

Weekly

November 25, 2005 / Vol. 54 / No. 46

World AIDS Day — December 1, 2005

December 1 will mark the 18th observance of World AIDS Day. Begun in 1988, this annual worldwide event was established to increase awareness and education regarding human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS).

The 2005 World AIDS Day theme in the United States, "Action Makes a Difference," addresses the importance of prevention, testing, treatment, and care programs for persons at risk for or living with HIV/AIDS. At the end of 2003, more than 1 million persons were estimated to be living in the United States with HIV infection (1). Approximately one fourth of these persons were believed to be unaware of their infections underscoring the need for increased efforts to reach populations at-risk with HIV testing and prevention services. Recent data from 33 states indicate that HIV/AIDS diagnoses continue to disproportionately impact non-Hispanic blacks and men who have sex with men regardless of race (2).

Additional information about World AIDS Day is available at http://www.worldaidscampaign.info, and information regarding other U.S. HIV/AIDS observances is available at http://www.omhrc.gov/hivaidsobservances/ index.html. Information on the AIDS pandemic is available from the Joint United Nations Program on AIDS at http://www.unaids.org.

References

- Glynn M, Rhodes P. Estimated HIV prevalence in the United States at the end of 2003 [Abstract 595]. Presented at the 2005 National HIV Prevention Conference; Atlanta, GA; June 12–15, 2005.
- 2. CDC. Trends in HIV/AIDS diagnoses—33 states, 2001–2004. MMWR 2005;54:1149–53.

Screening HIV-Infected Persons for Tuberculosis — Cambodia, January 2004–February 2005

Worldwide, tuberculosis (TB) is one of the most common causes of death among persons infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) (1). The World Health Organization recommends screening HIV-infected persons for TB disease after HIV diagnosis, before initiation of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART), and during routine followup care (1). In 2003, health officials in Banteay Meanchey Province, Cambodia, in conjunction with CDC and the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID), began a pilot project to increase TB screening among persons with HIV infection. Subsequently, CDC analyzed and evaluated data from the first 14 months of the project. This report summarizes the results of that analysis, which determined that, during January 2004-February 2005, among persons with HIV infection at voluntary counseling and confidential testing (VCCT) clinics, 37% were screened for TB disease, and 24% of those screened had TB disease diagnosed. On the basis of these findings, the Provincial Health Department (PHD) took action to increase awareness of the risk for TB among HIV-infected persons. During the 3 months after these measures were implemented, the TB screening rate among

INSIDE

- 1181 Dental Visits Among Dentate Adults with Diabetes United States, 1999 and 2004
- 1183 Mobility Limitation Among Persons Aged ≥40 Years With and Without Diagnosed Diabetes and Lower Extremity Disease — United States, 1999–2002
- 1186 Conclusions and Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Poliomyelitis Eradication — Geneva, Switzerland, October 2005
- 1188 Notice to Readers
- 1188 QuickStats

The *MMWR* series of publications is published by the Coordinating Center for Health Information and Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA 30333.

SUGGESTED CITATION

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Article title]. MMWR 2005;54:[inclusive page numbers].

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Julie L. Gerberding, MD, MPH *Director*

Dixie E. Snider, MD, MPH Chief Science Officer

Tanja Popovic, MD, PhD Associate Director for Science

Coordinating Center for Health Information and Service

Steven L. Solomon, MD Director

National Center for Health Marketing

Jay M. Bernhardt, PhD, MPH Director

Division of Scientific Communications

Maria S. Parker (*Acting*) *Director*

Mary Lou Lindegren, MD Editor, MMWR Series

Suzanne M. Hewitt, MPA Managing Editor, MMWR Series

Douglas W. Weatherwax (Acting) Lead Technical Writer-Editor

> Stephanie M. Neitzel Jude C. Rutledge *Writers-Editors*

Lynda G. Cupell Malbea A. LaPete *Visual Information Specialists*

Quang M. Doan, MBA Erica R. Shaver Information Technology Specialists

Notifiable Disease Morbidity and 122 Cities Mortality Data

Patsy A. Hall Deborah A. Adams Lenee Blanton Felicia J. Connor Rosaline Dhara Pearl C. Sharp persons with HIV infection increased to 61%. Evaluation of projects like the one conducted in Banteay Meanchey Province can help develop an evidence-based approach for removing barriers to screening HIV-infected persons for TB.

In Cambodia, both the prevalence of HIV infection and incidence of TB disease are high. In 2003, HIV prevalence among antenatal clinic attendees was estimated at 2.2%, the highest reported for any country in Asia (2). The TB case rate in Cambodia is estimated at 508 per 100,000 persons, the highest in Asia and approximately 100 times the rate in the United States (3). In 2003, CDC and USAID assisted the Cambodia Ministry of Health in developing a pilot project to screen HIV-infected persons living in Banteay Meanchey Province for TB disease. Banteay Meanchey is a rural province in northwestern Cambodia (estimated 2004 population: 651,000) with an HIV prevalence in antenatal clinic attendees of 4.4%, twice that of Cambodia overall (4). In Banteay Meanchey, 25% of HIV-infected TB patients die during TB therapy, compared with 5% of TB patients without HIV (CDC, unpublished data, 2005).

HIV-infected persons in Cambodia are directed to TB clinics for screening. Eleven of 53 TB clinics, including the three largest in the province, and three of five VCCT clinics participate in the Banteay Meanchey TB/HIV project. Screening usually includes questions about TB symptoms, testing of sputum specimens by smear microscopy (using acid-fastbacilli staining by the Ziehl-Neelsen method), and chest radiography (depending on availability). Additional activities performed as part of the project include referral of TB patients to VCCT clinics for HIV testing, standardized recording and follow-up of referrals, and monthly on-site monitoring and training of health-care workers by PHD staff. During February-March 2005, data on TB screening rates from the first 14 months of the project were analyzed. In addition, interviews were conducted with staff members from all 11 participating TB clinics, all six counselors from the three participating VCCT clinics, and both counselors from a VCCT clinic not participating in the project to evaluate possible barriers to TB screening. Both univariate and multivariate analyses were performed. Final model terms were selected using backward stepwise variable selection. Only variables that were statistically significant (p<0.05) remained in the final multivariate model.

During January 2004–February 2005, participating VCCT clinics tested 8,109 persons and determined that 1,228 (15%) were HIV-infected. Median age of those with HIV infection was 33 years (range: 1 year–72 years); 52% were female, and 75% were unskilled workers (e.g., laborers, farmers, fishermen, or sex workers). Of the 1,228 with HIV infection, 450 (37%) completed TB screening. By comparison, in the VCCT

clinic not participating in the TB/HIV project, only one (2%) of 65 persons with HIV infection in 2004 was screened for TB.

All 77 persons aged <18 years were excluded from the multivariate model because they were disproportionately single and unemployed. Multivariate regression analysis of characteristics of the remaining 1,151 persons identified factors independently associated with not being screened for TB, including age <35 years, semiskilled or skilled occupation (e.g., police officers, military personnel, health-care workers, and teachers), and reporting not feeling ill at the time of the visit to VCCT (Table).

Of the 450 HIV-infected persons who completed TB screening, TB disease was diagnosed in 107 (24%) persons. TB diagnosis was reported for all subgroups of patients who were screened, including those subgroups that were less likely to be screened, such as semiskilled or skilled workers (11 of 21 [52%]), and persons who did not report feeling ill when they visited the VCCT (57 of 261 [22%]). When interviewed about their practices, VCCT counselors suggested that persons with more education (i.e., semiskilled and skilled workers) were

TABLE. Number and percentage of patients not screened for tuberculosis disease after a diagnosis of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection, by selected characteristics — Cambodia, January 2004–February 2005

		Univariate relative risk	Multivariate adjusted odds
Characteristic	No.* (%)	(95% Cl†)	ratio [§] (95% CI)
Age (yrs)			
<35	400/610 (66)	Referent	Referent
≥35	314/541 (58)	0.7 (0.6–0.9)	0.8 (0.6–1.0)
Sex			
Male	341/540 (63)	1.0 (0.9–1.1)	NS¶
Female	373/611 (61)	Referent	NS
Marital status			
Single	96/141 (68)	Referent	NS
Married	403/660 (61)	0.6 (0.4–0.8)	NS
Widowed	211/346 (61)	0.6 (0.4–0.8)	NS
Occupation**			
Unskilled	551/914 (60)	Referent	Referent
Semiskilled or skilled	66/87 (76)	2.1 (1.2–3.4)	2.1 (1.2–3.5)
Others	95/140 (68)	1.3 (0.9–1.9)	1.4 (1.0–2.1)
Reason for visit to VCCT ^{††}			
Patient feels ill	181/368 (49)	Referent	Referent
Patient does not feel ill	533/783 (68)	1.6 (1.4–1.8)	2.1 (1.6–2.7)

 * N = 1,151. Seventy-seven persons aged <18 years were excluded because they were disproportionately single and unemployed.

[†] Confidence interval.

§ Final model terms were selected using backward stepwise variable selection. Only variables that were statistically significant (p<0.05) remained in the final multivariate model.</p>
I Observation to a selected in final multivariate model.

[¶] Characteristic not selected in final multivariate model.
** Recorded as an open text variable, then reclassified as unskilled (e.g., laborers, farmers, fishermen, and sex workers), semiskilled or skilled (e.g., police officers, military personnel, health-care workers, and teachers), or others (e.g., unemployed persons and homemakers).

Heading value workers, and reachers), or others (e.g., unemployed persons and nomemakers).
 Reasons why persons visited voluntary counseling and confidential testing clinics were recorded as categorical variables (e.g., premarital testing or pregnancy). For analysis, patients reporting symptoms were classified as "patient feels ill" and all other responses as "patient does not feel ill."

less likely to follow their recommendation to receive TB screening or were more likely to seek TB screening in the private sector.

In March 2005, assessment of preliminary findings from the project indicated that TB screening had increased among participating VCCTs compared with the nonparticipating VCCT; nonetheless, barriers to TB screening remained. PHD took three steps to improve TB screening. First, PHD developed a standardized, written script about TB disease for HIV counselors to read to persons with newly diagnosed HIV infection. The script explains that TB disease in HIV-infected persons is common, communicable, treatable, and occasionally asymptomatic, and that screening for TB disease is required as a precondition for HAART (which became available in Banteay Meanchey in January 2005). Second, PHD began meeting monthly with TB clinic and VCCT staff members to review project data, discuss barriers to screening, and provide ongoing education about TB and HIV infection. Third, PHD began surveying persons with newly diagnosed HIV infection at VCCT sites to assess their knowledge of TB and attitudes toward the disease.

> In August 2005, the impact of these interventions was assessed. During April-June 2005, a total of 267 persons had HIV infection diagnosed at the three participating VCCT sites, and 163 (61%) completed TB screening, compared with 37% who were screened before the interventions (p<0.01). Of the 163 persons completing TB screening, 37 (23%) had TB diagnosed. VCCT staff members reported that the largest remaining barrier to TB screening was limited availability of TB services. HIV-infected patients were either directed to or escorted to a TB clinic. However, the clinic was not always staffed when patients arrived. To be screened for TB in the province, persons must see a TB physician, provide sputum specimens to the laboratory, and have a chest radiograph performed. These services are usually available only for 2–3 hours per day, 3–5 days per week.

> **Reported by:** C Vannarith, MD, Provincial Health Dept, Banteay Meanchey Province; N Kanara, MD, M Qualls, MPH, CDC Global AIDS Project, Phnom Penh, Cambodia. J Varma, MD, K Laserson, ScD, C Wells, MD, Div of Tuberculosis Elimination, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention; K Cain, MD, EIS Officer, CDC.

Editorial Note: In Southeast Asia, the mortality rate among HIV-infected TB patients is 25%–40%, a rate 5–10 times higher than that among TB patients not infected with HIV (5). Most of these deaths occur within the first 2 months after TB diagnosis; the high early mortality rate might result from delayed diagnosis of TB. Screening HIV-infected persons can help identify those with TB disease earlier, potentially improving their likelihood of survival. Because HAART reduces mortality in HIV-infected TB patients, screening HIV-infected persons for TB disease might also identify a subset of patients who should be prioritized for enrollment in HAART programs (6).

