



Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report

Weekly

March 30, 2007 / Vol. 56 / No. 12

Three Outbreaks of Salmonellosis Associated with Baby Poultry from Three Hatcheries — United States, 2006

During 2006, state health departments notified CDC of three outbreaks of Salmonella species infections in persons who had been in contact with chicks and other baby poultry (ducklings, goslings, and baby turkeys) purchased at agricultural feed stores. The feed stores received the poultry from hatcheries, and each of the three outbreaks was traced to a single hatchery. For decades, baby poultry, particularly chicks and ducklings, have been known to be a source of salmonellosis (1-4). More recently, the source of birds associated with salmonellosis outbreaks has been traced back to individual hatcheries. Many persons who purchase baby poultry remain unaware that contact with these birds puts them and others who are exposed to the birds, especially children and immunocompromised persons, at risk for salmonellosis. This report describes the three outbreaks and provides recommendations for preventing transmission of Salmonella infection from birds to humans.

Hatchery A. In May 2006, during routine surveillance of laboratory results, the public health laboratory at the Michigan Department of Community Health detected a cluster of cases that were culture positive for *Salmonella* serotype 4,5,12,i:-. Laboratory analysis of the isolates by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)* yielded an indistinguishable DNA pattern that was later designated as the outbreak strain. During April–July, the laboratory isolated the outbreak strain from a total of 21 clinical samples obtained from ill persons in Michigan. Ill persons were interviewed† by state public health officials and asked about symptoms and possible sources of

exposure. All 21 patients reported diarrhea, and six (29%) reported bloody diarrhea. Twelve (57%) patients reported vomiting. Seven (33%) of the 21 ill patients were hospitalized for a median of 4 days (range: 1-9 days); complete data on recovery status were not available at the time of interview. The median age of hospitalized patients was 31 years (range: 7 months-79 years). The median age of all patients was 18 years (range: 7 months-79 years). Twelve (57%) patients reported exposure to baby poultry in the 7 days before illness onset; eight of these patients reported purchasing the birds as a source of meat or eggs, two patients reported purchasing the birds as family pets, and for two patients, the reason for purchase was unknown. The hatchery source of the baby poultry was determined for eight (67%) of the 12 patients who reported exposure; two patients purchased birds directly from hatchery A in Michigan, and six patients purchased birds from five different agricultural feed stores that had all received birds from hatchery A. This hatchery also was the source of chicks and ducklings that caused salmonellosis outbreaks in Michigan in 1999 and 2000 (6).

Hatchery B. On May 3, 2006, the Nebraska Health and Human Services System received a report of two children with stool-culture–confirmed salmonellosis. The health department began an investigation on May 4 and learned that the two patients both attended the same Nebraska day care center,

INSIDE

- 276 Update: Chikungunya Fever Diagnosed Among International Travelers — United States, 2006
- 278 Progress Toward Poliomyelitis Eradication Nigeria, 2005–2006
- 281 Notices to Readers
- 283 QuickStats

^{*}PFGE provides a DNA pattern for each isolate; closely related or indistinguishable PFGE patterns suggest a common source and can be used to distinguish outbreak cases from concurrent sporadic cases. Persons with indistinguishable PFGE patterns might be included in the case count, regardless of whether exposure to the outbreak source is confirmed.

[†]For all investigations described in this report, if the patient was a young child, a family member was interviewed.

The MMWR series of publications is published by the Coordinating Center for Health Information and Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA 30333.

Suggested Citation: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Article title]. MMWR 2007;56:[inclusive page numbers].

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Julie L. Gerberding, MD, MPH *Director*

Tanja Popovic, MD, PhD (Acting) Chief Science Officer

James W. Stephens, PhD

(Acting) Associate Director for Science Steven L. Solomon, MD

Director, Coordinating Center for Health Information and Service

Jay M. Bernhardt, PhD, MPH Director, National Center for Health Marketing

B. Kathleen Skipper, MA (Acting) Director, Division of Health Information Dissemination (Proposed)

Editorial and Production Staff

Frederic E. Shaw, MD, JD Editor, MMWR Series

Suzanne M. Hewitt, MPA Managing Editor, MMWR Series

Douglas W. Weatherwax (Acting) Lead Technical Writer-Editor

Catherine H. Bricker, MS Jude C. Rutledge Writers-Editors

Beverly J. Holland Lead Visual Information Specialist

Lynda G. Cupell Malbea A. LaPete Visual Information Specialists

Quang M. Doan, MBA Erica R. Shaver Information Technology Specialists

Editorial Board

William L. Roper, MD, MPH, Chapel Hill, NC, Chairman Virginia A. Caine, MD, Indianapolis, IN David W. Fleming, MD, Seattle, WA William E. Halperin, MD, DrPH, MPH, Newark, NJ Margaret A. Hamburg, MD, Washington, DC King K. Holmes, MD, PhD, Seattle, WA Deborah Holtzman, PhD, Atlanta, GA John K. Iglehart, Bethesda, MD Dennis G. Maki, MD, Madison, WI Sue Mallonee, MPH, Oklahoma City, OK Stanley A. Plotkin, MD, Doylestown, PA Patricia Quinlisk, MD, MPH, Des Moines, IA Patrick L. Remington, MD, MPH, Madison, WI Barbara K. Rimer, DrPH, Chapel Hill, NC John V. Rullan, MD, MPH, San Juan, PR Anne Schuchat, MD, Atlanta, GA Dixie E. Snider, MD, MPH, Atlanta, GA John W. Ward, MD, Atlanta, GA

where they had handled pet chicks brought into the center by a parent. Additional interviews at the day care center detected a total of 10 persons (nine students and one staff member) with diarrhea (three or more loose stools in 24 hours), and three (30%) with bloody diarrhea. None of the 10 persons were hospitalized. Stool samples were requested of all persons with diarrhea. Of the six additional stool samples obtained, two were positive for *Salmonella* serotype Montevideo. Of the four total positive stool samples, all yielded *Salmonella* serotype Montevideo isolates with indistinguishable PFGE DNA patterns. Three of the four children had handled the chicks, and the fourth had the opportunity to do so, although direct contact could not be confirmed.

During April-June, state public health laboratories identified in the national PulseNet database[§] the same strain of Salmonella serotype Montevideo in a total of 56 patients (including those from the Nebraska day care center) from 21 states. Forty-eight of these patients were interviewed during May-July by state public health officials and asked about symptoms and possible exposures. All interviewed patients reported diarrhea (three or more loose stools in 24 hours), and 25 (52%) reported bloody diarrhea. Eight (17%) patients were hospitalized for a median of 2 days (range: 1-7 days), and all fully recovered; the median age of hospitalized patients was 10 months (range: 27 days-53 years). The median age of all interviewed patients was 24 months (range: 27 days–82 years). Forty-two (88%) of the 48 interviewed patients reported exposure to baby poultry in the 5 days before illness onset. Seventeen (40%) of the interviewed patients purchased the birds for meat or eggs, 18 (43%) purchased them as pets, and for seven patients, the reason for purchase was unknown. Thirty-seven (88%) of 42 patients with exposure to baby poultry purchased the birds at a store, including at least 14 different agricultural feed stores and one general store; other patients did not report the facility from which they purchased the birds.

All 37 patients who purchased baby poultry from a store were asked whether the store provided information on preventing transmission of *Salmonella* species infection from birds to humans; three patients reported receiving this type of information. In addition, 31 patients who reported exposure

[§] PulseNet is the molecular subtyping network for foodborne disease surveillance in the United States. Participants are public health laboratories in all 50 states and federal regulatory agency laboratories. PulseNet participants perform standardized molecular subtyping (or "fingerprinting") of foodborne disease-causing bacteria by PFGE in real time. The results (DNA fingerprints, or patterns) are then submitted electronically to central databases located at CDC, which enables rapid comparison of PFGE patterns by public health professionals nationwide (5).

⁵ California, Colorado, Iowa, Illinois, Kentucky, Maine, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Oregon, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Virginia, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

to baby poultry were asked whether they were aware that they could contract salmonellosis from baby poultry; 24 (77%) of these patients reported being unaware that baby poultry could be a source of *Salmonella* species infection. The hatchery source of the baby poultry was determined for nine (21%) of the 42 patients who had been exposed to baby poultry; seven of these nine patients purchased the baby poultry from three feed stores that all received birds from hatchery B in New Mexico. PFGE analysis of isolates from baby poultry and environmental swabs from hatchery B yielded a DNA pattern that was indistinguishable from the *Salmonella* Montevideo outbreak strain in the patients. Hatchery B also had been identified previously as the source of chicks that caused outbreaks of human *Salmonella* species infections in 2002 and 2005 (New Mexico Department of Health, unpublished data, 2007).

Hatchery C. During March–May 2006, the Oregon State Public Health Laboratory identified four patients with *Salmonella* serotype Ohio isolates; PFGE analysis yielded indistinguishable DNA patterns. All four patients were interviewed by public health officials and asked whether they had been hospitalized and about possible sources of exposure. The median age of patients was 32 years (range: 1–77 years). One patient was hospitalized.

All four patients reported exposure to baby poultry in the days before illness onset. Three of the four patients had purchased chicks from one agricultural feed store; the source for the fourth patient was unknown. After a review of invoices from the feed store, the source for the chicks was determined to be hatchery C in neighboring Washington. Hatchery C had been identified previously as the source of chicks that caused outbreaks of salmonellosis in 1995, 1996, 2003, 2004, and 2005 (Oregon Department of Public Health, unpublished data, 2007).

To assess the prevalence of *Salmonella* species in chicks at retail stores, the Oregon Department of Agriculture and the Oregon Public Health Division surveyed 16 agricultural feed stores in western Oregon during February–March 2006. Although the surveys began before the outbreak was detected, the data were used to assist in the subsequent outbreak investigation. Store representatives were asked about conditions under which birds were purchased, housed, and sold. In addition, cloacal swabs from 137 chicks from the 16 stores were cultured for *Salmonella*; serotypes Ohio, Montevideo, or Tennessee were recovered from 25 (18%) of the chicks from 10 of the 16 stores. All agricultural feed stores with chicks whose swabs yielded *Salmonella* Ohio received these chicks from hatchery C.

Reported by: S Bidol, MPH, M Stobierski, DVM, Michigan Dept of Community Health. D Leschinsky, Nebraska Health and Human Svcs System. P Ettestad, DVM, C Smelser, MD, D Sena-Johnson, J Jungk,

MPH, N Tafoya, P Torres, MS, New Mexico Dept of Health; F Taylor, DVM, New Mexico Dept of Agriculture. W Keene, PhD, M Plantenga, B Progulske, DVM, Oregon Dept of Public Health; R TenEyck, R Rada, L Effinger, MA, Oregon Dept of Agriculture. J Lockett, N Patel, Enteric Disease Laboratory Br; F Angulo, DVM, H Bair-Brake, DVM, Enteric Disease Epidemiology Br, Div of Foodborne Bacterial and Mycotic Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases; N Gaffga, MD, EIS Officer, CDC.

Editorial Note: This report describes three concurrent outbreaks of salmonellosis that occurred during 2006, the first year during which more than one baby-poultry—associated salmonellosis outbreak has been recognized. These outbreaks demonstrate that salmonellosis associated with baby poultry purchased from agricultural feed stores is a source of *Salmonella* infection in humans and an ongoing public health problem.

Each year in the United States, an estimated 1.4 million *Salmonella* infections result in thousands of hospitalizations and hundreds of deaths (7). The percentage caused by contact with baby poultry remains unknown, and few measures have been implemented to prevent transmission of *Salmonella* organisms from baby poultry to humans.

Fewer than 20 hatcheries in the United States provide the majority of baby poultry sold in agricultural feed stores in the nation, and certain hatcheries have been implicated repeatedly as sources of baby-poultry-associated salmonellosis outbreaks. Such outbreaks might be prevented by control measures at these and other hatcheries and at agricultural feed stores, where most persons purchase baby poultry. Providing information to customers about the health risks of bird contact and providing adequate handwashing facilities might prevent such infections (8). Certain state health departments (e.g., in Washington and Oregon) have urged feed stores to display warnings and provide point-of-sale educational materials to persons purchasing baby poultry; however, such campaigns are voluntary and might not be implemented. Increased emphasis on such point-of-sale educational materials might reduce numbers of infections. Evaluation of the effectiveness of mandated point-of-sale education in reducing babypoultry-associated salmonellosis might help guide future prevention programs.

Although the purchase of baby poultry from agricultural feed stores by persons for meat or eggs or as pets is legal in all states, a 2005 survey indicated that the sale of chicks to individual persons is regulated by law in certain states. For example, 13 states** and the District of Columbia (DC) prohibit the sale of birds that have been dyed. Arkansas, Kentucky,

^{**} Arkansas, Florida, Illinois, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Michigan, Montana, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Vermont.

New York, and Wisconsin have laws establishing a minimum number of birds that can be sold to individual persons, and 12 states^{††} and DC have laws restricting the youngest age at which birds can be sold. The effectiveness of such legislation is unknown. None of the hatcheries or stores implicated in the outbreaks were in violation of state laws related to the sale of baby poultry.

