on September 11, 2001 (5), identification of 50%-60% of the 3,025 persons who died took 18 months. Identification of the 202 persons who died from the bombing of a nightclub in Bali, Indonesia, on October 12, 2002 (6), took approximately 6 months. In both events, DVI depended heavily on DNA test results because bodies were so badly damaged. To date, identification of most tsunami victims in Thailand has relied on traditional forensic data (i.e., fingerprints and dental records) rather than DNA results. Centralization of DVI in the new temporary morgue likely will speed the rate of examinations, reduce the number of occupational health and environmental health hazards, and facilitate implementation of site safety recommendations.

The experiences described in this report indicate a need for national and international public health agencies to better prepare for the public, occupational, and environmental health challenges of DVI in multinational situations. Development of an internationally accepted plan for DVI operations might be coordinated through international agencies (e.g., United Nations) and modeled after the international Sphere Project, which provides a humanitarian charter and minimum standards for disaster relief to survivors (7). The protocols and safety and health recommendations developed as part of the Thai tsunami DVI efforts and the existing plans and guidelines of other agencies (e.g., Disaster Mortuary Operational Response Team) (2,8–10) might form the basis for such an international effort.

#### References

1. CDC. Rapid health response, assessment, and surveillance after a tsunami—Thailand, 2004–2005. MMWR 2005;54:61–4.

- Interpol. Disaster victim identification guide. Lyon, France: Interpol; 2005. Available at http://www.interpol.com/public/disastervictim/ default.asp.
- CDC. Immunization of health-care workers: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) and the Hospital Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC). MMWR 1997;46(No. RR-18).
- CDC. Disposing liquid waste from autopsies in tsunami affected areas. Available at http://www.bt.cdc.gov/disasters/tsunamis/pdf/tsunamiautopsyliquidwaste.pdf.
- CDC. Deaths in World Trade Center terrorist attacks—New York City, 2001. MMWR 2002;51 (Special Issue):16–18.
- Lain R, Griffiths C, Hilton JM. Forensic dental and medical response to the Bali bombing: a personal perspective. Med J Aust 2003;179: 362–625.
- The Sphere Project. Humanitarian charter and minimum standards in disaster response. Revised ed. Geneva, Switzerland: The Sphere Project; 2004. Available at http://www.sphereproject.org.
- Pan American Health Organization. Management of dead bodies in disaster situations. Washington, DC: Pan American Health Organization; 2004. Available at http://www.paho.org/english/dd/ped/ manejocadaveres.htm.
- CDC. Interim recommendations for workers who handle human remains. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2005. Available at http://www.bt.cdc.gov/disasters/tsunamis/ handleremains.asp.
- National Medical Disaster System. What is a disaster mortuary operational response team (DMORT)? Washington, DC: US Department of Homeland Security, National Disaster Medical System Section; 2005. Available at http://oep-ndms.dhhs.gov/dmort.html.

# Preliminary FoodNet Data on the Incidence of Infection with Pathogens Transmitted Commonly Through Food — 10 Sites, United States, 2004

Foodborne illnesses are a substantial health burden in the United States (1). The Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet) of CDC's Emerging Infections Program collects data from 10 U.S. sites\* on diseases caused by enteric pathogens transmitted commonly through food. FoodNet quantifies and monitors the incidence of these infections by conducting active, population-based surveillance for laboratory-diagnosed illness (2). This report describes preliminary surveillance data for 2004 and compares them with baseline data from the period 1996–1998. The 2004 data indicate declines in the incidence of infections caused by *Campylobacter*, *Cryptosporidium*, Shiga toxin–producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) O157, *Listeria*, *Salmonella*, and *Yersinia*. Declines in *Campylobacter* and *Listeria* incidence are approaching national health objectives (objectives 10-1a through 1d); for the first

<sup>\*</sup> Connecticut, Georgia, Maryland, Minnesota, New Mexico, Oregon, Tennessee, and selected counties in California, Colorado, and New York.

time, the incidence of STEC O157 infections in FoodNet is below the 2010 target (3,4) (Table). However, further efforts are needed to sustain these declines and to improve prevention of foodborne infections; efforts should be enhanced to reduce pathogens in food animal reservoirs and to prevent contamination of produce.

In 1996, FoodNet began active, population-based surveillance for laboratory-diagnosed cases of *Campylobacter*, STEC O157, *Listeria, Salmonella, Shigella, Vibrio*, and *Yersinia*. In 1997, FoodNet added surveillance for cases of *Cryptosporidium*, *Cyclospora*, and hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS). In 2000, FoodNet began collecting information on non-O157 STEC. In 2004, FoodNet began determining whether a case was part of a national foodborne disease outbreak reported to CDC via the electronic Foodborne Outbreak Reporting System (eFORS).

FoodNet personnel ascertain cases through contact with all clinical laboratories in their surveillance areas. HUS surveillance is conducted through a network of pediatric nephrologists and infection-control practitioners, and the review of records of hospitalized patients. Because of the time required for review of hospital records, this report contains preliminary 2003 HUS data.

During 1996–2004, the FoodNet surveillance population increased from 14.2 million persons in five sites to 44.1 million persons (15.2% of the U.S. population) in 10 sites. Preliminary incidence for 2004 was calculated by using the number of laboratory-confirmed infections and dividing by 2003 population estimates. Final incidence for 2004 will be reported (at http://www.cdc.gov/foodnet) when 2004 population estimates are available from the U.S. Census Bureau.

# 2004 Surveillance

In 2004, a total of 15,806 laboratory-diagnosed cases of infections in FoodNet surveillance areas were identified, as follows: *Salmonella*, 6,464; *Campylobacter*, 5,665; *Shigella*, 2,231; *Cryptosporidium*, 613; STEC O157, 401; *Yersinia*, 173; *Vibrio*, 124; *Listeria*, 120; and *Cyclospora*, 15. Overall incidence per 100,000 persons was 14.7 for *Salmonella*, 12.9 for *Campylobacter*, 5.1 for *Shigella*, and 0.9 for STEC O157. The overall incidence per 1 million persons was 13.2 for *Cryptosporidium*, 3.9 for *Yersinia*, 2.8 for *Vibrio*, 2.7 for *Listeria*, and 0.3 for *Cyclospora*. However, substantial variation occurred across surveillance sites (Table).

Of the 5,942 (92%) *Salmonella* isolates serotyped, five serotypes accounted for 56% of infections, as follows: Typhimurium, 1,170 (20%); Enteritidis, 865 (15%); Newport, 585 (10%); Javiana, 406 (7%); and Heidelberg, 304 (5%). Among 112 (90%) *Vibrio* isolates identified to species, 58 (52%) were *V. parahaemolyticus*, and 16 (14%) were *V. vulnificus*. FoodNet also collected data on 106 non-O157 STEC infections. An O antigen was determined for 80 (75%) of the non-O157 STEC isolates, including O111, 40 (50%); O103, 14 (18%); and O26, 10 (13%). In 2003, FoodNet

Pathogen	California	Colorado	Connecticu	t Georgia	Maryland	Minnesota	New Mexico	New York	Oregon	Tennessee	Overall	National health objective for 2010*
Bacteria												
Campylobacter <sup>†</sup>	28.6	19.6	16.7	6.6	5.3	17.7	18.9	11.4	18.0	7.1	12.9	12.3
Escherichia												
<i>coli</i> 0157†	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.3	0.4	2.2	0.5	1.3	1.7	0.8	0.9	1.0
Listeria <sup>§</sup>	4.7	3.6	5.2	1.7	3.3	1.0	1.1	3.9	1.4	2.7	2.7	2.5
Salmonella <sup>†</sup>	14.8	12.9	13.3	21.9	14.3	12.7	14.9	10.5	10.4	13.0	14.7	6.8
Shigella <sup>†</sup>	7.0	3.8	2.0	7.4	2.6	1.3	7.2	5.0	2.2	9.5	5.1	NA¶
Vibrio§	8.1	4.4	2.9	2.8	5.1	0.6	1.6	0.2	2.5	1.5	2.8	NA
Yersinia <sup>§</sup>	7.8	2.8	5.5	4.7	1.5	4.3	0.5	2.3	4.2	4.3	3.9	NA
Parasites												
Cryptosporidiun	<i>າ</i> <sup>§</sup> 6.1	9.5	8.3	19.7	4.4	27.7	6.9	22.5	8.1	8.9	13.2	NA
Cyclospora§	NR**	1.2	2.0	0.2	0.4	NR	NR	0.2	NR	NR	0.3	NA
Population in surveillance												
(millions) <sup>††</sup>	3.2	2.5	3.5	8.7	5.5	5.1	1.9	4.3	3.6	5.8	44.1	_

TABLE. Incidence of cases of bacterial and parasitic infection under surveillance in the Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network, by site, compared with national health objectives for 2010 — United States, 2004

\* Objectives are for year 2010 incidence for Campylobacter, E. coli O157:H7, and Salmonella and for year 2005 incidence for Listeria.

<sup>†</sup> Per 100,000 persons.

§ Per 1 million persons.

<sup>¶</sup> Not applicable.

\*\* None reported.

<sup>††</sup> Population for some sites is entire state, for other sites, selected counties. For some sites, the catchment area for *Cryptosporidium* and *Cyclospora* is larger than for bacterial pathogens.

collected data on 52 HUS cases in persons aged <15 years (rate: 0.6 per 100,000 persons aged <15 years); 36 (69%) of the 52 HUS cases occurred in children aged <5 years (rate: 1.3 per 100,000 children aged <5 years).

In 2004, FoodNet cases were part of 239 nationally reported foodborne disease outbreaks (defined as two or more illnesses from a common source); 138 (58%) of these outbreaks were associated with restaurants. An etiology was reported in 152 (64%) outbreaks. The most common etiologies were norovirus (57%) and *Salmonella* (18%). Cases associated with outbreaks influenced the incidence of laboratory-diagnosed infections. For example, the incidence of *S*. Javiana cases increased substantially in 2004, in part because of a multistate outbreak associated with Roma tomatoes (*5*) that included 42 laboratory-diagnosed cases in Maryland (CDC, unpublished data, 2005).

# Comparison of 2004 Data with 1996–1998

To account for the increase in the number of FoodNet sites and populations under surveillance since 1996 and for variation in the incidence of infections among sites, a main-effects, log-linear Poisson regression model (negative binomial) was used to estimate statistically significant changes in the incidence of pathogens (2). To create a baseline period, an average annual incidence for the first 3 years (2 years for *Cryptosporidium*) of FoodNet surveillance, 1996–1998, was calculated. Next, the estimated change in incidence (relative rate) between the baseline period and 2004 was calculated, along with a 95% confidence interval (CI). The 3-year baseline, which differs from the 1996 baseline used in previous reports, resulted in more stable and precise relative rate estimates.

FIGURE 1. Relative rates compared with 1996–1998 baseline period of laboratory-diagnosed cases of infection with *Campylobacter, Escherichia coli* O157, *Listeria, Salmonella,* and *Vibrio,* by year — Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network, United States, 1996–2004



Comparing 1996–1998 with 2004, the estimated incidence of several infections declined significantly, as illustrated by the relative rates (Figure 1). The estimated incidence of infection with *Campylobacter* decreased 31% (95% CI = 25%– 36%), *Cryptosporidium* decreased 40% (CI = 26%–52%), STEC O157 decreased 42% (CI = 28%–54%), *Listeria* decreased 40% (CI = 25%–52%), *Yersinia* decreased 45% (CI = 32%–55%), and overall *Salmonella* infections decreased 8% (CI = 1%–15%). The estimated incidence of *Shigella* infections did not change significantly in 2004 compared with the baseline period. Overall *Vibrio* infections increased 47% (CI = 7%–102%) (Figure 1); this increase was less than that reported previously because of the increased stability of the baseline rate estimate.

Although *Salmonella* incidence decreased overall, of the five most common *Salmonella* serotypes, only the incidence of *S*. Typhimurium decreased significantly (41% [CI = 34%– 48%]), as illustrated by the relative rates comparing 2004 with the 1996–1998 baseline period (Figure 2). Estimated incidence of *S*. Enteritidis and *S*. Heidelberg did not change significantly; incidence of *S*. Newport and *S*. Javiana increased 41% (CI = 5%–89%) and 167% (CI = 75%–306%), respectively.