Actively identifying and treating TB disease in persons with HIV infection also can help control communitywide TB transmission. In countries with epidemics of both TB and HIV, finding and treating patients with active TB disease was determined to be more effective in controlling TB over a 10-year period than treating persons with latent TB infection or scaling up HAART to prevent development of TB disease (7). Unlike the other two measures, active TB case finding directly reduces the number of infectious persons, who are those most likely to transmit TB to HIV-infected persons (7).

In areas where HIV and TB programs traditionally have been separate, integrating TB screening into HIV services is challenging because 1) multiple visits are required by a patient to provide a sputum specimen and receive a chest radiograph, 2) separate clinics are operated for TB screening and HIV care, 3) and operating hours for both TB and HIV services are limited. Integration of TB and HIV services might increase TB screening rates. Depending on the structure of the health system, different models might be implemented, including having TB staff members work directly in HIV clinics or training HIV clinical workers to perform TB screening. Knowledge and attitudes of health-care workers and patients might be another barrier to TB screening. In this evaluation, specific categories of HIV-infected patients (e.g., those with semiskilled and skilled occupations) were less likely to be screened for TB, possibly because health-care workers or the patients themselves believed they were not at risk for TB. However, those subgroups less likely to be screened were actually at considerable risk for TB, with TB disease rates ranging from 8% to 52%. Further research into knowledge and attitudes of patients and health-care workers might identify additional strategies for increasing TB screening rates.

The findings in this report are subject to at least three limitations. First, the study was retrospective and relied only on existing data regarding risk factors for not being screened for TB; other potential risk factors could not be assessed. Second, factors outside of the project (e.g., scale-up of HAART programs in the province) might have contributed to the increase in TB screening rates and could not be controlled for in the results. Finally, the follow-up evaluation period was relatively short in duration; whether the increased screening rates will continue is unknown.

In resource-limited countries, commonly employed diagnostic methods (e.g., sputum smear microscopy or chest radiography) for TB disease fail to identify many HIV-infected patients with TB disease (8). In the Cambodian population described in this report, rates of TB disease in HIV-infected persons might have been considerably higher if more sensitive techniques, such as sputum culture, had been employed (9). Because mycobacterial culture often is not feasible in resourcelimited countries, new diagnostic methods for TB disease are needed and more research is needed to develop evidence-based clinical algorithms for TB screening of persons with HIV infection (10). In addition, CDC and USAID are collaborating with local and international partners in countries around the world to implement and improve upon TB/HIV projects similar to the one described in this report.

References

- World Health Organization. Interim policy on collaborative TB/HIV activities. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2004. Available at http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2004/who_htm_tb_2004.330.pdf.
- Cambodia National Center for HIV/AIDS, Dermatology, and STDs. HIV sentinel surveillance 2003: results, trends, and estimates. Presented at dissemination meeting, Phnom Penh, Cambodia; December 3, 2004.
- 3. World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis control: surveillance, planning, financing. WHO report 2005. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2005.
- 4. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS, World Health Organization. UNAIDS/WHO epidemiological fact sheets on HIV/ AIDS and sexually transmitted infections, 2004 update. Geneva, Switzerland: Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS, World Health Organization; 2004. Available at http://www.unaids.org/html/ pub/publications/fact-sheets01/cambodia_en_pdf.
- World Health Organization. Proceedings of the WHO HIV/TB conference for the Mekong Sub-region, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam, October 10–14, 2005. Available at http://www.un.org.vn/who/docs/ mekonghivtb/proceedings.pdf.
- Dheda K, Lampe FC, Johnson MA, Lipman MC. Outcome of HIVassociated tuberculosis in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. J Infect Dis 2004;190:1670–6.
- Currie CS, Williams BG, Cheng RC, Dye C. Tuberculosis epidemics driven by HIV: is prevention better than cure? AIDS 2003;17:2501–8.
- Perkins MD, Kritski AL. Diagnostic testing in the control of tuberculosis. Bull World Health Organ 2002;80:512–3.
- 9. Kimerling ME, Schuchter J, Chanthol E, et al. Prevalence of pulmonary tuberculosis among HIV-infected persons in a home care program in Phnom Penh, Cambodia. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2002;6:988–94.
- Siddiqi K, Lambert ML, Walley J. Clinical diagnosis of smearnegative pulmonary tuberculosis in low-income countries: the current evidence. Lancet Infect Dis 2003;3:288–96.

Dental Visits Among Dentate Adults with Diabetes — United States, 1999 and 2004

One of the major complications of diabetes is periodontal disease (1), a chronic infection of tissues supporting the teeth and a major cause of tooth loss. Adults with diabetes have both a higher prevalence of periodontal disease and more severe forms of the disease (2), contributing to impaired quality of life and substantial oral functional disability (3). In addition, periodontal disease has been associated with development of glucose intolerance and poor glycemic control among adults with diabetes (4,5). Regular dental visits provide opportunities for prevention, early detection, and treatment of periodontal disease among dentate adults (i.e., those having one or more teeth); moreover, regular dental cleaning improves glycemic control in patients with poorly controlled diabetic conditions (6,7). One of the national health objectives for 2010 is to increase the proportion of persons with diabetes who have an annual dental examination to 71% (revised objective 5-15) (8). To estimate the percentage of dentate U.S. adults aged ≥ 18 years with diabetes who visited a dentist within the preceding 12 months, CDC analyzed data from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) surveys for 1999 and 2004. This report describes the results of that analysis, which indicated that, in 2004, age-adjusted estimates in only seven states exceeded 71% and estimated percentages for four states and District of Columbia (DC) increased significantly from their levels in 1999. The findings underscore the need to increase awareness and support for oral health care among adults with diabetes, including support for national and state diabetes care management programs.

BRFSS uses state-based telephone surveys to collect data about major health-risk behaviors, use of preventive health practices, and access to health care among a representative sample of noninstitutionalized adults aged ≥ 18 years in the 50 states, DC, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. In 1999, three oral health questions were included for the first time in the BRFSS rotating core questionnaire and asked of all survey participants, and two of these questions were used in this analysis: 1) "How long has it been since you last visited a dentist or a dental clinic for any reason?" and 2) "How many of your permanent teeth have been removed because of tooth decay or gum disease?" These questions were last included in the 2004 BRFSS survey. Persons with diabetes were defined as respondents who answered "yes" to the core question, "Has a doctor ever told you that you have diabetes?" Because BRFSS data are state-specific, median annual prevalences are reported instead of national averages. The median response rate in 2004 across 49 states and DC was 52.7% (range: 32.2% [New Jersey]–66.6% [Nebraska]); 25,736 respondents for whom age data were available reported having been told by a doctor they had diabetes (excluding women told so only during pregnancy). Of these, 82% were dentate. Approximately 0.01% of the survey participants provided no information on the dental visit question. All estimates were age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. adult population. Differences in estimates were considered statistically significant if their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) did not overlap.

In 2004, among states/areas, the median estimated ageadjusted percentage of dentate adults with diabetes who had a dental visit during the preceding 12 months was 67% (range: 49.1%-83.3%). The estimated percentage, including the lower confidence limit, was \geq 71% in seven states: Kansas, Minnesota, Nebraska, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Utah, and Wisconsin (Table 1). The lowest percentages were in Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, Texas, West Virginia, and Wyoming. The estimated percentage increased significantly from 1999 to 2004 in Arizona, Kansas, Minnesota, Ohio, and DC, but decreased significantly in North Carolina. The lowest estimated percentage in any one state/area increased from 37% in 1999 (DC) to 49.1% in 2004 (Mississippi).

The age-adjusted estimated prevalence was significantly associated with race/ethnicity, education level, income level, smoking status, health insurance status, and having taken a course in diabetes management (Table 2). Estimated percentages were lower among non-Hispanic blacks, persons with lower education and income, those who lacked health insurance, and those who had never taken a course or class in how to manage their diabetes.

Reported by: *PI Eke, PhD, GO Thornton-Evans, DDS, Div of Oral Health; GL Beckles, MD, Div of Diabetes Translation, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, CDC.*

Editorial Note: One of the revised national health objectives for 2010 is to increase the proportion of adults with diabetes who have an annual dental examination to at least 71% (objective 5-15). The results of this study indicate that only seven states had reached this objective as of 2004. Further research is needed to identify real or perceived barriers that might underlie the lower estimated percentage among dentate adults with diabetes in the other states.

The results also indicate that attendance at classes to manage diabetes was associated with having had a dental visit during the preceding 12 months among dentate adults with diabetes. Lack of health insurance was significantly associated with not having had a dental visit. The National Diabetes Education Program (NDEP) recommends that persons with TABLE 1. Age-adjusted estimates^{*} of the percentage of dentate adults with diabetes who had a dental visit during the preceding 12 months, by state/area — Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, United States, 1999 and 2004

	2004			1999	Difference in	% change in
State/Area	%	(95% Cl [†])	%	(95% CI)	estimates [§]	estimates ¹
Alabama	64.1	(54.6–73.5)	51.3	(41.7–60.9)	12.8	24.9
Alaska	63.7	(52.9-74.5)	75.1	(61.6-81.6)	-11.4	-15.2
Arizona	64.4	(54.2–74.6)	42.5	(32.9–52.1)	21.9	51.5
Arkansas	59.2	(50.0-68.4)	45.9	(37.1–54.7)	13.3	28.9
California	65.6	(57.4–73.8)	66.5	(57.5–75.5)	-0.9	-1.4
Colorado	72.6	(66.5-78.7)	73.1	(62.5-83.7)	-0.5	-0.7
Connecticut	75.5	(67.7–83.3)	82.6	(72.6–92.6)	-7.1	-8.6
Delaware	75.9	(67.5-84.3)	65.0	(53.0–77.0)	10.9	16.7
District of Columbia	71.3	(58.6-84.0)	37.0**	(23.5-50.5)	34.3	92.7
Florida	53.5	(44.1–62.9)	56.9	(49.1–64.7)	-3.4	-5.9
Georgia	56.6	(46.4-66.8)	65.2	(54.2-76.2)	-8.6	-13.2
Hawaii ^{††}	—		63.7	(47.8–79.6)	_	
Idaho	67.0	(59.6-74.4)	65.3	(54.7-75.9)	1.7	2.6
Illinois	61.7	(47.8-75.6)	73.6	(61.3-85.9)	-11.9	-16.1
Indiana	67.5	(60.8-74.1)	74.6	(62.3-86.9)	-7.1	-9.5
Iowa	70.9	(60.9-80.9)	65.5	(54.9-76.1)	5.4	8.2
Kansas	78.7	(74.6-82.8)	58.5	(52.4-64.6)	20.2	34.5
Kentucky	71.5	(65.4–77.6)	60.5	(51.9–69.1)	11.0	18.1
Louisiana	56.1	(47.9–64.3)	56.9	(43.2–70.6)	-0.8	-1.4
Maine	59.3	(51.7–66.9)	64.3	(53.1–75.5)	-5.0	-7.8
Maryland	75.0	(66.0-84.0)	70.6	(60.2-81.0)	4.4	6.2
Massachusetts	74.9	(66.1-83.7)	71.2	(60.6-81.8)	3.7	5.2
Michigan	72.1	(60.9-83.3)	73.4	(64.6-82.2)	-1.3	-1.7
Minnesota	83.3	(76.4–90.2)	54.9	(47.6–62.2)	28.4	51.7
Mississippi	49.1	(41.7–56.5)	53.0	(41.2–64.8)	-3.9	-7.4
Missouri	61.4	(53.8–69.0)	61.0	(49.6–72.4)	0.4	0.7
Montana	69.0	(57.2-80.8)	61.8	(48.5–75.1)	7.2	11.6
Nebraska	79.7	(74.6-84.8)	81.3	(71.3–91.3)	-1.6	-1.9
Nevada	76.7	(69.3-84.1)	70.2	(59.8–80.6)	6.5	9.2
New Hampshire	67.6	(59.6–75.6)	70.9**	(58.6–83.2)	-3.3	-4.6
New Jersey	74.3	(69.0-79.6)	71.0	(58.3-83.7)	3.3	4.6
New Mexico	73.9	(68.0-79.8)	71.3	(63.1–79.5)	2.6	3.6
New York	53.5	(41.7-65.3)	68.6	(56.3-80.9)	-15.1	-22.0
North Carolina	64.7	(58.8-70.6)	82.1	(76.0-88.2)	-17.4	-21.1
North Dakota	72.3	(62.5-82.1)	76.3	(64.0-88.6)	-4.0	-5.2
Ohio	73.6	(65.4-81.8)	45.6	(31.7–59.5)	28.0	61.4
Oklahoma	62.0	(52.4-71.6)	53.6	(41.8–65.4)	8.4	15.6
Oregon	73.2	(64.2-82.2)	67.0	(55.8–78.2)	6.2	9.2
Pennsylvania	78.9	(73.4–84.4)	72.9	(63.7–82.1)	6.0	8.2
Rhode Island	78.8	(71.5–86.1)	78.0	(69.4–86.6)	0.8	1.0
South Carolina	57.5	(46.9–68.1)	58.9	(49.9–67.9)	-1.4	-2.4
South Dakota	63.9	(54.5–73.3)	71.2	(61.0–81.4)	-7.3	-10.3
Tennessee	64.4	(55.6–73.2)	61.7	(52.3–71.1)	2.7	4.4
Texas	50.0	(41.6–58.4)	65.2	(56.8–73.6)	-15.2	-23.3
Utah	81.3	(75.8–86.8)	71.1	(58.8–83.4)	10.2	14.3
Vermont	63.0	(53.6–72.4)	69.7	(56.2–83.2)	-6.7	-9.6
Virginia	70.4	(62.0–78.8)	66.4	(59.5–73.3)	4.0	6.0
Washington	64.8	(59.3–70.3)	59.9	(48.7–71.1)	4.9	8.1
West Virginia	59.6	(49.0–70.2)	58.4	(42.7–74.1)	1.2	2.1
Wisconsin	79.6	(71.0-88.2)	70.4	(61.8–79.0)	9.2	13.0
Wyoming	56.7	(46.7–66.7)	68.5	(58.7–78.3)	-11.8	-17.2
Puerto Rico	59.3	(50.3–68.3)	63.8	(54.2–73.4)	-4.5	-7.0
U.S. Virgin Islands ^{††}	66.1	(56.3–75.9)	_	_ `	—	_
Median ^{§§}	67.3	(49.1-83.3)	65.9	(37.0-82.6)	0.9	1.4