The hatchery B outbreak investigation described in this report indicates that persons who purchase baby poultry usually are unaware that *Salmonella* species infections can be transmitted from poultry to humans. Although baby birds such as chicks and ducklings might not appear dirty, they can have feces on their feathers and beaks, areas that children are more likely to touch or place in their mouths, possibly resulting in infection. In addition, all items that have been in contact with birds, such as floors, tables, rugs, sinks, and fingers, can be contaminated with a fecal film.

To reduce the risk for illness or death from salmonellosis, persons should be educated about the risks of contact with baby poultry, should avoid contact with bird feces, and should wash their hands with soap and warm water after handling baby poultry or anything that has been in contact with them. In addition, children aged <5 years should not be allowed to handle baby chicks or other baby birds. At the community level, hatcheries should provide written information for customers at agricultural feed stores and customers who purchase directly from hatcheries, recommending ways to prevent transmission of *Salmonella* organisms from birds to humans. Additional information regarding health risks posed by contact with baby poultry is available at http://www.cdc.gov/healthypets/easter_chicks.htm.

References

- Anderson AS, Bauer H, Nelson CB. Salmonellosis due to Salmonella Typhimurium with Easter chicks as likely source. JAMA 1955;158:1153–5.
- CDC. Salmonella hadar associated with pet ducklings—Connecticut, Maryland, and Pennsylvania, 1991. MMWR 1992;41:185–7.
- CDC. Salmonella serotype Montevideo infections associated with chicks—Idaho, Washington, and Oregon, spring 1995 and 1996. MMWR 1997;46:237–9.
- CDC. Salmonellosis associated with chicks and ducklings—Michigan and Missouri, spring 1999. MMWR 2000;49:297–9.
- 5. CDC. PulseNet. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/pulsenet.
- Wilkins MJ, Bidol SA, Boulton ML, Stobierski MG, Massey JP, Robinson-Dunn B. Human salmonellosis associated with young poultry from a contaminated hatchery in Michigan and the resulting public health interventions, 1999 and 2000. Epidemiol Infect 2002;129:19–27.
- 7. Voetsch AC, VanGilder TJ, Angulo FJ, et al. FoodNet estimate of the burden of illness caused by nontyphoidal *Salmonella* infections in the United States. Clin Infect Dis 2004;38(Supp 3):S127–34.
- 8. CDC. Compendium of measures to prevent disease associated with animals in public settings, 2005. National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians. MMWR 2005;54(No. RR-4).

Update: Chikungunya Fever Diagnosed Among International Travelers — United States, 2006

Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) is a mosquitoborne alphavirus indigenous to tropical Africa and Asia, where it causes endemic and epidemic chikungunya (CHIK) fever, an acute illness characterized by fever, arthralgias, and sometimes arthritis, commonly accompanied by conjunctivitis and rash. Although symptoms of CHIKV infection usually last days to weeks, joint symptoms and signs usually last for months and occasionally for 1 year or longer; deaths from CHIKV infection are rare (1). No specific antiviral treatment exists for CHIKV infection; treatment consists of supportive care, including analgesics and anti-inflammatory medication for joint symptoms. During 2005-2006, an epidemic of CHIK fever occurred on islands in the Indian Ocean and in India, resulting in millions of clinically suspected cases, mainly in southern India (2,3). In the United States, CHIK fever has been diagnosed in travelers from abroad. CDC previously reported 12 imported cases of CHIK fever diagnosed in the United States from 2005 through late September 2006, including 11 with illness onset in 2006 (4). This report of 26 additional imported cases with onset in 2006 underscores the importance of recognizing such cases among travelers. Healthcare providers are encouraged to suspect CHIKV infection in travelers with fever and arthralgias who have recently returned from areas with CHIKV transmission. Acute- and convalescent-phase serum specimens can be submitted to CDC for testing through state health departments. Public health officials and health-care providers are encouraged to be vigilant for the possibility of indigenous CHIKV transmission in areas of the United States where CHIKV mosquito vectors are prevalent.

Surveillance for CHIK fever in the United States is passive and laboratory based; the disease is not nationally reportable. In the United States in 2006, diagnostic testing for CHIKV was available at CDC by arrangement through state health departments. Although clinicians were encouraged to submit paired acute- and convalescent-phase serum specimens (ideally separated by 2 weeks), paired specimens were not uniformly available. All serum samples were tested by immunoglobulin M (IgM)-capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and plaque-reduction neutralization (PRNT). IgM-negative acute-phase samples were tested by virus culture. Positive cultures were confirmed by polymerase chain reaction.


^{††} Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Montana, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, and Wisconsin.

Including the previously reported cases (4), CDC confirmed a total of 37 cases of CHIK fever with both positive IgM and PRNT and/or CHIKV isolation among U.S. travelers with onset in 2006; CHIKV was isolated from the blood of five of these patients. Patients were from 17 states (four southern states, four northeastern states, five midwestern states, and four western states) and the District of Columbia. Median age of patients was 49 years (range: 22-78 years), and 54% were female. In 25 (68%) of the 37 cases, onset occurred during June-October 2006 (Figure). The country most commonly visited before traveling to the United States was India, reported by 32 (86%) of the 37 patients; three patients reported visiting Sri Lanka, and one each had visited Zimbabwe and the Indian Ocean island of Réunion. An additional seven travelers returning to the United States in 2006 tested positive for CHIKV antibody by either IgM ELISA or PRNT but not by

Reported by: Div of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases; Div Global Migration and Quarantine, National Center for Preparedness, Detection, and Control of Infectious Diseases; E Farnon, EIS Officer, CDC.

Editorial Note: The 37 imported cases of CHIK fever in 2006 were unprecedented in the United States; during the preceding 15-year period, 1991–2005, only seven patients had both IgM and PRNT antibody to CHIKV detected by tests at CDC, and only three of these were known to be returning U.S. travelers (CDC, unpublished data, 2006). Factors contributing to the increase in the number of confirmed cases likely include an increase in the absolute number of infected travelers and the effects of recent CHIK fever publicity on the frequency of clinical diagnosis and submission of samples for laboratory testing (4).

FIGURE. Number (37) of confirmed cases of chikungunya fever, by month of illness onset — United States, 2006



The five patients with positive cultures for CHIKV in 2006 likely represent a small fraction of CHIKV-viremic travelers who entered the United States, including many with subclinical or milder clinical CHIKV infections that were never documented. Human CHIKV infections typically include transient viremia of sufficient concentration to infect feeding vector mosquitoes (1), and approximately one fourth of human CHIKV infections are subclinical (5). Thus, despite the apparent absence of indigenous transmission of CHIKV in the United States or elsewhere in the western hemisphere, the risk for introduction into local vector mosquito populations in 2006 was likely higher than previously observed. In 2007, the risk likely will continue to be higher than usual, especially in tropical and subtropical areas where Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus mosquitoes, the main vectors of CHIKV (6), are seasonally abundant.

Travelers to tropical areas of Asia and Africa should educate themselves regarding CHIK fever and follow CDC recommendations to prevent mosquito bites.* Febrile illness in persons traveling to the United States from Asia and Africa should be reported promptly to local or state public health authorities, and tests for CHIKV infection should be requested (4). Persons with febrile illness suspected to be caused by CHIKV should avoid mosquito exposure for at least 7 days after illness onset to reduce the likelihood of transmitting CHIKV to local mosquitoes, which might then transmit the virus to other humans.

References

- 1. Jupp PG, McIntosh BM. Chikungunya virus disease. In: Monath TP, ed. The arboviruses: epidemiology and ecology (vol 2). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 1988:137–57.
- Lahariya C, Pradhan SK. Emergence of chikungunya virus in Indian subcontinent after 32 years: a review. J Vector Borne Dis 2006;43: 151–60
- World Health Organization. Outbreak news: chikungunya, India. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2006;81:409–10.
- 4. CDC. Chikungunya fever diagnosed among international travelers—United States, 2005–2006. MMWR 2006;55:1040–2.
- Retuya TJA Jr, Ting DL, Dacula BD, et al. Chikungunya fever outbreak in an agricultural village in Indang, Cavite, Philippines. Philippine Journal of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases 1998;27:93–6.
- 6. Parola P, de Lamballerie X, Jourdan J, et al. Novel chikungunya virus variant in travelers returning from Indian Ocean islands. Emerg Infect Dis 2006;12:1493–9.

^{*}Available at http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dvbid/chikungunya and http://www.cdc.gov/travel/other/2006/chikungunya_india.htm.

Progress Toward Poliomyelitis Eradication — Nigeria, 2005–2006

Only four countries (Afghanistan, India, Nigeria, and Pakistan) have never experienced interruption of poliovirus transmission (1-3). Nigeria had the largest number of cases in 2006, accounting for 1,129 (56%) of the 2,002 cases reported globally. However, major innovations to the national polio-eradication program in Nigeria were initiated in 2006. These innovations, if sustained, should advance the Global Polio Eradication Initiative. Nigeria (2006 population: 140 million) experienced a resurgence in wild poliovirus (WPV) transmission during 2003-2004 after a loss of public confidence* in oral polio vaccine (OPV) and suspension of supplementary immunization activities (SIAs)[†] in certain northern states (4). Subsequently, WPV spread within Nigeria and into 19 polio-free countries (1,5,6). Even after national SIAs recommenced, limited acceptance and ongoing operational problems resulted in low vaccination coverage and continued poliovirus transmission. The number of confirmed polio cases in Nigeria attributed to both WPV type 1 (WPV1) and type 3 (WPV3) increased from 782 in 2004 to 830 in 2005 and to 1,129 in 2006 (as of March 23, 2007). To increase the effectiveness of polio-eradication measures and community acceptance of vaccination, in 2006, health authorities in Nigeria introduced monovalent type 1 OPV (mOPV1) vaccine and changed the way SIAs were implemented. This report summarizes these new approaches and overall progress toward polio eradication in Nigeria during 2005–2006.

Immunization Activities

In 2005, national reported routine vaccination coverage for 3 doses of OPV among infants was 31%. Substantial variation was observed in coverage by state (range: 10%–57%), with lower coverage reported from northern states. In the same year, Nigeria adopted a new Reaching Every Ward strategic approach [¶] to improve routine vaccination coverage. This

* Loss of public confidence resulted from rumors regarding OPV safety, including false allegations that the vaccine could cause sterility or acquired immunodeficiency syndrome in vaccine recipients. Rumors were promoted, especially in northern Nigeria, in response to political tensions at national and state levels. All allegations were refuted on scientific grounds.

[†] Mass campaigns conducted during a short period (days to weeks) during which a dose of OPV is administered to all children aged <5 years, regardless of previous vaccination history. Campaigns can be conducted nationally or in portions of the country.

§mOPV1 contains polio vaccine against WPV1 only and does not provide protection against other WPV types. mOPV1 provides greater immunity to a specific WPV type than does the same number of doses of trivalent OPV. mOPV3 is not yet available in Nigeria.

⁹An adaptation of the World Health Organization Regional Office for Africa and global Reaching Every District initiative.

strategy focused on enhancing health-worker training and supervision and improving outreach at the local ward level.

Four national and two subnational SIA campaigns with trivalent OPV (tOPV) were conducted in 2005; one national campaign was conducted in February 2006. Despite these campaigns, the number of confirmed polio cases in the second half of 2005 and early 2006 indicated that a substantial proportion of children had not been immunized and remained susceptible to poliovirus infection, especially polio infection attributed to WPV1. The National Programme on Immunization began using mOPV1, which is more effective than tOPV against WPV1, during the March 2006 SIAs in 32 of the 37 states (including all northern states). In May 2006, the National Programme on Immunization introduced a modified strategy of SIA implementation, called immunization plus days (IPDs), during which OPV and other interventions were delivered using a combination of house-to-house vaccine delivery and fixed-post vaccination. Four IPD rounds were conducted during May-November 2006 in polio-affected northern states. The May, June, and September IPDs used mOPV1, whereas tOPV was used in the November round to provide protection against WPV3.

IPDs offered OPV and other vaccines (e.g., measles vaccine and diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis vaccine for eligible children and tetanus toxoid vaccine for pregnant women) at fixed vaccination posts, in addition to house-to-house delivery of OPV (and vitamin A twice in the year). In the targeted northern states, local government areas (LGAs) offered other health interventions when children were brought to vaccination posts. These interventions included distribution of soap, acetaminophen, oral rehydration salts, anthelminthics, and insecticide-treated bed nets. Additional modifications implemented as part of the IPDs were 1) holding community discussions to educate caregivers and address concerns before each round; 2) enhancing detailed SIA planning through involvement of local community leaders; 3) using qualified local health workers on the vaccination teams; and 4) enhancing field supervision by local, state, and federal authorities and through partner agencies.**

^{**} National Programme on Immunization of the Nigeria Ministry of Health, Association of Local Governments of Nigeria, Nigerian state governments, World Health Organization, Rotary International, CDC, United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), European Union, International Federation of Red Cross/Red Crescent, World Bank, the Global Alliance for Vaccine and Immunization, the Vaccine Fund, and bilateral development agencies of Canada, Norway, Japan, the United Kingdom, and the United States (U.S. Agency for International Development [USAID]).