**Reported by:** D Vugia, MD, California Dept of Health Svcs. A Cronquist, MPH, Colorado Dept of Public Health and Environment. J Hadler, MD, Connecticut Dept of Public Health. M Tobin-D'Angelo, MD, Div of Public Health, Georgia Dept of Human Resources. D Blythe, MD, Maryland Dept of Health and Mental Hygiene. K Smith, DVM, Minnesota Dept of Health. K Thornton, MD, Institute for Public Health, Univ of New Mexico Health Sciences Center, Albuquerque. D Morse, MD, New York State Dept of Health. P Cieslak, MD, Oregon Dept of



FIGURE 2. Relative rates compared with 1996–1998 baseline period of laboratory-diagnosed cases of infection with the five most commonly isolated *Salmonella* serotypes, by year — Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network, United States, 1996–2004 Human Svcs. T Jones, MD, Tennessee Dept of Health. R Varghese, MD, Office of Public Health Science, Food Safety and Inspection Svc, US Dept of Agriculture. J Guzewich, MPH, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Food and Drug Admin. F Angulo, DVM, P Griffin, MD, R Tauxe, MD, Div of Bacterial and Mycotic Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases; J Dunn, DVM, EIS Officer, CDC.

**Editorial Note:** During 1996–2004, substantial declines occurred in the estimated incidence of infections with *Campylobacter*, *Cryptosporidium*, STEC O157, *Listeria*, *S*. Typhimurium, and *Yersinia*. The 2004 incidence of STEC O157 infections declined below the 2010 national target of 1.0 case per 100,000 persons in FoodNet overall and in seven of the 10 surveillance sites. In addition, the decline in *Campylobacter* incidence represents progress toward the national health objective of 12.3 cases per 100,000 persons (*3*); the renewed decline in *Listeria* incidence, to 2.7 cases per 1 million population in 2004, suggests that the revised national objective to reduce foodborne listeriosis to 2.5 cases per 1 million population by 2005 might be achievable with continued efforts (*4*).

The declines described in this report have occurred concurrently with several important food safety initiatives and education efforts (1). The substantial decline of STEC O157 infections first noted in 2003 and sustained in 2004 is consistent with declines in STEC O157 contamination of ground beef reported by the U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) for 2003 (6) and 2004 (http://www.fsis.usda.gov/news\_&\_events/NR\_022805\_01/ index.asp). Multiple interventions might have contributed to this decline, including industry response to the FSIS 2002 notice to manufacturers to reassess control strategies for STEC O157 in the production of ground beef and enhanced strategies for reduction of pathogens in live cattle and during slaughter (6). The overall decline in Campylobacter incidence from the baseline period to 2004, the majority of which occurred before 2001, might reflect efforts to reduce contamination of poultry and educate consumers about safe food-handling practices. Although the incidence of Listeria infections decreased from the period 1996-1998 through 2004, the incidence in 2004 was comparable to 2002, after an increase in 2003 (Figure 1); efforts must continue to prevent foodborne listeriosis.

The decline in *Salmonella* incidence was modest compared with those of other foodborne bacterial pathogens. Only one of the five most common *Salmonella* serotypes, *S.* Typhimurium, declined significantly. To achieve the national health objective of reducing the number of cases to 6.8 per 100,000 persons, greater efforts are needed to understand the complex epidemiology of *Salmonella* and to identify effective pathogen-reduction strategies. The multistate tomato-associated *S.* Javiana outbreak that occurred in the summer of 2004 emphasizes the need to better understand *Salmonella* reservoirs and contamination of produce during production and harvest (5). The Food and Drug Administration recently developed a plan to decrease foodborne illness associated with fresh produce (7). Moreover, multidrug resistance is an emerging problem among *Salmonella* serotypes, particularly *S.* Newport; large multistate outbreaks associated with ground beef are cause for increased concern (8).

The findings in this report are subject to at least five limitations. First, FoodNet relies on laboratory diagnoses, and many foodborne illnesses are not laboratory diagnosed. For example, infections such as norovirus are not identified routinely in clinical laboratories. Second, protocols for isolation of enteric pathogens (e.g., non-O157 STEC) in clinical laboratories vary and are not implemented uniformly within FoodNet sites (9). Third, reported illnesses might have been acquired through nonfoodborne sources; reported incidence rates do not represent foodborne sources exclusively. Fourth, although the FoodNet population is similar to the U.S. population (2), the findings might not be generalizable to the entire population of the United States. Finally, year-to-year changes in incidence might reflect either annual variations or sustained trends.

Enhanced efforts are needed across the farm-to-table continuum to understand and control pathogens in animals and plants, to reduce or prevent contamination during processing, and to educate consumers about risks and prevention measures. Such efforts can be particularly focused when an animal reservoir species and transmission route for a pathogen are known. For example, many Vibrio infections are related to consumption of raw molluscan shellfish harvested from waters where Vibrio are present; ultra-high hydrostatic pressure treatment of oysters will likely prevent Vibrio infections. Other effective prevention measures, such as pasteurization of in-shell eggs and irradiation of ground meat and raw poultry, should be used more widely, particularly for foods eaten by persons at high risk. Consumers should follow safe food-handling recommendations and not consume raw or undercooked shellfish, eggs, ground beef, or poultry. In addition, efforts are needed to prevent transmission by nonfoodborne routes (e.g., via water, person-to-person, and exposure to animals or their environments). Guidelines to prevent disease associated with direct contact with animals or their environments in public settings (e.g., fairs and petting zoos) have recently been published (10).

#### References

- 1. Allos BM, Moore MR, Griffin PM, Tauxe RV. Surveillance for sporadic foodborne disease in the 21st century: the FoodNet perspective. Clin Infect Dis 2004;38(Suppl 3):S115–20.
- Hardnett FP, Hoekstra RM, Kennedy M, Charles L, Angulo FJ; Emerging Infections Program FoodNet Working Group. Epidemiologic issues in study design and data analysis related to FoodNet activities. Clin Infect Dis 2004;38(Suppl 3):S121–6.
- 3. US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy people 2010 (conference ed, in 2 vols). Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services; 2000.
- US Department of Agriculture, Food Safety and Inspection Service. 9 CFR Part 430. Control of *Listeria monocytogenes* in ready-to-eat meat and poultry products; final rule. Federal Register 2003;68:34,208–54.
- CDC. Outbreaks of *Salmonella* infections associated with eating Roma tomatoes—United States and Canada, 2004. MMWR 2005;54:325–8.
- Naugle AL, Holt KG, Levine P, Eckel R. 2005. Food Safety and Inspection Service regulatory testing program for *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in raw ground beef. J Food Prot 2005;68:462–8.
- Food and Drug Administration. Produce safety from production to consumption: 2004 action plan to minimize foodborne illness associated with fresh produce consumption. Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration; 2004. Available at http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~dms/prodpla2.html.
- CDC. Outbreak of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* Newport—United States, January–April 2002. MMWR 2002;51:545–8.
- Voetsch AC, Angulo FJ, Rabatsky-Ehr T, et al. 2004. Laboratory practices for stool-specimen culture for bacterial pathogens, including *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, in the FoodNet sites, 1995–2000. Clin Infect Dis 2004;38(Suppl 3):S190–7.
- CDC. Compendium of measures to prevent disease associated with animals in public settings, 2005: National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians, Inc. (NASPHV). MMWR 2005;54(No. RR-4).

# Acute Public Health Consequences of Methamphetamine Laboratories — 16 States, January 2000–June 2004

Methamphetamine (meth), a powerfully addictive stimulant, can be easily produced in illicit, makeshift laboratories and generally is considered the fastest-growing illicit drug in the United States (1). Aside from the inherent physical and physiological dangers of the drug itself, persons in and around meth laboratories can be acutely exposed to hazardous substances used in meth production. Exposure to these substances can occur from volatile air emissions, spills, fires, and explosions. This report describes examples of meth-associated events, summarizes the events reported to the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), and suggests injury prevention recommendations, such as how to recognize and properly respond to meth laboratories.

ATSDR maintains the Hazardous Substances Emergency Events Surveillance (HSEES) system to collect and analyze data about the public health consequences (e.g., morbidity, mortality, and evacuations) of acute hazardous substance– release events\*. The data in this report are based on events reported to HSEES from 16 state health departments<sup>†</sup> during January 1, 2000–June 30, 2004<sup>§</sup>.

# **Case Reports**

**Minnesota.** In June 2004, two men, aged 31 and 41 years, were manufacturing meth in a camper when a flash fire and explosion occurred. Chemicals being used included acetone, propane, solvent not otherwise specified (NOS), and meth chemicals NOS. Both men received thermal burns and transported themselves to the hospital without assistance from emergency medical services. The older man was treated at the hospital and admitted with burns on his hands, arms, and knees. The man aged 31 years received burns on 80% of his body and died at the hospital. The fire had burned out by the time authorities responded.

**New York.** In January 2003, a police officer noted an odor of ammonia on a stranded motorist he was aiding. The motorist, aged 35 years, complained of respiratory and eye irritation but was not treated. Federal drug agents were notified and searched the homes of the motorist and his neighbor. Substances used to make meth, including ammonia, sulfuric acid, lithium, sodium hydroxide, and ether, were found at the two homes. Access was restricted to the homes while cleanup and environmental sampling were conducted.

**Iowa.** In November 2002, three occupants (aged 18, 19, and 20 years) of an apartment were making meth in a bathroom using ether, muriatic acid, and other meth chemicals NOS. A flash fire occurred; two men received thermal burns, and a woman received nonchemical-related trauma injuries when she jumped through a window. Both men were admitted to a hospital; the woman was treated at a hospital but not admitted. Twenty-four apartment building residents were evacuated for 3 hours while police, firefighters, and emergency medical technicians responded and initiated clean-up.

<sup>\*</sup> An HSEES event is the release or threatened release of a hazardous substance into the environment in an amount that requires (or would have required) removal, cleanup, or neutralization according to federal, state, or local law (2). A hazardous substance is one that can reasonably be expected to cause an adverse health effect.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Alabama, Colorado, Iowa, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Oregon, Rhode Island, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>An earlier HSEES analysis examined data for 1996–1999 because 1996 was the first year in which several meth events appeared in the system (3). Data as of June 30, 2004, were the most recent data available when the analysis was conducted; data for 2004 are still considered preliminary.

# **Summary of HSEES System Data**

Of the 40,349 events reported to the HSEES system during January 1, 2000–June 30, 2004, a total of 1,791 (4%) were associated with illicit meth production. Meth events were reported in 15 of the 16 HSEES states, with Washington (399 events [22%]) and Missouri (351 [20%]) reporting the most events (Figure). The number of meth events increased during the analysis period, from 184 during all of 2000 to 320 during January–June 2004. Of the known meth-event locations (1,544 [86%]), releases occurred most frequently in private households (842 [55%]) and agricultural settings (e.g., farms and farm supply stores) (117 [8%]). The most common substances associated with meth events were ammonia (16%), meth chemicals NOS (13%), and hydrochloric acid (8%). Of the 1,791 meth events, 186 (10%) involved fires or explosions.

Meth events consistently had a higher percentage of persons with injuries (i.e., victims) than did nonmeth events (Table). Of the 1,791 meth events, 558 (31%) resulted in a total of 947 injured persons. Persons most frequently injured were police officers (531 [56%]) and members of the general public (314 [33%]). Median age of victims was 32 years (range: <1–72 years). The 947 victims had a total of 1,371 reported injuries, most frequently respiratory irritation (531 [39%]), headache (348 [26%]), eye irritation (109 [8%]), and burns (104 [8%]). A total of 274 (29%) victims were treated at hospitals but not admitted, 68 (7%) were treated at hospitals and admitted, and 62 (7%) were treated at the scene; nine (1%) died.

A total of 255 (13%) meth events involved ordered evacuations. The number of evacuees was known for 203 (80%) of these events. A total of 2,732 persons were known to have evacuated, ranging from one to 300 persons per event (median: five persons). Median length of evacuation was 3 hours (range: FIGURE. Number of methamphetamine-associated events, by state\* — Hazardous Substances Emergency Events Surveillance, 16 states,<sup>†</sup> January 2000–June 2004



\* Alabama, Colorado, Iowa, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Oregon, Rhode Island, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin. No methamphetamine-associated events were documented in New Jersey.
<sup>†</sup> Data for 2004 are preliminary.