* Estimates are age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. standard adult population.

[†] Confidence interval.

[§] Change in estimated percentage from 1999 to 2004.

[¶] Change is estimated percentage divided by percentage in 1999.

** Because cell size is <50, data should be interpreted with caution.

^{††} No 2004 data were collected for Hawaii; no 1999 data were collected for the U.S. Virgin Islands.

§§ Median and range for all states/areas.

diabetes receive oral health management education, including instructions in oral self-care and oral self-examination. NDEP emphasizes that adults, even those without teeth, should receive at least one dental examination per year (9). In the general population, lack of health insurance, particularly dental insurance, is associated with less use of dental services and poorer oral health (10). Because dental insurance coverage typically is provided as an employee benefit, persons who are unemployed are less likely to have dental insurance. In addition, this report indicates that current smokers were less likely to have had a dental visit during the preceding 12 months than nonsmokers. Smoking is known to be strongly associated with periodontal disease (3). Measures that public health organizations can implement to increase the frequency of dental visits among persons with diabetes include 1) increasing public and professional awareness of diabetes as a risk factor for several oral conditions, 2) monitoring the oral health of persons with diabetes, 3) increasing access to dental care by providing dental coverage for adults with diabetes, 4) expanding partnerships between organizations focused on oral health and diabetes care (e.g., the American Dental Association and the American Diabetes Association), and 5) supporting tobacco-use cessation programs targeting persons with diabetes.

The findings in this report are subject to at least four limitations. First, because the BRFSS sample was drawn from a noninstitutionalized population, it excludes adults not residing in households (e.g., those in nursing homes or long-term–care facilities). Second, because the survey was conducted by telephone, it excludes persons without residential telephone service (e.g., those with lower incomes

TABLE 2. Age-adjusted estimates* of the percentage of dentate adults with diabetes who had a dental visit during the preceding 12 months, by selected characteristics — Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, United States, 2004

Characteristic	%	(95% Cl ⁺)		
Age group (yrs)				
18–44	62.7	(58.4–67.0)		
45–64	65.7	(63.6–67.7)		
65–74	67.3	(64.1–70.5)		
<u>≥</u> 75	70.6	(67.3–73.8)		
Race/Ethnicity				
White, non-Hispanic	70.9	(68.6–73.2)		
Black, non-Hispanic	53.4	(48.3–58.3)		
Other, non-Hispanic	70.1	(60.9–79.2)		
Multiracial, non-Hispanic	50.9	(37.6–64.2)		
Hispanic	55.1	(48.4–61.8)		
Education				
Less than high school	48.6	(41.9-55.2)		
High school	63.3	(59.8–66.8)		
More than high school	71.0	(67.9–74.9)		
Annual household income		(0110-1110)		
<\$10.000	43.8	(37.4–50.2)		
\$10,000-\$14,999	58.4	(50.7–66.1)		
\$15,000-\$19,999	55.7	(49.7–61.6)		
\$20,000-\$24,999	64.2	(58.9–69.5)		
\$25,000-\$34,999	69.6	(64.9–74.2)		
\$35.000-\$49.999	73.1	(68.6–77.6)		
\$50,000-\$74,999	73.0	(67.1–78.8)		
>\$75.000	78.7	(71.8–85.6)		
Health insurance coverage		(**********)		
Yes	68.1	(65.5-70.7)		
No	49.4	(43.6–55.3)		
Class to manage diabetes§		()		
Yes	67.1	(63.7–70.4)		
No	60.2	(56.2–64.2)		
Smoking		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Yes (every day)	58.2	(53.1–63.3)		
Yes (some days)	55.8	(48.1–63.5)		
Former	64.0	(57.0–70.9)		
Never	66.9	(63.8–69.9)		
No. of teeth lost		. ,		
None	67.9	(64.8–70.9)		
1–5	66.3	(61.5–71.0)		
>5 but not all	59.8	(51.0–68.5)		

* Estimates are age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. standard adult population.

[§] Determined by response to the question, "Have you ever taken a course or class in how to manage your diabetes yourself?"

and those residing in households that use cellular telephones only). Third, the accuracy of survey participants' self-report of their dental visit was not validated against dental records, and their responses might be subject to recall bias or the tendency to give socially desirable responses during interviews. Finally, the sample size for some states/areas (e.g., DC) was small (i.e., <50) in 1999; thus, these estimates should be interpreted with caution.

Overall, in most states/areas, estimates for dental visits during the preceding 12 months among adult with diabetes 1) have not reached the targets set by the national health objectives for 2010 or 2) have not increased from estimates in 1999. These trends underscore the need to increase awareness of the importance of oral health in diabetes care management at the state and national levels. Diabetes education programs in states should emphasize personal and professional preventive dental care for all persons with diabetes, with emphasis on non-Hispanic blacks, persons with lower education and income, and those who lack health insurance.

References

- 1. Loe H. Periodontal disease—the sixth complication of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 1993;16(Suppl 1):329–34.
- 2. Tomar SL, Lester A. Dental and other health care visits among U.S. adults with diabetes. Diabetes Care 2000;23:1505–10.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. Oral health in America: a report of the Surgeon General. Rockville, MD: US National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, National Institutes of Health; 2000.
- 4. Saito T, Shimazaki Y, Kiyohara Y, et al. The severity of periodontal disease is associated with the development of glucose intolerance in non-diabetics: the Hisayama Study. J Dent Res 2004;83:485–90.
- 5. Taylor G. Periodontal treatment and its effects on glycemic control, 1999. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1999;87:311–6.
- Committee on Research, Science, and Therapy, American Academy of Periodontology. Diabetes and periodontal disease. J Periodontol 2000;71:664–78.
- 7. Grossi SG, Skrepcinski FB, DeCaro T, et al. Treatment of periodontal disease in diabetics reduces glycated hemoglobin. J Periodontol 1999;68:713–9.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy people 2010—midcourse review. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services; 2005. Available at www.healthypeople.gov/data/ midcourse.
- National Diabetes Education Program. Working together to manage diabetes: a guide for pharmacists, podiatrists, optometrists, and dental professionals. Bethesda, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health; 2004. Available at http:// www.ndep.nih.gov/diabetes/pubs/catalog.htm.
- 10. Damiano PC, Shugars DA, Johnson JD. Expanding health insurance coverage and the implications for dentistry. J Public Health Dent 1992;52:52–8.

Mobility Limitation Among Persons Aged <u>></u>40 Years With and Without Diagnosed Diabetes and Lower Extremity Disease — United States, 1999–2002

Diabetes increases the risk for mobility limitation, especially among older persons (1,2). Lower extremity disease (LED), which includes peripheral arterial disease (PAD) and peripheral neuropathy (PN), also increases the risk for mobility limitation (3,4). To assess the prevalence of mobility limitation among persons with diagnosed diabetes, persons with LED, and persons with both or neither condition, CDC analyzed data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1999–2002 for adults aged ≥40 years. This report summarizes the preliminary findings, which indicated that the national prevalence of mobility limitation is higher among persons with either diagnosed diabetes or LED than those without the conditions, and that adults with both conditions have a higher prevalence of mobility limitation than those with either condition alone. Monitoring the prevalence of diabetes, LED, and associated risk factors and identifying effective LED prevention strategies will help reduce the burden of mobility limitation in the United States.

NHANES is an ongoing, cross-sectional survey of representative samples of the U.S. civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey uses a complex multistage probability design. Data are collected through in-person interviews and medical examinations. During 1999-2002, NHANES participants were asked, "Other than during pregnancy, have you ever been told by a doctor or health professional that you have diabetes or sugar diabetes?" Participants who answered "yes" to this question were classified as having diagnosed diabetes; if the answer was "no" or "borderline," participants were classified as not having diagnosed diabetes. LED was defined as the presence of either PAD (ankle/brachial blood pressure ratio <0.9), PN (one or more insensate areas, on the basis of monofilament testing of foot sensation), self-report of foot/ leg ulcers, or technician-observed toe or foot lesion or amputation. Further details of these measures have been described previously (5). Mobility limitation was determined on the basis of participants' response to the questions, "How much difficulty do you have walking for a quarter of a mile; walking up 10 steps without resting; and walking from one room to another on the same level?" Participants who responded "some difficulty," "much difficulty," or "unable to do" to one or more of the three questions were classified as having mobility limitation; participants who responded "no difficulty" to all three questions were classified as having no mobility limitation.

Prevalence of mobility limitation was calculated for adults with and without diagnosed diabetes and LED by age and sex for participants aged ≥ 40 years who had complete data in the interview and examination variables of interest (n = 4,689); of the 6,059 persons aged >40 years who received the health examination, 1,370 (23%) were excluded from the analysis because of missing data. All reported percentages and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated using examination weights and taking into account the complex sampling design. Data were age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. standard population using the age groups 40–59 years, 60–74 years, and \geq 75 years. Logistic regression analysis was used to assess the association of diabetes status and LED status with mobility limitation, including whether an interaction existed between diabetes status and LED status in their associations with mobility limitation, after adjusting for demographic characteristics.

The age-adjusted prevalence of mobility limitation among adults with diagnosed diabetes was greater than for those without diagnosed diabetes overall (27% and 16%, respectively) and in each age and sex group. The age-adjusted prevalence of mobility limitation among those with LED was also greater than for those without LED overall (26% and 15%, respectively) and in each age and sex group (Table).

Overall, adults with diagnosed diabetes but without LED had a similar prevalence of mobility limitation as adults with LED but without diagnosed diabetes (23% and 25%, respectively). Those with diagnosed diabetes and LED had a prevalence of mobility limitation greater than those with either condition alone and almost three times greater than those with neither condition (39% and 14%, respectively).