Acute Flaccid Paralysis (AFP) Surveillance

The Global Polio Eradication Initiative relies on an acute flaccid paralysis (AFP) surveillance system to identify cases of poliomyelitis. Through this system, AFP cases in all children aged <15 years and suspected polio in persons of any age are reported and investigated as possible poliomyelitis. AFP surveillance quality is monitored according to World Health Organization (WHO) operational targets. †† In 2005, Nigeria achieved a national nonpolio AFP detection rate of 7.6 cases per 100,000 population aged <15 years, compared with the WHO target of two cases, increasing to 7.9 per 100,000 children in 2006. In 2005, all 37 states and 85% of the 774 LGAs achieved nonpolio AFP rates of more than two cases per 100,000; in 2006, 90% of LGAs achieved this rate. In 2005, adequate stool specimens were collected for 85% of AFP cases nationally; this percentage increased to 90% in 2006. In 2005, 68% of states and 62% of LGAs reached the target of >80% AFP cases with adequate stool specimens; in 2006, 86% of states and 73% of LGAs reached this target. The proportion of LGAs that reached the target levels for both surveillance indicators increased from 52% in 2005 to 64% in 2006.

Vaccination histories of children aged 6–59 months with nonpolio AFP were used to estimate OPV coverage of the overall target population. In the 10 states with high polio incidence, §§ the proportion of nonpolio AFP cases in children who had never received any OPV decreased from 45% in the first quarter of 2005 to 31% in the first quarter of 2006 (Table). After the introduction and continuation of IPDs, the average proportion of nonpolio AFP cases in children who had never received any OPV in these states decreased to 18% in the fourth quarter of 2006.

WPV Incidence

Of the 1,959 WPV cases reported during 2005–2006, a total of 830 (42%) occurred in children aged <2 years (1,867 [95%] were aged <5 years); 1,483 (76%) of cases were in children who had received <3 doses of OPV. During the late 1990s and early 2000s, WPV transmission in Nigeria peaked in July and August during the rainy season and reached its lowest during the dry season (7). In late 2005, the monthly case incidence of WPV1 was atypically high for the dry season.

This was followed early in 2006 by a substantial increase in the number of cases compared with the same period in earlier years. The peak in WPV1 circulation in 2006 occurred in March, with a rapid decrease in cases commencing in June (Figure 1). The decrease in WPV1 incidence was pronounced in the three states with the highest incidence of poliomyelitis (Jigawa, Kano, and Katsina) (Figure 2). The principal puly—December 2006, WPV1 incidence for these three states was 63% lower than the same period in 2005 (64 cases in 2006 versus 174 cases in 2005). WPV3 circulation did not decrease substantially during 2006.

Of the 830 WPV polio cases with onset in 2005 (580 WPV1 and 250 WPV3), a total of 224 (27%) were reported from Kano state (117 WPV1 and 107 WPV3), and 544 (65%) were reported from nine other high-incidence states (409 WPV1 and 135 WPV3). Of the 1,129 polio cases with onset in 2006 (851 WPV1 and 278 WPV3), 355 (31%) were from Kano (303 WPV1 and 52 WPV3) and 718 (64%) were from the other nine high-incidence states (504 WPV1 and 214 WPV3). Despite the increase in case numbers in 2006, the area of transmission decreased, from 21 affected states (57% of the 37 states in Nigeria) in 2005 to 18 states (49%) in 2006. No state in southern Nigeria has detected WPV since August 2005.

In 2005, a total of 27 WPV1 and 18 WPV3 genetic virus clusters were detected circulating in Nigeria.*** In 2006, fewer WPV1 and WPV3 genetic clusters were observed; pending completion of genetic analyses and further observation in 2007, the extent of this decrease is unclear. WPV1 and WPV3 found in Cameroon, Chad, and Niger during 2005–2006 were closely related to viruses found in nearby Nigerian states.

Reported by: National Programme on Immunization, Federal Ministry of Health; Country Office of the World Health Organization, Abuja; Poliovirus Laboratory, Univ of Ibadan, Ibadan; Poliovirus Laboratory, Univ of Maidugari Teaching Hospital, Maidugari, Nigeria. African Regional Polio Reference Laboratory, National Institute for Communicable Diseases, Johannesburg, South Africa. Vaccine Preventable Diseases, World Health Organization Regional Office for Africa, Brazzaville, Congo. Immunization, Vaccines, and Biologicals Dept, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. Div of Viral Diseases and Global Immunization Div, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases, CDC.

^{††} The current WHO operational targets for countries at high risk for polio transmission are a nonpolio AFP rate of at least two cases per 100,000 population aged <15 years at each subnational level and adequate stool specimen collection for >80% of AFP cases (i.e., two specimens collected >24 hours apart, both within 14 days of paralysis onset, and shipped on ice or frozen ice packs to a WHO-accredited laboratory and arriving at the laboratory in good condition).

^{§§} Bauchi, Borno, Jigawa, Kaduna, Kano, Katsina, Kebbi, Sokoto, Yobe, and Zamfara.

⁵⁵ As of March 23, 2007, with laboratory investigations for January AFP cases 99% complete, 27 cases of confirmed WPV have been reported provisionally in the country for January 2007 (seven WPV1 and 20 WPV3), compared with 24 in 2005 (nine WPV1 and 15 WPV3) and 89 in 2006 (80 WPV1 and nine WPV3).


^{***} All WPVs are sequenced across the interval encoding the major capsid protein (VP1) (approximately 900 nucleotides), and results are analyzed to determine the likely origin (by state and LGA) of the virus. Isolates within a cluster share >95% VP1 nucleotide sequence identity.

TABLE. Poliovirus vaccination coverage among children aged 6–59 months with nonpolio acute flaccid paralysis, by quarter and number of doses administered — 10 states,* Nigeria, 2005 and 2006[†]

		20	05			20	06	
No. of doses	1st quarter§	2nd quarter	3rd quarter	4th quarter	1st quarter	2nd quarter	3rd quarter	4th quarter
0	45%	30%	34%	39%	31%	33%	19%	18%
1–2	31%	37%	27%	33%	35%	36%	40%	39%
≥3	21%	31%	36%	25%	31%	28%	34%	37%
Unknown	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	8%	6%

^{*}Bauchi, Borno, Jigawa, Kaduna, Kano, Katsina, Kebbi, Sokoto, Yobe, and Zamfara.

FIGURE 1. Number of confirmed poliomyelitis cases, by wild poliovirus (WPV) type, month of onset, type of supplementary immunization activity,* and type of vaccine administered — Nigeria, 2004–2006[†]



^{*} Mass campaign conducted during a short period (days to weeks) during which a dose of oral poliovirus vaccine (OPV) is administered to all children aged <5 years, regardless of previous vaccination history. Campaigns can be conducted nationally or in portions of the country.

Editorial Note: Although SIAs were resumed in all areas in Nigeria in mid-2004, they did not sufficiently curtail the resurgence of WPV transmission that began in 2003–2004. The number of cases in northern states increased substantially in late 2005 and early 2006, particularly WPV1 cases. In December 2005, the Nigerian government mandated the National Programme on Immunization to accelerate polio eradication and enhance routine vaccination. The introduction of mOPV1 in March 2006 and improved community acceptance in response to the introduction of IPDs in May

2006 have been associated with a decrease in WPV1 monthly incidence; in 2006, only 22% of 851 WPV1 cases occurred in the second half of the year. An increase in population immunity against poliovirus infection also is indicated by the decrease in the proportion of nonpolio AFP cases in children who have received zero doses since the introduction of IPDs in May 2006.

In 2006, polio-eradication measures were concentrated in the 10 states with the most intense transmission of WPV. Because approximately 50% of the target population remains undervaccinated in high-incidence states, further improvements in immunization levels are needed to interrupt poliovirus transmission. The continued involvement of traditional and religious community leaders will be essential to increase both SIA and routine vaccination coverage.

Although the sensitivity of AFP surveillance and the number of reported cases increased in 2006 compared with 2005, WPV was found in fewer states. Genetic sequence analysis suggests that

several genetic virus clusters have been eliminated in 2006; however, by the end of the year, numerous independent chains of transmission persisted.

Compared with tOPV, mOPV1 is more effective, dose for dose, in immunizing children against WPV1; a single dose of mOPV1 has been estimated as the equivalent of 3 doses of tOPV in terms of seroconversion against WPV1 (8). Thus, in 2006, the Nigerian Polio Program decided to target preferentially WPV1 circulation using mOPV1 for most SIAs.

¹ As of March 23, 2007.

[§] Analysis for trend across the four 2005 quarters was not statistically significant (chi-square Mantel Haenszel test [χ^2 mh] = 0.32, p = 0.57), across the four 2006 quarters was significant (χ^2 mh = 9.99, p = 0.002), and across all eight quarters was significant (χ^2 mh = 23.99, p<0.0001). Unknowns were combined with zero doses for this analysis.

[†] As of March 23, 2007.

[§] Trivalent OPV.

[¶] Monovalent type 1 OPV.

^{**} National immunization days. Nationwide mass campaigns during a short period (days to weeks) during which a single dose of OPV is administered to all children aged <5 years, regardless of previous vaccination history, with an interval of 4–6 weeks between doses.</p>

^{††} Subnational immunization days. Mass campaigns similar to NIDs but in a smaller area.

^{§§} Immunization plus days. OPV and other interventions are delivered using a combination of houseto-house vaccine delivery and fixed-post vaccination.




FIGURE 2. Rate* of poliomyelitis attributed to wild poliovirus (WPV) type 1, by state† and semiannual period — Nigeria, 2006§

- * Annualized rate for each half year per 100,000 children aged <5 years.
- [†] Only states with reported polio cases in each half year in 2006 are labeled.
- § As of March 23, 2007. ¶ Federal Capital Territory.

Because of its greater transmissibility, WPV1 poses a greater threat of wide geographic spread compared with WPV3, as was observed during the 2003–2004 polio outbreak in Nigeria and neighboring countries. Use of tOPV in IPDs in northern states in November 2006 and early 2007 should curtail WPV3 transmission.

For 2007, priority has been given to further improving IPDs planning and supervision in the highest-risk LGAs in the high-incidence states. Communication and health-education activities will continue to be modified and strengthened according to findings from program monitoring and evaluation. These measures are expected to increase the impact of SIAs during the WPV low-transmission season and of SIAs scheduled for the remainder of the year. Nigeria achieved some key milestones in 2006 toward improving child survival. The Nigerian government and its immunization partners are committed to interrupting WPV transmission in Nigeria and to building sustainable means of enhancing child health. Continuation and expansion of IPDs and use of mOPV1 is needed to interrupt WPV1 transmission in Nigeria; periodic use of tOPV will continue to reduce WPV3 circulation.

References

CDC. Progress toward interruption of wild poliovirus transmission—worldwide, January 2005–March 2006. MMWR 2006;55:458–62.

- CDC. Progress toward poliomyelitis eradication—Pakistan and Afghanistan, January 2005–May 2006. MMWR 2006;55:679–82.
- CDC. Progress toward poliomyelitis eradication—India, January 2005– June 2006. MMWR 2006;55:772–6.
- CDC. Progress toward poliomyelitis eradication—Nigeria, January 2004–July 2005. MMWR 2004;54:873–7.
- CDC. Resurgence of wild poliovirus type 1 transmission and consequences of importation—21 countries, 2002–2005. MMWR 2006;55:145–50.
- World Health Organization. Outbreak news: poliomyelitis, Kenya. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2006;81:409–16.
- CDC. Progress toward interruption of wild poliovirus transmission— Nigeria, 1996–1998. MMWR 1999;48:312–6.
- 8. Caceres VM, Sutter RW. Sabin monovalent oral polio vaccines: review of past experiences and their potential use after polio eradication. Clin Infect Dis 2001;33:531–41.

Notice to Readers

National Public Health Week — April 2–8, 2007

Since 1995, National Public Health Week has been observed each year during the first week in April. During this year's observance, April 2–8, 2007, American Public Health Association members and partners will host events throughout the United States, encouraging all persons, especially vulnerable populations, to "Take the First Step!" toward creating preparedness plans for public health threats.

In conjunction with the observance, CDC's Coordinating Office for Terrorism Preparedness and Emergency Response and Public Health Training Network will host a live satellite broadcast, "Pandemic Influenza: Progress in Planning and Exercising: Federal, State, and Local Perspectives," on April 5, from 1 p.m. to 2:30 p.m. EST. A panel will discuss progress in pandemic influenza planning and exercising and answer viewers' questions. The broadcast also will be available as a live webcast.

Additional information regarding the satellite broadcast is available at http://www2a.cdc.gov/phtn. Additional information on National Public Health Week is available at http://www.nphw.org.

Notice to Readers

Introduction to Public Health Surveillance Course

CDC and Rollins School of Public Health at Emory University will cosponsor a course, Introduction to Public Health Surveillance, to be held May 7–11, 2007, at Emory University. The course is designed for state and local public health professionals.

The course will provide practicing public health professionals with the theoretical and practical tools necessary to design, implement, and evaluate an effective surveillance program. Topics include overview and history of surveillance systems; planning considerations; sources and collection of data; analysis, interpretation, and communication of data; surveillance systems technology; ethics and legalities; state and local concerns; and future considerations. Tuition will be charged.

Additional information and applications are available from Emory University by mail (Hubert Global Health Dept., 1518 Clifton Rd. NE, Rm. 746, Atlanta, GA 30322), by telephone (404-727-3485), by fax (404-727-4590), online (http://www.sph.emory.edu/epicourses), or by e-mail (pvaleri@sph.emory.edu).


