

Weekly

# November 4, 2005 / Vol. 54 / No. 43

# National Diabetes Awareness Month — November 2005

In 2005, an estimated 20.8 million persons in the United States, approximately 7% of the population, have diabetes; however, only 14.6 million of these persons have had the disease diagnosed (I). Persons with diabetes have a risk for premature death approximately twice that of persons of similar ages without diabetes. In 2002, diabetes was the sixth leading cause of death in the United States, with associated direct and indirect costs totaling an estimated \$132 billion (I).

November is National Diabetes Awareness Month; throughout the month, MMWR will publish reports on diabetes. CDC is working in conjunction with the 50 states, eight territories, and the District of Columbia to reach populations at greatest risk for diabetes, including American Indians/Alaska Natives (AI/ANs) and Hispanics. AI/ANs are 2.2 times more likely to have diabetes than non-Hispanic whites of similar ages (1). The CDC Native Diabetes Wellness Program is developing books to teach children and parents about healthy eating and physical activity, two important factors in diabetes prevention. In addition, the CDC National Diabetes Education Program is working with a Spanish-language television network to introduce a diabetes prevention and care theme into a telenovela (serial drama). Additional information about diabetes is available from CDC at http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes.

#### Reference

1. CDC. National diabetes fact sheet: general information and national estimates on diabetes in the United States, 2005. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2005. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pubs/factsheet05.htm.

# Incidence of End-Stage Renal Disease Among Persons With Diabetes — United States, 1990–2002

Diabetes mellitus is the leading cause of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) (i.e., kidney failure requiring dialysis or transplantation) in the United States, accounting for 44% of new cases of treated ESRD in 2002 (1). To examine trends in ESRD attributed to diabetes mellitus (ESRD-DM) in the United States, CDC analyzed 1990-2002 data from the United States Renal Data System (USRDS) and the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS). This report summarizes the findings of that analysis, which indicated that, although the number of new cases of ESRD-DM increased overall, the incidence of ESRD-DM among persons with diabetes is not increasing among blacks,\* Hispanics, men, and persons aged 65–74 years, and is declining among persons aged <65 years, women, and whites. Continued interventions to reduce the prevalence of risk factors for kidney disease and improve diabetes care are needed to sustain and improve these trends.

USRDS, which is funded by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases of the National

# INSIDE

- 1100 Global Measles and Rubella Laboratory Network, January 2004–June 2005
- 1104 Update: Ralstonia Species Associated with Vapotherm Oxygen Delivery Devices — United States, 2005
- 1105 Update: West Nile Virus Activity United States, 2005
- 1106 Notice to Readers
- 1107 QuickStats

<sup>\*</sup> For this report, race and ethnicity were considered independently. The only racial populations considered were black and white; persons who identified themselves as black or white might be Hispanic or non-Hispanic. Persons who identified themselves as Hispanic might be of any race.

The *MMWR* series of publications is published by the Coordinating Center for Health Information and Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA 30333.

#### **SUGGESTED CITATION**

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Article title]. MMWR 2005;54:[inclusive page numbers].

#### **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention**

Julie L. Gerberding, MD, MPH Director

Dixie E. Snider, MD, MPH Chief Science Officer

Tanja Popovic, MD, PhD Associate Director for Science

## Coordinating Center for Health Information and Service

Steven L. Solomon, MD Director

#### **National Center for Health Marketing**

Jay M. Bernhardt, PhD, MPH Director

#### **Division of Scientific Communications**

Maria S. Parker (*Acting*) *Director* 

Mary Lou Lindegren, MD Editor, MMWR Series

Suzanne M. Hewitt, MPA Managing Editor, MMWR Series

Douglas W. Weatherwax (Acting) Lead Technical Writer-Editor

> Stephanie M. Neitzel Jude C. Rutledge *Writers-Editors*

Lynda G. Cupell Malbea A. LaPete *Visual Information Specialists* 

Quang M. Doan, MBA Erica R. Shaver Information Technology Specialists

#### Notifiable Disease Morbidity and 122 Cities Mortality Data


Patsy A. Hall Deborah A. Adams Felicia J. Connor Rosaline Dhara Donna R. Edwards Tambra McGee Pearl C. Sharp Institutes of Health (NIH), collects, analyzes, and distributes information from clinical and claims data reports to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) regarding patients being treated for ESRD. With the ESRD entitlement program, the CMS Medicare program reimburses most of the total cost of ESRD treatment in the United States (1). USRDS collects demographic data and ESRD-related information, such as the date patients were first treated and the primary cause of their renal failure. CDC determined the number of persons who began treatment (i.e., dialysis or kidney transplantation) for ESRD in the United States during 1990-2002 for whom diabetes was the primary cause of renal failure. Incidence was calculated from 3-year moving averages of the annual number of U.S. residents with diabetes, as estimated by NHIS data for a weighted sample of the civilian noninstitutionalized population and age-adjusted on the basis of the 2000 U.S. standard population. In 1996, the NHIS estimate of the number of U.S. residents with diabetes was unusually low<sup> $\dagger$ </sup> (2), resulting in ESRD-DM incidence that was higher than expected. Beginning in 1997, data on Hispanics were collected, and the NHIS survey methodology was changed; instead of asking a one-sixth subsample of respondents whether (during the preceding 12 months) a family member had diabetes, all respondents were asked whether a health professional had ever told them they had diabetes (3). All analyses were conducted using statistical analysis software to account for the complex NHIS survey design. Regression analyses of annual data were used to test for trends; these analyses were performed both with and without the 1996 data.

The number of persons who began treatment for ESRD-DM increased 162%, from 16,649 in 1990 to 43,638 in 2002 (Figure 1). The age-adjusted incidence of ESRD-DM increased from 247 per 100,000 persons with diabetes in 1990 to 305 in 1996, before declining 21%, from 293 in 1997 to 232 in 2002 (p<0.01) (Figure 1). However, the magnitude of this decline in ESRD-DM incidence varied by age group (Figure 2). During 1997–2002, incidence decreased for persons aged <65 years (by 28% for those aged <45 years [p<0.01] and by 19% for those aged 45–64 years [p<0.05]); however, incidence did not change significantly for those aged  $\geq$ 75 years (p<0.05).

The magnitude of change in ESRD-DM incidence also differed by sex and by race/ethnicity (Figure 3). During 1990– 2002, age-adjusted ESRD-DM incidence was greater among men than women and higher among blacks than whites. During 1997–2002, age-adjusted ESRD-DM incidence decreased


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Relative to 1995, the 1996 NHIS sample size was reduced by approximately 25% in the first and second quarters and by approximately 50% in the third and fourth quarters.

FIGURE 1. Number of persons who began treatment for endstage renal disease associated with diabetes mellitus (ESRD-DM) and age-adjusted rate\* of ESRD-DM among persons with diabetes — United States Renal Data System, 1990–2002



\* Per 100,000 persons with diabetes, age-adjusted on the basis of the 2000 U.S. standard population.

FIGURE 2. Rate\* of end-stage renal disease associated with diabetes mellitus among persons with diabetes, by age group — United States Renal Data System, 1990–2002




\* Per 100,000 persons with diabetes.

significantly among women (p<0.05) but not among men. Incidence also decreased significantly among whites (p<0.01) but not among blacks; the trend among Hispanics did not change significantly.

**Reported by:** NR Burrows, MPH, J Wang, LS Geiss, MA, KM Venkat Narayan, MD, MM Engelgau, MD, Div of Diabetes Translation, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, CDC.

**Editorial Note:** ESRD is a costly and disabling condition that disproportionately affects racial/ethnic minority populations

FIGURE 3. Age-adjusted rate\* of end-stage renal disease associated with diabetes mellitus among persons with diabetes, by sex and race/ethnicity<sup>†</sup> — United States Renal Data System, 1990–2002



\* Per 100,000 persons with diabetes, age-adjusted on the basis of the 2000 , U.S. standard population.

<sup>†</sup> Race and ethnicity were considered independently. The only racial populations considered were black and white; persons who identified themselves as black or white might be Hispanic or non-Hispanic. Persons who identified themselves as Hispanic might be of any race.

and is associated with a high mortality rate (1). Risk factors for ESRD-DM include familial and genetic factors, the length of time a person has had diabetes, and hyperglycemia, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia (4). The findings in this report indicate encouraging trends in ESRD-DM incidence. After increasing from 1990 to 1996, ESRD-DM incidence decreased during 1997–2002 among persons aged <65 years, women, and whites; stopped increasing among persons aged 65-74 years, men, and blacks; and remained level among Hispanics. The reasons for improvement cannot be determined from these surveillance data; however, they might include a reduction in the prevalence of cardiovascular disease risk factors such as high blood pressure and high cholesterol (5), improvements in diabetes care practices (6), or development of new pharmacologic agents to reduce the prevalence of kidney disease risk factors (7). Continued interventions (e.g., blood sugar and blood pressure control [8-10]) to reduce the prevalence of these risk factors and improve care among persons with diabetes are needed to sustain and improve trends in ESRD-DM incidence.

During 1997–2002, ESRD-DM incidence among men, blacks, persons aged 65–74 years, and Hispanics did not decrease as it did among certain other populations; among persons aged  $\geq$ 75 years, ESRD-DM incidence increased during 1990–2002. Additional strategies are needed to reduce these disparities. Reducing incidence of ESRD-DM among persons aged  $\geq$ 75 years likely will be difficult because persons with diabetes are surviving longer and ESRD typically occurs 15–20 years after onset of diabetes (4). Moreover, the number of ESRD cases in the United States is likely to continue to increase as the U.S. population ages and the number of persons with diabetes continues to increase. The downward trend in ESRD incidence in the population with diabetes might reverse if persons have diabetes at younger ages or live with the disease for a longer time, thus increasing their risk for developing ESRD.

The findings in this report are subject to at least four limitations. First, data were collected for patients whose ESRD treatment was reported to CMS and do not include patients who died from ESRD before receiving treatment, persons who refused treatment, or patients whose treatment was not reported to CMS. Second, the 1996 NHIS estimate of the number of U.S. residents with diabetes was unusually low (2); however, exclusion of 1996 data did not substantially affect incidence trends. Third, because incidence of ESRD-DM was defined as the percentage of persons with diabetes who began ESRD treatment in a given year, changes in incidence might have been caused by other factors, such as changes in diabetes treatment and care practices, greater recognition of the etiologic role of diabetes in ESRD, changes in access to treatment or acceptance of ESRD treatment, or a combination of these factors. Finally, the correlation between the length of time diabetes patients had the disease and their risk for developing ESRD-DM was not assessed because of a lack of data on duration of diabetes.

CDC provides resources and technical assistance to state and territorial diabetes-control programs to help them 1) educate persons regarding diabetes, 2) improve and monitor the quality of diabetes care, and 3) promote early detection of diabetic complications. The National Diabetes Education Program (NDEP), sponsored by CDC and NIH, aims to educate the public about controlling diabetes and preventing its complications. The NDEP campaign, "Know your ABCs,"§ addresses risk factors for ESRD-DM, such as hyperglycemia, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia. In addition, the National Kidney Disease Education Program,<sup>9</sup> sponsored by NIH, seeks to raise public awareness about the seriousness of kidney disease, the importance of testing for kidney disease among those at risk, and the availability of treatment to prevent or slow kidney failure. Similarly, the National Kidney Foundation offers the Kidney Early Evaluation Program,\*\* a free health-screening program for persons at increased risk for kidney disease.

CDC will continue to work with public and private partners to reduce rates of diabetes and other risk factors for kidney disease and to improve care for persons with diabetes. Continued surveillance of ESRD-DM, its risk factors, and the level of care received by patients with diabetes will help public health officials monitor and assess progress in reducing the incidence of this serious complication of diabetes.

#### References

- United States Renal Data System. Annual data report, 2004. Minneapolis, MN: USRDS Coordinating Center; 2004. Available at http:// www.usrds.org/adr\_2004.htm.
- 2. Engelgau MM, Geiss LS, Saaddine JB, et al. The evolving diabetes burden in the United States. Ann Intern Med 2004;140:945–50.
- CDC. 1997 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) public use data release: NHIS survey description. Hyattsville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2000. Available at ftp://ftp.cdc. gov/pub/health\_statistics/nchs/dataset\_documentation/nhis/1997/ srvydesc.pdf.
- 4. Nelson RG, Knowler WC, Pettitt DJ, Bennett PH. Kidney diseases in diabetes. In: Diabetes in America. 2nd ed. Harris MI, Cowie CC, Stern MP, Boyko EJ, Reiber GE, Bennett PH, eds. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health; 1995. DHHS publication no. (NIH)95-1468; 361–6.
- Imperatore G, Cadwell BL, Geiss L, et al. Thirty-year trends in cardiovascular risk factor levels among US adults with diabetes: National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys, 1971–2000. Am J Epidemiol 2004;160:531–9.
- Geiss L, Engelgau M, Pogach L, et al. A national progress report on diabetes: successes and challenges. Diabetes Technol Ther 2005; 7:198–203.
- 7. Parving HH, Lehnert H, Brochner-Mortensen J, Gomis R, Andersen S, Arner P; Irbesartan in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Microalbuminuria Study Group. The effect of irbesartan on the development of diabetic nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2001;345:870–8.
- 8. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 1993;329:977–86.
- UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group. Intensive blood-glucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33). Lancet 1998;352:837–53.
- UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group. Tight blood pressure control and risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 38). BMJ 1998;317:703–13.