In a logistic regression model that included both diagnosed diabetes and LED, after data were adjusted for age, sex, and race/ethnicity, the odds of mobility limitation were greater for adults with diagnosed diabetes (odds ratio [OR] = 2.0; CI = 1.4–3.0) than adults without diagnosed diabetes; the

,;;;;;; _	,							,		,	
				Age gro	up (yrs)		Sex*				
		Overall*		40–59		<u>≥</u> 60		Men		Women	
Disease status	%	(95% Cl ⁺)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	
Diagnosed diabetes	27.2	(21.0–33.4)	17.2	(9.6–24.8)	41.0	(33.5–48.4)	21.7	(15.8–27.5)	34.2	(24.9–43.5)	
No diagnosed diabetes	15.9	(14.4 - 17.4)	7.1	(5.7-8.6)	29.1	(26.4-31.9)	12.2	(10.7 - 13.7)	19.1	(17.7–21.0)	
LED	26.4	(22.8-29.9)	17.1	(12.3-21.9)	41.5	(36.4-46.7)	21.0	(16.8-25.1)	33.8	(28.2-39.4)	
No LED	14.5	(13.0-15.9)	6.5	(5.0-8.0)	26.1	(23.9-28.4)	10.1	(8.6-11.6)	17.8	(15.8–19.8)	
Diagnosed diabetes with LED§	38.8	(29.4-48.2)	29.6	(14.7-44.5)	51.5	(40.3-62.8)	32.0	(19.3–44.8)	51.8	(35.2–68.3)	
Diagnosed diabetes without LED§	22.6	(14.7–30.4)	13.8	(5.2–22.5)	34.8	(25.8–43.7)	15.5	(8.2–22.7)	28.9	(17.3–40.4)	
LED without diagnosed diabetes §	24.7	(20.9-28.4)	15.6	(10.5-20.7)	39.6	(34.6-44.7)	19.5	(15.5-23.4)	31.5	(25.6-37.4)	
No diagnosed diabetes, no LED§	13.8	(12.2–15.3)	6.0	(4.6–7.5)	25.0	(22.3-27.7)	9.6	(8.1–11.2)	16.9	(14.9–18.9)	

TABLE. Prevalence of mobility limitation among adults aged \geq 40 years with and without diagnosed diabetes and lower extremity disease (LED), by age group, sex, and disease status — National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, United States, 1999–2002

* Overall and sex-specific estimates are age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. standard population using age groups 40–59 years, 60–74 years, and ≥75 years. Confidence interval.

Some increases were as follows: diagnosed diabetes with LED: n = 181; diagnosed diabetes without LED: n = 368; LED without diagnosed diabetes: n = 859; no diagnosed diabetes and no LED: n = 3,281.

odds of mobility limitation were also increased among adults with LED (OR = 2.3; CI = 1.7–2.9) compared with adults without LED. No statistically significant interaction between diabetes status and LED status existed (i.e., the relative odds of mobility limitation for persons with diagnosed diabetes or LED were additive overall and not modified by the presence of both conditions).

Among persons with mobility limitation, the most frequently reported mobility limitations were related to walking a quarter mile and walking up 10 steps without resting (Figure). Among those who had both diagnosed diabetes and LED, 33% reported difficulty walking a quarter of a mile and difficulty walking up 10 steps; 6% reported having difficulty walking from one room to another on the same level, which is the most severe form of mobility limitation analyzed.

Reported by: *MS Eberhardt, PhD, S Saydah, PhD, R Paulose-Ram, PhD, National Center for Health Statistics; M Tao, PhD, EIS Officer, CDC.*

Editorial Note: Diabetes has long been identified as one of the major factors associated with mobility limitation (6,7). The findings in this report suggest a statistically significantly higher prevalence of mobility limitation among adults aged \geq 40 years who had diagnosed diabetes compared with those without diagnosed diabetes. The cross-sectional design of NHANES does not permit an investigation into the causal pathway for mobility limitation; however, research has indicated that PN and PAD, as well as other diabetic complica-

FIGURE. Prevalence of mobility limitation* among adults aged \geq 40 years with or without diagnosed diabetes and lower extremity disease (LED), by disease status and type of limitation — National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, United States, 1999–2002[†]



* Estimates are age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. standard population using , age groups 40–59 years, 60–74 years, and \geq 75 years.

[†]Participants who reported having some difficulty doing, much difficulty doing, or who were unable to perform a certain activity were classified s as having mobility limitation.

§95% confidence interval.

tions (e.g., vision loss) or comorbidities (e.g., obesity, cardiovascular disease, or arthritis), are predictors of mobility limitation among persons with type 2 diabetes (2). Studies have also demonstrated that LED has an independent effect on mobility among older persons (3, 4). Consistent with previous findings, this report indicates substantially higher percentages of mobility limitation among adults aged ≥ 40 years with LED but without diabetes, compared with those with neither condition.

In this sample of the noninstitutionalized U.S. population, only a small percentage (6%) of those with diagnosed diabetes and LED reported difficulty moving from one room to another on the same level, which can impair a person's ability to perform activities of daily living. A larger percentage reported impaired ability to walk a quarter mile or climb 10 steps without resting. Such physical limitations can affect a person's ability to live independently or participate in community life and might decrease well being.

The findings in this report are subject to at least three limitations. First, NHANES does not include institutionalized persons, such as those in long-term–care facilities, a population less healthy and more likely to have functional limitations. Second, the sample size was not sufficiently large to analyze additional factors related to mobility limitations (e.g., comorbidities) through bivariate analysis. Finally, among the 6,059 persons aged \geq 40 years who received the health examination, 1,370 (23%) were excluded from the analysis because of missing data; because persons with missing data were older and more likely to have diagnosed diabetes, the prevalence of mobility limitation is probably underestimated.

As the U.S. population ages and the prevalence of diabetes increases, LED and its health consequences, including chronic ulcers in feet or legs, amputations, and mobility limitations, will become increasing public health concerns. Proper foot care is one example of preventive care that might help reduce the prevalence of LED and mobility limitations. CDC's national diabetes surveillance data for 2003 indicated that only 67% of persons with diabetes reported receiving an annual foot examination, even though 88% reported having an annual doctor visit (8). CDC collaborates with state health departments and communities to prevent and manage LED to minimize its impact on mobility. The National Diabetes Education Program has developed materials related to LED and foot care for persons with diabetes and their health-care providers (available at http://www.ndep.nih.gov/campaigns/ feet/feet overview.htm).

References

1. Volpato S, Ferrucci L, Blaum C, et al. Progression of lower-extremity disability in older women with diabetes: the Women's Health and Aging Study. Diabetes Care 2003;26:70–5.

- Bruce DG, Davis WA, Davis TM. Longitudinal predictors of reduced mobility and physical disability in patients with type 2 diabetes: the Fremantle Diabetes Study. Diabetes Care 2005;28:2441–7.
- 3. McDermott MM, Liu K, Greenland P, et al. Functional decline in peripheral arterial disease: associations with the ankle brachial index and leg symptoms. JAMA 2004;292:453–61.
- Resnick HE, Vinik AI, Schwartz AV, et al. Independent effects of peripheral nerve dysfunction on lower-extremity physical function in old age: the Women's Health and Aging Study. Diabetes Care 2000;23:1642–7.
- Gregg EW, Sorlie P, Paulose-Ram R, et al. Prevalence of lower extremity disease in the U.S. adult population ≥40 years of age with and without diabetes. 1999–2000 National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey. Diabetes Care 2004;27:1591–7.
- Gregg EW, Beckles GLA, Williamson DF, et al. Diabetes and physical disability among older US adults. Diabetes Care 2000;23:1272–7.
- Guccione AA, Felson DT, Anderson JJ, et al. The effects of specific medical conditions on the functional limitations of elders in the Framingham study. Am J Public Health 1994;84:351–8.
- CDC. Åge-adjusted rates of annual dilated eye exam, daily self-monitoring of blood glucose, foot exam in the last year, and doctor visit for diabetes in the last year per 100 adults with diabetes, United States, 1994–2003. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2005. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/statistics/ preventive/fX.htm.

Brief Report

Conclusions and Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Poliomyelitis Eradication — Geneva, Switzerland, October 2005

The second meeting of the Advisory Committee on Poliomyelitis Eradication (ACPE) was convened in Geneva, Switzerland, on October 11–12, 2005, to provide the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Global Polio Eradication Initiative with advice on program policies for 1) interrupting wild poliovirus (WPV) transmission worldwide, 2) limiting the international spread of circulating polioviruses, and 3) refining the program of work for eventual cessation of immunization with oral poliovirus vaccine (OPV). This report summarizes the results of that meeting.*

Interrupting WPV Transmission

As of October 25, 2005, paralytic polio cases attributed to WPV had been reported from 16 countries, including five of the six countries that were endemic for indigenous WPV during 2004 (Table). In the disease-endemic reservoirs in India and Pakistan, transmission had been reduced by 50%, compared with the same period in 2004.

The development, licensure, and use of monovalent OPV type 1 (mOPV1) appears to have had a substantial impact on

WPV circulation in polio-endemic countries. Afghanistan, Egypt, India, and Pakistan have implemented supplementary immunization activities (SIAs) using mOPV1, and Afghanistan and India might implement rounds in selected areas using monovalent OPV type 3 (mOPV3) within the first 6 months of 2006, depending upon the evolving epidemiology of types 1 and 3. Preliminary evidence suggests a positive impact of mOPV1 in restricting WPV transmission, compared with use of trivalent OPV (tOPV). ACPE recommends that 1) mOPV1 be used in polio-endemic countries with circulation of WPV type 1 only and 2) SIA vaccine strategies include mOPVs in countries where two poliovirus serotypes circulate (types 1 and 3).

For Nigeria, ACPE recommends that highest priority be placed on increasing the quality and number of routine and SIA activities in the polio-infected states and that consideration be given to introduction of mOPV1 as early as possible to complement the ongoing work to improve SIA quality. In polio-free countries bordering polio-endemic areas, mOPV should be considered for use in SIAs on a case-by-case basis. In all countries, tOPV or IPV should continue to be used in routine vaccination activities, as guided by national immunization policy.

Limiting the International Spread of Circulating Polioviruses

The impact of outbreaks attributed to importations of WPVs in polio-free areas has increased substantially in 2004 and 2005. Approximately 60% of all cases reported globally in 2005 have been from outbreaks in previously polio-free countries. Poliovirus transmission in the areas of West and Central Africa that were reinfected in 2003 and 2004 is now stopping, and Sudan has not reported any cases since June 2005. However, more recent outbreaks in Angola, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Indonesia, Somalia, and Yemen are of considerable concern.

ACPE recognizes the significance of large-scale outbreaks associated with imported polioviruses in areas of suboptimal population immunity and the risks these viruses pose to surrounding communities. Therefore, ACPE recommends that the Director-General of WHO consider declaring the following scenarios as public health emergencies of international concern (i.e., constituting a public health risk to other countries through international spread of disease, potentially requiring a coordinated international response): 1) detection of a circulating poliovirus in any previously polio-free geographic area that does not have survey-confirmed routine childhood polio vaccination coverage of >90% and has not conducted polio SIAs within the preceding 6–12 months, or 2) any poliovirus outbreak that continues to expand geographically for more than 60 days after confirmation of the index case.

^{*} The full text of the final report is available at http://www.polioeradication.org/ content/meetings/finalreport_acpe_12oct05meeting.pdf.