Erratum: Vol. 56, No. 10

In the report, "Fruit and Vegetable Consumption Among Adults—United States, 2005," on page 215, the second sentence in the first complete paragraph should read, "The prevalence of consuming fruit two or more times per day was 28.7% among **men** and 36.4% among **women.**"

QuickStats

FROM THE NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS

Percentage of Children with Selected Allergies,* by Age Group — United States, 2003–2005



^{*} Based on parental responses to the following survey questions: "During the past 12 months, has [child's name] had any of the following conditions...Hay fever? Any kind of food or digestive allergy? Eczema or any kind of skin allergy?" † 95% confidence interval.

During 2003–2005, the percentage of children with hay fever increased with age; children aged 10–17 years were nearly three times as likely to have hay fever than children aged 0–4 years. In contrast, the percentage of children with skin allergies decreased with age, and the percentage of children with food allergies did not vary with age.

SOURCE: Health data for all ages. National Health Interview Survey, 2003–2005. Hyattsville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC. Available at http://209.217.72.34/hdaa/tableviewer/tableview.aspx? reportId=186.

TABLE I. Provisional cases of infrequently reported notifiable diseases (<1,000 cases reported during the preceding year) — United States, week ending March 24, 2007 (12th Week)

	Current	Cum	5-year weekly	Total o	ases rep	orted for	previou	s years	
Disease	week	2007	average [†]	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	States reporting cases during current week (No.
Anthrax				1				2	
Botulism:									
foodborne	_	_	0	19	19	16	20	28	
infant	_	13	2	95	85	87	76	69	
other (wound & unspecified)	_	2	1	45	31	30	33	21	
Brucellosis	_	22	1	119	120	114	104	125	
Chancroid	_	1	1	34	17	30	54	67	
Cholera	_	_	_	6	8	5	2	2	
Cyclosporiasis§	1	10	3	135	543	171	75	156	FL (1)
Diphtheria	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	1	()
Domestic arboviral diseases ^{§,1} :									
California serogroup	_	_	0	63	80	112	108	164	
eastern equine	_	_	_	7	21	6	14	10	
Powassan	_	_	_	1	1	1	_	1	
St. Louis	_	_	0	9	13	12	41	28	
western equine	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Ehrlichiosis§:									
human granulocytic	_	12	1	569	786	537	362	511	
human monocytic	_	23	1	500	506	338	321	216	
human (other & unspecified)	_	7	0	226	112	59	44	23	
Haemophilus influenzae,**									
invasive disease (age <5 yrs):									
serotype b	_	2	0	9	9	19	32	34	
nonserotype b	_	10	3	102	135	135	117	144	
unknown serotype	4	72	5	247	217	177	227	153	NYC (1), CO (1), AZ (1), OR (1)
Hansen disease§	_	9	2	73	87	105	95	96	(1), (1), (1), (1), (1)
Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome§	_	2	0	37	26	24	26	19	
Hemolytic uremic syndrome, postdiarrheal§	1	18	2	272	221	200	178	216	FL (1)
Hepatitis C viral, acute	13	140	22	839	652	713	1,102	1,835	NY (2), OH (1), MI (1), WV (2), NC (4), ID (1), OR (2)
HIV infection, pediatric (age <13 yrs) ^{††}	_	_	5	52	380	436	504	420	(=), (.), (-), (-), (.), (.)
Influenza-associated pediatric mortality ^{§,§§}	4	39	1	41	45	_	N	N	TN (1), IL (2), AZ (1)
Listeriosis	1	89	10	814	896	753	696	665	NY (1)
Measles ^{¶¶}	_	2	1	52	66	37	56	44	
Meningococcal disease, invasive***:									
A, Č, Y, & W-135	1	41	6	232	297	_	_	_	TX (1)
serogroup B	_	19	4	144	156	_	_	_	· /
other serogroup	_	4	1	25	27	_	_	_	
unknown serogroup	5	155	21	716	765	_	_	_	OH (1), IN (1), MO (1), FL (1), AZ (1)
Mumps	4	175	45	6,541	314	258	231	270	NY (1), FL (1), WA (2)
Plague	_	_	_	16	8	3	1	2	
Poliomyelitis, paralytic	_	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	
Poliovirus infection, nonparalytic§	_	_	_	N	N	N	N	N	
Psittacosis§	_	3	0	20	16	12	12	18	
Q fever§	2	27	2	178	136	70	71	61	MO (1), NC (1)
Rabies, human	_	_	0	3	2	7	2	3	
Rubella ^{†††}	_	7	0	8	11	10	7	18	
Rubella, congenital syndrome	_	_	0	1	1	_	1	1	
SARS-CoV ^{§,§§§}	_	_	0	_	_	_	8	N	
Smallpox§	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome§	1	14	4	101	129	132	161	118	OH (1)
Syphilis, congenital (age <1 yr)	1	35	7	334	329	353	413	412	NC (1)
Tetanus	_	1	0	33	27	34	20	25	•
Toxic-shock syndrome (staphylococcal)§	_	14	3	96	90	95	133	109	
Trichinellosis	_	_	0	14	16	5	6	14	
Tularemia	_	2	0	89	154	134	129	90	
Typhoid fever	1	45	5	317	324	322	356	321	IN (1)
Vancomycin-intermediate Staphylococcus aur	reus§ —	_	0	4	2	_	N	N	• •
Vancomycin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus		_	_	1	3	1	N	N	
Vibriosis (non-cholera Vibrio species infection		19	_	N	N	N	N	N	FL (1)
Yellow fever			_		_	_	_	1	·

No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts.

Incidence data for reporting years 2006 and 2007 are provisional, whereas data for 2002, 2003, 2004, and 2005 are finalized.

Calculated by summing the incidence counts for the current week, the 2 weeks preceding the current week, and the 2 weeks following the current week, for a total of 5

preceding years. Additional information is available at http://www.cdc.gov/epo/dphsi/phs/files/5yearweeklyaverage.pdf.

Not notifiable in all states. Data from states where the condition is not notifiable are excluded from this table, except in 2007 for the domestic arboviral diseases and influenza-associated pediatric mortality, and in 2003 for SARS-CoV. Reporting exceptions are available at http://www.cdc.gov/epo/dphsi/phs/infdis.htm.

Includes both neuroinvasive and non-neuroinvasive. Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases (proposed) (ArboNET Surveillance). Data for West Nile virus are available in Table II.

** Data for H. influenzae (all ages, all serotypes) are available in Table II.

Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention (proposed). Implementation of HIV reporting influences the number of cases reported. Updates of pediatric HIV data have been temporarily suspended until upgrading of the national HIV/AIDS surveillance data management system is completed. Data for HIV/AIDS, when available, are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.

Updated weekly from reports to the Influenza Division, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases (proposed). A total of 40 cases were reported for the 2006–07 flu season.

No measles cases were reported for the current week.

Data for meningococcal disease (all serogroups) are available in Table II. No rubella cases were reported for the current week.

Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases (proposed).

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 24, 2007, and March 25, 2006 (12th Week)*

(12th Week)*			Chlamyd	ia [†]			Coccid	ioidomy	cosis			Cryp	tosporid	iosis	
	Current		vious veeks	Cum	Cum	Current		vious veeks	Cum	Cum	Current		vious veeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2007	2006	week	Med	Max	2007	2006	week	Med	Max	2007	2006
United States	8,537	19,868	22,939	193,060	224,929	80	151	478	1,792	1,990	15	68	301	506	597
New England Connecticut Maine [§] Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island [§] Vermont [§]	686 231 55 291 31 61	668 184 45 304 39 64 20	1,358 827 72 604 69 108 45	7,324 1,505 597 3,739 460 814 209	6,439 1,250 461 3,278 393 755 302	N N	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	N N	N — — — — N	_ _ _ _ _	3 0 0 0 0 0	22 5 6 14 5 5	21 5 7 4 — 5	71 38 7 19 5 —
Mid. Atlantic New Jersey New York (Upstate) New York City Pennsylvania	1,828 166 496 652 514	2,478 390 502 757 777	4,052 543 2,634 1,325 1,006	26,116 3,420 4,972 8,742 8,982	27,446 4,373 4,427 9,543 9,103	N N N N	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	N N N N	N N N N	2 1 - 1	10 0 3 2 4	33 3 13 12 18	63 — 18 10 35	97 3 18 28 48
E.N. Central Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin	1,281 365 361 403 58 94	3,171 1,005 374 757 625 370	4,167 1,296 631 1,225 1,455 527	30,374 9,821 4,664 8,399 3,805 3,685	39,086 12,871 4,822 6,385 9,842 5,166	1 1 N	1 0 0 1 0	3 0 0 3 2 0	9 7 2 N	8 — 5 3 N	3 1 2 —	16 2 1 2 5 5	110 22 18 9 33 53	94 3 8 21 44 18	133 20 6 22 49 36
W.N. Central lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska [§] North Dakota South Dakota	550 125 — 311 58 13 43	1,192 160 147 246 450 102 30 50	1,445 224 271 322 628 180 64 84	12,496 1,925 1,529 1,826 5,220 1,125 312 559	14,495 2,075 1,905 3,053 5,276 1,150 448 588	N N — — N N	0 0 0 0 0 0	54 0 0 54 1 0 0	2 N N - 2 N N N	N N N N N N N	_ _ _ _ _	12 2 1 3 2 1 0	77 28 8 25 21 16 1	77 13 11 20 13 5 1	73 5 14 30 16 4 —
S. Atlantic Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Maryland [§] North Carolina South Carolina [§] Virginia [§] West Virginia	699 93 — 100 — 437	3,755 69 63 960 702 341 624 384 464 58	6,115 111 161 1,187 3,022 466 1,772 2,105 687 96	32,329 858 1,062 3,300 5,705 3,603 6,449 5,402 5,398 552	42,091 864 638 10,773 7,161 3,970 9,100 3,245 5,771 569	N	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0	1 N N N 1 - N N	2 N N N 2 N N N	4 4 	17 0 0 8 5 0 0 1 1	68 3 2 32 12 2 11 14 5	163 2 3 84 44 4 7 10 8	147 — 5 56 41 7 23 5 9 1
E.S. Central Alabama [§] Kentucky Mississippi Tennessee [§]	769 61 123 — 585	1,456 423 137 375 519	2,085 651 691 957 711	16,475 3,967 1,324 4,235 6,949	17,170 5,749 2,063 3,540 5,818	N N N N	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	N N N	N N N N	4 3 — 1	3 0 1 0	14 11 3 3 5	26 12 8 3 3	13 5 4 1 3
W.S. Central Arkansas [§] Louisiana Oklahoma Texas [§]	1,679 173 46 472 988	2,150 154 286 252 1,450	3,025 337 610 423 1,905	22,060 1,805 1,131 3,143 15,981	24,963 1,873 4,129 2,316 16,645	N 	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0 0	N - N N	N N N N	1 - 1	5 0 1 0 3	45 2 9 4 36	22 2 5 10 5	23 1 - 9 13
Mountain Arizona Colorado Idaho [§] Montana [§] Nevada [§] New Mexico [§] Utah Wyoming [§]	373 257 78 — 14 — — — 24	1,269 431 319 46 50 107 182 97 27	2,018 993 416 253 143 397 314 201 54	9,671 2,581 1,781 549 557 1,652 1,270 989 292	14,661 4,290 3,595 788 493 1,579 2,448 1,137 331	77 77 N N N —	108 105 0 0 0 1 0	201 199 0 0 0 3 3 4	1,251 1,228 N N N 7 5	1,504 1,468 N N N 16 4 14	- - - - - - -	3 0 1 0 0 0 0	39 3 7 5 26 1 5 3	26 7 11 1 1 — 5 1	22 3 4 2 4 3 1 5
Pacific Alaska California Hawaii Oregon [§] Washington	672 62 496 — 114	3,381 86 2,677 107 162 352	4,067 157 3,187 133 394 548	36,215 984 28,320 976 2,106 3,829	38,578 935 29,778 1,342 2,221 4,302	2 N 2 N N	53 0 53 0 0	299 0 299 0 0	529 N 529 N N	476 N 476 N N	1 - - 1	1 0 0 0 1 0	5 1 0 1 4 0	14 — — 14	18 — — — 18 —
American Samoa C.N.M.I. Guam Puerto Rico U.S. Virgin Islands	U U — U	0 0 0 108 4	46 0 0 236 15	U U — 1,770 U	U U — 1,146 U	U U N U	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	U N U	U N U	U U N U	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	U U N U	U U N U

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

* Incidence data for reporting years 2006 and 2007 are provisional. Data for HIV/AIDS, AIDS, and TB, when available, are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly. Chlamydia refers to genital infections caused by *Chlamydia trachomatis*.