<1–96 hours). Decontamination of potentially exposed persons was necessary in 288 (16%) events. A total of 1,154 persons underwent decontamination; 698 (60%) were emergency responders, and 396 (34%) were members of the general public.

**Reported by:** D Cooper, Iowa Dept of Public Health. N Rice, Minnesota Dept of Health. R Wilburn, MPH, New York State Dept of Health. DK Horton, MSPH, S Rossiter, MPH, Div of Health Studies, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

**Editorial Note:** This report illustrates the dangers associated with illicit meth laboratories. Substances used in these laboratories are corrosive, explosive, flammable, and toxic and can cause fires, explosions, and other uncontrolled reactions (4, 5).

TABLE. Comparison of methamphetamine- and nonmethamphetamine-associated events with victims, by year — Hazardous Substances Emergency Events Surveillance, 16 states,\* January 2000–June 2004

			Methampheta	mine events		Nonmethamphetamine events				
Year	No. of participating states	No. of events	% of total	No. of events with victims	% of events with victims <sup>†</sup>	No. of events	% of total	No. of events with victims	% of events with victims <sup>†</sup>	
2000	15	184	10.3	105	57.1	7,364	19.1	647	8.8	
2001	16	293	16.4	107	36.5	8,685	22.5	603	6.9	
2002	15	451	25.2	133	29.5	8,563	22.2	608	7.1	
2003	15	543	30.3	128	23.6	8,562	22.2	598	7.0	
2004 <sup>§</sup>	15	320	17.9	85	26.6	5,384	14.0	364	6.8	
Total		1,791	100.0 <sup>¶</sup>	558	31.2	38,558	100.0 <sup>¶</sup>	2,818	7.3	

\* Alabama, Colorado, Iowa, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Oregon, Rhode Island, Texas, Utah, Washington, \_ and Wisconsin.

Number of events with victims divided by the number of events.

S Data for 2004 are preliminary. Rhode Island ceased data collection in 2002, and Mississippi and Alabama ceased data collection in mid-2004.

<sup>1</sup>Percentages might not total 100.0% because of rounding.

An estimated 20%–30% of known meth laboratories are discovered because of fires and explosions (6). These laboratories are located in various environments, including private residences, motel rooms, rental storage facilities, campgrounds, and motor vehicles (4,7). Historical data from the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) demonstrate a substantial increase in the numbers of meth laboratories seized by law enforcement officials, from 263 in 1994 to 1,815 in 2000, representing a 590% increase (8). Relative ease of production, short production time, and profit potential are possible reasons contributing to the likely increase in the numbers of laboratories discovered.

Similar to DEA data, the overall numbers of meth events in the HSEES system appear to be increasing. Although this trend might be attributed to an actual increase in the number of laboratories, the addition of a new state during the analysis period and better reporting might have also contributed to an increase in meth events. Meth events accounted for a limited number of HSEES events; however, they resulted in a greater overall percentage of victims than nonmeth events (31% and 7%, respectively). The majority of victims were emergency responders who usually arrive first on the scene to secure the area or provide rapid onsite emergency care to victims. A previous analysis suggests that many responders might not have sufficient information to alert them that they are responding to a meth event (3). In addition, many responders do not wear personal protective equipment appropriate for entering meth laboratories. Another group vulnerable to meth laboratory toxicity is children; an estimated 20% of meth laboratories have children present (1). A recent HSEES analysis indicated that at least eight known meth events involved 13 children who were injured after being exposed to lethal substances such as anhydrous ammonia and acid (9).

The findings in this report are subject to at least two limitations. First, reporting of any event to HSEES is not mandatory; therefore, participating state health departments might not be informed about every event. In addition, because meth laboratories are illicit, sources (e.g., primarily law enforcement officials) might hesitate to report events that could jeopardize criminal investigations. Second, HSEES is not conducted in all states; therefore, HSEES data might not be representative of populations in other areas.

To prevent chemical exposures and injuries, emergency responders and the public should be educated to recognize meth laboratories (10) (Box 1), particularly in areas where they are prevalent. In addition, certain interventions can reduce the risk for injury among emergency responders at meth events (3) (Box 2).

#### BOX 1. Indicators of a methamphetamine laboratory

- Unusual chemical odors (e.g., ether, ammonia [smells similar to cat urine], and acetone);
- Excessive amounts of trash, particularly chemical containers, coffee filters, duct tape rolls, or pieces of red-stained cloth;
- Curtains always drawn or windows blackened or covered with aluminum foil on residences, garages, sheds, or other structures;
- Evidence of chemical waste or dumping;
- Frequent visitors, particularly at unusual times;
- Extensive security measures or attempts to ensure privacy (e.g., "no trespassing" or "beware of dog" signs, fences, and large trees or shrubs); and
- Secretive or unfriendly occupants.

# BOX 2. Interventions for reducing the risk for injury among emergency responders to methamphetamine events

- Increase awareness of the risks associated with illicit drug laboratories;
- Encourage training in situations involving hazardous material;
- Identify the nature of the event before entering the contaminated area;
- Wear appropriate personal protective equipment; and
- Follow a proper decontamination process after exposure to hazardous substances.

HSEES data have been used by ATSDR and participating states for conducting hazardous substances injury-prevention outreach activities (e.g., presentations, fact sheets, and articles) for emergency responders, employers, and the general public. Additional information on HSEES is available at http:// www.atsdr.cdc.gov/HS/HSEES.

#### Acknowledgments

The findings in this report are based, in part, on contributions by T Arant, Alabama Dept of Public Health. C Kelley, Colorado Dept of Health. D Dugas, MPH, Louisiana Dept of Health and Hospitals. R Mozingo, Mississippi State Dept of Health. C Henry, Missouri Dept of Health and Senior Svcs. J Savrin, New Jersey Dept of Health and Senior Svcs. S Giles, North Carolina Dept of Health and Human Svcs. T Tsongas, PhD, Oregon Public Health Svcs. L Phillips, Rhode Island Dept of Health. R Harris, Texas Dept of Health. W Ball, PhD, Utah Dept of Health. W Clifford, Washington Dept of Health. J Drew, Wisconsin Dept of Health and Family Svcs.

#### References

- 1. Drug Enforcement Administration. Fast facts about meth. Available at http://www.dea.gov/pubs/pressrel/methfact03.html.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. Hazardous Substances Emergency Events Surveillance system biennial report, 1999– 2000. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry; 2001. Available at http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/HS/HSEES.
- CDC. Public health consequences among first responders to emergency events associated with illicit methamphetamine laboratories selected states, 1996–1999. MMWR 2000;49:1021–4.
- Irvin GD, Chin L. Environmental impact and adverse health effects of the clandestine manufacture of methamphetamine. NIDA Res Monogr 1991;115:33–44.
- Burgess J, Barnhardt S, Checkoway H. Investigating clandestine drug laboratories: adverse medical effects in law enforcement personnel. Am J Ind Med 1996;30:488–94.
- 6. Skeers VM. Illegal methamphetamine drug laboratories: a new challenge for environmental health professionals. J Environ Health 1992;55:6–10.
- Washington State Office of Environmental Health and Safety. Is there
  a meth lab in my neighborhood? Available at http://www.doh.wa.gov/
  ehp/ts/pubs.htm.
- Drug Enforcement Administration. Drug trafficking in the United States. Available at http://www.dea.gov/pubs/intel/01020/ index.html#m3.
- Horton DK, Berkowitz Z, Kaye WE. The acute health consequences to children exposed to hazardous substances used in illicit methamphetamine production, 1996–2001. J Child Health 2003;1:99–108.
- Drug Enforcement Administration. Methamphetamine laboratory identification and hazards fast facts. Available at http://www.usdoj.gov/ ndic/pubs7/7341/index.htm.

# Anhydrous Ammonia Thefts and Releases Associated with Illicit Methamphetamine Production — 16 States, January 2000–June 2004

Anhydrous ammonia, a colorless gas with a pungent, suffocating fumes, is used primarily as an agricultural fertilizer and industrial refrigerant (1). Anhydrous ammonia is also a key ingredient for illicit methamphetamine (meth) production in makeshift laboratories. Exposure to anhydrous ammonia can be immediately dangerous to life or health (1,2). Anhydrous ammonia generally is not available for sale to the public; states require a license for purchase. Because of this, many illicit meth producers (i.e., "cookers") resort to stealing anhydrous ammonia. If released into the environment, anhydrous ammonia can cause acute injuries to emergency responders, the public, and the cookers themselves. In addition, when handled improperly, anhydrous ammonia can be explosive and deadly. This report describes examples of anhydrous ammonia thefts associated with illicit meth production, summarizes ammonia theft events reported to the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), and suggests injury prevention recommendations, such as installing valve locks or fencing on unattended tanks and donning appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE) when responding to releases.

ATSDR maintains the Hazardous Substances Emergency Events Surveillance (HSEES) system to collect and analyze data about the public health consequences (i.e., morbidity, mortality, and evacuations) of hazardous substance–release events\*. The information in this report is based on events reported to HSEES from 16 state health departments<sup>†</sup> during January 1, 2000–June 30, 2004<sup>§</sup>.

#### **Case Reports**

**Washington.** In April 2004, at approximately 5:50 a.m., nearly 1,500 pounds of anhydrous ammonia were released during an attempted theft at a cold-storage facility. The release occurred as perpetrators broke off the valve of a 6,100-gallon tank. The suspected perpetrator, who sustained chemical burns on his torso, was taken to an emergency department. A responding firefighter sustained respiratory irritation because of a breach in his Level A hazardous materials (HazMat)<sup>¶</sup> suit. Several roads were closed, businesses were evacuated, and a train was delayed while company employees, a HazMat team, and local police and fire departments responded. Approximately 12 persons were evacuated for 8 hours, and nearby residents were decontaminated on the scene after the event.

**Missouri.** In October 2003, at approximately 7:45 p.m., anhydrous ammonia was released during an attempted theft at an agricultural facility. A firefighter and a police officer responding to the release both experienced respiratory irritation. The police officer was not wearing PPE at the time of injury; the firefighter became symptomatic before donning his firefighter turn-out gear\*\* with respiratory protection. The police officer was transported to a hospital for treatment but not admitted; the firefighter was administered oxygen on the

<sup>\*</sup> An HSEES event is the release or threatened release of a hazardous substance into the environment in an amount that requires (or would have required) removal, cleanup, or neutralization according to federal, state, or local law (3). A hazardous substance is one that can reasonably be expected to cause an adverse health effect.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Alabama, Colorado, Iowa, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Oregon, Rhode Island, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup> An earlier HSEES analysis examined data for 1996–1999 because 1996 was the first year several meth events began appearing in the system (4). Data as of June 30, 2004, were the most recent data available when the analysis was conducted; data for 2004 are still considered preliminary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Includes self-contained breathing apparatus plus totally encapsulating chemical-resistant clothing (i.e., permeation resistant) (5).

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes a helmet and face piece, coat, pants, boots, gloves, hood, and a selfcontained breathing apparatus (5).

scene. The fire department declared the scene safe for reentry 3 hours after the event.

**Alabama.** In February 2002, at approximately 3:00 a.m., nearly 150 gallons of anhydrous ammonia were released during an attempted theft at a food-processing plant. The perpetrator tried unsuccessfully to siphon the ammonia into an oxygen cylinder. No victims or injuries were reported. The local fire department responded and declared the scene safe for reentry 4 hours after the event.

# **Summary of Surveillance Data**

Of the 40,349 events reported to the HSEES system during January 1, 2000–June 30, 2004, a total of 1,791 (4%) were associated with illicit meth production. Of the 1,791 meth events, at least 164 (9%) were known to have been caused by anhydrous ammonia theft with the intention of meth production (6). These ammonia theft events were reported in 10 of the 16 HSEES states, with Iowa (64 [39%]) and Missouri (57 [35%]) reporting the most events. The most common locations of ammonia theft events were commercial (88 [52%]) and agricultural areas (51 [31%]). Nearly half (74 [45%]) of these events occurred during May–August. Sundays had the highest frequency of events (31 [19%]). Of the 157 (96%) events for which time of occurrence was known, more events occurred during midnight through 5:59 a.m. (59 [38%]) than during any other time.