	Confirmed cases attributed to wild poliovirus					
_	Total	Jan 1-	Oct 25	Date of most	Date of most	Date of most recent
Country	2004	2004	2005	recent type 3	recent type 1	confirmed case
Pakistan [†]	53	36	19	December 4, 2004	September 25, 2005	September 25, 2005
Indonesia [§]	0	0	278	NA¶	September 20, 2005	September 20, 2005
India [†]	134	74	43	June 13, 2005	September 13, 2005	September 13, 2005
Somalia [§]	0	0	12	October 6, 2002	September 10, 2005	September 10, 2005
Nigeria [†]	782	650	522	September 4, 2005	September 3, 2005	September 4, 2005
Yemen [§]	0	0	473	NA	September 1, 2005	September 1, 2005
Angola [§]	0	0	8	NA	August 29, 2005	August 29, 2005
Niger [†]	25	20	5	July 14, 2005	August 18, 2005	August 18, 2005
Ethiopia§	1	0	17	NA	August 12, 2005	August 12, 2005
Nepal [§]	0	0	1	November 25, 2000	August 6, 2005	August 6, 2005
Sudan	127	17	26	September 7, 2004	June 17, 2005	June 17, 2005
Afghanistan [†]	4	3	4	June 6, 2005	February 11, 2005	June 6, 2005
Chad	24	19	1	November 30, 2004	May 6, 2005	May 6, 2005
Mali	19	2	3	NA	May 1, 2005	May 1, 2005
Eritrea§	0	0	1	NA	April 23, 2005	April 23, 2005
Cameroon [§]	13	2	1	August 23, 2004	February 8, 2005	February 8, 2005
Saudi Arabia [§]	2	0	0	NA	December 17, 2004	December 17, 2004
Guinea [§]	7	1	0	NA	December 6, 2004	December 6, 2004
Central African Republic	30	19	0	NA	November 10, 2004	November 10, 2004
Côte d'Ivoire	17	15	0	February 16, 1999	October 3, 2004	October 3, 2004
Burkina Faso	9	6	0	NA	September 29, 2004	September 29, 2004
Benin [§]	6	6	0	NA	June 1, 2004	June 1, 2004
Egypt [†]	1	1	0	December 7, 2000	May 3, 2004	May 3, 2004
Botswana [§]	1	1	0	NA	February 8, 2004	February 8, 2004
Total	1,255	872	1,414	_	_	
Total in polio-endemic countries	999	784	593	—	—	—
Total in non–polio-endemic countri	es 256	88	821	_	_	

TABLE. Paralytic poliomyelitis cases caused by wild poliovirus, by country and type — worldwide, 2004–2005*

* As of October 25, 2005.

^TPolio-endemic in 2004.

[§]Importation or under investigation.

Not available. Most recent case had onset date before 1999.

Polio-free countries detecting circulating poliovirus should immediately implement ACPE's *Standing Recommendations for Responding to Circulating Polioviruses in Polio-Free Areas*, particularly completion of an expert risk assessment and largescale response plan, immediate initiation of an in-depth epidemiologic investigation, and implementation of local control measures according to national guidelines (1). Moreover, in accordance with the standing recommendations, countries should plan to continue large-scale mOPV polio campaigns until at least two full rounds have been conducted after the most recent virus is detected. The need for further activities will depend on the epidemiology of the outbreak and risk for further importation.

In view of emerging evidence demonstrating the capacity of some vaccine-derived polioviruses (VDPVs) to circulate and cause outbreaks of paralytic poliomyelitis, ACPE recommends that the case definition for poliomyelitis within the WHO International Health Regulations be updated to include circulating VDPVs (2).

Refining the Program of Work for Cessation of the Use of OPV

ACPE reaffirms the guidance outlined in the 2003 WHO position paper (3) on the use of inactivated poliovirus vaccine (IPV) in OPV-using countries. The paper recommended against adoption of IPV alone or in a sequential schedule in tropical developing countries, where OPV might be more effective. A proposed supplement is currently under development, with a focus on preparations for vaccination policy decisions for the OPV-cessation era. WHO should continue investigating the potential use of newer products in the post-OPV era, including fractional doses of IPV and Sabin-strain IPV. Because assumptions regarding VDPVs underpin the strategy for OPV cessation and understanding of VDPVs continues to evolve, highest priority should be given to better characterization of the incidence and behavior of these viruses, particularly in areas of low population immunity.

Reported by: Polio Eradication Initiative/Office of the Director-General and Dept of Immunization, Vaccines and Biologicals, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. United Nations Children's Fund, New York, New York. Rotary International, Evanston, Illinois. Div of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases; Global Immunization Div, National Immunization Program, CDC.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. ACPE standing recommendations for responding to circulating polioviruses in polio-free areas. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2005;80:330–1. Available at http://www.who.int/wer/ 2005/wer8038.pdf.
- World Health Assembly. Revision of the International Health Regulations. 58th World Health Assembly. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; May 23, 2005. Available at http://www.who.int/gb/ ebwha/pdf_files/wha58/wha58_3-en.pdf.
- World Health Organization. Introduction of inactivated poliovirus vaccine into oral poliovirus vaccine-using countries. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2003;78:241–50. Available at http://www.who.int/wer/2003/en/ wer7828.pdf.

Notice to Readers

MMWR Available Via Really Simple Syndication (RSS) Feeds

MMWR now offers RSS feeds, a free, automated method to receive all *MMWR* publications. Through RSS, new reports and publications are fed to your desktop or browser-based news reader when they are posted online. Headlines are presented in the RSS feeds, with links to the full reports and publications on the *MMWR* website. RSS feeds to *MMWR* publications are available at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/rss/ rss.html.



* Per 100,000 U.S. standard population.

⁺ In 1987, a new category for HIV infection was added to the *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision* (ICD-9). In 1999, ICD-10 took effect, resulting in additional deaths classified into the HIV/AIDS category; therefore, death rates for 1987–1998 are not comparable with those computed after 1998.

§ Data for 2003 are preliminary.

Mortality attributable to HIV infection and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) increased rapidly for both men and women during the late 1980s and early 1990s, reaching a peak in the mid-1990s. The rate then decreased sharply until 1997 before leveling off. From 1999 to 2003, men experienced a modest but steady decrease in HIV/AIDS mortality; the death rate for women was unchanged.

SOURCE: National Vital Statistics System, National Center for Health Statistics, CDC.

CASES CURRENT DISEASE DECREASE INCREASE 4 WEEKS Hepatitis A, acute 199 Hepatitis B, acute 177 Hepatitis C, acute 28 Legionellosis 129 3 Measles 34 Meningococcal disease Mumps 6 851 Pertussis 0 Rubella

FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, United States, comparison of provisional 4-week totals November 19, 2005, with historical data

Ratio (Log scale)[†]

0.5

1

2

4

Beyond historical limits

0.03125 0.0625

0.125

* No rubella cases were reported for the current 4-week period yielding a ratio for week 46 of zero (0). † Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

0.25

TABLE I. Summary of provisional cases of selected notifiable disease	Junited States, cumulative	e, week ending November 19), 2005 (46th Week)
--	----------------------------	----------------------------	-----------	------------

Disease	Cum.	Cum.	Disease	Cum.	Cum.
	2005	2004		2005	2004
Anthrax	-	-	Hemolytic uremic syndrome, postdiarrheal [†]	155	155
Botulism:			HIV infection, pediatric ⁺¹	181	339
foodborne	13	8	Influenza-associated pediatric mortality ^{†**}	45	-
infant	69	76	Measles	64††	25 ^{§§}
other (wound & unspecified)	25	14	Mumps	235	206
Brucellosis	94	92	Plague	3	2
Chancroid	25	25	Poliomyelitis, paralytic	1	_
Cholera	6	4	Psittacosis [†]	20	11
Cyclosporiasis [†]	711	199	Q fever [†]	129	57
Diphtheria	_	_	Rabies, human	2	6
Domestic arboviral diseases			Rubella	16	9
(neuroinvasive & non-neuroinvasive):	l —	_	Rubella, congenital syndrome	1	_
California serogroup ^{†§}	59	116	SARS [†] **	_	_
eastern equine ^{†§}	20	5	Smallpox [†]	_	_
Powassan ^{†§}	l —	1	Staphylococcus aureus:		
St. Louis ^{† §}	7	13	Vancomycin-intermediate (VISA) [†]	1	_
western equine ^{† §}	l —	_	Vancomycin-resistant (VRSA) [†]	_	1
Ehrlichiosis:	l —	_	Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome [†]	97	118
human granulocytic (HGE) [†]	553	380	Tetanus	18	22
human monocytic (HME) [†]	403	284	Toxic-shock syndrome	86	80
human, other and unspecified [†]	80	66	Trichinellosis	16	2
Hansen disease [†]	69	93	Tularemia [†]	133	99
Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome [†]	22	19	Yellow fever	—	_

No reported cases.

Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

Not notifiable in all states.

§ Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (ArboNet Surveillance).

¹ Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last update June 26, 2005.

** Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases. Of the 45 cases reported, one was

reported since October 2, 2005 (40th Week).

†† Of 64 cases reported, 53 were indigenous and 11 were imported from another country.

§ Of 25 cases reported, so were indigenous and 17 were imported from another country.

¹¹ Formerly Trichinosis.

<u>, </u>		AIDS	Chla	mydia [†]	Coccidioidomycosis		Cryptosp	oridiosis
Reporting area	Cum. 2005§	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004
UNITED STATES	20,405	35,513	807,509	814,051	4,263	5,257	6,593	3,249
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. [¶] Mass. R.I. Conn.	778 11 20 4 368 68 307	1,129 23 39 14 425 114 514	28,146 1,952 1,631 846 12,773 2,778 8,166	26,576 1,847 1,546 999 11,842 3,011 7,331	 N	N 	309 25 32 35 128 13 76	161 18 30 23 59 4 27
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	4,352 800 2,327 574 651	7,866 855 4,452 1,302 1,257	101,337 20,522 32,570 15,603 32,642	100,041 20,370 30,473 15,543 33,655	 	N N N	2,941 2,530 119 52 240	528 167 127 43 191
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	1,938 312 236 983 322 85	2,818 541 327 1,274 535 141	133,588 36,299 17,431 40,235 23,541 16,082	144,000 35,397 16,534 42,313 32,583 17,173	11 N — 11 N	13 N 	1,381 744 77 131 100 329	976 208 70 150 141 407
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. ¹¹ Kans.	463 123 50 198 5 10 18 59	720 190 57 297 16 8 44 108	49,505 9,601 6,355 19,364 1,011 2,459 4,494 6,221	50,545 10,473 6,167 18,741 1,595 2,252 4,672 6,645	5 3 N 1 N 1 N	6 N 3 N 3 N	544 131 105 241 1 24 9 33	374 123 81 65 12 37 27 29
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. ¹¹ W.Va. N.C. S.C. ¹¹ Ga. Fla.	6,473 100 812 467 307 36 531 386 1,103 2,731	11,141 136 1,293 785 565 71 1,015 643 1,410 5,223	153,946 3,021 16,411 3,322 18,368 2,426 27,891 18,186 26,745 37,576	152,690 2,609 16,796 3,148 19,397 2,480 25,923 16,908 27,966 37,463	2 N 2 N N N	N N N N	653 4 33 15 60 13 83 17 111 317	480
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. [¶] Ala. [¶] Miss.	1,093 135 434 295 229	1,647 212 684 382 369	59,905 7,724 21,125 13,764 17,292	53,644 5,333 19,793 12,027 16,491	N N	5 N 	191 129 38 20 4	133 42 41 22 28
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex. ¹	2,206 72 436 167 1,531	4,223 183 799 169 3,072	92,348 7,718 14,441 9,506 60,683	98,391 7,124 19,768 9,428 62,071	1 1 N N	3 1 2 N N	177 6 78 41 52	126 15 5 22 84
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho ¹ Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev. ¹¹	789 4 9 2 163 72 329 33 177	1,242 5 17 14 278 164 454 62 248	46,072 1,844 2,253 997 11,712 5,135 14,986 3,819 5,326	49,954 2,198 2,466 944 12,823 8,035 14,408 3,338 5,742	2,944 N 3 N 14 2,889 6 32	3,304 N 2 N 21 3,202 22 57	121 16 15 3 47 8 9 14 9	157 34 27 3 54 17 15 5 2
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. [¶] Calif. Alaska Hawaii	2,313 229 136 1,874 14 60	4,727 348 249 3,981 43 106	142,662 16,531 7,939 111,681 3,495 3,016	138,210 15,604 7,360 107,075 3,391 4,780	1,300 <u>N</u> 1,300 —	1,926 N 1,926 —	276 43 64 165 3 1	314 33 29 250 2
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	1 537 10 U 2	1 635 18 U U	3,311 196 U	803 3,037 303 U U	N U	N U U	N U	N

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 19, 2005, and November 20, 2004 (46th Week)*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. * Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date). * Chlamydia refers to genital infections caused by *C. trachomatis.* * Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last update June 26, 2005. * Contains data reported through National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