Scontains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 24, 2007, and March 25, 2006 (12th Week)*

			Giardiasi	s				onorrhe	a		Hae 	All age	s, all ser	<i>zae</i> , invas otypes†	sive
	Current	Previ		Cum	Cum	Current		evious weeks	Cum	Cum	Current		vious veeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2007	2006	week	Med	Max	2007	2006	week	Med	Max	2007	2006
United States	116	309	531	2,622	3,323	3,349	6,849	8,664	62,785	77,292	23	43	137	509	541
New England	_	18	44	106	229	99	109	259	1,154	1,087	_	2	12	22	29
Connecticut Maine§	_	5 4	25 15	48 34	45 14	33 3	42 2	203 8	314 19	325 31	_	0	7 4	15 4	8 4
Massachusetts	_	0	18 9	_ 1	120 6	47 3	47 3	96 9	652 34	552 56	_	0	7 2	_ 3	14
New Hampshire Rhode Island§	_	0	17	_	11	12	9	19	121	111	_	0	3	_	1
Vermont [§]	_	3	12	23	33	1	1	5	14	12	_	0	2	_	2
Mid. Atlantic New Jersev	19	65 7	120 16	489 36	673 104	526 96	636 104	1,351 158	7,151 1,123	7,574 1,252	4	10 1	25 4	107 8	123 20
New York (Upstate)	11	26	101	187	178	137	122	865	1,339	1,223	2	3	14	27	22
New York City Pennsylvania	8	17 14	33 35	144 122	227 164	123 170	177 223	376 336	2,017 2,672	2,372 2,727	1 1	2 3	6 8	29 43	31 50
E.N. Central	22	41	96	355	579	616	1,272	2,225	11,866	15,856	_	6	14	50	79
Illinois Indiana	N	9 0	27 0	29 N	131 N	124 173	363 154	488 288	3,465 1,919	4,885 2,138	_	1 1	5 10	3 6	23 12
Michigan	4	13	38	129	171	255	300	880	3,675	2,537	_	0	5	8	12
Ohio Wisconsin	18	15 9	32 24	162 35	166 111	28 36	306 134	718 179	1,485 1,322	4,553 1,743	_	2	6 3	33	19 13
W.N. Central	10	23	117	193	305	145	383	518	4,120	4,441	2	3	22	29	27
Iowa Kansas	_	5 3	16 11	41 24	55 38	21	37 43	63 90	430 470	438 556	_	0	1 2	<u> </u>	_
Minnesota	_	0	87	7	75	_	66	87	540	718	2	1	17	10	10
Missouri Nebraska [§]	9 1	9 2	28 9	97 16	97 17	111 11	196 24	269 48	2,354 251	2,332 285	_	0	5 2	12 2	11 3
North Dakota	_	0 1	4	_	4 19	_ 2	2 6	6	14 61	29 83	_	0	2	1	_
South Dakota S. Atlantic	35	51	97	8 514	475	2 874	1,613	15 2,696	13,405	18,178	 8	11	28	— 142	135
Delaware	_	1	4	6	6	23	28	44	346	346	_	0	3	4	_
District of Columbia Florida	 24	1 23	7 44	15 242	15 201	40	35 446	63 549	484 1,564	426 4,934	 5	0 3	2 9	2 45	— 42
Georgia	_	12	26	123	91	_	349	1,539	2,377	3,303	_	2	6	41	33
Maryland [§] North Carolina	_	4 0	11 0	39	46 —	48 608	119 314	159 571	1,245 3,809	1,575 4,562	_ 1	2 0	5 8	24 11	18 14
South Carolina [§] Virginia [§]	2 5	2 9	8 28	10 74	21 93	 155	167 117	1,135 238	2,177 1,244	1,318 1,560	1 1	1 1	3 7	10 1	11 13
West Virginia	4	0	21	5	2	—	19	44	159	154		Ó	6	4	4
E.S. Central	3	8	34	73	85	214	587	878	5,951	6,867	_	2	9	29	33
Alabama [§] Kentucky	2 N	4 0	22 0	33 N	39 N	22 27	194 53	286 268	1,633 445	2,654 735	_	0	5 1	7 1	5 3
Mississippi Tennessee§	N 1	0 4	0 12	N 40	N 46	 165	147 194	434 240	1,526 2,347	1,348 2,130	_	0 1	1 6	 21	1 24
W.S. Central	2	7	21	65	34	586	959	1,480	8,944	10,455	1	1	26	28	22
Arkansas§	1	3	13	30	16	71	80	142	835	1,090	_	0	2	2	2
Louisiana Oklahoma	_ 1	1 2	6 11	10 25	18	13 206	172 97	366 238	890 1,395	2,378 757	_ 1	0 1	3 24	3 22	1 18
Texas§	N	0	0	N	N	296	575	928	5,824	6,230	_	0	2	1	1
Mountain Arizona	12	29 3	69 11	259 46	306 35	77 63	268 106	455 220	1,969 571	3,210 1,048	7 4	4 2	14 9	77 40	71 29
Colorado	5	10	26	92	101	14	71	93	524	841	3	1	4	17	21
Idaho [§] Montana [§]	2	3 2	12 11	22 12	35 15	_	2	20 20	25 22	47 27	_	0	1 0	2	2
Nevada [§] New Mexico [§]	_	1	9 6	14	20	_	34 30	135	416	555	_	0	2	3 6	6
Utah	5	1 7	25	16 50	16 79	_	17	65 28	239 156	425 223	_	0	4	9	10 3
Wyoming§	_	1	4	7	5	_	2	5	16	44	_	0	1	_	_
Pacific Alaska	13	60 1	147 17	568 14	637 6	212 5	787 11	971 27	8,225 102	9,624 122	1	2	8 2	25 4	22 2
California	2	43	71	407	491	184	645	833	6,979	7,989	_	0	6	_	5
Hawaii Oregon [§]	3	1 8	4 14	12 85	13 92	23	15 26	30 46	108 241	237 333	1	0 1	1 6	21	3 11
Washington	8	7	68	50	35	_	77	131	795	943	_	0	1	_	1
American Samoa C.N.M.I.	U U	0	0	U	U U	U U	0	2	U	U U	U	0	0	U U	U
Guam	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Puerto Rico U.S. Virgin Islands	3 U	5 0	19 0	39 U	15 U		6 0	16 4	91 U	89 U	U	0	2 0	U	U

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Med * Incidence data for reporting years 2006 and 2007 are provisional.
Data for *H. influenzae* (age <5 yrs for serotype b, nonserotype b, and unknown serotype) are available in Table I. Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS). Max: Maximum.

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 24, 2007, and March 25, 2006 (12th Week)*

(12th Week)*				tis (viral, a	cute), by ty	/pe [†]									
		Prev	A				Dro	B rious					egionellos vious	SIS	
	Current	52 w		Cum	Cum	Current		reeks	Cum	Cum	Current		veeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2007	2006	week	Med	Max	2007	2006	week	Med	Max	2007	2006
United States	18	60	116	511	869	35	83	287	699	909	17	49	109	289	286
New England	_	2	20	5	66	_	2	4	11	38	_	1	12	3	16
Connecticut Maine§	_	1 0	3 2	4	8 3	_	0	3 2	3 1	17 4	_	0	9 2	2	3 2
Massachusetts	_	0	4	_	38	_	0	1	_	11	_	0	4	_	9
New Hampshire Rhode Island§	_	0	16 2	1	12 1	_	0	1 4	2 4	4 1	_	0	0 6	_	1
Vermont§	_	Ö	2	_	4	_	Ö	1	i	i	_	Ö	2	1	1
Mid. Atlantic	1	7	19	62	71	1	8	19	75	110	7	15	53	77	91
New Jersey New York (Upstate)	_ 1	1 2	4 12	6 16	25 12	_	2 1	6 14	16 11	33 11	4	2 5	11 30	11 25	13 30
New York City	_	2	11	26	22	_	2	6	12	25	_	2	20	6	17
Pennsylvania	_	1	4	14	12	1	3	7	36	41	3	5	19	35	31
E.N. Central Illinois	3	6 1	13 4	65 17	70 14	3	9 2	19 5	87 9	112 41	6	10 1	30 11	63	54 9
Indiana	2	0	7	5	3	_	0	17	2	4	_	1	5	4	3
Michigan Ohio	1	2 1	8 4	26 17	28 20	1 2	3 3	10 10	36 36	40 25	 6	3 4	10 19	24 34	11 21
Wisconsin		Ö	4		5	_	Ö	3	4	2	_	ó	3	1	10
W.N. Central	1	2	8	14	29	1	3	13	31	34	1	1	15	11	7
Iowa Kansas	_	0	1 1	4	2 15	_	0	2 2	6 3	5 3	_	0	3 2	1	_
Minnesota	1	0	7	1	1	_	0	12	2	1	1	0	11	2	_
Missouri Nebraska§	_	1 0	3 2	5 2	6 3	_ 1	1 0	5 3	16 3	22 2	_	0	2 2	6 1	5 2
North Dakota	_	0	0	_	_		0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
South Dakota	_	0	3	2	2	_	0	1	1	1	_	0	1	1	_
S. Atlantic Delaware	9	8 0	27 2	93	139 4	23	23 0	55 4	212 3	256 11	1	10 0	25 2	75 1	65 1
District of Columbia	_	0	5	9	1	_	0	2	_	4	_	0	5	_	1
Florida Georgia	7	3 1	13 5	41 11	49 8	13	7 3	16 8	79 28	97 26	1	3 1	10 5	33 11	27 1
Maryland [§]	_	i	7	8	22	_	2	7	19	44	_	2	8	15	17
North Carolina South Carolina [§]	2	0	11 3	5 3	33 7	4 2	1 2	16 5	36 16	48 16	_	0	5 2	7 3	9
Virginia§	_	1	4	16	15	4	2	5	24	6	_	1	5	3	7
West Virginia	_	0	3	_	_	_	0	23	7	4	_	0	4	2	1
E.S. Central Alabama§	_	2	7 2	21 2	29 2	3 1	6 1	20 10	51 13	75 22	_	2	9 2	12 1	9
Kentucky	_	0	4	4	11		1	5	1	18	_	1	5	5	2
Mississippi	_	0 1	5 5	5	1 15		1 3	7 7	7 30	8 27	_	0	2 7	<u> </u>	_ 6
Tennessee§ W.S. Central	_	7		10		2						1			
Arkansas§	_	0	20 9	36 3	66 14	_	18 1	128 4	103 7	135 14	_	1 0	12 1	11 1	4 1
Louisiana	_	0	4	4	2	_	1	5	14	4	_	0	2	_	_
Oklahoma Texas [§]	_	0 5	3 15	29	3 47	_	1 15	14 108	8 74	1 116	_	0 1	6 12	10	1 2
Mountain	3	5	15	69	94	1	3	9	24	50	_	2	8	20	13
Arizona	3	3	13	61	58	_	0	2	_	18	_	1	4	6	2
Colorado Idaho [§]	_	1 0	3 2	5 —	14 4	1	0	4 2	5 3	9 4	_	0	2 3	3 1	3 2
Montana§	_	0	3	_	1	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
Nevada [§] New Mexico [§]	_	0	1 2	2 1	5 6	_	1 0	5 2	8 3	11 5	_	0	2 2	2 2	3
Utah	_	0	2		6	_	0	5	5	3	_	0	6	5	3
Wyoming§	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	1	1	_
Pacific Alaska	1	15 0	52 1	146 1	305 1	3	11 0	38 3	105 2	99 1	2	1 0	11 1	17	27
California	_	13	48	132	285	1	8	26	74	71	_	1	11	14	27
Hawaii Oregon [§]	_	0 1	2	2 6	5 8	_ 1	0 2	1 5	 21	1 18	_	0	0 0	_	_
Washington	1	1	4	5	6	i	1	12	8	8	2	0	1	3	_
American Samoa	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	U
C.N.M.I. Guam	<u>U</u>	0	0	U —	<u>U</u>	U	0	0	<u>U</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>U</u>	0	0 0	U	<u>U</u>
Puerto Rico	_	1	10	8	12	_	1	9	11	4	_	0	1	_	_
U.S. Virgin Islands	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	U

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts.

* Incidence data for reporting years 2006 and 2007 are provisional.

* Data for acute hepatitis C, viral are available in Table I.

* Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS). Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 24, 2007, and March 25, 2006 (12th Week)*

			yme disea	ase				lalaria			Men	All	serogrou	se, invasi ups	ve [†]
	Current		ious eeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Prev 52 w	ious eeks	Cum	Cum	Current		vious veeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2007	2006	week	Med	Max	2007	2006	week	Med	Max	2007	2006
United States	30	250	1,019	1,213	1,241	1	25	46	129	273	6	19	40	219	342
New England Connecticut	1	20 9	260 227	73 20	87 37	_	0	6 3	_	8 1	_	1 0	3 2	5 2	13 3
Maine§	_	2	39	28	14	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	3	1	2
Massachusetts New Hampshire	_	0 3	3 95	 20	18 15	_	0	3 3	_	5 1	_	0	2 2	_	6 2
Rhode Island§	_	0	93	_	1	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
Vermont [§] Mid. Atlantic	1 21	1 153	15 571	5 592	2 835	_	0 5	0 18	_	1 76	_	0 2	1 11	2 20	 50
New Jersey	_	26	187	102	229	_	1	7	28 —	22	_	0	2	_	4
New York (Upstate) New York City	14	57 3	392 24	151 5	284 12	_	1 3	7 9	6 16	6 38	_	1 1	4 4	5 4	5 20
Pennsylvania	7	45	237	334	310	_	1	4	6	10	_	Ö	4	11	21
E.N. Central	1	12	158	17	63	_	3	10	21	34	2	2	12	27	41
Illinois Indiana	_	0 0	1 3	_	_	_	1 0	6 2	6 1	12 5	1	0 0	3 5	3 7	12 3
Michigan Ohio	1	1 0	5 5	6 2	3 7	_	0	2	5 4	5 9	_ 1	0 1	4 4	8 9	9 11
Wisconsin	_	11	154	9	53	_	0	3	5	3		0	2	_	6
W.N. Central	_	5	169	20	29	_	1	13	11	5	1	1	5	23	14
owa Kansas	_	1 0	8 2	1 4	4	_	0	1 2	1	1	_	0 0	3 1	6 1	1
Minnesota	_	2	167	15	24	_	0	12	7	2	_	0	3 3	4	2
Missouri Nebraska§	_	0 0	2 2	_	1	_	0 0	1 1	1 2	1	1	0	1	9 1	7 4
North Dakota South Dakota	_	0	0 1	_	_	_	0	1 0	_	_ 1	_	0	1 1	1 1	_
S. Atlantic	7	42	134	467	196	1	5	15	36	76	1	4	10	34	62
Delaware	2	7	28	73	66	_	0	1	1	1	_	0	1	_	2
District of Columbia Florida	3	0 1	7 5	2 13	5 6	1	0 1	2 4	1 9	9		0 1	1 7	 11	 24
Georgia Maryland§	_	0 20	1 101	 324	1 110	_	1 1	6 4	4 10	21 20	_	0	3 2	5 10	5 6
North Carolina	1	0	4	1	7	_	0	4	4	8	_	0	6	3	11
South Carolina§ /irginia§	_ 1	0 6	2 36	2 52	1	_	0 1	2 4	7	3 13	_	0	2 2	3 2	5 9
West Virginia		Ő	14	_	_	_	Ö	1	<u>.</u>	1	_	Ö	2	_	_
E.S. Central	_	0 0	4 3	5	1	_	0	3 2	6	6 2	_	1 0	3 2	11	14
Alabama [§] Kentucky	_	0	2	1	<u>1</u>	_	0	1	1	1	_	0	1	2	2
Mississippi Tennessee§	_	0	1 2	<u> </u>	_	_	0	1 2	1 4	1 2	_	0	3 2	3 6	3 6
W.S. Central	_	1	6	5	2	_	1	7	3	7	1	1	9	24	20
Arkansas§	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	1	1	3
Louisiana Oklahoma	_	0 0	1 0	_	_	_	0 0	1 2	1 1	1 1	_	0 0	4 3	8 4	3 5
Texas§	_	1	6	5	2	_	1	6	1	5	1	0	9	11	9
Mountain Arizona	_	0	4 2	2	2 2	_	1 0	6 3	6 4	17 2	1 1	1 0	5 2	20 3	25 10
Colorado	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	2	1	6	_	0	2	4	10
daho§ Montana§	_	0	2 1	_ 1	_	_	0	1 1	_	1		0	1 1	2 1	1
Vevada [§]	_	0	1	1	_	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	1	3	1
New Mexico§ Utah	_	0 0	1 1	_	_	_	0 0	1 2	1	1 7	_	0 0	1 2	1 6	3
Wyoming [§]	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	2	_	_
Pacific Alaska	_	2	17 1	32 2	26 —	_	4	13 4	18 2	44 3	_	5 0	10 1	55 1	103 2
California	_	2	14	26	26	_	2	6	12	34	_	3	8	37	67
Hawaii Oregon§	N —	0 0	0 2	N 4	N —	_	0	2 3	3	4	_	0 0	2 3	2 8	3 16
Washington	_	0	3	_	_	_	0	7	1	3	_	0	5	7	15
American Samoa C.N.M.I.	U U	0	0	U U	U U	U U	0	0	U U	U U	U U	0	0	_	_
Guam	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Puerto Rico U.S. Virgin Islands	N U	0	0	N U	N U	 U	0	1 0	1 U		 U	0	1 0	3	1

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

* Incidence data for reporting years 2006 and 2007 are provisional.

* Data for meningococcal disease, invasive caused by serogroups A, C, Y, & W-135; serogroup B; other serogroup; and unknown serogroup are available in Table I.

* Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 24, 2007, and March 25, 2006 (12th Week)*

			Pertussi	s			Rab	ies, anim	al		Ro	cky Mo	untain sp	otted feve	er
			ious					/ious					vious	0	
Reporting area	Current week	Med	eeks Max	Cum 2007	Cum 2006	Current week	Med	veeks Max	Cum 2007	Cum 2006	Current week	Med	veeks Max	Cum 2007	Cum 2006
United States	57	250	885	1,422	3,263	38	106	173	633	1,098	4	29	118	82	262
New England	_	21	53	46	343	3	11	26	86	114	_	0	1	_	_
Connecticut Maine [†]	_	1 2	9 15	 24	19 20	_	4 2	14 8	38 15	26 17	N	0 0	0 0	N	N
Massachusetts New Hampshire	_	0 2	28 27		263 2	_	0 1	17 5	_ 8	49 4	_	0	1	_	_
Rhode Island [†]	_	0	17	_	11	_	0	3	6	4	_	0	1	_	_
Vermont [†] Mid. Atlantic		1 35	14 156	15 298	28 392	3	2 16	5 57	19 72	14 159	_	0 2	0 6	— 10	10
New Jersey	_	4	11	9	97	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	2	_	2
New York (Upstate) New York Citv	9	20 0	150 8	208	103 20	_	0 1	0 5	 16	_	_	0 0	2 3	_	2
Pennsylvania	2	10	25	81	172	_	16	56	56	159	_	1	4	8	6
E.N. Central	12	41 10	79 23	330 36	516 122	_	2	18 7	1	4 1	_	1 0	6 4	1	3 1
Indiana	_	3	37	2	39	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
Michigan Ohio	2 10	11 12	39 56	83 194	104 176	_	0 0	5 9	1	2 1	_	0 0	1 4	1	2
Wisconsin	_	3	8	15	75	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
W.N. Central lowa	2	18 4	96 16	101 30	390 109	3	6 1	20 7	28 2	35 6	_	3 0	14 1	13	4
Kansas Minnesota	_	4 0	13 80	40	101	_	1 0	5 6	16 3	11 2	_	0	1 2	_	_
Missouri	2	4	10	17	120	1	1	6	2	3	_	2	12	13	4
Nebraska [†] North Dakota	_	1 0	4 9	3 1	51 4		0	0 7	<u> </u>	_	_	0 0	5 0	_	_
South Dakota	_	0	4	10	5	_	0	4	_	11	_	0	0	_	_
S. Atlantic Delaware	13	18 0	164 1	207 1	241 1	23	38 0	62 0	365	559 —	4	11 0	68 3	43 1	234 3
District of Columbia Florida	 5	0	2 20	2 76	3 55	_	0	0	 30	 176	_	0	1 5	_ 3	<u> </u>
Georgia	_	0	3	_	7	_	4	16	36	50	_	1	5	1	3
Maryland† North Carolina	 5	2 0	6 111	26 59	53 43	 15	6 9	12 22	50 93	84 70	4	1 3	7 61	7 22	12 206
South Carolina† Virginia†	3	3 2	11 19	19 21	35 42	 8	3 12	11 27	19 121	28 135	_	0 2	5 13	3 6	2
West Virginia	_	0	19	3	2	_	2	8	16	16	_	0	2	_	_
E.S. Central Alabama†	_	6 1	24 17	54 16	65 15	_	4 1	13 8	20	39 13	_	5 1	27 9	13 5	8 2
Kentucky	_	0	5	_	12	_	0	4	6	4	_	0	1	_	_
Mississippi Tennessee†	_	0 3	6 11	6 32	9 29	_	0 2	2 8	14	22	_	0 4	1 22	8	6
W.S. Central	2	17	147	52	134	1	3	34	14	136	_	1	28	_	3
Arkansas† Louisiana	_	1 0	13 2	2 3	9 3	1	0	5 0	5 —	1	_	0 0	10 1	_	3
Oklahoma Texas [†]		0 14	9 134	<u> </u>	2 120	_	1 0	9 29	9	9 126	_	0	18 6	_	_
Mountain	17	41	87	275	810	2	3	28	12	23	_	0	5	2	_
Arizona Colorado	6	6 8	28	54 83	155 332	2	2	10	11	22	_	0	2	_ 	_
Idaho†	_	1	26 7	9	23	_	0	24	_	=	_	0	3	i	_
Montana [†] Nevada [†]	_	1 0	8 9	9 3	31 15	_	0	2 1	_	_	_	0 0	2 1	_	_
New Mexico [†] Utah	8	2 13	8 39	6 101	19 222	_	0	2 1	_ 1	1	_	0	2 2	_	_
Wyoming [†]	_	1	8	10	13	_	0	2		_	_	0	1	_	_
Pacific Alaska	_	33 1	229 8	59 8	372 26	6 1	4 0	12 6	35 20	29 7	N	0	1 0	_ N	_ N
California	_	22	226	_	199	5	3	11	15	22	_	0	1	_	_
Hawaii Oregon [†]	_	1 1	7 6	6 18	34 48	N —	0	0 4	N —	N —	N —	0 0	0 1	N	N —
Washington	_	4	46	27	65	_	0	0	_	_	N	0	0	N	N
American Samoa C.N.M.I.	U	0	0	U	U U	U U	0	0	U U	U U	U U	0	0	U U	U
Guam	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	N	0	0	N	N
Puerto Rico U.S. Virgin Islands	U	0	1 0		U		1 0	6 0	15 U	25 U	N U	0	0	N U	N U

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

* Incidence data for reporting years 2006 and 2007 are provisional.

* Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 24, 2007, and March 25, 2006 (12th Week)*

(12th Week)*		S	almonello	sis		Shiga t	oxin-pro	ducing E	. coli (ST	EC)†			Shigellos	is	
	Current		rious reeks	Cum	Cum	Current		/ious /eeks	Cum	Cum	Current		vious veeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2007	2006	week	Med	Max	2007	2006	week	Med	Max	2007	2006
United States	287	821	1,339	5,418	6,336	17	75	175	303	426	122	258	521	2,046	2,175
New England Connecticut	<u>1</u>	18 0	82 59	112 59	730 503	_	2 0	16 1	8 1	103 84	_	2 0	14 8	11 8	113 67
Maine [§] Massachusetts	_	2 0	14 53	21 —	13 185	_	0 0	8 9		1 13	_	0	2 11	_	39
New Hampshire Rhode Island [§]	_	3 1	25 10	15 9	18 8	_	0	3 2	3	2 1	_	0	2	1	3
Vermont§	1	1	6	8	3	_	0	4	_	2	_	0	2	_	1
Mid. Atlantic New Jersey	26 —	90 16	191 49	723 49	714 135	<u>1</u>	8 1	62 16	34 1	34 9		15 3	47 35	87 6	195 63
New York (Upstate) New York City	23 1	27 24	93 50	229 174	131 199	_	3 0	14 4	15 2	7 5	2	4 5	43 14	23 46	56 54
Pennsylvania	2	30	67	271	249	1	2	47	16	13	_	1	6	12	22
E.N. Central Illinois	26 —	105 27	198 61	547 43	847 237		10 1	59 7	44 2	62 9	8	24 10	68 50	104 16	209 74
Indiana Michigan	14 1	15 18	55 35	87 125	72 161	_	1 1	8 6	1 8	6 16		2 2	17 5	12 8	20 55
Ohio Wisconsin	11 —	23 17	56 27	191 101	226 151	2	3 2	18 39	30 3	12 19	6	3 3	14 10	52 16	37 23
W.N. Central lowa	23 1	48 8	109 26	422 56	379 63	1	11 1	45 38	39 1	59 12	35 —	38 2	77 14	413 11	207 6
Kansas Minnesota	 10	7 11	16 60	58 95	60 86	_ 1	1 3	4 26	5 18	 23		2 4	11 24	7 66	20 20
Missouri Nebraska [§]	10 2	14 3	35 9	145 26	108 36	_	2 1	13 11	10 5	20 3	31 1	11 1	69 14	309 4	119 23
North Dakota South Dakota	_	0	5 11	7 35	3 23	_	0	0	_	<u></u>		0 6	18 24	4 12	2 17
S. Atlantic	114	224	395	1,834	1,510	6	12	32	89	63	46	70	143	816	518
Delaware District of Columbia	_	2 1	10 4	10 8	15 15	_	0 0	3 1	4	_	_	0	2 5	3 3	3
Florida Georgia	86 —	95 34	176 66	780 335	645 201	<u>1</u>	2 1	9 7	23 8	13 10	46 —	33 24	76 54	513 252	219 174
Maryland [§] North Carolina	— 13	13 29	33 130	124 310	105 318		2 2	9 11	15 16	12 17	_	1 1	10 14	16 9	30 49
South Carolina§ Virginia§	2 5	19 20	55 58	121 131	74 125	_ 3	0 3	3 11	 22	2 9	_	0 2	10 9	7 13	33 10
West Virginia	8	1	31	15	12	1	0	5	1	_	_	0	2	_	_
E.S. Central Alabama [§]	16 5	52 10	138 70	331 78	316 115	<u>1</u>	4 0	21 5	17 1	31 3	11 8	12 4	75 66	134 41	145 25
Kentucky Mississippi	3	9 12	23 42	80 36	62 51	_	1 0	12 0	7	7	_	2 1	15 25	13 25	78 22
Tennessee [§] W.S. Central	8 16	17 84	32 186	137 212	88 511	1	2	9 52	9 12	21 12	3 7	3 37	14 187	55 139	20 233
Arkansas [§] Louisiana	9	14 17	45 42	58 69	209 42		0	7 1	4	1	, 1 1	2 2	10 24	15 32	19
Oklahoma	7	8	40	55	44	_	0	17	 2 6	1	3	2	9	12 80	18
Texas [§] Mountain	32	46 52	107 88	30 420	216 449	4	2 7	48 36	34	10 41	2 9	30 26	174 87	144	188 164
Arizona Colorado	17 9	18 12	45 30	160 110	157 106	2 1	1 1	13 8	14 2	11 11	6 2	11 4	35 15	71 22	92 16
Idaho [§] Montana [§]	2	3 2	9 10	24 14	29 19	_	2	8	3	4	_	0	3 13	1 2	5
Nevada [§] New Mexico [§]	_	4 4	20 15	33 30	33 41	_	0	5 5	3 7	7 4	_	1 2	20 15	10 21	15 25
Utah Wyoming [§]	4	4 1	15 4	36 13	50 14	1	1 0	14 3	5	3 1	1	1 0	6 19	6 11	10 1
Pacific	33	116	306	817	880	2	4	24	26	21	4	32	90	198	391
Alaska California	4 6	1 89	5 218	20 635	24 680	N 2	0 0	0 5	N 14	N N		0 28	2 81	5 163	1 297
Hawaii Oregon [§]	_ 1	5 7	16 17	41 47	46 74	_	0 1	3 9	1 4	2 13	_	1 1	3 6	6 10	10 52
Washington	22	10	83	74	56	_	2	22	7	6	2	2	13	14	31
American Samoa C.N.M.I.	U U	0	0	U U	U U	U	0	0	U	U	U U	0	0	U U	U U
Guam Puerto Rico	4	0 13	0 65	— 79	48	N 	0	0	N 	N 		0	0 6	5	 2 U
U.S. Virgin Islands	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	<u> </u>