Of the 164 ammonia theft events, 36 (22%) resulted in a total of 85 injured persons. Persons most frequently injured were members of the general public (38 [45%]) and police officers (27 [32%]). The 85 persons injured (victims) had 110 reported injuries, most frequently respiratory irritation (68 [62%]) and eye irritation (19 [17%]). Most (48 [56%]) victims were treated at a hospital but not admitted, and 18 (21%) were treated on the scene. No deaths occurred.

A total of 27 (16%) of the 164 ammonia theft events involved ordered evacuations, of which 17 had a known number of evacuees. A total of 2,146 persons were known to have evacuated, ranging from two to 300 persons per event (median: 20 persons). The median duration of these evacuations was 2.8 hours (range: <1–8 hours). Decontamination of potentially exposed persons was necessary in 13 events. A total of 57 persons underwent decontamination; 48 (84%) were emergency responders, and nine (16%) were employees (e.g., farmers or agricultural workers).

**Reported by:** T Arant, Alabama Dept of Public Health. C Henry, Missouri Dept of Health and Senior Svcs. W Clifford, Washington Dept of Health. DK Horton, MSPH, S Rossiter, MPH, Div of Health Studies, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. **Editorial Note:** Meth, a powerfully addictive stimulant, is produced in illicit, makeshift laboratories (7). Anhydrous ammonia is a key ingredient used in illicit meth production. Although most anhydrous ammonia is used for legitimate purposes, a small percentage is diverted to meth manufacturing. Those involved in illicit production of meth often resort to stealing anhydrous ammonia from areas where it is stored and used (e.g., farms, industrial refrigeration systems, and railroad tanker cars) (8). These thefts often lead to releases when valves are left open as ammonia is being siphoned; ammonia is transferred inappropriately into makeshift containers, such as propane tanks used on barbeque grills; plugs are removed from ammonia lines at refrigeration facilities; or the wrong hoses or fittings are attached to storage containers (8).

As liquid anhydrous ammonia is released into ambient air, it expands substantially, forming large vapor clouds that behave as a dense gas. This dense gas can travel along the ground instead of immediately rising into the air and dispersing, thereby increasing the potential for exposure to humans (8). Symptoms of anhydrous ammonia exposure include eye, nose, and throat irritation; dyspnea; wheezing; chest pain; pulmonary edema; pink frothy sputum; skin burns; vesiculation; and frostbite. Exposure can be fatal at high concentrations (2).

Farmers and merchants often are unaware of an anhydrous ammonia theft unless a large-scale release occurs (9). Nearly half of these HSEES events occurred during agricultural season. In addition, 38% occurred during early morning hours, and 19% occurred on Sundays, when commercial establishments usually are closed. Furthermore, the amount of anhydrous ammonia stolen in each event was small compared with the total volume of the tank.

The findings in this report are subject to at least two limitations. First, reporting of any event to HSEES is not mandatory; therefore, participating state health departments might not be informed about every event. In addition, because meth laboratories are illicit, sources (primarily law enforcement officials) might hesitate to report events that could jeopardize criminal investigations. Second, HSEES is not conducted in all states; therefore, HSEES data might not represent populations in other areas.

Several additives are being developed and used to help curb anhydrous ammonia thefts and releases. For example, researchers are studying an additive that could be mixed into the ammonia, rendering it useless for meth production (Iowa State University, unpublished data, 2005). In addition, Glotell<sup>™</sup> (Royster Clark, Inc.; Norfolk, Virginia), a new, commercially available additive is being used as a marking agent, leak detector, and theft deterrent; this additive causes objects that contact the released anhydrous ammonia to turn fluorescent pink, thus helping farmers to easily detect which tanks have been subject to ammonia leaks or thefts. In addition, this additive reportedly turns meth pink and decreases its potency, causing the meth cooker more difficulty in selling the final product. Several additional measures can help farms and industries deter anhydrous ammonia theft and prevent accidental releases (8) (Box).

Emergency responders to an anhydrous ammonia release should select the proper PPE before entering a release zone. Positive-pressure, self-contained breathing apparatus is recommended in response situations that involve exposure to potentially unsafe levels of ammonia (1). In addition, chemical-protective clothing is recommended because ammonia can cause skin irritation and burns (1).

#### Acknowledgments

The findings in this report are based, in part, on contributions by C Kelley, Colorado Dept of Health. D Cooper, Iowa Dept of Public Health. D Dugas, MPH, Louisiana Dept of Health and Hospitals. N Rice, Minnesota Dept of Health. R Mozingo, Mississippi State Dept of Health. J Savrin, New Jersey Dept of Health and Senior Svcs. R Wilburn, MPH, New York State Dept of Health. S Giles, North Carolina Dept of Health and Human Svcs. T Tsongas, PhD, Oregon Public Health Svcs. L Phillips, Rhode Island Dept of Health. R Harris, Texas Dept of Health. W Ball, PhD, Utah Dept of Health. J Drew, Wisconsin Dept of Health and Family Svcs.

# BOX. Measures to help deter anhydrous ammonia theft and prevent accidental releases

- Educate employees about the potential for theft;
- Store tanks in well-lit areas;
- Provide detailed information about inventory to identify missing chemicals quickly;
- Visually inspect tanks each morning, especially after weekends or other periods when the facility is not occupied;
- Create a valve-protection plan for critical valves that could cause substantial releases if left open;
- Install valve locks or fencing, especially for unattended tanks;
- Report thefts, signs of tampering, leaks, or any unusual activity to local law enforcement officials;
- Install other theft-deterrent measures (e.g., motiondetector lights and alarms, security patrols, and/or video surveillance); and
- Consider theft-deterrent additives for ammonia.

#### References

- 1. ATSDR. ToxFAQs<sup>™</sup> for ammonia. Available at http:// www.atsdr.cdc.gov/tfacts126.html.
- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. NIOSH pocket guide to chemical hazards. Cincinnati, OH: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health; 2004. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/npg/npg.html.
- ATSDR. Hazardous Substances Emergency Events Surveillance System report, 2001. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, ATSDR; 2004. Available at http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/HS/HSEES.
- CDC. Public health consequences among first responders to emergency events associated with illicit methamphetamine laboratories—selected states, 1996–1999. MMWR 2000;49:1021–4.
- 5. US Department of Transportation. 2004 North American emergency response guidebook: a guidebook for first responders during the initial phase of a dangerous goods/hazardous materials incident. Washington, DC: US Department of Transportation, Transport Canada, Secretariat of Communications and Transportation of Mexico; 2004. Available at http://hazmat.dot.gov/gydebook.htm.
- CDC. Acute public health consequences of methamphetamine laboratories—16 states, January 2000–June 2004. MMWR 2005;14:356–9.
- Drug Enforcement Administration. Fast facts about meth. Available at http://www.dea.gov/pubs/pressrel/methfact03.html.
- US Environmental Protection Agency. Anhydrous ammonia theft chemical safety alert. Washington, DC: US Environmental Protection Agency; 2000. Available at http://yosemite.epa.gov/oswer/ceppoweb.nsf/ vwResourcesByFilename/csalert.pdf.
- King B. Reducing the risk of anhydrous ammonia theft. Cornell Cooperative Extension. Available at http://www.cce.cornell.edu/monroe/ag/ Preventing%20AA%20theft%202.htm.

# Notice to Readers

# National Infant Immunization Week — April 24–30, 2005

National Infant Immunization Week (NIIW) is April 24– 30, 2005. The theme this year is "Vaccination: an Act of Love. Love Them. Protect Them. Immunize Them." This annual event emphasizes the importance of timely infant and childhood vaccination, one of the most effective ways to protect infants and children from potentially serious diseases.

Because of increased emphasis on vaccination, the majority of vaccine-preventable diseases have decreased in incidence by approximately 99% from peak prevaccine levels in the United States (1). In 2004, a total of 37 cases of measles, no cases of diphtheria, and no cases of wild poliovirus were reported in the United States (2). Approximately 11,000 infants are born each day in the United States; according to the recommended childhood immunization schedule, they require approximately 23 doses of vaccine before age 2 years to protect them from 12 vaccine-preventable diseases (3). Although vaccination coverage levels are high for children of preschool age, an estimated 27.5% of children aged 19–35 months were missing 1 or more recommended vaccine doses in 2003 (4).

During NIIW, states and hundreds of communities throughout the United States will sponsor activities highlighting the need to achieve and maintain high childhood vaccination coverage rates. Special kick-off events, including provider education activities, media events, and immunization clinics are planned in Louisiana, New Mexico, and along the United States-Mexico border in collaboration with state and local health departments, the United States-Mexico Border Health Commission, and the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO). In addition, CDC and its partners will introduce a new public education campaign, including a 30-second public service announcement, posters, and print advertisements in English and Spanish. NIIW is being held in conjunction with Vaccination Week in the Americas, scheduled for April 23-30. That event, sponsored by PAHO, promotes childhood immunization and access to health services concurrently in all countries in the Western Hemisphere. Additional information about NIIW and childhood vaccination is available from CDC's National Immunization Program at http:// www.cdc.gov/nip. Information on Vaccination Week in the Americas is available at http://www.paho.org/english/dd/pin/ pr050211.htm.

#### References

- CDC. Achievements in public health, 1900–1999 impact of vaccines universally recommended for children—United States, 1990–1998. MMWR 1999;48:243–8.
- CDC. Table I. Summary of provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending January 1, 2005 (52nd week). MMWR 2005;53(52):1213.
- 3. CDC. Recommended childhood and adolescent immunization schedule—United States, 2005. MMWR 2005;53(51):Q1–Q3.
- CDC. National, state, and urban area vaccination coverage among children aged 19–35 months—United States, 2003. MMWR 2004;53:658–61.





#### FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, United States, comparison of provisional 4-week totals April 9, 2005, with historical data

\* No rubella cases were reported for the current 4-week period yielding a ratio for week 14 of zero (0). † Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

Beyond historical limits

<b>TABLE I. Summar</b>	v of	provisional cas	ses of selecte	ed notifiable diseas	es, United States	, cumulative	, week ending	April 9	, 2005	(14th Week)	k
	,				,	,	,		.,	· · · · · · · · · · · · /	

Disease	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Disease	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004
Anthrax	_	_	Hemolytic uremic syndrome, postdiarrheal <sup>†</sup>	26	15
Botulism:			HIV infection, pediatric <sup>†</sup>	104	72
foodborne	4	2	Influenza-associated pediatric mortality <sup>†**</sup>	28	_
infant	10	22	Measles	7††	13 <sup>§§</sup>
other (wound & unspecified)	5	1	Mumps	62	53
Brucellosis	15	27	Plague	l — '	_
Chancroid	7	11	Poliomyelitis, paralytic	l — '	_
Cholera	_	2	Psittacosis <sup>†</sup>	2	2
Cyclosporiasis <sup>†</sup>	6	89	Q fever <sup>†</sup>	12	11
Diphtheria	-	_	Rabies, human	1	_
Domestic arboviral diseases			Rubella	4	7
(neuroinvasive & non-neuroinvasive):	-	_	Rubella, congenital syndrome	1	_
California serogroup <sup>†§</sup>	_	2	SARS <sup>†</sup> **	l — '	_
eastern equine <sup>†§</sup>	_	_	Smallpox <sup>†</sup>		_
Powassan <sup>†§</sup>	_	_	Staphylococcus aureus:		1
St. Louis <sup>†§</sup>	_	_	Vancomycin-intermediate (VISA) <sup>†</sup>	l — '	_
western equine <sup>†§</sup>	_	_	Vancomycin-resistant (VRSA) <sup>†</sup>		_
Ehrlichiosis:	-	_	Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome <sup>†</sup>	33	47
human granulocytic (HGE)†	19	14	Tetanus	2	2
human monocytic (HME) <sup>†</sup>	20	14	Toxic-shock syndrome	24	30
human, other and unspecified <sup>†</sup>	6	1	Trichinellosis <sup>11</sup>	6	_
Hansen disease <sup>†</sup>	9	24	Tularemia <sup>†</sup>	3	5
Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome <sup>†</sup>	3	3	Yellow fever	_	-

-: No reported cases.

Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

Not notifiable in all states. §

Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (ArboNet Surveillance).

<sup>1</sup> Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last update March 27, 2005.

Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases.

So of 13 cases reported, five were indigenous and eight were imported from another country.

<sup>¶¶</sup> Formerly Trichinosis.

	All	DS	Chla	mydia <sup>†</sup>	Coccidioi	domycosis	Cryptosp	oridiosis
Reporting area	Cum. 2005§	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004
UNITED STATES	10,042	8,762	218,724	249,022	1,058	1,452	400	685
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. <sup>¶</sup> Mass. R.I.	406 3 2 1 211 34	311 5 10 8 84 33	6,834 620 499 281 3,890 908	8,144 519 467 328 3,694 949	N 	N 	25 1 4 8 7 1	35 5 9 5 10 1
Conn.	155	171	636	2,187	N	N	4	5
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	1,995 188 1,137 357 313	1,292 132 381 386 393	25,699 5,421 7,752 2,720 9,806	30,770 5,659 10,070 4,987 10,054	N N	N N N	59 17 13 3 26	118 22 36 9 51
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	915 136 119 482 135 43	804 227 116 281 131 49	34,397 3,868 10,097 9,863 5,866 4,703	48,818 11,108 9,744 12,917 10,177 4,872	2 N  N	4 N 	62 29 4  12 17	172 42 23 25 37 45
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. <sup>11</sup>	227 69 18 99 5 5 5 2	218 45 9 100 11 	12,511 2,155 1,443 5,952 254 756 404	15,165 3,099 1,849 5,696 473 676 1,425	N N N	3 N 2 N -	56 15 12 21  2 	72 30 10 15 
Kans. S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. <sup>11</sup> W.Va. N.C. S.C. <sup>11</sup> Ga. Fla.	29 3,395 51 406 176 177 19 298 133 503 1,632	45 3,420 41 340 148 135 29 236 203 509 1,779	1,547 43,135 868 4,546 1,019 6,096 640 9,095 5,794 3,573 11,504	1,947 46,975 811 5,237 1,005 6,290 773 7,510 5,209 9,135 11,005	N   N     N N   N	N          N    N	6 95 5 1 10 4 12 29 32	9 135  2 15 2 27 5 44 33
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. <sup>1</sup> Ala. <sup>1</sup> Miss.	581 70 232 168 111	442 41 187 124 90	15,532 3,290 5,651 881 5,710	14,121 1,555 5,955 3,548 3,063	N N	3 N N 3	8 1 2 4 1	34 7 12 9 6
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex. <sup>1</sup>	1,021 69 170 72 710	1,290 44 279 36 931	29,753 2,337 4,838 2,829 19,749	31,087 2,144 6,884 2,772 19,287	  N	2 1 1 N N	12 2 6 4	24 7  7 10
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho <sup>¶</sup> Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev. <sup>¶</sup>	398 3 — 83 42 166 20 81	253 2 3 47 20 104 19 58	13,984 568 391 306 3,269 748 5,979 1,083 1,640	13,269 420 870 284 3,324 1,630 4,567 838 1,336	704 N - N 2 677 2 23	950 N  N 7 920 5 18	25 	29 2 2 15 1 5 1 1
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. <sup>1</sup> Calif. Alaska Hawaii	1,104 106 66 897 7 28	732 127 50 517 7 31	36,879 5,267 2,403 27,123 1,001 1,085	40,673 4,619 2,142 31,272 1,032 1,608	352 N 352 	490 N 490 —	58 5 6 47 —	66  59  1
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	1 259 7 U 2	142 2 U U	1,114 32 U	245 537 114 U U	N U	N U U	N U	N 

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 9, 2005, and April 10, 2004 (14th Week)\*

 N: Not notifiable.
 U: Unavailable.
 —: No reported cases.
 C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.

 \* Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).
 \* Chlamydia refers to genital infections caused by *C. trachomatis.* 

 § Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last update March 27, 2005.

 ¶ Contains data reported through National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

<u>,                                     </u>		Escher	<i>ichia coli</i> , Ente	rohemorrhagio	: (EHEC)					
			Shiga tox	n positive,	Shiga toxi	n positive,				
	015	7:H7	serogrou	o non-0157	not sero	grouped	Giardi	asis	Gono	rrhea
Reporting area	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004
UNITED STATES	242	234	31	46	43	32	3,632	4,203	73,262	87,525
NEW ENGLAND	16	10	7	12	8	2	341	363	1,259	1,896
Maine N H	1	2	1	_		_	32 13	32 16	42 35	75 33
Vt.	1			_	_	_	40	21	10	21
Mass.	4	2	1	4	8	2	149	190	787	841
Conn.	9	5	4	8	_	_	86	81	241	668
MID. ATLANTIC	29	20	2	3	2	10	660	922	7,456	9,873
Upstate N.Y.	14	6	2	1	—	3	219	230	1,669	1,876
N.Y. City N.J.	1 7	5	_	1	1	4	170	318	1,874 1.014	3,156
Pa.	7	8	_	1	1	3	189	257	2,899	3,013
E.N. CENTRAL	53	56	4	10	3	4	476	646	13,025	19,741
Ohio	23	14	1	_	2	4	151 N	199 N	1,978	5,751
III.	6	10	1	_	_	_	92	216	3,967	5,306
Mich.	9	8	_	1	1	_	149	145	1,911	4,020
WIS.	9	11	2	9		_	84	80	1,279	1,198
Minn.	32	40 20	4	3	5	6	465 223	450	4,131	4,879
Iowa	8	4				_	59	54	309	334
Mo. N Dak	11	3	2	4	1	1	97 1	137	2,480 15	2,304 45
S. Dak.	2		_	_	_	_	20	18	92	71
Nebr.	4	5	1	—	1		28	41	106	298
	4	0	_	_	10	2	57	42	10 553	070
Del.	48		N N	N N	N	N N	8	14	18,557	20,937 278
Md.	5	3	2	—	—	2	45	26	1,778	2,247
D.C. Va	2	_	2	5	4	_	12 141	26 90	553 2 252	643 2 521
W. Va.	_	1	_	_		_	7	9	193	220
N.C.	_		_	—	9	4	N 25	N 10	4,612	4,083
Ga.	7	6	1	_	_	_	205	194	1,511	3,833
Fla.	34	11	1	1	6	1	223	291	4,959	4,530
E.S. CENTRAL	9	11	_	_	4	2	86	84	5,372	6,475
Ky. Tenn.	6	4	_	_	3	2	N 37	N 33	1,015	663 2.198
Ala.	3	1	—	—	_	_	49	51	631	1,990
Miss.	—	4				_	_	_	1,708	1,624
W.S. CENTRAL	5	18	1	3	1	1	59	72	11,661	11,622
La.	_	1	1	_	1	_	8	11	2,578	3,224
Okla.	1	3	—		—	-	31	25	1,267	1,184
	3	10		2	-	I	N	010	0,030	0,229
MOUNTAIN Mont.	23	26		4		_	293	319	3,022	3,036
Idaho	3	5	4	1	—	_	25	47	19	19
Wyo. Colo	3	4	1	1	_	_	3 102	3 97	16 742	14 795
N. Mex.	_	5	1	1	_	_	9	18	141	200
Ariz.	5	3	N	N	N	N	52	65	1,249	1,323
Nev.	7	4 3	_	1	1	_	19	21	634	573
PACIFIC	27	31	_	1	_	_	586	678	8,779	9.066
Wash.	5	4	_	<u> </u>	—	—	42	52	911	693
Oreg. Calif	1 15	4 19	_	1	_	_	54 455	97 490	435 7 094	264 7 518
Alaska	2	1	_	_	_	_	15	16	128	187
Hawaii	4	3	—	_	—	—	20	23	211	404
Guam	Ν	Ν	_	—	—	—			116	51
r.n. V.I.	_	_	_	_	_	_	<u> </u>	<u>o</u>	2	50 40
Amer. Samoa	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
U.IN.IVI.I.	_	U	_	U	_	U	_	U	_	U

 TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 9, 2005, and April 10, 2004

 (14th Week)\*

366

				Haemophilus int	<i>luenzae</i> , invasiv	e		
	All a	ges			Age <	5 years		
	All sero	otypes	Serc	otype b	Non-se	rotype b	Unknown	serotype
Reporting area	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004
UNITED STATES	612	602	1	4	33	26	57	61
NEW ENGLAND	46	58	—	1	3	4	2	—
Maine N.H.	2	5	_	_	_	1	_	_
Vt.	6	4	_		_	_	2	_
R.I.	6	29	_		2		_	_
Conn.	15	10	—	—	1	1	—	—
MID. ATLANTIC	113	120	_	_	_	1	13	14
N.Y. City	18	21	_	_	_		3	4
N.J. Pa	22 41	25 34	_	_		_	4	2
E.N. CENTRAL	81	110	_	_	1	6	2	16
Ohio	45	39	_	_		2	2	5
Ind. III.	19	14 27	_	_	1	3	_	1 6
Mich.	8	9	—	—	—	1	—	3
WIS.	21	21	—			1	 F	1
Minn.	13	25	_	_	2	1		4
lowa Mo	 14	1	_	1	_	_	3	
N. Dak.	1		_	_	_	_	1	_
S. Dak. Nebr	2	4	_	_		_	1	_
Kans.	1	1	—	—	—	—	<u> </u>	1
S. ATLANTIC	179	143	_	_	7	2	12	10
Md.	27	29	_	_	2	1	2	_
D.C.	 15		—	—	—	—	—	—
W. Va.	13	8	_	_	1	1	3	2
N.C. S.C	24	14	_	_	2	_	1	_
Ga.	49	41	—	_	_	_	4	8
FIA.	46	38	_	_	2	_	2	_
E.S. GENTRAL Ky.	28		_	_	_	_	6	5
Tenn.	22	14	—	—	—	—	4	4
Miss.	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
W.S. CENTRAL	31	23	1	—	2	3	5	—
Ark. La.	— 11	8	1	_	_	_	5	_
Okla.	20	15	—	—	2	3	—	—
			—		12			10
Mont.		—	_			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
ldaho Wyo	2	2	_	_	_	_	1	1
Colo.	15	16	_	—	_	_	2	2
N. Mex. Ariz.	7 36	19 32	_	_	3 7	3 5	1	4
Utah	7	4	_	2		_	3	1
Nev.	10	2	—	—	2		2	1
Wash.	25	20	_	_	<u>o</u>		3	2 1
Oreg. Calif	13	13	_	_	6		3	1
Alaska	1	, 1	_	_	_		_	_
Hawaii	2	4	—	_	_	—	—	—
Guam P.R.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
V.I.					<u> </u>	<del></del>	<u> </u>	
Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	<u> </u>	U	U 	U	<u> </u>	U	<u> </u>	U

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 9, 2005, and April 10, 2004 (14th Week)\*

			Hepatitis (viral	, acute), by type		
		A		B		С
Reporting area	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004
UNITED STATES	950	1,630	1,468	1,586	150	216
NEW ENGLAND Maine	152	251 7	84 2	105 1	3	4
N.H. Vt	15	7	4	13 1	3	1
Mass.	113	202	66	52	_	3
Conn.	5 19	6 24		38	_	_
MID. ATLANTIC	155	202	360	240	28	35
Upstate N.Y.	27	22	32	16 56	7	1
N.J.	25	46	247	72	_	_
Pa.	35	61	63	96	21	34
E.N. CENTRAL	87 23	142	99 44	122	33	14
Ind.	6	9	5	3	5	
III. Mich	18 33	60 40	7 43	61		3
Wis.	7	18	_	13	_	_
W.N. CENTRAL	35	32	67	98	11	1
lowa	5	8	10	3	_	_
Mo. N Dak	21	8	42	73 1	11	_
S. Dak.	_	2	_	_	_	_
Nebr. Kans.	2 4	10 3	8 7	8 5	_	_
S. ATLANTIC	164	292	442	488	41	57
Del.	2	3	4	9		2
D.C.	2	3	45 —	5		1
Va. W Va	23 1	22 1	62 7	55	7	9
N.C.	24	19	42	44	7	3
Ga.	4 36	11 117	30 95	25 154	_	4 6
Fla.	60	67	153	149	15	25
E.S. CENTRAL	35	50	81 20	136	15	25 9
Tenn.	20	28	32	55	5	5
Ala. Miss.	5 7	5 12	18 11	21 45	5 5	1 10
W.S. CENTRAL	26	226	58	69	2	58
Ark.	1	34 7	13	32	2	
Okla.	1	12	4	13		—
Tex.	11	173	31	1	_	24
MOUNTAIN Mont.	109	131	139	109	6	8 2
Idaho Whio	8	6	3	3	—	—
Colo.	9	12	7	14	_	_
N. Mex. Ariz	5 64	5 85	4	4	_	2
Utah	12	19	15	16	4	
Nev.	5	1	8	15	2	2
Wash.	15	304 13	138	≥19 21	2	14
Oreg. Calif	10 154	23 259	25 98	32 162	4	4 7
Alaska	3	2	1	2	_	
Hawaii	5	7	1	2	—	2
Guam P.R.	1	1 8	3	2 11	_	_
V.I. Amer Samoa						
C.N.M.I.	_	U	_	U	_	U

 TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 9, 2005, and April 10, 2004

 (14th Week)\*

# Vol. 54 / No. 14

			1.5.1.		1	P		
	Legion	ellosis	Liste	riosis	Lyme d	lisease	Iviaia	aria
Reporting area	Cum. 2005	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.
	2005	2004	111	112	1 265	1 975	2003	2004
	200	235			1,200	1,975	247	230
Maine	—	<u> </u>		5 1	41	195	<u> </u>	25
N.H.	2	_	1	1	14	9	2	_
Vt.	_	_	—	_	1	7	_	1
Mass.	5	3	_	1	18	107	3	1/
Conn.	3	2	1	2	5	36	_	5
MID. ATLANTIC	80	59	23	29	926	1,467	64	67
Upstate N.Y.	20	11	7	6	144	476	14	10
N.Y. City	4	5	4 F	3			29	29
Pa.	40	34	7	9	401	710	7	14
E.N. CENTRAL	55	73	17	15	33	49	15	20
Ohio	28	32	6	7	20	11	3	4
Ind.	1	7	1	2	2	_		3
Mich.	15	14	5	4	3	_	7	4
Wis.	4	2	5	2	8	38	2	5
W.N. CENTRAL	10	6	9	3	37	20	9	20
Minn.	1	_	2	2	33	6	1	8
Iowa Mo	7	1	3	1	1	5	2	1
N. Dak.	1		1		_	_	_	1
S. Dak.	_	1	—	—	—	—	—	1
Kans.	1	_	1	_	1	_	1	4
S ATLANTIC	59	67	25	16	203	197	61	90
Del.		1	N	N	25	26	_	2
Md.	16	10	3	3	116	108	18	23
D.C. Va	4	2	1	_	22	4	7	4
W. Va.	3	2	_	1	2	1	1	_
N.C.	7	7	6	4	14	31	8	5
Ga.	6	5	4	3	5	5	12	13
Fla.	22	33	11	5	18	15	13	33
E.S. CENTRAL	3	13	5	5	4	8	9	8
Ky. Topp	1	3		1		1	2	1
Ala.	2	5	2	4	4		2	5
Miss.	_	—	_	_	_	5	—	1
W.S. CENTRAL	4	30	2	13	6	16	19	24
Ark.	1		-	1	—	1	1	1
Okla.		2		_	_	_	2	1
Tex.	_	26	1	11	6	15	16	20
MOUNTAIN	24	21	—	2	1	4	14	12
Mont.	1		—		—		—	_
Wyo.	2	4	_	_	_	1	1	_
Colo.	5	3	_	1	_	_	8	5
N. Mex.	1		_	_	_		2	1
Utah	3	7	_	_	1	i	3	3
Nev.	5	1	—	—	—	—	—	2
PACIFIC	20	20	28	24	14	19	50	32
wash. Oreg	1 N	2 N	2	5 4	1	2 7	2	1 4
Calif.	19	18	24	15	12	10	42	27
Alaska	—	—	—	—	1		2	_
	_	_	_	_	N	N	3	_
Guam P B	_	1	_	_	 N	 N	_	_
V.I.	_		_	_			_	
Amer. Samoa	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
C.IN.MI.I.	_	U	_	U	_	U	_	U

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 9, 2005, and April 10, 2004 (14th Week)\*

					Meningocod	ccal disease				
	All sero	groups	Sero A, C, Y, a	group and W-135	Serogi	oup B	Other se	rogroup	Serogrou	o unknown
Reporting area	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004
UNITED STATES	362	479	27	30	23	17	_	_	312	432
NEW ENGLAND	27	24	1	3	—	—	—	—	26	21
Maine N H	1	2	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	2
Vt.	3	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	3	1
Mass.	11	14	_	3	_	_	_	_	11	11
Conn.	8	_	1	_	—	_	_	_	7	_
MID. ATLANTIC	48	67	12	17	3	5	_	_	33	45
Upstate N.Y.	13	23	1	3	2	3	—	—	10	17
N.J.	14	8	_	_	_	_	_	_	14	8
Pa.	16	22	11	14	1	2	—	—	4	6
E.N. CENTRAL	31	47	9	8	4	3	—	—	18	36
Ind.	5	25	_		1		_	_	9 4	9
III.	_	1	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	1
Wich. Wis.	9 5	5 7	9	5	_	_	_	_	5	7
W.N. CENTRAL	25	22	1	_	1	2	_	_	23	20
Minn.	5	7	1	—	_	_	—	—	4	7
Mo.	9 6	4 7	_	_		1	_	_	6	6
N. Dak.	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_
S. Dak. Nebr	1	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	1
Kans.	3	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	2
S. ATLANTIC	66	90	2	1	4	2	—	—	60	87
Del. Md	7	1	1	_	2	_	_	_		1
D.C.		4	_	1		_	_	_		3
Va.	7	3	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	3
N.C.	6	12	1	_	2	2	_	_	3	10
S.C.	9	7	—	—	—	—	—	—	9	7
Ga. Fla.	8 28	6 49	_	_	_	_	_	_	8 28	6 49
E.S. CENTRAL	19	23	_	_	1	_	_	_	18	23
Ky.	7	3	—	—	1	—	—	—	6	3
Ienn. Ala.	8	8	_	_	_	_	_	_	8	8
Miss.	4	6	_	_	—	_	—	_	4	6
W.S. CENTRAL	31	54	1	1	3	1	—	—	27	52
Ark.	11	9 16	_	1	2	_	_	_	9	9 15
Okla.	5	3	1	_	1	1	—	—	3	2
Tex.	8	26	—	—	—	—	—	—	8	26
MOUNTAIN	24	25 1	_	_	3	3	_	_	21	22
Idaho	1	2	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	2
Wyo.		2	—	—	—	—	—	—		2
N. Mex.	<u> </u>	9 4	_	_	_	2	_	_		2
Ariz.	12	4	—	—	2	—	—	—	10	4
Nev.	2	2	_	_		1	_	_	2	1
PACIFIC	91	127	1	_	4	1	_	_	86	126
Wash.	18	6	1	—	3	1	—	—	14	5
Calif.	47	28 87	_	_	_	_	_	_	47	28 87
Alaska	2	2	—	—	_	—	_	—	2	2
Hawall	5	4	—	_	1	—	_	_	4	4
Guam P.R.	_	3	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	3
V.I.	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_
Amer. Samoa	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 9, 2005, and April 10, 2004 (14th Week)\*

					Rocky N	lountain				
	Cum.	USSIS Cum.	Cum.	, animal Cum.	Spotte Cum.	d fever	Cum.	nellosis Cum.	Cum.	LIOSIS Cum.
Reporting area	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004
UNITED STATES	4,090	2,419	1,137	1,531	157	130	5,601	6,665	2,246	2,973
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt.	200 7 41	415 — 12 20	188 11 2 12	108 11 6 5	1 N 	4 N 	347 15 22 23	313 17 21 14	50 — 4 3	60 1 3 1
Mass. R.I. Conn.	147 5 —	362 9 12	132 3 28	41 6 39	1	4	189 15 83	189 12 60	29 2 12	40 1 14
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	460 158 18 66 218	615 432 50 35 98	135 84 8 N 43	161 71 1 N 89	9  1 2 6	12 	663 178 182 94 209	878 180 288 155 255	257 77 99 68 13	337 138 98 63 38
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	1,092 535 86 65 48 358	363 120 14 13 28 188	9 4 1 2 2	3 2 1 —	2 1  1	2 2 — —	527 180 39 30 152 126	1,090 239 82 387 176 206	130 15 25 4 71 15	264 46 43 116 31 28
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	529 94 154 122 14 1 60 84	126 14 26 72 3 1 	61 12 18 7 1 5 <u>-</u> 18	132 14 12 3 13 23 41 26	8 — 8 — —	3 — 3 —	416 105 76 121 6 31 34 43	410 101 75 115 11 18 37 53	174 11 34 95 2 8 19 5	90 12 26 23 1 4 5 19
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W.Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	278 1 52 53 17 21 81 10 43	132 34 4 31 2 26 13 7 15	398  72 150 5 117 5 44 5	700 9 82 111 17 157 40 77 207	112 5 3 1 80 5 11 7	89 2 2  70 4 9 2	1,702 1 138 11 173 19 313 108 289 650	1,429 12 108 9 150 29 205 91 212 613	422  20 4 21  50 26 127 174	827 2 30 14 29 — 116 130 156 350
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	104 25 45 24 10	26 3 15 4 4	26 2 5 19	60 5 36 15 4	2 1 1	14 	284 38 102 108 36	362 62 107 121 72	237 25 121 72 19	156 23 59 53 21
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	79 26 3 	65 8 2 6 49	246 10  28 208	310 15  28 267	1 1 —	3  -  -	355 65 77 56 157	624 62 78 53 431	450 14 29 135 272	676 13 67 95 501
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	929 225 36 7 420 33 93 102 13	281 4 14 3 140 45 53 21 1	50 6  44 	20 3 — — 17 —	20 1  1  15 3 		402 19 15 8 103 21 152 43 41	497 25 41 14 117 53 166 55 26	141 1  23 15 68 11 23	203 3 1 32 41 98 11 16
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	419 97 192 93 12 25	396 94 81 210 7 4	24 — 23 1	37  28 	2 2 	3 2 1 	905 80 59 697 12 57	1,062 55 80 829 23 75	385 12 19 344 3 7	360 18 17 308 3 14
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	  	1 	23 — U	16 — U U	N U	N U U	27 U	9 43 — U U	  	15 1 U U

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 9, 2005, and April 10, 2004 (14th Week)\*