# Global Measles and Rubella Laboratory Network, January 2004–June 2005

Measles continues to be a leading cause of childhood morbidity and mortality in developing countries and an outbreak threat in the majority of countries. In 2000, measles was considered the fifth leading cause of childhood mortality, and the World Health Organization (WHO) estimated that approximately 777,000 measles-associated deaths occurred worldwide. In 2001, WHO and the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) developed a 5-year strategic plan, endorsed by the World Health Assembly in 2003, to reduce measles mortality

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup> Available at http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/ndep/campaigns.htm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>¶</sup> Available at http://www.nkdep.nih.gov.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Available at http://www.kidney.org/keep.

by 50% by 2005 (relative to 1999 estimates) and to achieve and maintain interruption of indigenous measles transmission in large geographic areas with established measles elimination goals. This plan included strengthening routine vaccination coverage, providing a second opportunity for measles immunization to children, improving measles case management, and improving surveillance with laboratory confirmation of suspected measles cases (1). To date, four of six WHO regions have established measles elimination targets: the Americas Region (AMR) by 2000, the European Region (EUR) by 2010, the Eastern Mediterranean Region (EMR) by 2010, and the Western Pacific Region (WPR) by 2012. The remaining two WHO regions, the African (AFR) and South East Asian (SEAR) regions, are continuing work toward the measles mortality reduction goal. Likewise, to reduce the burden of disease from congenital rubella syndrome (CRS), currently estimated at 100,000 cases per year worldwide, several countries have developed or continue to develop rubella control programs, and AMR and EUR have established regional rubella elimination and CRS reduction goals, respectively. Because improved global surveillance is essential for monitoring progress toward mortality reduction and elimination of these diseases, WHO established the Measles and Rubella Laboratory Network (LabNet) in 2003 to promote case identification and confirmation. This report provides an update on the development of LabNet during January 2004-June 2005 and describes the geographic distribution of measles and rubella virus genotypes as of June 2005.

# LabNet

On the basis of the model provided by the WHO Polio Laboratory Network, WHO established the Global Measles Laboratory Network (GMLN) in 2000 to 1) provide laboratory confirmation of initial measles cases during outbreaks, 2) collect baseline measles genotype information on the regional distribution of circulating viruses useful in establishing transmission pathways of disease spread, and 3) monitor the success of vaccination campaigns and the integrity of elimination programs (2). Because of the similar nature of clinical surveillance and diagnostic assay procedures, GMLN also provided diagnostic support for rubella control programs and has since evolved into LabNet.

Clinical recognition of cases has low positive predictive value when the incidence of measles and rubella is low. Thus, LabNet selected highly sensitive and specific, commercially available, IgM enzyme immunoassays (EIAs) for laboratory confirmation of suspected cases of measles and rubella. LabNet includes IgM testing laboratories serving 162 countries and is still expanding. A total of 705 laboratories participate in the network, which consists of three global specialized laboratories, 16 regional reference laboratories, 178 national laboratories, and 508 subnational laboratories. More than 86,000 serum samples were tested for IgM for measles and rubella in 2004, often meeting result-reporting targets of at least 80% within 7 days of receiving the sample (Table).

The network has expanded in all WHO regions since 2003 but particularly in the WPR and AFR regions. In September 2005, WPR adopted the goal of measles elimination, with strengthening of laboratory testing as a key component of its measles surveillance strategy. AFR has implemented strategies for measles mortality reduction and has established laboratory-based surveillance before, or at times coincident with, countries beginning measles supplementary immunization activities. Thirty-six of 46 AFR countries have established measles laboratories as part of LabNet, with staff who have received training from regional or global laboratories. LabNet has been developed with a long-term objective of responsiveness to developing public health priorities in the WHO regions. For example, the laboratory network established in AMR supports 1) pursuing regional elimination goals for rubella and CRS and 2) continuing case-based investigations of measles now that elimination of indigenous measles from the region has been achieved.

TABLE, LabNet workload and perform	ance, by World Health (	Prognization (WHO) region -	– worldwide, January 2004–June 2005
TABLE: EUSITIC NOTIGOUGUITA POTOTI			

			January–E	ecember 2	004		January–June 2005					
	No. of		IqM positive			%	No. of		IgM pos	sitive		% reported within
	serum specimens	Measles		Rul	bella	reported within	serum specimens	Measles		Ru	ubella	
WHO region	received	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	7 days*	received	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	7 days*
African	15,896	2,715	(17.0)	4,601	(28.9)	80%	8,893	2,282	(25.7)	1,278	(14.4)	91%
Americas	26,830	108	(0.4)	3,103	(11.6)	86%	14,413	26	(0.2)	955	(6.6)	79%
Eastern Mediterranean	6,784	2,747	(40.5)	1,092	(16.1)	90%	2,136	428	(2.0)	324	(15.2)	86%
European	34,161	2,886	(8.4)	3,091	(9.0)	40%	17,593	2,616	(14.9)	1,771	(10.1)	57%
South East Asian	2,534	1,589	(62.7)	199	(7.9)	>80%	2,372	962	(40.6)	747	(31.5)	>80%
Western Pacific	NA <sup>†</sup>	NA	ŇÁ	NA	ŇÁ	—	5,764	333	(5.8)	2,547	(44.2)	63%
Total	86,205	10,045	(11.7)	12,086	(14.0)	_	51,171	6,647	(13.0)	7,622	(14.9)	_

Within 5 days for the Americas Region.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>T</sup>Not available.

# **Performance Monitoring**

A comprehensive system for monitoring indicators of laboratory performance, including proficiency testing and annual laboratory accreditation by WHO and/or regional laboratories, has been implemented in all regions. Six quality indicators\* are monitored during the 12-month review period, and a comprehensive onsite review of laboratory activities, procedures, and communication links is performed every 2–3 years. All regions have begun this process, with priority given to regions with a high burden of measles, such as AFR, SEAR, and EMR. Sixty-two (43%) of 144 national and regional reference laboratories in these three regions have been assessed, with only one failing to receive accreditation.

The IgM proficiency testing program is in its fifth year, and more than 160 panels of 20 sera will be distributed in 2005. Analysis of the 2004 measles proficiency panel resulted in 90% of 100 national laboratories achieving the pass score of at least 90%. Laboratories that fail the test are visited by WHO laboratory program officials. Problems usually are identified rapidly, deficiencies are corrected, and the laboratories are permitted to attempt the proficiency tests again. (WHO, unpublished data, 2005); however, limited data are available for rubella. IgM in dried blood and oral fluid is stable at (68°F [20°C]) for up to 1 week; however, additional data are needed regarding stability at higher temperatures.

# **Virus Characterization**


Because molecular epidemiologic techniques provide an important tool for tracking viral transmission pathways, LabNet also supports genetic characterization of currently circulating strains of measles and rubella viruses. LabNet has standardized the nomenclature and laboratory procedures used to describe the genetic characteristics of wild-type measles (3-6) and rubella viruses (7,8); these protocols are included in all WHO-sponsored laboratory training courses. This standardization has allowed sharing of virologic surveillance data among laboratories and permitted efficient communication of these data throughout the measles and rubella control programs.

WHO currently recognizes 23 genotypes of measles virus. Although virologic surveillance for measles is still incomplete, a pattern for the global distribution of genotypes within disease-endemic regions is emerging (Figure 1). In countries

# Alternative Specimen Collection

LabNet is active in developing new techniques to improve laboratory surveillance. Dried blood and oral fluid samples as an alternative to serum have been evaluated recently for measles and rubella testing. These sampling techniques might be useful when countries have difficulty in collecting venepuncture blood from infants or transporting samples under conditions of reverse cold chain to a testing laboratory. Good concordance of both oral fluid and dried blood samples with parallel serum samples was documented for measles using commercially available assays

FIGURE 1. Geographic distribution of measles virus genotypes for regions that have not yet eliminated measles transmission,\* 1995–2005



\* The countries in the western hemisphere and Australia have eliminated measles and are not shown. <sup>†</sup> In western Europe, genotype D7 was the most commonly reported genotype. Australia, Spain, the United Kingdom, and the countries of the western hemisphere have reported multiple genotypes attributed to importation.

<sup>\*</sup> Annual accreditation requires meeting the following six criteria: 1) test results are reported on at least 80% of received samples within 7 days of receipt, 2) serologic/reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) tests are performed on at least 100 specimens annually, 3) accuracy of diagnostic assays for measles and rubella IgM or RT-PCR identification is at least 90%, 4) internal quality control procedures for IgM assays are in place, 5) proficiency test score of at least 90% on WHOdistributed serum panel is achieved, and 6) the score from the annual onsite review of laboratory operating procedures and practices is at least 80%.

that have not yet interrupted measles transmission, the sequence analysis of measles isolates has revealed a limited geographic distribution of genotypes, whereas in countries that have eliminated measles, several genotypes have been detected in association with limited outbreaks, reflecting the various imported sources of these viruses.

The systematic nomenclature for wild-type rubella viruses developed in 2004 and 2005 is an important advance in virologic surveillance for rubella. Seven genotypes and three additional provisional genotypes of rubella virus are recognized by WHO (Figure 2). These genotypes are classified into two clades (i.e., groups of similar genotypes), designated 1 and 2; clade 2 viruses have not been found circulating in the western hemisphere. Although knowledge concerning the geographic distribution of rubella genotypes has progressed substantially since 2003, the genotypes of rubella viruses present in many countries and regions remain unknown. LabNet encourages the collection and storage of viruses for genetic characterization. Reported by: PA Rota, PhD, JP Icenogle, PhD, JS Rota, MPH,

WJ Bellini, PhD, Div of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.

Editorial Note: Measles and rubella elimination and control programs depend on effective global surveillance. LabNet promotes case identification and confirmation, thus improving the quality of disease surveillance and furthering progress toward elimination of these diseases. The development of LabNet has progressed rapidly during the past 5 years. More

than 190 national and regional reference laboratories have been equipped and trained to perform IgM ELISA procedures, and the number of measles serum samples tested in 2004 has increased 32% compared with 2003. Many countries have taken the opportunity to use this capability and expand their laboratory-based surveillance by testing for diseases endemic in their respective regions that have similar clinical features (e.g., dengue, parvovirus B19, and HHV-6) or where similar diagnostic assays might be used (e.g., yellow fever and Japanese encephalitis). Virologic surveillance data, when analyzed in conjunction with standard epidemiologic data, can help document viral transmission pathways and aid in case classification. If baseline information regarding circulating genotypes is available, molecular epidemiologic data can also help to document the elimination of endemic transmission and, therefore, provide a means to measure the effectiveness of control programs. Virologic surveillance has provided evidence of the interruption of endemic transmission of measles virus in the western hemisphere (9) and rubella virus in the United States (10). However, epidemiologic and molecular surveillance activities, coupled with active vaccination programs, must be continued as long as the threat of disease importation exists.

As new laboratories are established, surveillance improves, and laboratory workloads increase, important challenges remain in maintaining quality and meeting the resource needs of the measles and rubella LabNet. These challenges include identifying funding resources for laboratory supplies for measles and


> rubella testing and encouraging countries to integrate these costs into national surveillance budgets whenever possible. In addition, partners must pursue a means of 1) gaining access to data from laboratories in countries with extensive private laboratory structures for measles and/or rubella surveillance and 2) expanding the quality-assurance program for all laboratories within LabNet, including those at the subnational level.<sup>†</sup>

#### Acknowledgments

This report is based on data contributed by the member laboratories of the WHO Global Measles and Rubella Laboratory



FIGURE 2. Geographic distribution of rubella virus genotypes — worldwide, 1995–2005\*



\* Genotype data represent a summary of information from several laboratories that was made available in July 2005.

Viruses were characterized after importation into another country.

<sup>§</sup>Certain countries reduced indigenous rubella to low levels or have eliminated it during this period (e.g., Canada, Cuba, the United Kingdom, and the United States).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>In accordance with the consensus of the Third WHO Global Measles and Rubella Laboratory Network Meeting held in Geneva, Switzerland, on August 25-26, 2005. The meeting was attended by representatives from all the global specialized and regional reference laboratories in LabNet, laboratory coordinators from all six WHO regions, and key partners.

Network. PM Strebel, MBChB, DA Featherstone, Immunization, Vaccines, and Biologicals, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland. L Cairns, MD, V Dietz, MD, Global Measles Br, Global Immunization Div, National Immunization Program, CDC.

#### References

- 1. World Health Organization. Measles mortality reduction and regional elimination strategic plan 2001–2005. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2001. WHO/V&B/01/13.
- Featherstone D, Brown D, Sanders R. Development of the Global Measles Laboratory Network. J Infect Dis 2003;187(Suppl 1):S264–9.
- World Health Organization. Standardization of the nomenclature for describing the genetic characteristics of wild-type measles viruses. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 1998;73:265–9.
- World Health Organization. Nomenclature for describing the genetic characteristics of wild-type measles viruses (update), part I. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2001;76:241–7.
- World Health Organization. Nomenclature for describing the genetic characteristics of wild-type measles viruses (update), part II. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2001;76:249–51.
- 6. World Health Organization. New genotype of measles virus and update on global distribution of measles genotypes. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2005;80:347–51.
- 7. World Health Organization. Standardization of the nomenclature for genetic characteristics of wild-type rubella viruses. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2005;80:126–32.
- World Health Organization. Rubella nomenclature meeting recommendations. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2004. Available at http://www.mayeticvillage.com/who-rubellagenotype. User name: rubella; password: rubella.
- CDC. Progress toward measles elimination—Region of the Americas, 2002–2003. MMWR 2004;53:304–6.
- CDC. Achievements in public health: elimination of rubella and congenital rubella syndrome—United States, 1969–2004. MMWR 2005; 54:279–82.