(40th Week)										
		Escher	<i>richia coli</i> , Ente	rohemorrhagio						
			Shiga toxin positive,		Shiga toxi	n positive,			_	
	015	7:H7	serogrou	p non-O157	not sero	grouped	Giard	iasis Cum	Gond	orrhea
Reporting area	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004
UNITED STATES	2,147	2,305	322	269	290	166	15,953	17,477	279,331	289,006
NEW ENGLAND	150	154	53	41	25	14	1,441	1,598	5,072	6,091
Maine	14	14	11	_	—	—	186	135	117	196
N.H. Vt	12	21	2	5	_	_	47 164	44	157 54	79
Mass.	61	69	13	13	25	14	627	711	2,250	2,776
R.I.	7	9		1	—	—	107	107	383	744
Conn.	43	28	24	22			310	446	2,111	2,182
MID. ATLANTIC	282	272	35	60	28	34	2,945	3,624	29,437	32,365
N.Y. City	120	35		41			754	987	8.855	9.801
N.J.	48	55	3	6	9	6	352	456	4,749	6,039
Pa.	92	67	15	13	10	11	758	938	9,721	9,946
E.N. CENTRAL	423	440	35	44	21	30	2,541	2,921	54,539	61,230
Ind	62	92 48	9	9	13	18	727 N	710 N	6 957	6 042
III.	46	101	1	7	1	7	576	740	16,330	18,469
Mich.	73	79	2	10	6	5	692	652	9,597	13,717
WIS.	104	120	23	18	1		546	819	4,633	4,460
W.N. CENTRAL	393	461	37	37	59	21	1,953	1,901	15,873	15,409
lowa	92	117	20				246	275	1.403	1.120
Mo.	74	92	11	16	12	6	453	509	8,136	8,110
N. Dak.	6	14	_	_	1	7	14	22	70	99
S. Dak. Nebr	26	62	3	2	4	_	85 85	58 139	1 012	257 976
Kans.	41	40	_	_	9	4	172	199	2,211	2,246
S. ATLANTIC	185	163	80	31	107	45	2,292	2,641	67,554	69,531
Del.	7	3	N	N	N	N	49	43	798	784
Md.	31	21	30	6	10	3	182	133	6,284	7,170
Va.	39	33	27	16	21	_	478	471	6.793	7.788
W.Va.	2	2	_	_	1	_	41	40	657	812
N.C.			_	—	59	35	N	N 107	13,363	13,632
5.0. Ga	28	21	18	6		_	528	806	12 433	8,414 12 444
Fla.	71	70	4	3	15	7	872	975	17,340	16,151
E.S. CENTRAL	123	100	8	5	31	15	371	377	24,168	23,611
Ky.	46	25	5	1	20	9	N	N	2,715	2,388
Ala	4 I 29	26	2			6	188	202	7,850	7,542
Miss.	7	11	1	2	_	_			5,735	6,323
W.S. CENTRAL	48	82	13	3	9	7	290	303	37,697	38,526
Ark.	8	17			_	_	77	117	4,036	3,773
La. Okla	4	4	11	1	3	2	53	48	8,102	9,373
Tex.	14	43	1	2	4	5	N	N	21,731	21,368
MOUNTAIN	213	229	53	47	10	_	1.331	1.370	9,809	10.655
Mont.	15	16	_	_	_	_	65	76	118	72
Idaho	26	52	11	13	7	—	141	179	95	83
VVyo. Colo	64 64	9 51	2	5	1	_	25 482	22 473	2 569	58 2 697
N. Mex.	12	10	9	8	_	_	74	65	985	1,134
Ariz.	42	21	N	N	N	N	135	156	3,306	3,475
Utah	38	43	26	19	2	_	360	289	613 2 052	515 2 621
	220	404	2	1	2		43	0.740	2,002	2,021
Wash	98	404	8		_	_	2,789	2,742	32,182	2 420
Oreg.	80	68	8	1	_	_	352	409	1,372	1,123
Calif.	128	190	_	—	—	—	1,970	1,829	29,496	26,436
Alaska Hawaii	12	1 10	_	_	_		94	89 72	486	503 1 106
Guam	1 <i>2</i>	IU NI	_		_		50	12	000	1,100
P.R.	N 2	N 2	_	_	_	_	176	4 260	300	219
V.I.	_	_	—	—	_	_			45	82
Amer. Samoa	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 19, 2005, and November 20, 2004 (46th Week)*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. * Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

		Haemophilus influenzae, invasive									
	All a	ges									
	All sero	otypes	Sero	otype b	Non-serotype b		Unknown	serotype			
Reporting area	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004			
UNITED STATES	1,828	1,756	4	12	96	108	179	157			
NEW ENGLAND	145	163	_	1	10	10	5	2			
Maine	6	12	—	—	—		1				
Vt.	9	8	_	_	_		2	1			
Mass.	70	74	—	1	3	4	1	_			
K.I. Conn.	45	45	_	_	2	3	1	_			
MID. ATLANTIC	378	368	_	2	1	5	39	36			
Upstate N.Y.	109	116	—	2	—	5	9	5			
N.Y. City N.J.	69 77	78 68	_	_	_	_	10	15			
Pa.	123	106	_	_	1	_	9	13			
E.N. CENTRAL	263	331	1	—	4	8	19	47			
Ind	102 57	90 48	_	_	4	2	9	15 1			
III.	62	117	—	_	_	_	7	21			
Mich. Wis	19	20 56	1		_	2	2	4			
WN CENTRAL	99	97	_	2	з	з	9	11			
Minn.	40	43	_	1	3	3	2	1			
lowa	1	1	_	1	_	_		7			
N. Dak.	2	4	_	_	_	_	1				
S. Dak.			—	—	—	—	_	_			
Kans.	9 14	5 7	_	_	_	_		1			
S. ATLANTIC	433	392	1	1	26	26	31	26			
Del.			—	—		6	—	—			
D.C.		3	_	_	_	_	_	1			
Va.	40	39	—	—			2	5			
vv. va. N.C.	25 71	54	1	1	8	4	6	1			
S.C.	30	13	_	—	_	_	3	1			
Ga. Fla.	86 118	100 106	_	_		10	14 6	1/			
E.S. CENTRAL	101	64	_	1	1	1	19	9			
Ky.	8	7	_	—	1	1	2				
Ala.	75 18	42 13	_	1	_	_	13	2			
Miss.	_	2	—	_	_	_	_	_			
W.S. CENTRAL	92	72	1	1	8	9	7	1			
Ark. La.	5 30	13	1	_	1	1	7	1			
Okla.	55	56	_	<u> </u>	5	8	_	_			
Iex.	2	1	_	1			_	—			
MOUNTAIN Mont.	198	1/4	_	4	15	25	34	18			
Idaho	5	5	—	—	—	_		2			
Wyo.	6 39	1	_		1	1	1	5			
N. Mex.	20	37	_	1	4	8	2	6			
Ariz.	97	59	—		7	11	12	2			
Nev.	14	13	_	1	2	2 3	3	1			
PACIFIC	119	95	1	_	28	21	16	7			
Wash.	4	1	—	—	—	—	3	1			
Calif.	29 50	4∠ 38	1	_	28	21	5 2	3 1			
Alaska	26	5	—	—	—	—	6	1			
nawali	10	9	_	_	_	—	_	1			
P.R.	3	2	_	_	_	_	1	2			
V.I. Amor Somoo											
C.N.M.I.	<u> </u>	U	<u> </u>	U	<u> </u>	U	<u> </u>	U			

 TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 19, 2005, and November 20, 2004

 (46th Week)*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. * Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

1192

Vol. 54 / No. 4	6
-----------------	---

(4011 WEEK)		Hepatitis (viral, acute), by type										
		Α		В		С						
Reporting area	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004						
UNITED STATES	3,622	5,261	4,827	5,390	633	716						
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt.	475 4 76 6	918 13 25 8	260 16 24 5	339 5 32 6	17 — — 13	16 8						
Mass. R.I. Conn.	327 15 47	781 21 70	184 3 28	189 5 102	1 3	71						
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	609 97 268 152 92	732 101 316 168 147	916 84 107 533 192	681 73 142 194 272	95 18 — 77	133 11 122						
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	349 47 51 83 137 31	471 47 55 138 132 99	458 119 46 103 159 31	498 101 39 86 235 37	123 8 23 92 	102 6 8 13 75 —						
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak.	84 3 20 39 —	142 32 45 29 1 3	239 29 18 143 	293 44 175 4 1	26 5 19 1 	20 17 3 —						
Nebr. Kans.	6 16	12 20	21 25 1 205	38 17 1.656	1							
Md. Dcl. Va. W.Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	630 4 68 4 72 5 81 34 103 265	6 100 7 111 5 98 40 300 263	46 139 11 125 35 150 122 140 437	1,030 48 144 19 235 39 168 126 422 455	7 23 — 12 21 19 3 8 40	31 9 4 13 22 11 15 56						
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	224 24 145 35 20	142 29 90 8 15	316 55 124 84 53	446 66 211 71 98	75 9 17 14 35	83 23 29 5 26						
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	241 13 63 4 161	616 60 45 20 491	456 44 63 34 315	471 103 63 63 242	81 1 14 6 60	100 3 3 3 91						
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	319 8 21 38 23 200 19 10	380 6 18 5 47 23 230 35 16	499 3 13 2 52 9 352 40 28	436 1 7 53 17 240 38 70	41 1 1 21 — 8 9	41 2 13 U 5 5 13						
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	685 43 39 578 4 21	930 56 62 781 4 27	478 58 91 317 7 5	570 47 101 401 11 10	42 U 17 24 1	45 U 15 28 — 2						
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	58 — U	1 44 — U U	40 — 	12 72 — U U	 	9 U U						

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 19, 2005, and November 20, 2004 (46th Week)*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. * Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

	Legione	Legionellosis		riosis	Lvme	disease	Malaria			
Departing eres	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.		
UNITED STATES	1 755	1 831	2005	649	18 630	16.838	1 110	1 265		
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H.	116 6 8	84 1 10	53 3 7	47 8 3	2,276 207 190	3,047 29 202	60 4 5	83 7 5		
Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	9 41 19 33	6 37 15 15	2 15 6 20	2 17 1 16	46 954 32 847	47 1,479 201 1,089	1 31 2 17	4 49 4 14		
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	609 169 85 89 266	514 112 66 83 253	181 56 35 33 57	155 44 25 33 53	11,817 3,618 3,158 5,041	10,268 3,609 338 2,554 3,767	297 47 157 62 31	342 45 187 67 43		
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	331 175 21 15 102 18	443 205 44 47 127 20	76 31 5 27 11	112 38 17 24 26 7	1,348 61 33 53 1,201	1,287 47 27 87 26 1,100	88 24 3 29 21 11	111 28 13 39 19 12		
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak.	92 26 6 32 2 21	56 7 6 27 2 4	40 13 8 6 4 	19 5 3 7 1	877 768 80 23 1	509 424 49 24 	43 11 8 17 —	64 24 4 19 3 1		
Nebr. Kans.	3	4	5 4	3	2 3	8 3	3 4	4 9		
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	353 16 99 12 37 18 30 12 24 24 105	374 13 76 11 48 10 35 14 41 126	149 N 19 14 4 32 12 21 47	108 N 16 5 17 4 22 10 14 20	2,068 586 1,084 8 219 16 44 19 5 87	1,522 310 818 13 162 28 111 24 12 44	270 3 96 8 27 3 30 8 41 54	312 6 73 13 47 2 19 10 58 84		
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	78 28 34 13 3	92 38 39 12 3	28 4 12 8 4	23 4 12 5 2	33 5 26 2 	43 15 23 5 —	28 9 13 6 —	31 4 10 12 5		
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	25 4 1 7 13	129 1 8 6 114	29 2 9 4 14	39 3 3 1 32	56 4 	67 8 2 57	80 6 3 10 61	122 8 6 7 101		
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	81 5 3 4 21 2 23 15 8	77 2 9 6 20 4 11 21 4	16 — — 7 4 	23 12 1 - 1 8	21 2 3 1 8 2 2	17 6 3 1 6 1	52 — 23 23 14 9 2	49 18 4 13 8 5		
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	70 	62 9 N 52 1	137 9 11 116 	123 9 7 103 4	134 8 19 104 3 N	78 12 25 39 2 N	192 15 10 146 5 16	151 15 16 114 2 4		
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	 	 	 	 U	N U	N U U	2 U	 U		