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Me

* Incidence data for reporting years 2006 and 2007 are provisional.
Includes *E. coli* O157:H7; Shiga toxin-positive, serogroup non-O157; and Shiga toxin-positive, not serogrouped.

* Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 24, 2007, and March 25, 2006 (12th Week)*

Reporting area Previous S2 weeks S2 weeks S2 weeks S2 weeks Wad Max 2007 2006 Week Week Med Max 2007 2006 Week Week Med Max 2007 2006 Med Med Max 2007 2006 Med	t 52 Med 24	88 4 0 2 4 4 3 1 17 4 14 2 0 14 6 10 5 7 2 10 0 3 6 2 2 1	Cum 2007 347 9 — — 5 3 3 1 32 — 32 — N 55 9 5 22 18 1 31 — 2 16 10 2	Cum 2006 335 17 — 14 3 — 54 17 33 4 N 96 26 9 24 20 17 23 — 6 7 6	
United States 89 88 215 1,099 1,583 19 New England	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 0 2 4 4 3 1 17 4 14 2 0 14 6 10 5 7 2 10 0 3 6 2 1	9 5 3 1 32 N 55 9 5 22 18 1 31 2 16 10 2	17 — 14 3 — 54 17 33 4 N 96 26 9 24 20 17 23 — 6 7 6	
New England	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 2 0 0 6 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 0 2 4 4 3 1 17 4 14 2 0 14 6 10 5 7 2 10 0 3 6 2 1		17 — 14 3 — 54 17 33 4 N 96 26 9 24 20 17 23 — 6 7 6	
Maine [§] — 0 2 5 5 — Massachusetts — 0 5 — 41 — New Hampshire — 0 9 4 8 — Rhode Island [§] — 0 4 — 3 — Vermont [§] — 0 2 8 1 — New Jork Clypstate) 9 5 26 78 82 — New York City — 3 8 34 57 — New York City — 3 8 34 57 — Pennsylvania 4 6 11 72 110 N E.N. Central 6 15 46 179 362 — Illinois — 4 11 31 122 — Indiana 5 2 12 25 41 — Michigan 1 3 11 52 74 — Ohio — 4	0 0 0 0 3 1 2 0 0 6 1 1 0 0 2 0 0	2 4 4 3 1 17 4 14 2 0 14 6 10 5 7 2 10 0 3 6 2 2 1			
New Hampshire	0 0 0 3 1 2 0 0 6 1 1 0 2 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0	4 3 1 17 4 14 2 0 14 6 10 5 7 2 10 0 3 6 2 2	5 3 1 32 — 32 — N 55 9 5 22 18 1 31 — 2 16 10 2	3 	
Rhode Island [§] — 0 4 — 3 — — Vermonl [§] — 0 2 8 1 — — Wermonl [§] — 0 2 8 1 — — Mid. Atlantic 13 17 39 204 307 — — New Jersey — 2 8 20 58 — — New York (Upstate) 9 5 26 78 82 — — New York (Uty — 3 8 34 57 — — Pennsylvania 4 6 11 72 110 N N E.N. Central 6 15 46 179 362 — — Illinois — 4 11 31 122 — — Michigan 1 3 11 52 74 — — Wisconsin — 1 6 — 42 — — Wisconsin — 1 6 — 42 — — W.N. Central 20 4 57 97 118 5 — Wisconsin — 1 6 — 42 — — Missouri 2 2 2 5 31 22 — — Wissouri 2 2 2 5 31 22 — — North Dakota 16 0 52 45 52 5 5 1 22 — — North Dakota 2 0 2 6 4 — — North Dakota 2 0 2 6 4 — — North Dakota — 0 2 2 1 — — South Dakota — 0 2 2 1 — — South Dakota — 0 2 2 1 — — South Dakota — 0 2 2 4 4 — — District of Columbia — 0 2 4 4 9 70 — — North Carolina 2 0 26 32 34 — — North Carolina 2 0 26 32 34 — — North Carolina 2 0 26 32 34 — — North Carolina 2 0 26 32 34 — — North Carolina 2 0 26 32 34 — — North Carolina 2 0 26 32 34 — — North Carolina 2 0 26 32 34 — — North Carolina 2 0 26 32 34 — — North Carolina 2 0 0 6 5 6 — — North Carolina 3 1 5 19 70 — North Carolina 3 2 10 38 24 — — North Carolina 4 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 3 1 2 0 0 6 1 1 0 2 0 0 1 1 0 0 0	3 1 17 4 14 2 0 14 6 10 5 7 2 10 0 3 6 2 2	3 1 32 32 N 555 9 5 222 18 1 31 2 16 10 2	54 17 33 4 N 96 26 9 24 20 17 23 6 7 6	
Mid. Atlantic 13	3 1 2 0 0 6 1 1 0 2 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0	17 4 14 2 0 14 6 10 5 7 2 10 0 3 6 2 2	32 — 32 — N 55 9 5 22 18 1 31 — 2 16 10 2	54 17 33 4 N 96 26 9 24 20 17 23 6 7	
New Jersey — 2 8 20 58 — New York (Upstate) 9 5 26 78 82 — New York City — 3 8 34 57 — Pennsylvania 4 6 11 72 110 N E.N. Central 6 15 46 179 362 — Illinois — 4 11 31 122 — Indiana 5 2 12 25 41 — Illinois — 4 19 71 83 — Illinois — 1 6 — 42 — Illinois — 4 19 71 83 — Illinois — 1 6 — 42 — 1 1 — Illinois — 1 6 — 42 — 1 1 — Illinois — 1 6 — 42 — 1 1 — Illinois — 1 6 — 1 2 2 1 1 — Illinois — 1 6 — 1 2 2 1 1 — Illinois — 1 6 — 1 2 2 1 1 — Illinois — 1 6 — 42 — 1 1 — Illinois — 1 6 — 1 1 — 2 1 1 — Illinois — 1 6 — 1 1 1 — Illinois — 1 6 — 1 1 1 — Illinois — 1 6 — 1 1 1 — Illinois — 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 2 0 0 6 1 0 1 1 0 2 0 0 1 0	4 14 2 0 14 6 10 5 7 2 10 0 3 6 2 2	32 N 55 9 5 22 18 1 31 2 16 10 2	17 33 4 N 96 26 9 24 20 17 23 6 7 6	
New York (Üpstate) New York City New York Ci	2 0 0 6 1 1 0 2 0 0 1 0 1 0 0	14 2 0 14 6 10 5 7 2 10 0 3 6 2 2	32 N 55 9 5 22 18 1 31 2 16 10 2	33 4 N 96 26 9 24 20 17 23 6 7	
Pennsylvania	0 6 1 0 1 1 0 2 0 0 1 0 0	0 14 6 10 5 7 2 10 0 3 6 2 2	N 555 9 5 22 18 1 31 — 2 16 10 2	N 96 26 9 24 20 17 23 6 7 6	
E.N. Central Ilinois	6 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0	14 6 10 5 7 2 10 0 3 6 2 2	55 9 5 22 18 1 31 — 2 16 10 2	96 26 9 24 20 17 23 — 6 7	
Illinois	1 0 1 1 0 2 0 0 0 1 0	6 10 5 7 2 10 0 3 6 2 2	9 5 22 18 1 31 - 2 16 10 2	26 9 24 20 17 23 — 6 7 6	
Indiana	0 1 1 0 2 0 0 1 0 0	10 5 7 2 10 0 3 6 2 2	5 22 18 1 31 - 2 16 10 2	9 24 20 17 23 — 6 7 6	
Ohio — 4 19 71 83 — Wisconsin — 1 6 — 42 — W.N. Central 20 4 57 97 118 5 owa — 0 0 — — — Cansas — 0 0 — — — Jeinnesota 16 0 52 45 52 5 Jissouri 2 2 2 5 31 22 — Jebraska§ — 0 2 3 11 — North Dakota 2 0 2 6 4 — South Dakota 2 0 2 6 4 — South Dakota 1 9 20 45 280 321 5 South Dakota 1 9 20 45 280 321 5 South Dakota	1 0 2 0 0 1 0 0	7 2 10 0 3 6 2 2 1	18 1 31 — 2 16 10 2	20 17 23 — 6 7 6	
Misconsin — 1 6 — 42 — M.N. Central 20 4 57 97 118 5 owa — 0 0 — — — Kansas — 0 3 10 28 — Missouri 2 2 45 52 5 Missouri 2 2 5 31 22 — North Dakota 2 0 2 3 11 — North Dakota 2 0 2 6 4 — South Dakota 19 20 45 280 321 5 South Dakota 19 20 45 28	0 2 0 0 1 0 0	2 10 0 3 6 2 2 1	1 31 2 16 10 2	17 23 — 6 7 6	
M.N. Central 20 4 57 97 118 5 owa — 0 0 — — — Kansas — 0 3 10 28 — Minnesota 16 0 52 45 52 5 Missouri 2 2 2 5 31 22 — Nebraska§ — 0 2 3 11 — North Dakota 2 0 2 6 4 — South Dakota — 0 2 2 1 — Solalamitic 9 5	0 0 1 0 0	0 3 6 2 2 1	2 16 10 2	6 7 6	
owa — 0 0 — — — Kansas — 0 3 10 28 — Minnesota 16 0 52 45 52 5 Missouri 2 2 2 5 31 22 — Nebraska§ — 0 2 3 11 — North Dakota 2 0 2 6 4 — South Dakota — 0 2 2 1 — South Carolinalia 9 5 16 61 78 3 5 Georgia —	0 0 1 0 0	3 6 2 2 1	2 16 10 2	6 7 6	
Minnesota 16 0 52 45 52 Missouri 2 2 2 5 31 22 — Nebraska [§] — 0 2 3 11 — North Dakota 2 0 2 6 4 5 South Dakota — 0 2 2 1 — S. Atlantic 19 20 45 280 321 5 Delaware — 0 2 — 1 — District of Columbia — 0 2 4 4 — Clorida 9 5 16 6 178 5 Georgia — 5 11 72 79 — Maryland [§] — 4 10 49 70 — North Carolina 2 0 26 32 34 — North Carolina [§] 3 1 5 19 25 — West Virginia 3 4 11 52 70 3 Alabama [§] N 0 0 N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	1 0 0 0	6 2 2 1	16 10 2	7 6	
Missouri 2 2 5 31 22 — Nebraska§ — 0 2 3 11 — North Dakota 2 0 2 6 4 — South Dakota — 0 2 2 1 — S. Atlantic 19 20 45 280 321 5 Delaware — 0 2 — 1 — District of Columbia — 0 2 — 1 — Florida 9 5 16 61 78 5 Georgia — 5 11 72 79 — Maryland§ — 4 10 49 70 — North Carolina 2 0 26 32 34 — South Carolina§ 3 1 5 19 25 — Virginia§ 3 2	0 0 0	2 2 1	10 2	6	
North Dakota 2 0 2 6 4	0	1		2	
South Dakota — 0 2 2 1 — S. Atlantic 19 20 45 280 321 5 Delaware — 0 2 — 1 — District of Columbia — 0 2 4 4 — Citorida 9 5 16 61 78 5 Georgia — 5 11 72 79 — Maryland§ — 4 10 49 70 — Jorth Carolina 2 0 26 32 34 — South Carolina§ 3 1 5 19 25 — Virginia§ 3 2 10 38 24 — Vest Virginia 2 0 6 5 6 — E.S. Central 3 4 11 52 70 3 Alabama§ N 0			1	3 1	
Delaware	0	0			
District of Columbia — 0 2 4 4 — Florida 9 5 16 61 78 5 Georgia — 5 11 72 79 — Maryland§ — 4 10 49 70 — North Carolina 2 0 26 32 34 — South Carolina§ 3 1 5 19 25 — Virginia§ 3 2 10 38 24 — Vest Virginia 2 0 6 5 6 — E.S. Central 3 4 11 52 70 3 Alabama§ N 0 0 N N N Vississippi N 0 0 N N — Vississippi N 0 0 N N — Tennessee§ 2 3 9 40 50 3 M.S. Central 9 6 61 </td <td>2</td> <td>12</td> <td>76</td> <td>20</td> <td></td>	2	12	76	20	
Florida 9 5 16 61 78 5 Georgia — 5 11 72 79 — Maryland\(^8\) — 4 10 49 70 — South Carolina 2 0 26 32 34 — South Carolina\(^8\) 3 1 5 19 25 — Virginia\(^8\) 3 2 10 38 24 — West Virginia 2 0 6 5 6 — E.S. Central 3 4 11 52 70 3 Alabama\(^8\) N 0 0 N N N Kentucky 1 0 4 12 20 — Mississippi N 0 0 N N N Fennessee\(^8\) 2 3 9 40 50 3 M.S. Central 9 6 61 70 114 2	0	0	_	_	
Georgia	0 0	1 6	 20	_	
North Carolina 2 0 26 32 34 — South Carolina§ 3 1 5 19 25 — Virginia§ 3 2 10 38 24 — West Virginia 2 0 6 5 6 — E.S. Central 3 4 11 52 70 3 Alabama§ N 0 0 N N N Kentucky 1 0 4 12 20 — Mississippi N 0 0 N N — Tennessee§ 2 3 9 40 50 3 W.S. Central 9 6 61 70 114 2	0	5	23	_	
South Carolina§ 3 1 5 19 25 — Virginia§ 3 2 10 38 24 — West Virginia 2 0 6 5 6 — E.S. Central 3 4 11 52 70 3 Alabama§ N 0 0 N N N Kentucky 1 0 4 12 20 — Mississispipi N 0 0 N N — Tennessee§ 2 3 9 40 50 3 W.S. Central 9 6 61 70 114 2	1 0	5 0	23	15 —	
West Virginia 2 0 6 5 6 — E.S. Central 3 4 11 52 70 3 Alabama§ N 0 0 N N N Kentucky 1 0 4 12 20 — Wississippi N 0 0 N N — Tennessee§ 2 3 9 40 50 3 W.S. Central 9 6 61 70 114 2	0	2	7	_	
E.S. Central 3 4 11 52 70 3 Alabama§ N 0 0 N N N Kentucky 1 0 4 12 20 — Mississippi N 0 0 N N — Tennessee§ 2 3 9 40 50 3 M.S. Central 9 6 61 70 114 2	0	1	2	_	
Alabama§ N 0 0 N N N Kentucky 1 0 4 12 20 — Mississippi N 0 0 N N — Tennessee§ 2 3 9 40 50 3 M.S. Central 9 6 61 70 114 2	0	3	1	5	
Kentucky 1 0 4 12 20 — Mississippi N 0 0 N N — Tennessee§ 2 3 9 40 50 3 W.S. Central 9 6 61 70 114 2	0 0	6 0	23 N	5 N	
Tennessee [§] 2 3 9 40 50 3 W.S. Central 9 6 61 70 114 2	0	0	_	_	
W.S. Central 9 6 61 70 114 2	0 0	2 6	2 21	5 —	
	4	39	54	50	
Arkansas [§] 1 0 5 9 3 1	0	2	6	8	
_ouisiana — 0 2 3 1 —	0	4	12	2	
Dklahoma 5 2 5 31 42 1 Texas§ 3 3 56 27 68 —	1 2	12 24	17 19	12 28	
Mountain 17 11 42 176 210 2	4	9	56	68	
Arizona 4 5 34 67 120 1	2	7	34	44	
Colorado 6 3 9 54 38 1 daho§ — 0 1 5 3 —	1 0	4 1	15 —	16 1	
Montana [§] N 0 0 N N N	0	0	N	Ň	
Nevada§ — 0 1 1 1 —	0	0	_ 7	 7	
New Mexico [§] 1 1 4 10 26 — Utah 6 1 7 37 20 —	0 0	3 0			
Wyoming§ $-$ 0 1 2 2 $-$	Ö	Ö	_	_	
Pacific 2 2 9 24 23 2	0	4	11	2	
Alaska 2 0 2 7 N 2 California N 0 0 N N N	0 0	2 0	9 N	 N	
Hawaii — 2 9 17 23 —	0	2	2	2	
Oregon§ N 0 0 N N N Washington N 0 0 N N N	0	0	N	N N	
5		0	N		
American Samoa U 0 0 U U U C.N.M.I. U 0 0 U U U	0	0 0	U U	U U	
Guam — 0 0 — — N	0 0 0	0	N	N	
Puerto Rico — 0 0 — — N J.S. Virgin Islands U 0 0 U U	0	0 0	N U	N U	