Invares introduce on the second seco				Streptod	coccus pneum	noniae, invasiv	e disease				
		Streptococ	cal disease,	Drug res	sistant,			- Delimony 0	Syp	hilis	mital
Beaching area         2005         2004         2005         2004         2005         2004         2005         2004         2005         2004         2005         2004         2005         2004         2005         2004         2005         2004         2005         2004		Cum	e, group A	Cum	ges Cum	Age <5 Cum	years Cum	Cum	Cum	Cum	Cum
NINTEO STATES         1,881         1,690         863         855         220         24.3         1,666         1,984         61         112           deline         2         3         N         N         -         -         1         -<	Reporting area	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	UNITED STATES	1,381	1,609	863	835	220	243	1,686	1,984	61	112
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NEW ENGLAND	50	78	9 N	10 N	21	32	50	44	—	_
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N.H.	2 3	9		1	1	N	4	1	_	_
ass.         36         62         -         3         19         23         43         20         - <th< td=""><td>Vt.</td><td>6</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>3</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>—</td><td>—</td></th<>	Vt.	6	2	3	3	1	1			—	—
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mass. R.I.	6	62	6	3	19	29	43	27	_	_
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Conn.	_	—	_	_	U	U	1	14	—	—
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MID. ATLANTIC	285	261	88	54	41	34	203	256	12	15
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N.Y. City	32	48	33 U	20 U	24 U	19 U	132	158	3	7
at.         bb $70$ bb $34$ 11         10 $21$ $30$ $-1$ $11$ Dhio $75$ $84$ $139$ $150$ $27$ $29$ $62$ $62$ $11$ $22$ $230$ $5$ $23$ Add. $33$ $36$ $67$ $42$ $9$ $11$ $22$ $230$ $7$ $14$ Mole $81$ $28$ $N$ $N$ $4$ $16$ $37$ $25$ $20$ $45$ $43$ $-1$ $-1$ MACENTRAL $90$ $123$ $14$ $5$ $25$ $20$ $45$ $43$ $-1$ $-1$ $-1$ $N$	N.J.	58	54	N	N	6	5	32	49	1	6
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		C0	76	55	34	F1	10	150	30		1
nd.         28         33         67         42         9         11         25         22 $-$ 2           deh.         96         101 $-$ N         11 $-$ N         2         90         1         4           Mach.         96         101 $-$ N $-$ 11 $-$ N         2         9         1 $-$ W.S. CENTRAL         90         123         14         5         25         20         45         43 $  -$	Ohio	75	84	139	150	27	29	59	60	1	23
h, h, so         26         90         -         -         N         1         N         42         90         1         4           Wik.         8         28         N         N         -         422         6         9         1         -           Jam.         30         123         14         5         25         20         45         43         -         -         -           Jam.         30         57         -         N         N         N         N         N         1         4         -	Ind.	28	33	67	42	9	11	25	22		2
Nis.         8         28         N         N         4         22         6         9         1            Min.         31         57         -         -         14         9         45         43             Mo.         31         24         13         4         1         6         37         25             Ado.         31         24         13         4         1	Mich.	2 96	101	_	N	—	N	42 20	90 49	2	4 16
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Wis.	8	28	N	Ν	4	22	6	9	1	—
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	W.N. CENTRAL	90	123	14	5	25	20	45	43	_	_
do.         31         24         13         4         1         6         37         25             b Dak.         7         7         1         1         -	lowa	N	N	N	N	—	N	1	2	_	_
Note:         1         7         7         1 <td>Mo.</td> <td>31</td> <td>24</td> <td>13</td> <td>4</td> <td>1</td> <td>6</td> <td>37</td> <td>25</td> <td>—</td> <td>—</td>	Mo.	31	24	13	4	1	6	37	25	—	—
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	S. Dak.	7	7	1	1	_	_	_	_	_	_
values.       12       23       10       10       1       2       4       3                1          10       86       11       13       13       14       44       49       2          12       86       75       5       3         0.0.       2       2       2       11       5       2       4       31       23        1       3       1       1       3       1         16.       N       N       -       N       25       11       3       1       1       3       1       1       1       3       1       1       1       3       1       1       1       3       1       1       1       3       1       1       1       3       1       1       1       3       1	Nebr.	8	8		N	2	3	1	5	—	—
Jail       Dail	S ATI ANTIC	291	201	384	430	33	16	4	498	11	18
dd.       90       53         25       12       86       75       5       3         Ja.       18       16       N       N        N       25       11       3       1         Va.       18       16       N       N        N       25       11       3       1         V.C.       35       37       N       N       U       U       0.64       43       1       1         S.C.       7       23        44        N       25       13       2       7         Ja.       76       69       196       219        N       165       213       2       7         I.s.       26       7       12       N       N       6       14           I.s.          N       39       45       7       1         V.S. CENTRAL       65       117       52       26       27       57       320       300       16       25         V.S. CENTRAL       65       117       52       26       27       <	Del.		1		3		Ň	4	2	—	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Md.	90	53	 11		25	12	86 31	75	5	3
NVa.       4       9       25       43       6       -       2       3       -       -         AC.       35       37       N       N       U       U       0.4       43       1       1         AC.       7       23       -       44       -       N       20       34       -       4         Ja.       59       81       152       116       -       N       20       34       -       4         Ja.       76       69       196       219       -       N       185       213       2       7         S.CENTRAL       54       74       48       55       1       -       97       103       9       4         Vs.       15       26       7       12       N       N       6       14       -       -       -       1       -       -       1       -       -       1       -       -       1       -       -       1       -       1       -       1       -       -       1       -       -       1       -       -       1       -       -       1       -       - </td <td>Va.</td> <td>18</td> <td>16</td> <td>N</td> <td>N</td> <td></td> <td>Ň</td> <td>25</td> <td>11</td> <td>3</td> <td>1</td>	Va.	18	16	N	N		Ň	25	11	3	1
Soc.       50       50       70       23       71       44       0       N       20       73       74       1         3a.       59       81       152       116       -       N       27       34       -       1         3a.       76       69       196       219       -       N       185       213       2       7         5.S.CENTRAL       54       74       48       55       1       -       97       103       9       4         fenn.       39       48       41       43       -       N       66       14       -       -       1         Va.       -       -       -       -       1       -       9       12       -       1         Va.       -       -       -       -       -       1       -       9       12       -       1       -       3       3       4       12       14       -       3       3       4       12       14       -       3       3       4       12       14       -       3       3       3       13       13       10       13       10 </td <td>W. Va.</td> <td>4</td> <td>9 37</td> <td>25 N</td> <td>43 N</td> <td>6</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td></td>	W. Va.	4	9 37	25 N	43 N	6		2	3		
ba.       59       81       152       116       -       N       27       94       -       1         1a.       76       69       196       219       -       N       185       213       2       7         E.S. CENTRAL       54       74       48       55       1       -       97       103       9       4         (y.       15       26       7       12       N       N       6       14       -       -         (iss.       -       -       -       -       N       39       45       7       1         NS. CENTRAL       65       117       52       26       27       57       320       300       16       25         Ark.       6       3       6       3       3       4       12       14       -       3         a.       4       1       46       23       7       15       50       63       2       -         Vika.       46       19       N       N       6       23       245       216       13       20         MOUNTAIN       233       181       36 <t< td=""><td>S.C.</td><td>7</td><td>23</td><td></td><td>44</td><td>_</td><td>N</td><td>20</td><td>34</td><td>_</td><td>4</td></t<>	S.C.	7	23		44	_	N	20	34	_	4
E.S. CENTRAL       54       74       48       55       1 $-$ 97       103       9       4         System       15       26       7       12       N       N       6       14 $ -$ Value $    -$ N       39       45       7       1         Value $     -$ N       39       45       7       1         Value $   -$	Ga. Fla.	59 76	81 69	152 196	116 219	_	N N	27 185	94 213	2	1 7
Gy       15       26       7       12       N       N       6       14              N       39       45       7           N       39       45       7        1         Mat.             1        9       12        1         NS. CENTRAL       65       17       52       26       27       57       320       300       16       25       33       4       12       14        34       34       32       2       32       34       32       34       32       34       32       32       32       32       32       33       4       44       44       44       44       44       44       44 </td <td>E.S. CENTRAL</td> <td>54</td> <td>74</td> <td>48</td> <td>55</td> <td>1</td> <td>_</td> <td>97</td> <td>103</td> <td>9</td> <td>4</td>	E.S. CENTRAL	54	74	48	55	1	_	97	103	9	4
ern.       39       48       41       43 $-$ N       39       45       7       1         Na. $     -$ N       39       45       7       1         Miss. $     -$ N       39       45       7       1         Miss. $     -$ N       33       34       52       30       00       16       23       2       7       1       2       1       2       14       43       20       20       30       31       31       7       1       20       20       32       245       216       13       20       20       13       20       20       13       20       20       20       8       4       4       4       4       4	Ky.	15	26	7	12	Ν	N	6	14	_	_
Miss.       -       -       -       -       1       -       9       12       -       1         W.S. CENTRAL       65       117       52       26       27       57       320       300       16       25         Vrk.       6       3       6       3       3       4       12       14       -       3         a.       4       1       46       23       7       15       50       63       2       -         Okla.       46       19       N       N       11       15       13       7       1       2         Vex.       9       94       N       N       6       23       245       216       13       20         MOUNTAIN       233       181       36       15       21       22       85       99       8       4         Mont.       - <t< td=""><td>Ala.</td><td>39</td><td>48</td><td>41</td><td>43</td><td>_</td><td>N</td><td>39 43</td><td>45 32</td><td>2</td><td>2</td></t<>	Ala.	39	48	41	43	_	N	39 43	45 32	2	2
N.S. CENTRAL       65       117       52       26       27       57       320       300       16       25         Ark.       6       3       6       3       3       4       12       14        3         A.a.       4       1       46       23       7       15       50       63       2          Okla.       46       19       N       N       11       15       13       7       1       2         Okla.       46       19       N       N       6       23       245       216       13       20         AOUNTAIN       233       181       36       15       21       22       85       99       8       4         daho       1       3       N       N       -       N       6       8       - <t< td=""><td>Miss.</td><td>—</td><td>—</td><td>—</td><td>—</td><td>1</td><td>—</td><td>9</td><td>12</td><td>—</td><td>1</td></t<>	Miss.	—	—	—	—	1	—	9	12	—	1
M.       0       3       0       3       3       4       12       14 </td <td>W.S. CENTRAL</td> <td>65</td> <td>117</td> <td>52</td> <td>26</td> <td>27</td> <td>57</td> <td>320</td> <td>300</td> <td>16</td> <td>25</td>	W.S. CENTRAL	65	117	52	26	27	57	320	300	16	25
Dkla.       46       19       N       N       11       15       13       7       1       2         Fex.       9       94       N       N       6       23       245       216       13       20         MOUNTAIN       233       181       36       15       21       22       85       99       8       4         Mont.       -	La.	4	1	46	23	3 7	15	50	63	2	
let.       b       b       l <td>Okla.</td> <td>46</td> <td>19</td> <td>N</td> <td>N</td> <td>11</td> <td>15</td> <td>13 245</td> <td>7 216</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td>	Okla.	46	19	N	N	11	15	13 245	7 216	1	2
Aboundary Mont. $200$ $101$ $10$ $10$ $11$ $10$ $11$ $10$ $11$ $10$ $11$		233	181	36	15	21	23	245 85	210	8	20
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mont.							5		_	—
No.       1       4       12       4       - <td>Idaho Wyo</td> <td>1</td> <td>3</td> <td>N 12</td> <td>N</td> <td>_</td> <td>N</td> <td>6</td> <td>8</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	Idaho Wyo	1	3	N 12	N	_	N	6	8	_	_
N. Mex.       14       39       -       5       -       -       7       25       1       1         Ariz.       86       94       N       N       -       N       39       42       7       3         Jtah       26       13       23       4       1       2       1       2       -       -         Vev.       -       -       1       2       -       -       19       4       -       -         VACIFIC       104       142       26       48       -       -       290       411       -       23         Vash.       N       N       N       N       N       44       21       -       -         Oreg.       N       N       N       N       N       44       21       -       -       -         Calif.       75       112       N       N       N       N       235       375       -       23         Maska       -       -       -       N       1       -       -       -       -       -         Auwaii       29       30       26       48       -	Colo.	105	28	Ň	Ň	20	20	8	17	_	_
M12.       000       94       N       N       -       N       35       42       7       3         Value       26       13       23       4       1       2       1       2       -	N. Mex.	14	39		5	—		7	25	1	1
Nev.       -       -       1       2       -       -       19       4       -       -       -         PACIFIC       104       142       26       48       -       -       290       411       -       23         Wash.       N       N       N       N       N       N       44       21       -       -         Oreg.       N       N       N       N       -       N       8       11       -       -         Calif.       75       112       N       N       N       N       235       375       -       23         Maska       -       -       -       N       1       -       -       -       -       23         Maska       -       -       -       N       1       -	Utah	26	13	23	4	1	2	1	42		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Nev.	—	—	1	2	—	—	19	4	—	—
N       N       N       N       N       N       A       N       A       A       A       C       N       A       A       C       N       A       A       C       N       N       A       N       Q <thq< th=""> <thq< th=""> <thq< th=""></thq<></thq<></thq<>	PACIFIC	104	142	26	48 N	N	N	290	411	—	23
Calif.       75       112       N       N       N       N       235       375       -       23         Maska       -       -       -       N       1       -       -       -       2         Hawaii       29       30       26       48       -       -       2       4       -       -         Guam       -	Oreg.	N	N	N	N		N	44 8	11	_	_
Naska       - <td>Calif.</td> <td>75</td> <td>112</td> <td>N</td> <td>Ν</td> <td>Ν</td> <td>N</td> <td>235</td> <td>375</td> <td>—</td> <td>23</td>	Calif.	75	112	N	Ν	Ν	N	235	375	—	23
Guam               P.R.     N     N     N     N      N     35     36     3     2       All	Hawaii	29	30	26	48	_	IN	2	4	_	_
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Guam	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
nner Samoo II I	P.R.	N	Ν	N	Ν	_	Ν	35	36	3	2
	Amer. Samoa	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	Ŭ	U	U