# Update: *Ralstonia* Species Associated with Vapotherm Oxygen Delivery Devices — United States, 2005

This report updates information on *Ralstonia* species associated with Vapotherm<sup>TM</sup> oxygen delivery devices (Vapotherm Inc., Stevensville, Maryland) (1). CDC has obtained new information from a test developed by CDC and performed by The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (Pennsylvania) to assess the efficacy of the new chlorine dioxide disinfection protocol recommended by Vapotherm. Although limited, this information suggests that the new protocol for disinfecting Vapotherm devices and cartridges might not achieve sustained bacterial control in certain situations. At this time, the optimal protocol to disinfect machines and cartridges that might contain very heavy biofilms is not known.

Before development of the new disinfection protocol in October 2005, certain institutions had reported no growth of

*Ralstonia* spp. in samples obtained from machines and cartridges disinfected according to the previous protocol. In addition, in an experiment conducted by an independent laboratory contracted by Vapotherm, a laboratory-generated biofilm (consisting of a mix of organisms) was grown in a Vapotherm device and cartridge for 3 weeks. The device and cartridge were then subjected to the new chlorine dioxide disinfection protocol. Results from this trial revealed no growth during the 4 days after disinfection.

However, in a single trial designed by CDC and involving one machine, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia subjected a Vapotherm device and used filter cartridge to the new chlorine dioxide disinfection protocol. The device and cartridge were known to be contaminated with *Ralstonia* spp., and the unit had been out of service and not disinfected for multiple weeks. Samples obtained immediately after disinfection grew no organisms. The trial was initially designed to run for 30 days; however, after 7 days of continuous operation of the unit with no patient contact, samples from both the vapor condensate and the filter cartridge grew *Ralstonia* spp. in culture at CDC.

Whether the presence of an unusually heavy biofilm in the machine and cartridge in the hospital experiment resulted in the failure to eradicate *Ralstonia* spp. is unknown. Similarly, the impact of testing a laboratory-generated biofilm instead of a use-generated biofilm is not known. The varying results achieved with the new disinfection protocol might indicate that its efficacy depends on the maturity of any biofilm contained within Vapotherm machines or cartridges.

Testing is being conducted by a private laboratory and CDC to further assess the efficacy of and possible improvements to the new disinfection protocol; CDC continues to search for the source of *Ralstonia* spp. contamination in Vapotherm devices. Clinicians should continue to weigh the potential risks for *Ralstonia* spp. contamination of Vapotherm devices against the benefits of using the device in patients requiring humidified oxygen therapy.

Clinicians are encouraged to report findings of *Ralstonia* spp. in patients using any Vapotherm 2000 respiratory gas administration device directly to the device manufacturer, local or state health departments, or CDC by telephone, 800-893-0485. Cases or any other adverse events related to medical devices should be reported to MedWatch, the Food and Drug Administration's voluntary reporting program online at http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/medwatch; by telephone, 800-FDA-1088; by fax, 800-FDA-0178; or by mail, MedWatch, Food and Drug Administration, HF-2, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857.

TABLE 1. Number of human cases of West Nile virus (WNV)

1105

**Reported by:** The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Div of Healthcare Quality Promotion, National Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.

#### Reference

1. CDC. *Ralstonia* associated with Vapotherm oxygen delivery device— United States, 2005. MMWR 2005;54:1052–3.


# Update: West Nile Virus Activity — United States, 2005

This report summarizes West Nile virus (WNV) surveillance data reported to CDC through ArboNET as of 3 a.m. Mountain Standard Time, November 1, 2005.

Forty-two states have reported 2,581 cases of human WNV illness in 2005 (Figure and Table 1). By comparison, a total of 2,241 WNV cases had been reported as of November 2, 2004 (Table 2). A total of 1,359 (56%) of the 2,419 cases for which such data were available in 2005 occurred in males; the median age of patients was 51 years (range: 3 months–98 years). Dates of illness onset ranged from January 2 to October 21; a total of 83 cases were fatal.

A total of 374 presumptive West Nile viremic blood donors (PVDs) have been reported to ArboNET during 2005. Of these, 87 were reported from California; 57 from Texas; 53 from Nebraska; 22 from Louisiana; 20 from Arizona; 19 from Kansas; 17 from Iowa; 16 from South Dakota; 13 from Oklahoma; 11 from Minnesota; 10 from Illinois; five each from Michigan, New Mexico, and North Dakota; four each from Alabama, Pennsylvania, and Utah; three each from Nevada and Wisconsin; two each from Colorado, Indiana, Mississippi, Montana, and Ohio; and one each from Idaho, Kentucky,

# FIGURE. Areas reporting West Nile virus (WNV) activity — United States, 2005\*



\* As of November 1, 2005.

1	leuroinvasive	West Nile	Other clinical/		
State	disease <sup>†</sup>	fever§	unspecified <sup>1</sup>	Total**	Deaths
Alabama	6	3	0	9	2
Arizona	41	42	19	102	4
Arkansas	8	13	0	21	0
California	269	476	79	824	18
Colorado	19	72	0	91	2
Connecticut	4	2	0	6	1
Delaware	1	0	0	2	0
Florida	8	13	0	21	1
Georgia	7	6	5	17	1
Idaho	2	7	4	13	0
Illinois	130	86	25	241	8
Indiana	10	1	11	22	1
Iowa	12	18	6	36	2
Kansas	8	4	0	12	1
Kentucky	4	0	0	4	1
Louisiana	78	33	0	111	6
Maryland	4	1	0	5	0
Massachusett	s 4	1	0	5	0
Michigan	34	4	10	48	4
Minnesota	17	26	0	43	3
Mississippi	39	31	0	70	6
Missouri	13	12	0	25	1
Montana	8	17	0	25	0
Nebraska	26	64	0	90	1
Nevada	13	15	2	30	0
New Jersey	2	2	0	4	0
New Mexico	18	13	0	31	2
New York	10	4	0	14	1
North Carolina	a 2	1	0	3	0
North Dakota	12	74	0	86	0
Ohio	44	12	0	56	1
Oklahoma	9	7	0	16	0
Oregon	0	5	0	5	0
Pennsylvania	14	11	0	25	0
Rhode Island	1	0	0	1	0
South Carolin	a 4	0	0	4	1
South Dakota	35	196	4	235	2
Tennessee	12	1	0	13	1
Texas	92	47	0	139	9
Utah	21	30	0	51	1
Wisconsin	8	6	0	14	1
Wyoming	4	7	0	11	1
Total	1,053	1,363	165	2,581	83

\* As of November 1, 2005.

<sup>†</sup> Cases with neurologic manifestations (i.e., West Nile meningitis, West Nile encephalitis, and West Nile myelitis).

§ Cases with no evidence of neuroinvasion.

<sup>¶</sup> Illnesses for which sufficient clinical information was not provided.

\*\* Total number of human cases of WNV illness reported to ArboNET by state and local health departments.

# TABLE 2. Comparison of human cases and deaths from West Nile virus — United States, 2002–2005

Year	Human cases	Deaths
2002*	3,419	180
2003†	7,718	166
2004 <sup>§</sup>	2,241	76
2005 <sup>¶</sup>	2,581	83

\* As of October 30, 2002.

As of October 29, 2003.

<sup>§</sup>As of November 2, 2004.

<sup>¶</sup>As of November 1, 2005.

Missouri, New York, North Carolina, and Oregon. Of the 374 PVDs, three persons aged 53, 56, and 72 years subsequently had neuroinvasive illness; seven persons (median age: 41 years [range: 17–64 years]) subsequently had other illnesses; and 82 persons (median age: 46 years [range: 17–78 years]) subsequently had West Nile fever.

In addition, 4,179 dead corvids and 892 other dead birds with WNV infection have been reported from 45 states. WNV infections have been reported in horses in 34 states; five dogs in Idaho, Minnesota, and Nebraska; six squirrels in Arizona; and five unidentified animal species in four states (Arizona, Illinois, North Carolina, and Texas). WNV seroconversions have been reported in 1,365 sentinel chicken flocks from 16 states. Eight seropositive sentinel birds have been reported in Michigan. One seropositive sentinel horse was reported in Minnesota. A total of 11,061 WNV-positive mosquito pools have been reported from 43 states and the District of Columbia.

Additional information about national WNV activity is available from CDC at http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dvbid/ westnile/index.htm and at http://westnilemaps.usgs.gov.

## Notice to Readers


# **Availability of Maxi-Vac Alternative**

Maxi-Vac Alternative, a public use software program, is now available. Maxi-Vac Alternative was developed by CDC to help public health officials plan smallpox vaccination clinics in the event of a bioterrorist attack. Maxi-Vac Alternative allows planners to refine human resource allocations (e.g., physicians and nurses) at clinics, with the goal of maximizing patient flowthrough. Maxi-Vac Alternative is a companion program to Maxi-Vac 1.0, which was released in 2003. The two programs differ in terms of the time patients will require at each station (e.g., pre-vaccination screening and vaccination) and the selections the user can make for number of personnel, size of patient pre-vaccination orientation rooms, and the need for vaccination witnesses. Because no one scenario can describe all contingencies of an emergency mass smallpox vaccination campaign, users should examine both versions before deciding which version to use.

Both Maxi-Vac Alternative and Maxi-Vac 1.0 and their manuals can be downloaded from http://www.bt.cdc.gov/ agent/smallpox/vaccination/maxi-vac. Both programs and manuals are in the public domain and may be used and copied without permission; however, citation as to source (provided in the manuals and in online help functions) is appreciated.


# Erratum: Vol. 54, No. 40

In the Recommended Adult Immunization Schedule — United States, October 2005–September 2006, on page Q4, an error occurred in the first sentence under footnote 10, "Selected conditions for which Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib) vaccine may be used." The sentence should read as follows: "Hib conjugate vaccines are licensed for children aged **6 weeks**–71 months."



according to parental reports. Boys were three times more likely than girls to have diagnoses of ADHD without LD. Boys were also more likely than girls to have LD diagnosed, either with or without ADHD.

SOURCE: National Health Interview Survey, 2003. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhis.htm.



#### FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, United States, comparison of provisional 4-week totals October 29, 2005, with historical data

\* Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

TABLE I. Summary of provisional cases of selected r	otifiable diseases, United States, c	cumulative, week ending October	29, 2005 (43rd Week)*
---	--------------------------------------	---------------------------------	-----------------------

Disease	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Disease	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004
Anthrax	_	_	Hemolytic uremic syndrome, postdiarrheal <sup>†</sup>	146	143
Botulism:			HIV infection, pediatric <sup>+1</sup>	181	322
foodborne	12	8	Influenza-associated pediatric mortality**	44	_
infant	67	71	Measles	61††	25 <sup>§§</sup>
other (wound & unspecified)	22	14	Mumps	229	182
Brucellosis	84	80	Plague	3	2
Chancroid	24	21	Poliomyelitis, paralytic	1	_
Cholera	4	4	Psittacosis <sup>†</sup>	19	11
Cyclosporiasis <sup>†</sup>	705	198	Q fever <sup>†</sup>	120	55
Diphtheria	_	_	Rabies, human	2	6
Domestic arboviral diseases			Rubella	14	9
(neuroinvasive & non-neuroinvasive):	_	_	Rubella, congenital syndrome	1	_
California serogroup <sup>†§</sup>	46	115	SARS <sup>†</sup> **	—	-
eastern equinets	20	4	Smallpox <sup>†</sup>	_	_
Powassan <sup>†§</sup>	_	1	Staphylococcus aureus:		
St. Louis <sup>†§</sup>	7	13	Vancomycin-intermediate (VISA) <sup>†</sup>	—	_
western equine <sup>†§</sup>	_	_	Vancomycin-resistant (VRSA) <sup>†</sup>	_	1
Ehrlichiosis:	_	_	Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome <sup>†</sup>	95	115
human granulocytic (HGE)†	463	346	Tetanus	17	19
human monocytic (HME) <sup>†</sup>	375	260	Toxic-shock syndrome	82	75
human, other and unspecified <sup>†</sup>	67	63	Trichinellosis	15	2
Hansen disease <sup>†</sup>	63	84	Tularemia <sup>†</sup>	126	93
Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome <sup>†</sup>	19	19	Yellow fever	_	_

-: No reported cases.

Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

Not notifiable in all states.

§ Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (ArboNet Surveillance).

<sup>1</sup> Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last update June 26, 2005.

Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases.

t Of 61 cases reported, 51 were indigenous and 10 were imported from another country. § Of 25 cases reported, eight were indigenous and 17 were imported from another country.

<sup>¶</sup> Formerly Trichinosis.