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 19, 2005, and November 20, 2004

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. * Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

(46th week)	Meningococcal disease												
	All sero	aroups	Seroe A. C. Y. a	group Ind W-135	Serog	roup B	Other se	erogroup	Serogroup unknown				
Reporting area	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004			
UNITED STATES	1,017	1,063	83	82	49	40		1	885	940			
NEW ENGLAND	65	63	1	6	_	6	_	1	64	50			
Maine	2	10	—	_	_	1	_	_	2	9			
N.H.	12	7	—	—	—	—	—	—	12	7			
Mass.	31	34	_	5	_	5	_	_	31	24			
R.I.	3	2	—	1	—	_	—	_	3	1			
Conn.	12	7	1	—	_	_	_	1	11	6			
MID. ATLANTIC	132	145	36	39	7	5	—	—	89	101			
Upstate N.Y.	35	39	4	6	4	3	—	—	27	30			
N. F. City N.J	33	∠⊃ 31	_	_	_	_	_	_	33	25 31			
Pa.	45	50	32	33	3	2	_	_	10	15			
E.N. CENTRAL	116	121	32	28	11	6	_	_	73	87			
Ohio	41	62		4	7	5	—	_	34	53			
Ind.	18	18	_	1	4	1	_	_	14	16			
III. Mich	15	23	32	23	_	_	_	_	15	_			
Wis.	10	17			_	_	_	_	10	17			
W N CENTRAL	71	72	3	_	1	4	_	_	67	68			
Minn.	14	23	1	_	_	—	_	_	13	23			
Iowa	16	16		—	1	2	_	—	15	14			
Mo. N. Dok	26	18	1	—	—	1	—	—	25	17			
S. Dak.	3	2	1	_	_	1	_	_	2	2			
Nebr.	5	4	_	_	_	_	_	_	5	4			
Kans.	7	7	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	7			
S. ATLANTIC	194	203	6	2	9	4	_	_	179	197			
Del.	4	6	_	—	_	_	_	_	4	6			
NIA. D.C.	21	10	3	2	2	_	_	_	16	10			
Va.	30	20	_	<u> </u>	_	_	_	_	30	20			
W.Va.	6	5	1	_	_		—	_	5	5			
N.C.	29	28	2	—	7	4	—	—	20	24			
Ga.	15	13	_	_	_	_	_	_	15	13			
Fla.	74	100	_	_	_	_	_	_	74	100			
E.S. CENTRAL	52	63	1	1	3	1	_	_	48	61			
Ky.	16	11	_	1	3	1	_	_	13	9			
Tenn.	24	21		—	—	_	—	—	24	21			
Ala. Miss	6	15		_	_	_	_	_	5 6	15			
	97	65	1	2	5	2			91	61			
Ark.	14	15	_			1	_	_	14	14			
La.	26	31	—	1	2	_	—	_	24	30			
Okla.	13	9	1	1	3	1	—	—	9	7			
iex.	34	10			_	_		_	34	10			
MOUNTAIN	80	58	2	1	6	5	—	—	72	52			
Idaho	6	7	_	_	_	_	_	_	6	7			
Wyo.	_	4	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	4			
Colo.	17	14	1	_	1	_	—	—	15	14			
N. Mex. Ariz	36	11	_	_	2	3	_	_	3	3 10			
Utah	10	5	1	_	2	_	_	_	7	5			
Nev.	8	7	_	—	1	1	—	—	7	6			
PACIFIC	220	273	1	3	7	7	_	_	212	263			
Wash.	41	28	1	3	4	7	—	—	36	18			
oreg. Calif	28	52 181	_	_	_	_	_	_	28 136	52 181			
Alaska	3	4	_	_	_	_	_	_	3	4			
Hawaii	12	8	_	—	3	_	_	—	9	8			
Guam	_	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1			
P.R.	6	15	_	—	—	_	_	—	6	15			
V.I. Amer Samoa			_			_	_	_	1	1			
C.N.M.I.	<u> </u>	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_			

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 19, 2005, and November 20, 2004 (46th Week)*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. * Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

Pertussis		Rabies	, animal	Rocky N spotte	lountain d fever	Salmo	nellosis	Shigellosis		
Poporting area	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.
UNITED STATES	17.932	18.803	4,868	5.868	1.578	1.412	37.294	37.378	12.178	12,182
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	1,065 30 64 74 822 34 41	1,780 36 90 86 1,478 31 59	632 48 12 53 308 22 189	624 52 30 35 266 41 200	3 N 1 	20 N 1 15 1 3	1,911 136 149 86 1,014 87 439	1,858 96 129 56 1,066 107 404	268 9 16 169 14 52	273 8 8 3 171 18 65
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	1,159 467 85 177 430	2,531 1,752 180 183 416	869 503 27 N 339	894 491 12 N 391	99 5 8 31 55	73 1 22 14 36	4,423 1,140 1,051 736 1,496	5,154 1,118 1,171 975 1,890	1,109 248 362 274 225	1,072 386 369 219 98
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	3,124 1,042 302 577 264 939	7,192 530 218 1,278 269 4,897	195 69 11 50 36 29	183 74 10 49 41 9	34 21 3 1 7 2	34 10 6 14 2 2	4,701 1,210 557 1,382 800 752	4,630 1,104 441 1,482 764 839	878 107 164 267 207 133	1,105 152 189 373 192 199
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	2,991 1,025 596 455 134 153 174 454	2,164 438 422 336 707 86 50 125	388 66 103 75 24 48 — 72	582 84 96 58 93 93 96 97	161 3 4 140 5 4 5	121 3 2 97 	2,240 523 351 752 37 130 118 329	2,149 549 398 554 40 112 157 339	1,445 86 96 920 4 45 76 218	386 63 59 151 3 10 28 72
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	1,201 15 164 8 314 43 98 337 36 186	713 3 129 8 196 22 79 135 21 120	1,473 	2,017 9 292 438 59 547 150 317 205	809 4 85 2 99 7 468 61 66 17	748 6 68 	11,262 112 751 53 1,001 167 1,532 1,187 1,728 4,731	10,116 103 761 58 1,060 221 1,456 893 1,783 3,781	2,120 11 98 13 114 1 184 90 549 1,060	2,644 10 140 37 145 9 341 497 593 872
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	440 127 189 79 45	267 67 147 37 16	130 16 43 69 2	141 21 47 62 11	257 3 189 61 4	188 2 104 54 28	2,652 440 676 695 841	2,478 316 636 678 848	1,085 283 499 213 90	838 68 437 284 49
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	1,557 262 35 1,260	851 77 18 38 718	796 33 — 71 692	1,022 50 4 104 864	171 118 5 29 19	203 119 5 71 8	3,223 685 766 361 1,411	3,910 520 881 367 2,142	2,384 59 126 585 1,614	3,322 74 280 422 2,546
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	3,645 546 217 46 1,238 126 893 547 32	1,492 52 37 31 811 148 205 168 40	217 15 — 17 16 10 131 15 13	211 25 8 6 47 5 110 7 3	36 1 3 2 5 3 18 4 	21 3 4 5 4 2 2 1	2,059 110 128 79 533 215 605 303 86	2,124 178 141 49 263 618 220 157	831 5 17 5 153 115 465 43 28	755 4 13 5 146 132 362 42 51
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	2,750 779 566 1,154 114 137	1,813 660 458 657 13 25	168 U 7 160 1	194 U 6 177 11	8 1 7 	4 _2 _2 	4,823 488 341 3,674 55 265	4,959 503 390 3,670 57 339	2,058 125 115 1,779 7 32	1,787 99 78 1,559 6 45
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	6 U	5 	58 — U	56 U U	N U	N U U	409 	50 444 — U U	4 	42 32 U U

 TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 19, 2005, and November 20, 2004

 (46th Week)*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. * Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

(40th Week)			Strepto	coccus pneum	oniae, invasiv							
	Streptococ	cal disease,	Drug res	sistant,			- Duiment 0	Syphilis				
	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	ges Cum.	Age <5 Cum.	years Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.		
Reporting area	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004		
UNITED STATES	3,766	3,915	1,905	1,954	791	722	7,046	6,914	227	340		
NEW ENGLAND	155	251	108 N	145 N	58	100	191	172	1	4		
N.H.	14	18			4	Ň	14	4	_	3		
Vt.	10	8	12	7	6	3	1			_		
Mass. R.I.	9	21	80 16	46	47	57 6	20	25	_	1		
Conn.	U	83	U	74	U	27	43	36	1	—		
MID. ATLANTIC	772	647	175	137	126	108	861	896	25	34		
N.Y. City	145	209	68 U	57 U	56 20	75 U	530	82 568	5	4 15		
N.J.	153	133	N	Ň	22	8	113	131	14	14		
Pa.	243	196	107	80	28	25	141	115		1		
E.N. CENTRAL	751	880 205	543	437	236	169	743	789	30	54		
Ind.	92	93	172	135	46	40	55	54	1	3		
III. Miah	168	229	14		57	10	394	338	11	18		
Wis.	280	≥68 85	36 N	N	12	49	31	29	3	30		
W.N. CENTRAL	240	281	42	18	81	98	210	142	5	5		
Minn.	96	134			48	65	54	23	1	1		
Iowa Mo.	N 61	N 59	N 35	N 13	9	N 13	4 128	5 85	4	2		
N. Dak.	9	11	2	_	4	4	1	_	_	_		
S. Dak.	20	17	3	5	7	8	1	6	_	_		
Kans.	34	40	Ň	Ν	13	8	18	23	_	2		
S. ATLANTIC	832	784	735	975	74	53	1,785	1,750	38	55		
Del. Md	5 185	3	1	4		N 38	10	8 320	13	1		
D.C.	10	10	15	9	49	4	86	61		1		
Va.	77	66	N	N		N	123	93	4	3		
N.C.	115	118	104 N	99 N	22 U	U	240	175	9	10		
S.C.	29	51		83	_	N	72	103	4	11		
Ga. Fla.	160 229	181 199	111 504	255 525	_	N	334 646	339 648	1 7	4 16		
E.S. CENTRAL	154	199	147	141	13	16	409	365	19	21		
Ky.	31	58	25	27	Ν	N	47	44		1		
Ienn. Ala.	123	141	122	112	_	N	191	117 152	12	8 10		
Miss.	_	—	_	2	13	16	35	52	1	2		
W.S. CENTRAL	232	312	100	73	145	142	1,116	1,097	66	68		
Ark. La	19	16 2	13 87	9 64	15 24	8 31	44 228	46 287	1	35		
Okla.	101	63	N	N	27	44	36	25	1	2		
Tex.	106	231	N	N	79	59	808	739	53	58		
MOUNTAIN Mont	534	441	55	27	49	34	346	347	17	44		
Idaho	3	9	N	N	_	N	20	22	1	2		
Wyo.	4	9	23	10	40			3		-		
N. Mex.	41	86		N	40		44	76	2	2		
Ariz.	225	196	N	N	_	N	155	141	12	38		
Utan Nev.	74 1	36	30	15	1	_	6 78	11 36	1	1		
PACIFIC	96	120	_	- 1	9	2	1 385	1 356	26	55		
Wash.	N	N	Ν	Ň	Ň	Ň	135	124		_		
Oreg. Calif	N	N	N	N	6 N	N	31 1 204	25 1 1 9 9	 26	55		
Alaska	_	_				N	6	1				
Hawaii	96	120	—	1	3	2	9	7	—	_		
Guam P R	N	N			—	N	190	2				
V.I.					_	IN		49		5		
Amer. Samoa	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U		
Q.1 N.IVI.I.		0		0	_	0		0		0		

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 19, 2005, and November 20, 2004 (46th Week)*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. * Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