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 9, 2005, and April 10, 2004 (14th Week)\*

(14th week)*											
					Var	icella	West Nile virus disease <sup>†</sup>				
	Tube	rculosis	Typho	id fever	(chick	(enpox)	Neuroi	nvasive	Non-neuroinvasive <sup>§</sup>		
Poporting area	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.		
	1 936	2 825	40	64	6 268	5 960		2004			
	69	70	1	7	0,200	251					
Maine	5	3	_		80	43	_	_	_		
N.H.	3	1	_	—		_	_	_	_		
Vt. Mass		43	_		18	208	_	_	_		
R.I.	2	13	_	1	_	_	_	_	_		
Conn.	12	19	1	—	—	—	—	_	—		
MID. ATLANTIC	465	451	13	17	1,319	18	—	—	_		
Upstate N.Y.	47	54	2		—	—	—	_	—		
N.J.	113	104	3	7	_	_	_	_	_		
Pa.	67	60	7	3	1,319	18	_	_	—		
E.N. CENTRAL	335	298	1	3	2,179	2,317	_	_	_		
Ohio	59	47	_	1	501	604	—	—	—		
III.	155	118	_	_	7	IN	_	_	_		
Mich.	41	35		2	1,511	1,469	—	—	—		
Wis.	18	20	1	—	160	244	—	—	—		
W.N. CENTRAL	104	86	1	2	63	92	—	_	—		
Minn. Iowa	41	31	1	1	N	N	_	_	_		
Mo.	33	27	_	1	2	2	_	_	_		
N. Dak.	1	2	—	—	9	66	—	—	—		
S. Dak. Nebr	4	2	_	_	52	24	_	_	_		
Kans.	11	9	_	_	_	_	_	_	N		
S. ATLANTIC	374	563	7	8	578	655	_		_		
Del.		7		_	1	2	—	_	—		
Md.	54 21	47	1	2		9	_	_	_		
Va.	59	31	_	2	67	147	_	_	_		
W.Va.	8	6	_	_	419	383	—		N		
N.C. S.C.	44 44	53	1	2	86	N 114	_	_	_		
Ga.	16	179	2	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Fla.	128	199	3	2	—	—	—		—		
E.S. CENTRAL	111	128	1	1			_	_	—		
Ky. Tenn	27	15	1	1	N	N	_	_	_		
Ala.	22	38	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Miss.	—	33	—	—	—	—	—		—		
W.S. CENTRAL	55	505	3	7	1,021	1,734	—	—	_		
Ark.	22	36	—	—	<u> </u>		—	_	—		
Okla.	33	37	_	_			_	_	_		
Tex.	_	432	3	7	967	1,701	—	_	—		
MOUNTAIN	46	117	2	2	1,009	893	—	—	—		
Mont.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Wyo.	_	_	_	_	38	14	_	_	_		
Colo.	8	28	—	—	701	646	—	_	—		
N. Mex. Ariz	1 34	9 45	1	1	48	27	_	_	_		
Utah	3	14	1	1	222	206	_	_	_		
Nev.	—	21	—	—	—	—	—		—		
PACIFIC	378	598	11	17	—	—	—		—		
Wash.	62	52		1	N	N	_	—	—		
Calif.	254	489	6	11	_	_	_	_	_		
Alaska	9	8		_	—	—	_	_	—		
Hawaii	32	28	4	5	_	—	—	—	—		
Guam	—	13	—	_		21	—	—	—		
г.п. V.I.	_	14	_	_	60	93	_	_	_		
Amer. Samoa	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	—		
C.N.M.I.	—	U	—	U	—	U	—	U	—		

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 9, 2005, and April 10, 2004

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. \* Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date). † Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (ArboNet Surveillance). \* Not previously notifiable.

### TABLE III. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,\* week ending April 9, 2005 (14th Week)

	All causes, by age (years)					Ì		All causes, by age (years)							
Reporting Area	All Ages	<u>≥</u> 65	45-64	25–44	1–24	<1	P&l⁺ Total	Reporting Area	All Ages	<u>≥</u> 65	45-64	25–44	1–24	<1	P&l⁺ Total
NEW ENGLAND	510	364	102	34	6	4	58	S. ATLANTIC	1,303	841	304	88	31	37	101
Boston, Mass.	134	93	29	10	_	2	13	Atlanta, Ga.	146	85 107	36	12	3	10	19
Cambridge Mass	12	20 12	5		_	_	4	Charlotte N C	197	80	18	20	3	4	14
Fall River, Mass.	25	17	7	1	_	_	6	Jacksonville, Fla.	122	83	26	10	_	3	5
Hartford, Conn.	48	29	14	3	_	2	2	Miami, Fla.	86	52	20	6	4	4	4
Lowell, Mass.	23	17	3	2	1	—	4	Norfolk, Va.	67	47	13	2	3	2	3
Lynn, Mass.	9	5	3	1	_	—	_	Richmond, Va.	73	45	19	6	1	2	4
New Bedford, Mass.	26	19	3	3		_	2 5	Savannan, Ga. St Petersburg, Ela	54 50	30	5	3	2	1	3
Providence, R.I.	34	26	6	1	1	_	4	Tampa, Fla.	276	192	60	16	7	i	34
Somerville, Mass.	5	4	_	1	_	_	_	Washington, D.C.	100	65	27	6	1	1	2
Springfield, Mass.	30	19	8	1	2	—		Wilmington, Del.	20	16	3	—	—	1	1
Waterbury, Conn.	29	22	6		1	—	6	E.S. CENTRAL	977	639	221	85	18	14	89
worcester, Mass.	71	51	13	1	_	_	9	Birmingham, Ala.	182	116	45	12	5	4	24
MID. ATLANTIC	2,145	1,471	458	130	33	51	131	Chattanooga, Tenn.	104	70	26	6	_	2	5
Albany, N.Y.	57	44	7	3	1	2	1	Knoxville, Tenn.	112	83	23	4	2	_	9
Buffalo N Y	∠ 1 86	65	11	6	2	2	11	Memphis Tenn	229	47	49	28	7	4	9 16
Camden, N.J.	32	17	10	4	_	1	3	Mobile, Ala.	87	53	19	14	1		1
Elizabeth, N.J.	22	15	5	1	1	_	3	Montgomery, Ala.	58	40	12	4	1	1	10
Erie, Pa.	49	32	15	1		1	6	Nashville, Tenn.	133	89	32	8	1	3	15
Jersey City, N.J.	41	27	12	1	1	_		W.S. CENTRAL	2,054	1,400	426	139	43	46	131
New YOR City, N.Y.	1,093	748 28	200 20	64 7	15	3	57	Austin, Tex.	107	75	25	6	—	1	7
Paterson, N.J.	19	14	2	2	1	_	_	Baton Rouge, La.	30	22	6	1	1	_	1
Philadelphia, Pa.	271	171	49	20	7	24	14	Corpus Christi, Iex.	102	39	10	2	5	10	/
Pittsburgh, Pa.§	22	13	7	2	—	—	3	El Paso Tex	108	78	40	25	2	3	5
Reading, Pa.	28	18	4	4	2	_	1	Ft. Worth, Tex.	143	86	38	11	4	4	11
Rochester, N.Y.	134	105	24	_		3	8	Houston, Tex.	377	230	89	35	8	15	24
Scranton, Pa.	31	24	4	3	_	_	2	Little Rock, Ark.	100	64	26	5	2	3	_
Syracuse, N.Y.	94	70	14	5	_	4	13	New Orleans, La.	464	354	80	17	8	5	32
Trenton, N.J.	31	20	5	4	_	2	—	San Antonio, rex. Shrevenort La	269 45	210	40	5	4		20
Utica, N.Y.	18	16	2		_	_		Tulsa, Okla.	162	109	35	13	4	1	8
	20	14	5	1			2	MOUNTAIN	1,006	657	206	65	27	23	96
E.N. CENTRAL	2,405	1,686	479	148	42	50	200	Albuquerque, N.M.	128	87	32	8	1	_	17
Canton Ohio	35	24	8	1	1	1	3	Boise, Idaho	67	53	10	1	2	1	10
Chicago, III.	358	233	83	30	8	4	33	Colo. Springs, Colo.	68	52	7	6	1	2	4
Cincinnati, Ohio	100	51	30	10	3	6	9	Las Vegas Nev	265	166	24 71	9 17	5 6	4 5	22
Cleveland, Ohio	284	216	57	7	1	3		Ogden, Utah	35	25	5	5	_	_	4
Columbus, Ohio	284	209	48	19	1	1	40	Phoenix, Ariz.	174	111	35	9	7	8	19
Detroit Mich	175	98	29 50	15	4	8	11	Pueblo, Colo.	46	35	8	2	1		4
Evansville, Ind.	56	40	14	1	1	_	5	Salt Lake City, Utah	118	65	14	8	4	3	7
Fort Wayne, Ind.	78	56	12	6	3	1	11	Tucson, Ariz.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Gary, Ind.	21	12	5	4	_	_	3	PACIFIC	1,915	1,386	343	103	54	29	187
Grand Rapids, Mich.	75 207	60 144	11	1		3	5 1/	Berkeley, Calif.	13	8	2	2	1	_	8
Lansing Mich	48	34	6	4	1	3	4	Glendale Calif	24	21	20		-	_	6
Milwaukee, Wis.	119	91	16	7	1	4	7	Honolulu, Hawaii	92	71	15	4	1	1	9
Peoria, III.	53	42	7	3	_	1	7	Long Beach, Calif.	68	48	12	5	2	1	6
Rockford, III.	54	38	14	1	_	1	4	Los Angeles, Calif.	329	241	51	22	13	2	32
South Bend, Ind.	58	46	10	2		_	/	Pasadena, Calif.	35	120	/ 27	1	1		12
Youngstown. Ohio	72	65	25 5	2		_	5	Sacramento, Calif.	201	142	38	9	7	5	15
WIN CENTRAL	674	454	147	24	25	1 /	66	San Diego, Calif.	197	145	33	11	6	2	20
Des Moines Iowa	64	434	147	2	25	14	8	San Francisco, Calif.	125	78	32	8	2	5	19
Duluth, Minn.	28	18	8	1	1	_	3	San Jose, Calif.	220	173	27	10	8	2	25
Kansas City, Kans.	29	20	7	1	1	_	6	Santa Uruz, Calit.	28 197	16 85	10 20	2	2	6	2
Kansas City, Mo.	95	62	22	5	4	2	4	Spokane, Wash.	44	33	9	1	_	1	10
Lincoln, Nebr.	41	32	6	2	1	-	3	Tacoma, Wash.	107	75	22	5	4	1	.0
Omaha Nehr	62	45 48	18	4	3	2	0 Q	ΤΟΤΑΙ	12 9891	8 808	2 686	826	279	268	1 059
St. Louis, Mo.	115	63	29	9	7	7	13		12,303"	0,000	2,000	520	213	200	1,000
St. Paul, Minn.	77	54	13	8	2	_	6								
Wichita, Kans.	92	69	17	2	3	1	8								

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. \* Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of ≥100,000. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

<sup>†</sup> Pneumonia and influenza.

<sup>§</sup> Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.

<sup>1</sup> Total includes unknown ages.

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy each week, send an e-mail message to *listserv@listserv.cdc.gov*. The body content should read *SUBscribe mmwr-toc*. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's World-Wide Web server at *http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr* or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at *ftp://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/publications/mmwr*. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone 202-512-1800.

Data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, to Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop E-96, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone 888-232-3228.

All material in the MMWR Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

All MMWR references are available on the Internet at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr. Use the search function to find specific articles.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to *MMWR* readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of these sites. URL addresses listed in *MMWR* were current as of the date of publication.

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 2005-733-116/00084 Region IV ISSN: 0149-2195