(43rd Week)*	AIDS		Chla	mydia†	Coccidioidomycosis		Cryptosp	oridiosis
<b>D</b>	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.
Reporting area UNITED STATES	2005§ 20,405	<b>2004</b> 34,502	2005 753,433	2004 761,851	<b>2005</b> 3,774	4,864	2005 6,061	<b>2004</b> 3,067
NEW ENGLAND	778	1,129	25,775	25,080			291	158
Maine	11	23	1,845	1,717	N	Ν	24	18
N.H. Vt. <sup>1</sup>	20 4	39 14	1,530	1,442	_	_	30	29 23
Mass.	4 368	425	779 11,475	939 11,036	_	_	35 118	23 57
R.I.	68	114	2,732	2,832	_	_	11	4
Conn.	307	514	7,414	7,114	N	N	73	27
MID. ATLANTIC	4,352	7,360	95,943	92,944	_	_	2,627	493
Upstate N.Y.	800	837	19,012	18,845	N	N	2,251	152
N.Y. City N.J.	2,327 574	4,039 1,229	30,639 15,344	28,513 14,695	N	N	103 48	118 41
Pa.	651	1,255	30,948	30,891	N	N	225	182
E.N. CENTRAL	1,938	2,816	122,268	134,636	8	13	1,321	931
Ohio	312	540	32,532	32,738	N	N	711	198
Ind.	236	326	16,371	15,435	N	N	64	69
III. Mich.	983 322	1,274 535	36,987 21,007	39,492 31,118	8	13	128 89	144 133
Wis.	85	141	15,371	15,853	Ň	N	329	387
W.N. CENTRAL	463	710	46,843	47,064	5	6	523	348
Minn.	123	190	9,157	9,797	3	N	122	118
Iowa	50	57	5,882	5,771	N	N	101	72
Mo. N. Dak.	198 5	296 15	18,477 995	17,382 1,518	1 N	3 N	236 1	63 10
S. Dak.	10	8	2,305	2,096			24	33
Nebr. <sup>1</sup>	18	44	4,260	4,294	1	3	7	26
Kans.	59	100	5,767	6,206	N	N	32	26
S. ATLANTIC	6,473	10,881	144,948	143,941	1		575	459
Del. Md.	100 812	131 1,292	2,824 15,288	2,436 15,744	N 1	<u>N</u>	3 33	— 19
D.C.	467	785	3,085	2,953	_	_	10	14
Va. <sup>1</sup>	307	565	17,354	18,549			57	53
W.Va.	36	71	2,226	2,320	N	N	13 70	6 70
N.C. S.C. <sup>1</sup>	531 386	1,014 640	26,211 17,428	24,286 15,860	<u>N</u>	N	15	21
Ga.	1,103	1,375	25,246	26,934	_	_	98	161
Fla.	2,731	5,008	35,286	34,859	N	N	276	115
E.S. CENTRAL	1,093	1,646	56,749	49,828		5	186	124
Ky. Tenn. <sup>1</sup>	135 434	212 684	7,321 19,816	4,728 18,498	N N	N N	129 36	39 36
Ala. <sup>1</sup>	295	381	12,347	11,281			17	21
Miss.	229	369	17,265	15,321	_	5	4	28
W.S. CENTRAL	2,206	4,000	86,520	92,834	1	3	168	115
Ark.	72	183	7,248	6,651	_	1	4	13
La. Okla.	436 167	799 169	12,572 9,236	18,586 9,108	1 N	2 N	73 39	3 21
Tex. <sup>1</sup>	1,531	2,849	57,464	58,489	N	N	52	78
MOUNTAIN	789	1,233	43,334	46,462	2,645	3,038	108	148
Mont.	4	5	1,709	2,101	N	N	16	34
Idaho <sup>1</sup>	9	17	1,826	2,277	N	N	11	24
Wyo. Colo.	2 163	14 278	953 11,322	872 11,855	3 N	2 N	3 40	3 50
N. Mex.	72	164	4,394	7,442	13	20	4	16
Ariz.	329	454	14,414	13,490	2,592	2,943	10	15
Utah Nev. <sup>¶</sup>	33 177	53 248	3,609 5,107	3,088 5,337	5 32	21 52	15 9	4 2
PACIFIC	2,313	4,727		129,062		1,799		291
Wash.	2,313	4,727 348	131,053 15,402	129,062	1,114 N	1,799 N	262 43	33
Oreg. <sup>1</sup>	136	249	6,327	6,963	—		61	29
Calif.	1,874	3,981	103,342	99,867	1,114	1,799	154	227
Alaska Hawaii	14 60	43 106	3,273 2,709	3,178 4,501	_	_	3 1	2
Guam	1	1	_,, ; ; ; ; ;	803	_	_	· 	
P.R.	537	614	3,193	2,809	N	N	N	N
V.I.	10	18	119	290	—		—	_
Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	U 2	U U	U	U U	U	U U	U	U U
	۷	0		0		0		5

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 2005, and October 30, 2004 (43rd Week)\*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. \* Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date). \* Chlamydia refers to genital infections caused by *C. trachomatis.* \* Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last update June 26, 2005. \* Contains data reported through National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

(43rd Week)*		Eschori	<i>ichia coli</i> , Ente	rohomorrhagic						
		Escheri		n positive,	Shiga toxir	n positive.				
	015	57:H7	-	o non-0157	not seroe		Giardia	asis	Gond	orrhea
Reporting area	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004
UNITED STATES	1,976	2,140	284	248	272	157	14,823	16,161	259,801	270,274
NEW ENGLAND	142	138	46	41	28	14	1,374	1,517	4,649	5,788
Maine	14	14	11	_	_	_	180	126	116	183
N.H. Vt.	12 13	18 12	2 3	5	_	_	44 161	37 149	140 47	106 73
Mass.	55	57	6	13	28	14	581	669	2,030	2,608
R.I. Conn.	7 41	9 28	24	1 22	_	_	105 303	107 429	365 1,951	708 2,110
MID. ATLANTIC	262	247	31	54	27	34	2,748	3,365	27,845	30,089
Upstate N.Y.	115 13	109 35	16	35	10	17	1,007 682	1,122 925	5,646 8,291	6,195
N.Y. City N.J.	47	41	3	6	8	6	342	439	4,667	9,187 5,596
Pa.	87	62	12	13	9	11	717	879	9,241	9,111
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio	392 123	416 84	25 11	44 9	15 8	28 17	2,356 675	2,690 677	49,794 15,217	57,306 17,150
Ind.	56	47	_		_		N	N	6,505	5,667
III. Mich.	45 70	92 75	1	7 10	1 6	7 4	507 643	689 592	14,990 8,665	17,231 13,122
Wis.	98	118	12	18	_	_	531	732	4,417	4,136
W.N. CENTRAL	356	440	28	32	57	20	1,776	1,723	15,043	14,269
Minn. Iowa	120 72	102 113	11	13	38	4	810 228	619 249	2,606 1,307	2,434 1,036
Mo.	75	84	11	15	8	6	405	472	7,765	7,461
N. Dak. S. Dak.	6 23	13 31	3	_	1	6	12 85	20 50	69 298	96 234
Nebr.	23	61	3	4	4	_	81	124	954	898
Kans.	37	36		_	6	4	155	189	2,044	2,110
S. ATLANTIC Del.	176 7	152 3	75 N	29 N	102 N	42 N	2,142 46	2,467 42	63,309 731	65,365 742
Md.	31	21	28	5	9	3	163	114	5,798	6,754
D.C. Va.	37	1 33	 25	 15	20	_	42 460	62 438	1,739 6,339	2,196 7,403
W.Va.	1	2	_	_	1	_	35	34	623	762
N.C. S.C.	6		_	_	56 1	32	N 83	N 102	12,575 7,688	12,778 7,859
Ga.	28	19	18	6	_	_	496	748	11,620	11,879
Fla.	66	61	4	3	15	7	817	927	16,196	14,992
E.S. CENTRAL Ky.	115 39	89 24	8 5	5 1	26 16	15 9	354 N	351 N	22,701 2,528	21,948 2,156
Tenn.	41	36	2	2	10	6	181	187	7,309	6,997
Ala. Miss.	28 7	18 11	1	2	_	_	173	164	7,134 5,730	6,870 5,925
WISS. W.S. CENTRAL	44	75	13	3	8	4	271	275	34,786	36,171
Ark.	7	15	_	_		_	72	107	3,792	3,518
La. Okla.	3 21	4 17	11	1	3 1	_	48 151	43 125	6,950 3,666	8,734 3,875
Tex.	13	39	1	2	4	4	N	N	20,378	20,044
MOUNTAIN	188	216	52	39	9	—	1,182	1,269	9,298	9,878
Mont. Idaho	14 20	16 49	11	12	6	_	62 79	68 163	97 76	69 79
Wyo.	6	8	2	3	_	—	21	21	64	54
Colo. N. Mex.	60 10	49 10	3 9	1 5	1	_	447 62	438 61	2,485 864	2,506 1,025
Ariz.	32	19	N	N	Ν	Ν	129	139	3,171	3,235
Utah Nev.	36 10	42 23	25 2	17 1	2	_	333 49	274 105	580 1,961	480 2,430
PACIFIC	301	367	6	1	_	_	2,620	2,504	32,376	29,460
Wash.	96	125			—	_	299	309	3,015	2,246
Oreg. Calif.	69 114	65 166	6	1	_	_	333 1,845	386 1,659	1,094 27,320	1,043 24,652
Alaska	12	1	—	—	—	—	90	81	453	480
Hawaii Guam	10 N	10 N	_	_	_	_	53	69 2	494	1,039 125
P.R.	2	1	_	_	_	_	145	252	290	204
V.I. Amer. Samoa	 U	 U	 U	 U	 U	 U	U	 U	35 U	81 U
C.N.M.I.		Ŭ		Ŭ	_	Ŭ	_	Ŭ	_	Ŭ

 TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 2005, and October 30, 2004

 (43rd Week)\*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. \* Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

				Haemophilus inf				
	All a					5 years		
-	All sero Cum.	otypes Cum.	Serot Cum.	type b Cum.	Non-se Cum.	erotype b Cum.	Unknown Cum.	serotype Cum.
Reporting area	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004
JNITED STATES	1,731	1,646	4	11	92	103	151	153
IEW ENGLAND	138	151	—	1	10	9	3	1
1aine I.H.	6 8	12 16	_	_	_	2	1	_
/t.	9	7	_	_	_		_	1
Aass.	65	71	—	1	3	4	1	_
R.I. Conn.	7 43	3 42	_	_	2 5	3	1	_
ID. ATLANTIC	358	339	_	1	_	4	38	36
Ipstate N.Y.	103	108	_	1	_	4	8	5
I.Y. City	63	75	—	_	_	_	10	15
I.J. °a.	75 117	64 92	_	_	_	_	10 10	3
				_				13
E.N. CENTRAL Dhio	241 96	309 85	1	_	4	8 2	15 6	46 15
nd.	55	42	_	_	4	4		15
II.	49	110	_	_	_	_	6	21
/lich.	18	18	1	—	—	2	2	4
Vis.	23	54	_	_	_	_	1	5
V.N. CENTRAL ⁄linn.	95 38	92 40	_	2 1	3 3	3 3	8 2	11 1
owa	1	40	_	1			_	_
No.	32	36	—	—	—	—	5	7
N. Dak. S. Dak.	2	4	_	—	—	_	1	_
Nebr.	9	5	_	_	_	_	_	2
Kans.	13	6	_	_	_	_	_	1
S. ATLANTIC	404	370	1	1	25	24	22	25
Del.	_		—	—	_	_	_	—
/ld. D.C.	59	55 3	_	_	5	5	_	1
/a.	39	38	_	_	_	_	_	5
N. Va.	24	16	—	—	1	4	5	—
N.C. S.C.	68 23	52 12	1	1	8	6	_	1 1
S.C. Ga.	81	95	_	_	_	_		16
la.	110	99	—	—	11	9	6	1
E.S. CENTRAL	98	63	_	1	1	1	6	8
<u><y< u="">.</y<></u>	8	7	—	—	1	1	2	_
Tenn. Ala.	72 18	41 13	_		_	_	4	6 2
Aia. Miss.		2	_	_	_	_	4	
N.S. CENTRAL	91	63	1	1	8	8	7	1
Ark.	5	2	_	_	1	1	—	_
La. Okla.	30 54	13 47	1	—	2 5	7	7	1
Jkia. Tex.	54 2	47	_	1	5		_	_
MOUNTAIN	193	167	_	4	14	25	38	18
Mont.	_		_	—	—		_	_
daho	3	5	_	—	—		1	2
Nyo. Colo.	6 39	1 41	—		1	1	1 9	
Joio. J. Mex.	18	37	_	1	4	8	9	5 6 2 2
Ariz.	97	58	_	_	7	11	15	2
Jtah	16	13	—	2		2	7	
lev.	14	12		1	2	3	3	1
ACIFIC Vash.	113 3	92 1	1	_	27	21	14 2	7 1
Dreg.	29	40	_	_	_	_	2 5	3
Calif.	48	38	1	—	27	21	2	1
Alaska Iawaii	25 8	5 8	—	—	_	_	5	1 1
			—			—		I
auam ?R.	3	2	_	_	_	_	1	2
/.1.	_	_					_	
Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	U	U U	U	U U	U	U U	U	U U

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 2005, and October 30, 2004 (43rd Week)\*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. \* Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