(40th week)													
					Var	icella	West Nile virus disease						
	Tube	erculosis	I yphoi	d fever	(chick	(enpox)	Neuroir	nvasive	Non-neuroinvasive ³				
Reporting area	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2005 2004		2004	2005				
UNITED STATES	10,098	11,831	233 287		22,407	25,073	1,112	1,138	1,414				
NEW ENGLAND	305	384	23	21	2.215	2.963	9		4				
Maine	14	18	1	_	213	226	_	_	—				
N.H.	6	14	_	_	1,376	/13	_	_	_				
Mass.	203	217	13	15	542	684	4	_	2				
R.I.	25	48	1	1			1	_	_				
Conn.	52	84	8	5	U	1,640	4	_	2				
MID. ATLANTIC	1,781	1,830	40	71	4,142	86	26	17	17				
N.Y. City	874	910	16	29	_	_	10	2	4				
N.J.	412	405	11	17			2	1	2				
Pa.	271	261	8	15	4,142	86	14	9	11				
E.N. CENTRAL	1,080	1,040	22	33	5,627	10,881	232	66	113				
Ind.	109	113	1		482	1,203 N	10	8	1				
III.	509	468	8	16	68	5,399	130	29	88				
Mich. Wis	177 67	205 79	6	9	3,420 354	3,588 611	36 11	13	4				
	279	406	6	0	460	167	120	86	416				
Minn.	161	155	5	4	409	107	16	13	27				
Iowa	38	42	—	_	N	N	12	13	18				
Mo. N Dak	86	105	_	2	352	5 82	16 12	27	13 74				
S. Dak.	11	8	_	_	87	80	35	6	197				
Nebr.	29	32	_	2	—	—	36	7	80				
Kans.	51	60	1				12	18	/				
S. ATLANTIC	2,183	2,512	48	40	2,035	2,081	29 1	65	21				
Md.	235	248	11	11			4	10	1				
D.C.	42	74		_	37	22	—	1	—				
va. W Va	264	248	17	8	527	481 1 183	_	4	N				
N.C.	248	283	5	7		N	2	3	2				
S.C.	190	163			439	390	4		_				
Ga. Fla.	834	946	11	10	_	_	9	33	12				
E.S. CENTRAL	500	575	5	8	_	48	63	60	38				
Ky.	96	102	2	3	N	N	5	1	_				
Tenn.	232	197	1	5	—		13	13	3				
Miss.		103	2	_	_	40	39	31	31				
W.S. CENTRAL	1.307	1.710	16	26	5.644	6.633	202	233	107				
Ark.	92	106	_	_	19		11	17	15				
La. Okla	125	1/0	1	1	111	53	78 13	81 16	33				
Tex.	1,090	1,455	14	25	5,514	6,580	100	119	49				
MOUNTAIN	335	449	9	7	2,275	2,214	134	322	205				
Mont.	8	4	—	—	_	_	8	2	17				
Wvo.	_	3	_	_	52	53	2	2	6				
Colo.	51	108	5	2	1,632	1,760	19	41	72				
N. Mex.	19	24			151	U	20	31	13				
Utah	200	35	2	1	440	401	21	6	31				
Nev.	31	84	1	2	—	—	14	25	15				
PACIFIC	2,229	2,925	64	73			278	289	493				
Wash. Oreg	222	203	5	6 1	N	N	_	_					
Calif.	1,812	2,498	44	60	_	_	278	289	488				
Alaska	38	33		_	—	_	—	—	—				
	103	101	12	6			_	—	_				
Guam PB		49 98	_	_	557	209	_	_	_				
V.I.	_		_	_	_		_	_	_				
Amer. Samoa	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	—				
<u></u>		0		0		0		0					

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 19, 2005, and November 20, 2004 (46th Week)*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. * Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date). [†] Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (ArboNet Surveillance). [§] Not previously notifiable.

TABLE III. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,* week ending November 19, 2005 (46th Week)

	All causes, by age (years) All causes, b						y age (y								
Reporting Area	All Ages	<u>≥</u> 65	45–64	25–44	1–24	<1	P&l⁺ Total	Reporting Area	All Ages	<u>≥</u> 65	45-64	25–44	1–24	<1	P&l⁺ Total
NEW ENGLAND	623	446	115	38	13	11	63	S. ATLANTIC	1,231	748	326	95	31	31	77
Bridgeport Conn	43	28	12	3	-	_	2	Baltimore Md	117	63	30	17	4	3	12
Cambridge, Mass.	21	18	2	1	_	_	5	Charlotte, N.C.	110	56	35	12	4	3	
Fall River, Mass.	32	25	5	2	_	_	5	Jacksonville, Fla.	193	117	56	10	4	6	10
Hartford, Conn.	47	29	14	3	1	_	5	Miami, Fla.	71	49	17	2	2	1	7
Lowell, Mass.	17	14	1	1	_	1	2	Norfolk, Va.	57	32	14	2	4	5	2
Lynn, Mass.	12	6	3	1	2	_	1	Richmond, Va.	48	22	21	4	1	_	5
New Bedford, Mass.	25	18	7				5	Savannah, Ga.	73	44	24	4	_	1	3
New Haven, Conn.	64	47	12	2	2	1	9	St. Petersburg, Fla.	52	38	9	4		1	5
Providence, R.I.	70	58	7	4	1	_	6	Tampa, Fla.	218	160	44	6	4	4	10
Somerville, Mass.	2	1	1	_	_	_	_	Washington, D.C.	100	50	29	13	3	5	2
Springfield, Mass.	45	28	10	6	1		_	Wilmington, Del.	25	17	6	2	_	_	6
Waterbury, Conn.	33	28	3	2			2	E.S. CENTRAL	892	598	206	52	23	13	51
worcester, wass.	64	50	9	I	2	2	Э	Birmingham, Ala.	177	112	43	12	3	7	15
MID. ATLANTIC	2,328	1,620	485	131	45	45	133	Chattanooga, Tenn.	95	78	14	1	1	1	8
Albany, N.Y.	42	34	6	1	1	_	4	Knoxville, Tenn.	138	101	25	10	2	_	2
Allentown, Pa.	23	20	1	2	—	—	1	Lexington, Ky.	73	40	24	3	4	2	3
Buffalo, N.Y.	51	33	13	2	1	2	4	Memphis, Tenn.	117	74	30	9	3	1	8
Camden, N.J.	16	9	5	_		2	2	Mobile, Ala.	94	66	19	6	2	1	2
Elizabeth, N.J.	20	10	9	_	1	_	_	Montgomery, Ala.	54	41	12		1	_	3
Erie, Pa.	38	27	8	2	1	_	8	Nashville, Ienn.	144	86	39	11	1	1	10
Jersey City, N.J.	33	18	13	2		15		W.S. CENTRAL	1,655	1,032	413	130	40	40	109
New YOR City, N.Y.	1,200	923	200	04	24	15	62	Austin, Tex.	96	60	25	6	3	2	7
Deterson N I	12	10	10	/	4	1	1	Baton Rouge, La.	25	13	6	4	1	1	—
Philadelphia Pa	333	105	78	35	0	16	16	Corpus Christi, Tex.	59	39	16	_	1	3	5
Pittshurah Pa §	33	195	70	1	1	5		Dallas, Tex.	198	96	61	25	9	7	14
Reading Pa	36	30	4	2	_	_	2	El Paso, Tex.	53	38	10	2	1	2	4
Rochester N Y	150	119	21	6	2	2	9	Ft. Worth, Tex.	131	83	33	9	4	2	7
Schenectady, N.Y.	25	17	8	_	_	_	4	Houston, Tex.	487	289	132	48	10	8	35
Scranton, Pa.	32	26	4	2	_	_	2	Little Rock, Ark.	97	54	28	9	2	4	4
Syracuse, N.Y.	76	56	17	2	_	1	10	New Orleans, La.	0	100	0	10	U	U	0
Trenton, N.J.	26	15	9	1	_	1	2	San Antonio, lex.	273	199	53	12	4	5	21
Utica, N.Y.	11	11	_	_	_	_	_	Tuloo Oklo	160	43	10	4	4		4
Yonkers, N.Y.	22	17	3	2	_	_	1	Tuisa, Okia.	109	110	33	11	1	0	0
E.N. CENTRAL	2,241	1,475	495	161	57	53	146		1,079	721	224	80	31	22	72
Akron, Ohio	58	35	17	4	1	1	7	Boise Idaho	83	61	15	2		5	3
Canton, Ohio	30	23	4	1	1	1	1	Colo Springs Colo	79	65	7	3	4	_	3
Chicago, III.	370	196	104	48	10	12	22	Denver Colo	91	53	23	7	5	3	6
Cincinnati, Ohio	64	37	17	3	4	3	8	Las Vegas, Nev.	224	153	47	14	8	2	13
Cleveland, Ohio	269	203	52	11	2	1	15	Ogden, Utah	36	23	9	2	1	1	4
Columbus, Onio	202	139	43	12	5	3	18	Phoenix, Ariz.	180	100	48	24	5	2	14
Dayton, Onio	145	100	21	10	3	10	10	Pueblo, Colo.	23	18	5	_	_	_	1
Evansville Ind	209	93	79	19	2	12	10	Salt Lake City, Utah	102	66	17	10	3	6	7
Fort Wayne Ind	64	47	6	9	1	1	2	Tucson, Ariz.	146	100	30	11	3	2	8
Gary Ind	12	5	3	2	2		_	PACIFIC	1 990	1.383	415	113	44	35	145
Grand Rapids, Mich.	52	36	14	_	1	1	8	Berkeley, Calif.	Ű	U	Ű	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	U
Indianapolis, Ind.	207	135	40	14	11	7	16	Fresno, Calif.	186	125	34	16	8	3	11
Lansing, Mich.	49	37	10	2	_	_	5	Glendale, Calif.	21	16	3	_	1	1	1
Milwaukee, Wis.	111	76	24	6	4	1	7	Honolulu, Hawaii	84	55	17	3	1	8	8
Peoria, III.	73	57	9	4	2	1	4	Long Beach, Calif.	78	46	21	4	3	4	6
Rockford, III.	46	37	7	2	_	_	3	Los Angeles, Calif.	316	224	66	20	4	2	34
South Bend, Ind.	54	42	9	1	—	2	—	Pasadena, Calif.	30	20	7	2	—	1	1
Toledo, Ohio	109	78	21	6	1	3	5	Portland, Oreg.	139	101	29	7	2	—	5
Youngstown, Ohio	67	56	8	2	1	—	4	Sacramento, Calif.	214	147	43	9	7	8	13
W.N. CENTRAL	646	426	146	44	11	18	43	San Diego, Calif.	181	126	38	10	3	4	14
Des Moines, Iowa	49	31	15	3	_	_	3	San Francisco, Calif.	149	105	40	10	0	1	10
Duluth, Minn.	26	23	2	1	_	_	1	Santa Cruz, Calif.	195	135	38	16	5	I	14
Kansas City, Kans.	23	14	8	1	—	—	—	Seattle Wash	34 128	29 88	2 20		1	1	4
Kansas City, Mo.	89	52	17	12	4	4	3	Snokane Wash	70	60	29 10	Э Л		1	5
Lincoln, Nebr.	29	21	6	—	—	2	2	Tacoma Wash	156	115	12	4 5	3	_	7
Minneapolis, Minn.	81	57	11	6	2	5	8		150	115	00	5	0		,
Omaha, Nebr.	97	70	22	2	2	1	8	TOTAL	12,685**	8,449	2,825	844	295	268	839
St. Louis, Mo.	92	50	25	12	2	2	6								
St. Paul, Minn.	73	52	17	3		1	6								
wichita, Kans.	87	56	23	4	1	3	6	1							

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases.

*Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of ≥100,000. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

[†]Pneumonia and influenza.

[§] Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks. [¶]Because of Hurricane Katrina, weekly reporting of deaths has been temporarily disrupted.

** Total includes unknown ages.

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy each week, send an e-mail message to *listserv@listserv.cdc.gov*. The body content should read *SUBscribe mmwr-toc*. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's World-Wide Web server at *http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr* or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at *ftp://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/publications/mmwr*. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone 202-512-1800.

Data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, to Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop K-95, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone 888-232-3228.

All material in the MMWR Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

All MMWR references are available on the Internet at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr. Use the search function to find specific articles.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to *MMWR* readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of these sites. URL addresses listed in *MMWR* were current as of the date of publication.

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 2006-523-056/40005 Region IV ISSN: 0149-2195