(43rd Week)*					val acuta) hutana		
			A	Hepatitis (Vi	ral, acute), by type B		с
		Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.
Reporting area UNITED STATES	I	<b>2005</b> 3,399	4,931	4,539	4,853	<b>2005</b> 584	<b>2004</b> 670
NEW ENGLAND		448	852	236	320	15	15
Maine		3	12	16	4		—
N.H. Vt.		74 6	19 8	21 5	30 5	12	7
Mass.		305	727	163	177	_	7
R.I. Conn.		14 46	21 65	3 28	5 99	3	1
MID. ATLANTIC		576	674	887	637	88	126
Upstate N.Y.		91	91	78	71	17	11
N.Y. City N.J.		257 144	283 162	100 531	129 184	_	_
Pa.		84	138	178	253	71	115
E.N. CENTRAL		319	425	419	461	111	93_
Ohio Ind.		46 45	40 52	112 42	98 39	7 23	5 7
III.		78	135	94	71	—	13
Mich. Wis.		121 29	126 72	140 31	218 35	81	68
W.N. CENTRAL		79	134	228	277	30	20
Minn.		3	32	29	42	5	17
lowa Mo.		20 37	39 28	19 132	14 166	23	3
N. Dak.		_	1	—	4	1	_
S. Dak. Nebr.		4	3 12	3 21	1 36	1	_
Kans.		15	19	24	14	—	—
S. ATLANTIC		596	884	1,138	1,508	122	166
Del. Md.		4 64	6 93	43 132	45 134	7 20	29 3
D.C.		4	7	10	19		4
Va. W.Va.		70 5	108 5	122 32	215 35	11 19	13 22
N.C.		71	93	138	138	18	11
S.C. Ga.		32 98	39 292	120 133	118 388	2 7	15 14
Fla.		248	241	408	416	38	55
E.S. CENTRAL Ky.		221 24	138 29	292 55	406 60	73 9	78 23
Tenn.		143	87	116	188	15	28
Ala. Miss.		35 19	8 14	68 53	64 94	14 35	4 23
W.S. CENTRAL		236	585	422	324	68	93
Ark.		12	60	43	99	1	2
La. Okla.		59 4	44 19	58 33	57 57	11 6	3 3
Tex.		161	462	288	111	50	85
MOUNTAIN		296	362	459	380	38	38
Mont. Idaho		7 17	6 17	3 12	1 10	1	2 1
Wyo.		_	5	1	7	_	2
Colo. N. Mex.		35 22	43 22	50 9	53 16	19	11 U
Ariz.		186	218	317	191	_	5
Utah Nev.		19 10	35 16	39 28	35 67	8 9	4 13
PACIFIC		628	877	458	540	39	41
Wash.		40	53	57	45	U	U
Oreg. Calif.		38 525	60 738	87 302	96 380	15 23	15 25
Alaska		4	4	7	10	_	—
Hawaii		21	22	5	9	1	1
Guam P.R.		55	1 38	36	12 67	_	9
V.I.		 U	 U	 U	U	 U	U
Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.		_	U	<u> </u>	U	<u> </u>	U
N: Not potifiable			No reported cases		woalth of Northorn Maria	Islawska	

 TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 2005, and October 30, 2004

 (43rd Week)\*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. \* Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

(43rd Week)*	Legionellosis		Liste	eriosis	Lyme	disease	Mala	aria
	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.
Reporting area UNITED STATES	2005 1,580	<b>2004</b> 1,684	<b>2005</b> 644	<b>2004</b> 606	<b>2005</b> 17,617	2004 15,904	2005 1,032	<b>2004</b> 1,201
NEW ENGLAND	1,580	1,684 80	644 47	42	2,101	2,859	1,032 59	
Maine	6	1	3	42	182	2,059	4	83 7
N.H.	8	10	6	3	173	179	5	5
Vt. Mass.	7 35	5 35	2 12	2 13	40 947	46 1,422	1 31	4 49
R.I.	19	14	6	1	32	187	2	4
Conn.	25	15	18	15	727	996	16	14
MID. ATLANTIC	563	474	171	146	11,261	9,696	280	320
Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City	153 77	96 63	53 32	42 25	3,347	3,375 328	44 143	40 174
N.J.	88	79	33	30	3,118	2,444	62	65
Pa.	245	236	53	49	4,796	3,549	31	41
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio	300 161	414 194	63 28	104 37	1,315 66	1,259 47	83 24	106 26
Ind.	16	41	4	16	24	24	1	13
III.	15	42	1	22	_	87	28	38
Mich. Wis.	90 18	118 19	23 7	24 5	49 1,176	26 1,075	19 11	17 12
W.N. CENTRAL	69	50	34	15	801	471	40	63
Minn.	16	50	10	4	698	388	11	24
Iowa	5	5	8	2	77	47	8	4
Mo. N. Dak.	27 2	23 2	4 4	5	21	24	16	19 3
S. Dak.	16	4	—	1	1	1	_	1
Nebr. Kans.	1 2	3 6	4 4	3	2 2	8 3	1 4	4 8
S. ATLANTIC Del.	314 14	337 13	130 N	103 N	1,919 564	1,427 287	248 3	288 6
Md.	88	73	18	14	985	772	92	66
D.C. Va.	9 36	10 40	 14	5 16	8 198	11 149	8 26	11 42
W. Va.	15	10	4	4	16	26	20	42
N.C.	24	29	26	21	44	105	28	18
S.C. Ga.	11 22	11 38	9 20	10 14	19 5	22 12	7 38	10 58
Fla.	95	113	39	19	80	43	45	75
E.S. CENTRAL	66	89	28	22	33	40	26	30
Ky.	23	35	4	4	5	15	9	4
Tenn. Ala.	28 12	39 12	12 8	11 5	27 1	20 5	13 4	10 11
Miss.	3	3	4	2	_	_	_	5
W.S. CENTRAL	25	120	27	35	56	60	78	120
Ark. La.	4 1	1 7	2 8	3 3	4	8 2	6	8
Okla.	7	5	3				2 9	6 7
Tex.	13	107	14	29	48	50	61	99
MOUNTAIN	78	68	16	23	21	17	47	46
Mont. Idaho	5 3	2 7	_	1	2	6		1
Wyo.	4	5	_	_	3	3	2	
Colo.	21	18	7	12	3	_	23 2	18
N. Mex. Ariz.	2 22	4 11	4	1	1 8	1 6	2 10	4 11
Utah	13	17	3	1	2	1	8	7
Nev.	8	4	2	8	2	—	2	5
PACIFIC Wash.	65 —	52 9	128 9	116 9	110 7	75 12	171 13	145 15
Oreg.	N	N	10	6	17	25	9	16
Calif.	63	43	108	97	83	36	130	109
Alaska Hawaii	2	_	1	4	3 N	2 N	5 14	1 4
Guam	_	_		· 	_			·
P.R.	_	_	_	_	N	N	2	
V.I.							—	
Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	U	U U	U	U U	U	U U	U	U U
								5

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 2005, and October 30, 2004 (43rd Week)\*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. \* Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

(43rd Week)*					Meningoco	cal disease				
				group					_	
	All sero	ogroups Cum.	A, C, Y, a Cum.	nd W-135 Cum.	Serogi Cum.	oup B Cum.	Other ser	rogroup Cum.	Serogroup Cum.	unknown Cum.
Reporting area	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004
UNITED STATES	963	1,008	75	78	48	39	—	1	840	890
NEW ENGLAND Maine	65 2	61 10	1	6	_	6 1	_	1	64 2	48 9
N.H.	12	5	_	_	_	_	_	_	12	9 5
Vt.	6	3	—		—		—	—	6	3
Mass. R.I.	30 3	34 2	_	5 1	_	5	_	_	30 3	24 1
Conn.	12	7	1	—	—	—	—	1	11	6
MID. ATLANTIC	126	137	34	37	7	5	_	_	85	95
Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City	33 18	34 24	4	5	4	3	_	_	25 18	26 24
N.J.	32	30				_	—	—	32	30
Pa.	43	49	30	32	3	2	_	_	10	15
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio	102 34	113 57	27	26 4	10 6	6 5	_	_	65 28	81 48
Ind.	18	17	—	1	4	1	—	_	14	15
III. Mich.	13 27	1 21	27	21	_	_	_	_	13	1
Wis.	10	17	—	_	—	_	—	_	10	17
W.N. CENTRAL	63	69	3	—	1	4	—	—	59	65
Minn. Iowa	13 15	22 15	1	_	1	2	_	_	12 14	22 13
Mo.	21	17	1	_	_	1	_	_	20	16
N. Dak. S. Dak.	3	2 2	1	_	_	1	_	_	2	2 1
Nebr.	4	4	_	_	_	_	_	_	4	4
Kans.	7	7	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	7
S. ATLANTIC	186	194	5	2	9	3	—	—	172	189
Del. Md.	4 19	5 10	2	_	2	_	_	_	4 15	5 10
D.C.	_	5	_	2	_	_	—	_	—	3
Va. W.Va.	28 6	18 5	1	_	_	_	_	_	28 5	18 5
N.C.	28	27	2	—	7	3	_	_	19	24
S.C. Ga.	14 15	14 13	_	_	_	_	_	_	14 15	14 13
Fla.	72	97	—	_	—	_	—	_	72	97
E.S. CENTRAL	50	55	1	1	3	1	—	_	46	53
Ky. Tenn.	16 23	9 19	_	1	3	1	_	_	13 23	7 19
Ala.	6	14	1	_	_	_	_	_	5	14
Miss.	5	13	—	—	—	—	—	—	5	13
W.S. CENTRAL Ark.	83 13	59 15	1	2	5	2 1	_	_	77 13	55 14
La.	26	31	_	1	2	_	_	_	24	30
Okla. Tex.	13 31	9 4	1	1	3	1	_	_	9 31	7 4
MOUNTAIN	77	4 57	2	1	6	5	—	_	69	4 51
Mont.	_	3		_		5	_	_	_	3
Idaho	3	7	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	7
Wyo. Colo.	17	4 13	1	_	1	_	_	_	15	4 13
N. Mex.	3	7	_	1	_	3	—	—	3	3
Ariz. Utah	36 10	11 5	1	_	2 2	1	_	_	34 7	10 5
Nev.	8	7	—	_	1	1	—	_	7	6
PACIFIC	211	263	1	3	7	7	—	_	203	253
Wash. Oreg.	41 28	27 50	1	3	4	7	_	_	36 28	17 50
Calif.	128	175	—	_	—	_	—	_	128	175
Alaska Hawaii	3 11	4 7	_	_	3	_	_	_	3 8	4 7
Guam	—	, 1	_	_	_	_		_	_	, 1
P.R.	6	13	_	_	_	_	_	_	6	13
V.I. Amer. Samoa	1		—	_	—	—	_	_	1	1
C.N.M.I.		I 	_	_	_	_	_	_		

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 2005, and October 30, 2004 (43rd Week)\*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. \* Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

	Per	tussis	Rabies,	animal		lountain d fever	Salmo	nellosis	Shigellosis		
Reporting area	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	
UNITED STATES	16,539	16,748	4,600	5,580	1,447	1,310	34,292	35,080	11,185	11,170	
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt.	957 27 58 79	1,560 8 71 65	602 48 12 52	580 49 26 33	3 N 1	17 N —	1,815 133 144 92	1,787 93 123 54	252 9 7 16	261 7 8 2	
Mass. R.I. Conn.	727 29 37	1,332 31 53	295 20 175	244 38 190	1 1 —	13 1 3	949 82 415	1,019 107 391	157 14 49	166 18 60	
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	1,102 437 76 175 414	2,410 1,689 175 163 383	817 473 27 N 317	843 464 11 N 368	94 5 7 29 53	68 1 21 14 32	4,162 1,080 952 721 1,409	4,908 1,051 1,120 944 1,793	1,073 237 345 268 223	1,030 377 350 212 91	
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	2,909 965 257 558 238 891	6,405 485 170 1,162 243 4,345	191 67 11 50 35 28	171 69 10 47 39 6	35 25 2 1 6 1	33 9 6 14 2 2	4,485 1,163 518 1,323 752 729	4,418 1,059 420 1,418 724 797	793 92 134 242 197 128	1,020 145 180 357 147 191	
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	2,648 966 507 387 130 91 170 397	1,751 303 259 303 691 49 42 104	377 64 97 73 24 48 — 71	560 81 55 54 91 94 94	153 2 3 132 5 4 7	112  92  4 14 	2,099 482 331 700 37 126 117 306	2,049 515 382 535 38 112 144 323	1,286 79 67 849 4 39 61 187	356 61 59 135 3 10 21 67	
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	1,134 15 146 7 301 42 98 311 32 182	640 2 120 7 170 21 72 118 19 111	1,371 273 446 52 410 5 182 3	1,928 9 283 410 57 518 144 302 205	725 3 79 2 92 6 416 51 61 15	681 5 65  29 5 427 58 76 16	10,034 108 679 45 945 146 1,343 1,079 1,524 4,165	9,435 99 732 53 1,004 200 1,376 847 1,681 3,443	1,895 10 84 11 111 174 81 480 943	2,489 7 132 33 136 8 293 489 557 834	
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	429 124 189 76 40	246 57 142 31 16	122 11 41 68 2	131 20 45 55 11	259 3 194 58 4	184 2 101 53 28	2,481 415 658 614 794	2,301 293 598 617 793	1,045 264 492 206 83	721 60 377 237 47	
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	1,434 248 33  1,153	779 70 14 33 662	760 32  69 659	976 48 4 98 826	139 109 5 7 18	190 107 5 71 7	2,909 648 644 349 1,268	3,592 480 806 347 1,959	2,298 57 112 561 1,568	2,996 67 258 396 2,275	
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	3,361 535 125 46 1,141 120 851 511 32	1,314 45 34 28 680 137 194 158 38	206 15  16 15 7 125 15 13	204 25 7 6 46 5 106 6 3	31 1 3 2 5 2 14 4	21 3 4 5 4 2 2 1	1,872 86 87 75 500 203 547 289 85	1,974 176 133 47 468 246 557 201 146	725 5 9 5 137 92 408 41 28	690 4 13 5 136 124 322 39 47	
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	2,565 709 555 1,074 108 119	1,643 602 390 616 12 23	154 U 6 147 1	187 U 6 170 11 	8 1 7 	4 2 2 	4,435 451 322 3,366 48 248	4,616 468 381 3,391 53 323	1,818 110 109 1,563 7 29	1,607 94 69 1,394 6 44	
Guam P.R. V.I.	5	4	54	53	N	N	370	50 398 —	4	42 29 —	
Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	U 	U U	U	U U	U 	U U	<u> </u>	U U	U	U U	

 TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 2005, and October 30, 2004

 (43rd Week)\*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. \* Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

(43rd Week)*			Strepto	coccus pneum	<i>oniae</i> , invasiv	e disease	Syphilis					
		cal disease, , group A	Drug re all a		Age <5	voare	Primary &		Cong	enital		
Dementing	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.		
Reporting area UNITED STATES	3,560	2004 3,718	<b>2005</b> 1,764	2004 1,815	2005 601	<b>2004</b> 654	6,533	6,467	2005 200	<b>2004</b> 323		
NEW ENGLAND	148	238	92	129	46	90	175	163	1	4		
Maine	10	11	Ň	N	_	4	1	2		_		
N.H. Vt.	13 9	17 8		6	4	N 3	14 1	4	_	3		
Mass.	107	108	65	38	41	50	106	100	_	_		
R.I.	9	17	16	18	1	6	19	23	_	1		
Conn.	U	77	U	67	U	27	34	34	1			
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y.	748 225	618 205	167 64	125 52	118 51	97 67	828 74	841 81	22 6	32 4		
N.Y. City	140	103	U	U	20	U	506	526	5	14		
N.J. Pa.	150 233	130 180	N 103	N 73	22 25	8 22	112 136	126 108	11	13 1		
E.N. CENTRAL	694	844	480	404	176	154	680	739	26	51		
Ohio	165	196	306	281	65	65	183	190	1	2		
Ind.	89	86	162	123	46	33	53	52	1	2		
III. Mich.	142 263	224 258	12	N	53	7 N	347 67	315 153	10 12	17 30		
Wis.	35	80	N	N	12	49	30	29	2	_		
W.N. CENTRAL	227	271	38	18	66	87	201	137	5	5		
Minn.	90	129			42	55	52	20	1	1		
lowa Mo.	N 59	N 57	N 31	N 13	9	N 13	4 122	5 84	4	2		
N. Dak.	9	11	2	_	4	3	1	_	_	—		
S. Dak. Nebr.	20 17	16 19	3 2	5	_	8	1 4	6	_	_		
Kans.	32	39	N	N	11	8	17	22	_	2		
S. ATLANTIC	770	756	695	920	68	51	1,630	1,630	36	53		
Del.	5	3	1	4		N	10	8		1		
Md. D.C.	173 9	123 9	15	8	44 3	36 4	254 86	297 50	13	8 1		
Va.	75	64	N	N	_	N	111	88	4	3		
W.Va. N.C.	22 104	23 115	101 N	97 N	21 U	11 U	4 213	3 161	8	10		
S.C.	26	51		83		N	59	99	o 4	11		
Ga.	152	176	111	233	—	N	290	317	1	4		
Fla.	204	192	467	495	_	N	603	607	6	15		
E.S. CENTRAL Ky.	150 31	191 55	140 25	131 26	11 N	15 N	371 41	345 40	18	20 1		
Tenn.	119	136	115	103	_	N	181	108	12	8		
Ala. Miss.	_	_	_	2		N	115 34	147 50	5 1	9 2		
						15						
W.S. CENTRAL Ark.	226 17	289 16	98 12	62 8	61 14	125 8	1,041 43	1,038 45	55	63 3		
La.	6	2	86	54	23	28	176	268	6	5		
Okla. Tex.	99 104	57 214	N N	N N	24	36 53	32 790	24 701	1 48	2 53		
MOUNTAIN	510	407	54	25	46	33	327	323	40 16	41		
Mont.	_	407	_		<del>40</del>		5	1		_		
Idaho	2	8	N	N	—	N	20	18	1	2		
Wyo. Colo.	4 174	8 91	22 N	10 N	45	33	33	3 53	1	1		
N. Mex.	41	84		N	_	_	38	71	2	2		
Ariz. Utah	217 71	177 35	N 30	N 13	1	N	148 6	133 11	12	35 1		
Nev.	1	4	2	2	_	_	77	33	_	_		
PACIFIC	87	104	_	1	9	2	1,280	1,251	21	54		
Wash.	N	N	N	N	N	N	120	109	—	_		
Oreg. Calif.	<u>N</u>	N	N N	N N	6 N	N N	22 1,128	24 1,111	21	54		
Alaska		_	_	—	_	N	6	1	_	_		
Hawaii	87	104	_	1	3	2	4	6	_	_		
Guam P.R.	N	N	N	N	_	N	179	1 137	8	5		
V.I.	_	_		_	_	—	_	4	—	_		
Amer. Samoa	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U		
C.N.M.I.		U		U		U		U	_	U		

 TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 2005, and October 30, 2004

 (43rd Week)\*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. \* Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

					Var	icella	West Nile virus disease <sup>†</sup>				
	Tube	rculosis	Typhoi	d fever		(enpox)	Neuroi	nvasive	Non-neuroinvasive <sup>§</sup>		
Reporting area	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005		
JNITED STATES	9,595	10,910	220	275	19,567	22,821	1,030	1,128	1,352		
NEW ENGLAND	278	355	22	20	1,055	2,546	9	_	3		
Vaine	14	16	1	_	213	185	_	_	—		
N.H. Vt.	6 4	13 2	_	_	241 63	413	_	_	_		
Mass.	179	204	13	14	538	452	4	_	1		
<b>Ξ</b> .Ι.	24	44	1	1			1	_	_		
Conn.	51	76	7	5	U	1,496	4	—	2		
MID. ATLANTIC	1,678	1,713	36	67	3,803	78	26	17	17		
Jpstate N.Y. N.Y. City	208 821	231 852	5 12	9 27	_	_	10	5 2	4		
N.J.	396	376	11	16	_	_	2	1	2		
⊃a.	253	254	8	15	3,803	78	14	9	11		
E.N. CENTRAL	1,035	980	18	32	5,144	9,773	221	66	108		
Ohio	209	163	2 1	6	1,181	1,148	44 7	11	12		
Ind. III.	108 483	110 433	5	 15	482 68	N 4,922	128	8 29	86		
Mich.	170	203	5	9	3,069	3,136	34	13	4		
Wis.	65	71	5	2	344	567	8	5	6		
W.N. CENTRAL	494	373	6	8	394	159	123	86	395		
Minn.	156	147	5	4			17	13	26		
lowa Mo.	170 79	33 97	_	2	N 282	N 5	12 13	13 27	18 12		
N. Dak.	2	3	_		25	82	12	2	74		
S. Dak.	11	8	—	—	87	72	35	6	196		
Nebr.	28	26	1	2	—	_	26	7	64		
Kans.	48	59		_	_		8	18	5		
S. ATLANTIC Del.	2,030 12	2,287 17	45 1	38	1,769 28	2,008 5	26 1	65	21		
Vd.	221	232	9	11	20		4	10	1		
D.C.	42	72	_	_	34	21	_	1	—		
Va.	246	226	17	7	401	481	_	4			
W.Va. N.C.	19 232	18 254	4	6	887	1,135 N	2 4	3	N 1		
S.C.	180	151	3	_	419	366	4	_	_		
Ga.	324	477		4	_	_	7	14	6		
=la.	754	840	11	10	—	—	8	33	13		
E.S. CENTRAL	404	520	5	8		42	60	60	35		
Ky. Tenn.	84 161	94 165	2	3 5	N	N	4 11	1 13	1		
Ala.	159	161	1	_	_	42	6	15	3		
Miss.	_	100	2	—	—	_	39	31	31		
N.S. CENTRAL	1,189	1,610	16	25	5,259	6,226	187	224	100		
Ark.	88	98		—	2		8	15	13		
La. Okla.	121	140	1	1	109	49	78 9	79 16	33 7		
Tex.	980	1,372	14	24	5,148	6,177	92	114	47		
MOUNTAIN	286	423	10	7	2,143	1,989	109	322	192		
Mont.	8	4	_	—	, <u> </u>		8	2	17		
daho	—	3	—	—			2	1	7		
Nyo. Colo.	46	4 106	5	2	49 1,524	35 1,598	4 19	2 41	6 72		
N. Mex.	14	23	—	—	149	U	17	31	12		
Ariz.	174	169	3	2	401		25	214	33		
Utah Nev.	26 18	32 82	1	1 2	421	356	21 13	6 25	30 15		
PACIFIC Wash.	2,201 202	2,649 190	62 5	70 6	N	N	269	288	481		
Oreg.	54	83	3	1		_	—	_	5		
Calif.	1,812	2,249	42	57	—	—	269	288	476		
Alaska Hawaii	36 97	32 95	12	6	_	_	_	_			
	31		12	0				_			
Guam P.R.	_	46 83	_	_	533	189 342	_	_	_		
V.I.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Amer. Samoa	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	_		
C.N.M.I.	_	U	_	U	—	U	_	U	_		

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 2005, and October 30, 2004

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. \* Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date). † Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (ArboNet Surveillance). \* Not previously notifiable.

TABLE III. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,* week endin	g October 29, 2005 (43rd Week)
---	--------------------------------

Arge         Job         Job         Job         Job         Par           Reporting Area         Ages         Job         45.6         45.4         45.4         1.22         1         2.1         1         37         10         10.26         607.7         25.4         1.04         28.3         25.4         1.026         607.7         25.4         1.04         28.3         2.6         9.0         1.026         607.7         25.4         1.04         28.3         50         9         1.1         37.3         37.3         2.1         1         1         1.026         607.77         25.4         1.4         4.2         2.9         1.5         1.5         1.1         1.4         1.4         1.4         1.4         2.2         1.3         1.1         1.4         Maini, Fia.         67.4         1.4         1.7         2.2         1.3         1.4         1.4         2.2         1.1         1.4         1.4         2.2         1.1         1.4         1.4         1.4         2.2         1.1         1.4         1.4         4.4         2.2         1.4         1.1         1.4         1.4         1.4         1.4         1.4         1.4         1.4         1.4	TABLE III. Deaths	in 122 U.S. cities,* week ending October 29, 2005 (43rd We All causes, by age (years)							rd Week)	1	All causes, by age (years)						
Percenting Area         Age         Age         Age         Total         Reporting Area         Age         Age         Total         Reporting Area         Age		۵۱			,			P&I†		ΔII				<u> </u>		P&IT	
Beaton, Mass.         130         86         29         9         2         4         8         Alaraha, Ga.         156         77         35         21         7         16         9         1         15           Carmerugh Mass.         22         21         3         -         -         -         4         Baltmone, ML         141         64         44         44         7         7         7         -         -         4         Caramerugh, Fau.         110         66         44         44         7         7         -         -         6           Lowell, Mass.         18         13         2         1         -         -         2         Harraka, K.         40         1         -         -         -         Refmend, Ya.         60         40         12         1         -         -         -         Refmend, Ya.         60         40         12         1         -         -         -         Refmend, Ya.         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10 <th>Reporting Area</th> <th></th> <th><u>≥</u>65</th> <th>45–64</th> <th>25–44</th> <th>1–24</th> <th>&lt;1</th> <th></th> <th>Reporting Area</th> <th></th> <th><u>≥</u>65</th> <th>45–64</th> <th>25–44</th> <th>1–24</th> <th>&lt;1</th> <th></th>	Reporting Area		<u>≥</u> 65	45–64	25–44	1–24	<1		Reporting Area		<u>≥</u> 65	45–64	25–44	1–24	<1		
Bridgeport, Conn.         S7         33         2         1         -         1         1         Balfmore, Md.         143         64         44         25         9         1         15           Pail New, Mass.         23         3         -         -         4         Chancine, N.C.         168         64         44         14         14         2         6           Lyrn, Mass.         15         8         5         2         - <td></td>																	
Cambringing, Mass. 22 3 20 3									1 <sup>7</sup>								
Fall River, Mass.         22         18         1         3         -         -         4         Jacksonville, File.         159         95         44         14         4         2         6           Lowel, Mass.         16         13         2         1         -         -         2         Northic, Vat.         40         2         8         1         1         -         -         3           New Bedron, Mass.         24         2         1         -         -         2         4         1         -         1         -         -         1         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         Northic, Vat.         40         2         2         -         -         -         -         Northic, Vat.         40         2         1         -         1         -         1         -         -         1         Northic, Vat.         100         20         10         2         1         -         -         3         Washington, Dot.         100         20         1         -         -         3         A         100         100         20         1         1         3         1																	
Lovel, Mass. 16 13 2 1 1 2 2 Lovel, Mass. 5 8 5 2 7 New Berlord, Mass. 24 22 1 1 1 - 2 - 7 New Berlord, Mass. 24 22 2 7 Somernike, Mass. 24 22 2 7 Somernike, Mass. 24 2 2 7 Somernike, Mass. 29 16 3 3 - 2 1 - 2 Somernike, Mass. 29 16 3 3 - 2 1 - 2 Washington, D.C. 100 59 27 9 2 1 - 3 Washington, D.C. 100 55 27 9 2 2 3 4 Washington, D.C. 100 55 15 15 Somernike, Mass. 29 17 34 116 3 - 2 1 - 3 Washington, D.C. 100 55 15 15 15 Somernike, Mass. 29 17 34 116 3 - 1 - 2 Burlio, N.Y. 65 44 17 3 - 1 1 9 Burlio, N.Y. 65 44 17 3 - 1 1 9 Burlio, N.Y. 65 44 17 3 - 1 1 9 Burlio, N.Y. 65 44 17 3 - 1 1 - 2 4 Burlio, N.Y. 65 44 17 3 - 1 1 - 2 4 Burlio, N.Y. 65 44 17 3 - 1 1 - 2 4 Burlio, N.Y. 65 44 17 3 - 1 1 - 2 4 Burlio, N.Y. 103 1703 240 72 12 23 60 NewYork, N.J. 13 16 13 4 4 Montgoinery, M.L. 83 50 22 4 5 1 1 Burlio, N.Y. 105 1703 240 72 12 23 60 Murin, M.J. 21 11 4 1 5 - 4 Montgoinery, M.L. 159 110 41 - 2 - 5 Burlio, N.Y. 105 1703 240 72 12 2 3 60 Murin, Tax. 57 37 11 8 1 3 Burlio, N.Y. 120 14 5 - 1 1 4 Homogenery, M.L. 159 110 41 - 2 - 5 Bartenor, N.J. 22 13 8 1 2 Burlio, N.Y. 120 14 5 - 1 - 1 - 2 Burlio, N.Y. 120 14 5 - 1 - 1 - 2 Burlio, N.Y. 120 14 5 - 1 - 1 - 1 Burlio, N.Y. 120 14 5 - 1 - 1 - 1 Burlio, N.Y. 120 14 5 - 1 - 1 - 1 Burlio, N.Y. 120 14 5 - 1 - 1 - 1 Burlio, N.Y. 120 14 5 - 1 - 1 - 1 Burlio, N.Y. 120 14 5 - 1 - 1 - 1 Burlio, N.Y. 120 14 5 - 1 - 1 - 1 Burlio, N.Y. 120 14 5 - 1 - 1 - 1 Burlio, N.Y. 120 14 5 - 1 - 1 - 1 Burlio, N.Y. 120 14 5 - 2 - 1 1 Burlio, N.Y. 120 14 5 - 2 - 1 1 - 2 Burlio, N.Y. 120 14 14 2 4 Burlio, N.Y. 120 15 - 2 - 1 1 - 2 Burlio, N.Y. 120 14 14 2 4 Burlio, N.Y. 120 15 - 1 1 - 2 Burlio, N.Y. 120 15 - 1 1 - 1 Burlio, N.Y. 120 15 - 1 1 1 1 Burlio, N.Y. 120 15 - 2 - 1																	
$ \begin{array}{c} Lynn, Mass. & 15 & 8 & 5 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & -$	Hartford, Conn.	50	34	11	3	1	1	4	Miami, Fla.	67	44	14	7	2	_	3	
New Bedriding, Mass.         24         22         1         1         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         1         -         -         -         1         -         -         1         -         -         -         1         -         -         -         1         -         -         -         1         -         -         1         -         -         1         -         -         1         -         1         -         1         -         1         -         1         1         -         1         1         -         1         1         -         -         1         1         -         -         1         1         -         -         1         1         -         -         1         3         -         -         1         3         -         1         3         3         1         1         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -																	
New Haven, Com.         36         23         8         1         2         2         4         51. Febreshung, Flan.         23         19         2         1          1         4           Somervielle, Mass.         44         4         5  2         Visconting, Timping, Ma.           2           1							_										
Providence, R.L.         49         41         5         3         -         -         1         Tampa, Fla.         113         81         21         8         1         2         5           Springliol, Mass.         2         2         -         -         -         -         -         Wilmington, Del.         130         0         2         1         -         1         1         -         -         -         -         1         1         -         -         -         1         1         -         -         -         1         1         -         -         -         1         1         -         -         -         -         -         1         1         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -<							2		1 <sup>7</sup>								
Someroulie, Mass.         4         2         2         -         -         -         -         Washington, D.C.         100         59         27         9         2         3         4           Washington, Xonn.         20         16         3         -         1         -         3         4         100         2         1         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         3         4           Morester, Mass.         51         36         9         2         1         3         4         100         2         1         -         -         3         4         4         14         4         14         1         3         1         1         3         1         1         3         1         1         3         1         1         3         1         1         3         1         1         3         1         1         3         1         1         3         1         1         3         1         1         3         1         1         1         3         1         1         1         3         1         1         1         3         1																	
Waterbury, Conn.         20         16         3          1          2         1          2         1          2         1          2         1          2         1          2         1         3         4           MUD, ATLANTIC         2.083         1,393         474         118         31         46         121         1         3         1         1         5         3         1         3         1         3         1         3         1         3         1         3         3         4         3         3         4         3         3         4         3         3         4         3         3         4         3         3         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         3         1         1         1         1         3         1         1         1         3         1         1         1         1         3         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1					_	_	—							2			
Warderskir, Mass.         51         36         9         2         1         3         4         Example frum An         by an out of the state of									Wilmington, Del.	13	10	2	1	_	—	3	
MID       ATLANTIC       2.062       1.383       474       11       8       1       46       1 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>E.S. CENTRAL</td> <td>955</td> <td>602</td> <td>233</td> <td>59</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									E.S. CENTRAL	955	602	233	59				
Albarov, N.Y. 50 35 12 2 $-$ 1 3 Albarov, N.Y. 50 35 12 2 $-$ 1 1 1 4 $-$ 1 1 3 Buffalo, N.Y. 65 43 17 3 1 1 9 $-$ 1 1 1 4 $-$ 1 1 5 $-$ 1 4 $-$ 1 5 $-$ 2 Mobile, Ala. 83 50 22 5 5 1 1 3 3 4 3 Mobile, Ala. 83 50 22 5 5 1 1 1 $-$ 2 3 5 $-$ 2 $-$																	
Allendow, Pa. 31 24 6 $ -$ 1 1 1 Buffalo, N.Y. 66 343 17 3 1 1 9 Carnadon, N.J. 21 11 4 $-$ 1 5 $ -$ 2 Buffalo, N.Y. 61 11 5 $ -$ 2 Erie, Pa. 48 38 8 1 1 $-$ 4 $-$ 2 Erie, Pa. 48 38 8 1 1 $-$ 4 $-$ 2 Direy Oliv, N.N. 10, 10 73 224 72 12 23 6 Direy Oliv, N.N. 10 73 244 72 12 23 6 Direy Oliv, N.N. 10 73 244 72 12 23 6 Direy Oliv, N.N. 10 73 244 72 12 23 6 Direy Oliv, N.N. 10 73 244 72 12 23 6 Direy Oliv, N.N. 10 73 244 72 12 23 6 Direy Oliv, N.N. 10 73 244 72 12 23 6 Direy Oliv, N.N. 10 73 73 11 8 $-$ 1 3 Direy Oliv, N.N. 10 73 244 72 12 23 6 Direy Oliv, N.N. 10 73 73 11 8 $-$ 1 3 Direy Oliv, N.N. 10 73 244 72 12 23 6 Direy Oliv, N.N. 10 73 73 11 8 $-$ 1 3 Direy Oliv, N.N. 10 73 74 18 5 $-$ 1 1 7 3 3 3 Direy Oliv, N.N. 10 73 75 14 7 7 7 9 Direy Oliv, N.N. 10 77 11 8 $-$ 1 3 Direy Oliv, N.N. 120 77 14 7 7 1 1 13 Direy Oliv, N.N. 120 77 14 7 7 1 1 13 Direy Oliv, N.N. 120 77 14 8 2 $-$ 1 $-$ 1 Direy Oliv, N.N. 120 38 6 6 20 2 $-$ 1 1 0 Direy Oliv, N.N. 15 13 1 $-$ 1 $-$ 1 Uite Bock, Ark 13 U U U U U U U U U U San Antonio, Tex. 123 161 50 13 5 2 11 Direy Oliv, N.N. 15 13 1 $-$ 1 $-$ 1 Uite Ank N. 10 13 6 $-$ 2 $-$ 3 Direy Oliv, La. 135 100 28 4 $-$ 3 $-$ 3 Direy Oliv, La. 135 100 28 4 $-$ 3 $-$ 3 Direy Oliv, La. 135 100 28 4 $-$ 3 $-$ 3 Direy Oliv, La. 135 100 28 4 $-$ 3 $-$ 3 Direy Oliv, La. 135 100 28 4 $-$ 3 $-$ 3 Direy Oliv, La. 135 100 28 4 $-$ 3 $-$ 3 Direy Oliv, La. 135 100 28 4 $-$ 3 $-$ 3 Direy Oliv, La. 135 100 28 4 $-$ 3 $-$ 3 Direy Oliv, La. 135 100 28 4 $-$ 3 $-$ 3 Direy Oliv, La. 135 100 28 4 $-$ 3 $-$ 3 Direy Oliv, La. 135 100 28 4 $-$ 3 $-$ 1 Direy Oliv, Direy Oliv, La. 135 100 28 4 $-$ 3 $-$ 3 Direy Oliv, La. 147 99 32 10 4 2 $-$ 4 Direy Oliv, Oliv, B 8 6 2 2 1 7 $-$ 4 Direy Oliv, Oliv, B 8 6 2 2 1 $-$ 7 $-$ 6 Direy Oliv, Oliv, B 8 7 $-$ 2 $-$ 4 Direy Oliv, Oliv, B 8 7 $-$ 2 $-$ 4 Direy Oliv, Oliv, B 8 7 $-$ 2 $-$ 4 Direy Oliv, Direy Oliv, D		,	,														
Buffalo, N.Y.         65         43         17         3         1         1         9         Mobile, Al.a.         83         20         6         7         12           Canden, N.J.         11         14         - <td></td>																	
$ \begin{array}{c} Camden, N.J. & 21 & 11 & 4 & - & - & 1 & 5 & - & - & - & - & 2 \\ Eriz, Pat, N.J. & 16 & 11 & 5 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & -$	,																
Erie, Pa.'4838811 $-$ 4Nashville, Tern.123723312516Jorrey City, N.J.10570324072122323607714097119413397New York City, N.M.492514523216077148779Platespin, Pa.321683321Corpus Christ, Tex.5737118-13Plitedupin, Pa.2721421111111111111111111111111112351624Schanectady, N.Y.2723311113511235Synauze, N.Y.25611121211133151032211111111111111111111111111111111111111 <td>Camden, N.J.</td> <td>21</td> <td>11</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>1</td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>83</td> <td>50</td> <td></td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Camden, N.J.	21	11		_	1	5			83	50		5				
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$																	
New York City, N.Y.         1.051         703         240         72         73         74									Nashville, lenn.	123	72	33	12	5	1	6	
Newark, N.J.         449         25         14         5         2         3         2         Austin, tex.         7         49         19         5         2         2         3           Phitacophia, Pa.         315         199         85         16         8         7         14         Corpus Cinisit, Tex.         57         31         11         8         -         1         3           Phitadophia, Pa.         24         18         5         -         -         -         -         -         1         Dallas, Tex.         57         31         11         8         -         1         3           Pritaburgh, Pa.         22         1         -         -         -         -         14         Dallas, Tex.         77         120         85         15         15         32         2         3         5         15         32         33         1         -         1         35         16         16         0         13         5         2         11         Neworkas, La."         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>										,	,						
Paterson, N.J. $32$ , $10$ , $8$ , $3$ , $3$ , $2$ , $1$ Philadelphia, Pa, $31$ , $10$ , $8$ , $10$ , $1$ , $1$ , $10$																	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Paterson, N.J.	32	16			3		1									
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									/								
Rechester, N.Y.       120       94       17       7       1       1       13       17       12       3       3         Schemetady, N.Y.       22       21       1 $ -$ 2       1       1       13       15       10       32         Schemetady, N.Y.       22       21       1 $ -$ 1       2 $ -$ 1       2 $   -$																8	
Schenectady, N.Y.       22       21       1       -       -       -       2       Phouston, lex.       438       265       113       35       15       10       32       4         Syranton, Pa.       27       23       3       1       -       -       1       5       39       23       8       6       2       4         Syranton, Pa.       27       23       3       -       -       1       5       39       23       8       6       2       4         Syranton, Pa.       27       23       8       61       2       -       -       1       2       5       5       30       10       0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>																	
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Scranton, Pa.} \\ \text{Stratuse, N.Y.} \\ \text{Stratuse, N.Y.} \\ \text{Utica, N.Y.} \\ \text{Utica, N.Y.} \\ \text{Utica, N.Y.} \\ \text{15} \\ \text{16} \\ \text{Stratuse, N.Y.} \\ \text{15} \\ \text{16} \\ \text{Stratuse, N.Y.} \\ \text{15} \\ \text{16} \\ \text{17} \\ \text{Cohers, N.Y.} \\ \text{15} \\ \text{16} \\ \text{17} \\ \text{Stratuse, N.Y.} \\ \text{15} \\ \text{16} \\ \text{17} \\ \text{17} \\ \text{10} \\ \text{18} \\ \text{17} \\ \text{Cohers, N.Y.} \\ \text{15} \\ \text{16} \\ \text{17} \\ \text{17} \\ \text{10} \\ \text{10} \\ \text{17} \\ \text{10} \\ \text{10} \\ \text{17} \\ \text{10} \\ \text{10} \\ \text{17} \\ \text{10} \\ \text{11} \\ \text{10} \\ \text{11} \\ \text{10} \\ \text{11} \\ \text{10} \\ \text{11} \\ \text{11} \\ \text{10} \\ \text{11} \\ $					_	_	_										
Syracuse, N,Y.8561212 $-$ 15San Anton, Tex.23116150135211Utica, N,Y.1082 $  -$ 125Shreveport, La.135100284 $-$ 38Yonkers, N.Y.15131 $-$ 111105167224183292569Akron, Ohio6639149224442210Conton, Ohio3726211416653694224Columbus, Ohio194146347259Octos Springs, Colo.7253114134Columbus, Ohio194146347259Octos Springs, Colo.7253114134Detrot, Mich.135625112999001352-44Detrot, Mich.135625112999210427Gary, Ind.952-1144133114Indianpolis, Ind.10766268161210 <td></td>																	
Utica, N.Y.10821-13510028438Yonkers, N.Y.15131-1-1-1Tuisa, Okla.12886309219Akron, Ohio663914922447832142210Canton, Ohio663914922441343142210Colicago, III.2631736221141666927433Cilceveland, Ohio1941463472599921214134Daton, Ohio1088417751616824Poton, Mic, Mayne, Ind.13562511291952-775426Cary, Ind.952-11-7753311Indianapolis, Mich.553813211885187					2												
Vonkers, N.Y.15131 $-$ 11 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>Shreveport, La.</td> <td>135</td> <td>100</td> <td>28</td> <td>4</td> <td>_</td> <td>3</td> <td>8</td>					_		1		Shreveport, La.	135	100	28	4	_	3	8	
E.N. CENTRAL       1,701       1,130       390       109       31       39       122       MOUNTAIN       1.051       672       241       83       29       25       69         Akron, Ohio       66       39       14       9       2       2       4       Abougerouge, NM.       128       78       32       14       2       2       10         Chicago, III.       263       173       62       21       1       4       16       500: 5prings, Colo.       72       53       11       4       1       3       4         Chicago, III.       263       14       72       5       9       0clo. Springs, Colo.       72       53       11       4       1       3       4       10       10       10       10       12       7       4       3       3       3       11       14       10       2       7       4       3       3       11       14       10       2       7       6       2       7       7       6       2       7       7       6       2       7       7       6       2       7       7       6       2       7       7       6 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Tulsa, Okla.</td> <td>128</td> <td>86</td> <td>30</td> <td>9</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>9</td>									Tulsa, Okla.	128	86	30	9	2	1	9	
Akron, Ohio6639149224Abouquerque, M.M.1287832142210Canton, Ohio372611 $  -$ 5650227433Chicago, III.26317362211414134Cleveland, Ohio19414634725914227433Calumbus, Ohio194146347259168650227433Calumbus, Ohio19884177516Phoenix, Ariz.1421316776Dayton, Ohio1088417755112919SatLake City, Utah1137524Carand Rapids, Mich.5538132118Barkeley, Calif.111911 <t< td=""><td>E.N. CENTRAL</td><td>1.701</td><td>1.130</td><td>390</td><td>109</td><td>31</td><td>39</td><td>122</td><td></td><td>,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	E.N. CENTRAL	1.701	1.130	390	109	31	39	122		,							
$ \begin{array}{c} {\rm Callon, Onlo} & 37 & 26 & 11 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & $		,															
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $																	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $																	
														5	6		
Daylon, Onlo10884177 $   -$ <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td>															_		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		108	84	17				5									
Evansvine, Ind.4933932227Fort Wayne, Ind.55411022-7Gary, Ind.952-11-Grand Rapids, Mich.5538132118Indianapolis, Ind.UUUUUU11Lansing, Mich.342552115Berkeley, Calif.86551875-Milwaukee, Wis.107662681612Honolulu, Hawaii64527-321Peoria, III.634713-12South Bend, Ind.36268-111-8Voungstown, Ohio57401321122327South Bend, Ind.352871Voungstown, Ohio5740132112231111Minne, Siz287111Duluth, Minn.352871132112231-1St. Lou																	
Gary, Ind.       9       5       2        1       1        PACIFIC       1,085       744       210       75       30       25       69         Grand Rapids, Mich.       55       38       13       2       1       1       8       Berkeley, Calif.       11       9       1       1  1       1        1       1       1        1<										147	99	32	10	4		7	
Grand Rapids, Mich.5538132118Berkeley, Calif.11911 $   -$									PACIFIC	1.085	744	210	75	30	25	69	
Lansing, Mich. $34$ $25$ $5$ $2$ $1$ $1$ $5$ Milwaukee, Wis. $107$ $66$ $26$ $8$ $1$ $6$ $12$ Peoria, III. $55$ $38$ $9$ $5$ $2$ $1$ $3$ Rockford, III. $63$ $47$ $13$ $ 1$ $2$ $   -$	Grand Rapids, Mich.								Berkeley, Calif.	11				_		_	
Milwaukee, Wis.107662681612Honolulu, Hawaii64527321Peoria, III.553895213Rockford, III.63471312South Bend, Ind.3626811118Los Angeles, Calif.46241523271Toledo, Ohio9967235137Portland, Oreg.126882210244Youngstown, Ohio5740132112Sacramento, Calif.20113736183716W.N. CENTRAL62541414934151339San Diego, Calif.1409223156410Des Moines, Iowa483510122San Diego, Calif.UUU <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>U</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>86</td> <td>55</td> <td>18</td> <td>7</td> <td>5</td> <td>—</td> <td>2</td>		-	-		-	U				86	55	18	7	5	—	2	
Peoria, III.       55       38       9       5       2       1       3       Long Beach, Calif.       65       53       10       1       1       -       8         Rockford, III.       63       47       13       -       1       2       -       -       Acoshord, III.       65       53       10       1       1       -       8         South Bend, Ind.       36       26       8       -       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       -       -       -       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       -       8       22       3       2       7       7       7       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       -       8       10       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       13       13       14       10       1       1       1       1       13       39       30       31       15       13       39       33       33       33       34       15       13       39       33       34       15<						1							_			-	
Rockford, III.       63       47       13       -       1       2       -       Los Angeles, Calif.       46       24       15       2       3       2       7         South Bend, Ind.       36       26       8       -       1       2       1       1       2       1 <td></td>																	
Toledo, Ohio       99       67       23       5       1       3       7       Portland, Oreg.       126       88       22       10       2       4       4         Youngstown, Ohio       57       40       13       2       1       1       2       Sacramento, Calif.       201       137       36       18       3       7       16         W.N. CENTRAL       625       414       149       34       15       13       39       San Diego, Calif.       140       92       23       15       6       4       10         Des Moines, Iowa       48       35       10       -       1       2       2       San Diego, Calif.       140       92       23       15       6       4       10         Duluth, Minn.       35       28       7       -       -       -       5       San Jose, Calif.       U	,																
Youngstown, Ohio       57       40       13       2       1       1       2       Sacramento, Calif.       201       137       36       18       3       7       16         W.N. CENTRAL       625       414       149       34       15       13       39       39       39       39       39       39       30       30       30       30       30       30       30       30       30       30       30       30       31       5       6       4       10         Des Moines, Iowa       48       35       10       -       1       2       2       2       30       15       6       4       10         Duluth, Minn.       35       28       7       -       -       -       5       30       5       2       3       1       -       1         Kansas City, Kans.       31       15       14       1       -       1       2       3       30       7       5       2       -       -       1       Santa Cruz, Calif.       27       20       5       2       -       -       1       Seattle, Wash.       143       86       43       8																	
W.N. CENTRAL       625       414       149       34       15       13       39         Des Moines, Iowa       48       35       10        1       2       2         Duluth, Minn.       35       28       7         5       5       3n Jose, Calif.       14       1        1         Kansas City, Kans.       31       15       14       1        1       2       3n Jose, Calif.       U       Santa Cruz, Calif.       27       20       5       -       2       5       T																	
W.N. CENTRAL       625       414       149       34       15       13       39       San Francisco, Calif.       21       15       2       3       1       1         Des Moines, Iowa       48       35       10       -       1       2       2       San Francisco, Calif.       21       15       2       3       1       -       1         Duluth, Minn.       35       28       7       -       -       -       5       San Jose, Calif.       U	0 ,																
Des Molnes, Iowa4835101222Duluth, Minn.352875Kansas City, Kans.311514112Kansas City, Mo.8050177511Kansas City, Mo.594610212Lincoln, Nebr.594610212Minneapolis, Minn.442311915Misneapolis, Mo.6636205237St. Louis, Mo.6636205237St. Paul, Minn.5230164115																	
Kansas City, Kans.       31       15       14       1       —       1       2       Santa Cruz, Calit.       27       20       5       2       —       1         Kansas City, Mo.       80       50       17       7       5       1       1       Seattle, Wash.       143       86       43       8       4       2       9         Lincoln, Nebr.       59       46       10       2       1       —       2       Spekane, Wash.       53       39       7       5       —       2       5         Minneapolis, Minn.       44       23       11       9       1       —       5       Tacoma, Wash.       88       64       17       3       2       2       4         Omaha, Nebr.       103       75       26       1       1       —       7       TOTAL       10,600**       6,951       2,415       728       243       258       661         St. Louis, Mon.       52       30       16       4       1       1       5       5       2       3       7         St. Paul, Minn.       52       30       16       4       1       1       5       5<									San Jose, Calif.								
Kansas Citý, Mo.       80       50       17       7       5       1       1       Seattle, Wash.       143       86       43       8       4       2       9         Lincoln, Nebr.       59       46       10       2       1        2       Spokane, Wash.       53       39       7       5        2       5         Minneapolis, Minn.       44       23       11       9       1        5       Tacoma, Wash.       88       64       17       3       2       2       4         Omaha, Nebr.       103       75       26       1       1        7       TOTAL       10,600**       6,951       2,415       728       243       258       661         St. Louis, Mo.       66       36       20       5       2       3       7       5       -       2       3       7         St. Paul, Minn.       52       30       16       4       1       1       5       5       1       1       5																	
Lincoln, Nebr.       59       46       10       2       1       -       2       Spokane, Wash.       53       39       7       5       -       2       5         Minneapolis, Minn.       44       23       11       9       1       -       5       Tacoma, Wash.       88       64       17       3       2       2       4         Omaha, Nebr.       103       75       26       1       1       -       7       TOTAL       10,600**       6,951       2,415       728       243       258       661         St. Louis, Mo.       66       36       20       5       2       3       7       5       -       2       5         St. Paul, Minn.       52       30       16       4       1       1       5       5       5       5       6       6       6       2       3       7       5       -       2       3       7       5       -       2       5       5       6       6       6       3       2       2       3       7       5       -       2       5       5       6       1       1       5       -       1																	
Minneapolis, Minn.       44       23       11       9       1       —       5         Omaha, Nebr.       103       75       26       1       1       —       7       TOTAL       10,600**       6,951       2,415       728       243       258       661         St. Louis, Mo.       66       36       20       5       2       3       7         St. Paul, Minn.       52       30       16       4       1       1       5	Lincoln, Nebr.				2		_										
St. Louis, Mo.         66         36         20         5         2         3         7           St. Paul, Minn.         52         30         16         4         1         1         5																	
St. Paul, Minn. 52 30 16 4 1 1 5									TOTAL	10,600**	6,951	2,415	728	243	258	661	
	'																

U: Unavailable.

J: Unavailable. —: No reported cases.
 \*Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of ≥100,000. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

<sup>†</sup>Pneumonia and influenza.

<sup>8</sup> Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks. <sup>1</sup>Because of Hurricane Katrina, weekly reporting of deaths has been temporarily disrupted.

\*\* Total includes unknown ages.

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy each week, send an e-mail message to *listserv@listserv.cdc.gov*. The body content should read *SUBscribe mmwr-toc*. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's World-Wide Web server at *http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr* or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at *ftp://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/publications/mmwr*. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone 202-512-1800.

Data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, to Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop K-95, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone 888-232-3228.

All material in the MMWR Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

All MMWR references are available on the Internet at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr. Use the search function to find specific articles.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to *MMWR* readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of these sites. URL addresses listed in *MMWR* were current as of the date of publication.

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 2006-523-142/00125 Region IV ISSN: 0149-2195