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

* Incidence data for reporting years 2006 and 2007 are provisional.
Includes cases of invasive pneumococcal disease, in children aged <5 years, caused by *S. pneumoniae*, which is susceptible or for which susceptibility testing is not available

⁽NNDSS event code 11717).

§ Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 24, 2007, and March 25, 2006 (12th Week)*

		Str			<i>oniae</i> , inva	sive diseas									
			All ages					<5 year	s		Syp			d seconda	ary
	Current	Prev 52 w		Cum	Cum	Current		rious reeks	Cum	Cum	Current		vious	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2007	2006	week	Med	Max	2007	2006	week	Med	veeks Max	Cum 2007	2006
United States	38	43	115	670	778	6	7	19	98	102	99	181	260	1,666	1,990
New England	_	0	7	15	10	_	0	1	_	2	3	4	13	39	48
Connecticut	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	10	4	10
Maine [§] Massachusetts		0	2 0	3	2	_	0	1 0	_	1	3	0 2	1 7	 27	3 27
New Hampshire	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	2	4	5
Rhode Island§ Vermont§	_	0	4 2	5 7	3 5	_	0	1 1	_		_	0	3 1	3 1	2 1
Mid. Atlantic	3	3	8	45	36	_	0	5	11	3	23	24	44	354	236
New Jersey	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	6	3	8	42	36
New York (Upstate) New York City	1	1 0	5 0	17	8	_	0	4 0	6	_	1 15	3 12	14 35	28 238	28 119
Pennsylvania	2	2	6	28	28	_	0	2	5	3	1	5	12	46	53
E.N. Central	6	10	40	172	176	_	1	8	20	31	13	15	32	130	211
Illinois	_	0	2	1	8	_	0	1	1	3	_	7	13	24	120
Indiana Michigan	6	2 0	30 3	32	34 8	_	0	5 1	3	8 1	 8	1 2	5 10	10 31	18 18
Ohio	_	5	38	139	126	_	1	5	16	19	4	4	9	56	46
Wisconsin	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	0	_	_	1	1	4	9	9
W.N. Central	1	1	51	26	12	_	0	10	3	1	_	5	14	41	51
Iowa Kansas	_	0	0 1	_	_	_	0	0 0	_	_	_	0	3 3	1 4	3 6
Minnesota	_	0	50	_	_	_	0	10	_	_	_	1	5	15	15
Missouri	1	1	5	24	12	_	0	2	2	1	_	3	9	21	25
Nebraska§ North Dakota	_	0	1 0	_	_	_	0	0 0	_	_	_	0 0	2 1	_	2
South Dakota	_	0	3	_	_	_	0	1	1	_	_	0	3	_	_
S. Atlantic	18	21	54	312	432	6	3	8	46	40	23	42	136	298	435
Delaware	_	0	1	1	_	_	0	1	1	_	_	0	3	2	7
District of Columbia Florida	 14	0 12	3 29	4 172	11 197	6	0 2	2 8	40	2 37	3	2 14	7 23	32 68	32 164
Georgia	-	7	17	122	197	_	0	1	_	1	_	7	105	8	32
Maryland [§]	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	2	5	14	57	66
North Carolina South Carolina§	_	0 0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	13	5 1	21 5	68 15	78 19
Virginia [§]	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	0	_	_	5	3	17	47	36
West Virginia	4	1	17	13	27	_	0	1	5	_	_	0	2	1	1
E.S. Central	5 N	2 0	11	45	71 N	_	0	3	8	11	14	14	29	156	130
Alabama [§] Kentucky	N 1	0	0 2	N 9	N 17	_	0	0 1	_	_	4 1	5 1	17 9	49 21	68 11
Mississippi	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	1	8	23	15
Tennessee§	4	2	10	36	54	_	0	3	8	9	9	5	12	63	36
W.S. Central	5	1	5	38	8	_	0	2	4	3	18	30	58	332	309
Arkansas§ Louisiana	_	0	3 2	1 12	4 4	_	0	0 1		2 1	3 3	1 5	7 30	25 63	24 37
Oklahoma	5	0	4	25	_	_	0	2	3	_	3	1	5	23	18
Texas [§]	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	9	21	31	221	230
Mountain	_	1	7 0	17	33	_	0	5 0	6	11	_	8	27	44	101
Arizona Colorado		0 0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	3 1	16 5	11 3	49 16
Idaho§	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	1
Montana [§] Nevada [§]	_	0 0	0 3	 11	<u> </u>	_	0	0 2	3	_	_	0 1	1 12	1 16	23
New Mexico§	_	0	0		_		0	0	_		_	i	5	11	10
Utah	_	0	7	4	17	_	0	4	2	8	_	0	2	1	2
Wyoming [§]	_	0	3	2	11	_	0	2	1	3	_	0	1	1	_
Pacific Alaska	_	0 0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	5 —	37 0	52 4	272 3	469 5
California	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	0	_	_	4	34	45	242	398
Hawaii Orogan [§]		0	0	N		_	0	0	_	_	_ 1	0	1	1	8
Oregon [§] Washington	N N	0 0	0 0	N N	N N	_	0 0	0 0	_	_		0 2	6 11	4 22	4 54
American Samoa	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	U
C.N.M.I.	Ū	0	Ō	Ü	Ü	Ü	0	0	Ü	Ü	Ü	0	0	Ü	Ü
Guam Buarta Bias	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0		_
Puerto Rico U.S. Virgin Islands	N U	0	0	N U	N U		0	0 0	U	U	U	2	11 0	22 U	33 U

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Max * Incidence data for reporting years 2006 and 2007 are provisional.
Includes cases of invasive pneumococcal disease caused by drug-resistant *S. pneumoniae* (DRSP) (NNDSS event code 11720). Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS). Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 24, 2007, and March 25, 2006 (12th Week)*

		Varice	ella (chick	(enpox)				oinvasiv	t Nile viru ve			Non-	neuroinv	asive	
		Prev						ious					vious		_
Reporting area	Current week	52 w	eeks Max	Cum 2007	Cum 2006	Current week	Med	eeks Max	Cum 2007	Cum 2006	Current week	Med Med	veeks Max	Cum 2007	Cum 2006
United States	972	804	1,435	10,114	12,449		1	178		3		1	399		1
New England	8	24	72	131	442	_	0	3	_	_	_	0	2	_	_
Connecticut	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	3	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
Maine¶ Massachusetts	_	2 0	17 1	_	88 92	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0 1	_	_
New Hampshire	4	5	47	46	92	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Rhode Island¹ Vermont¹	4	0 11	0 66	— 85	170	_	0 0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	
Wid. Atlantic	91	104	192	1,386	1,583	_	0	11	_	_	_	0	4	_	_
New Jersey	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
New York (Upstate) New York City	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	5 4	_	_	_	0	1 2	_	_
Pennsylvania	91	104	192	1,386	1,583	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	1	_	_
E.N. Central	199	237	587	3,146	5,007	_	0	43	_	_	_	0	33	_	_
llinois	_	0	7	2	23	_	0	23	_	_	_	0	23	_	-
ndiana Michigan	<u> </u>	0 97	0 258	1,252	1,464	_	0 0	7 11	_	_	_	0	12 2	_	
Ohio	137	128	449	1,666	3,091	_	0	11	_	_	_	0	3	_	_
Wisconsin	_	13	64	226	429	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	2	_	_
W.N. Central lowa	95 N	29 0	131 0	573 N	638 N	_	0	36 3	_	_	_	0	79 4	_	_
owa Kansas		7	52	258	103	_	0	3	_	_	_	0	3	_	
Minnesota	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	6	_	_	_	0	7	_	-
Missouri Nebraska¹i	35 N	16 0	82 0	211 N	506 N	_	0	14 9	_	_	_	0	2 38	_	_
North Dakota	60	0	49	84	13	_	0	5	_	_	_	Ō	28	_	_
South Dakota	_	1	15	20	16	_	0	7	_	_	_	0	22	_	_
S. Atlantic Delaware	152	96 1	176 6	1,274 8	1,261 27	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	7 0	_	_
District of Columbia	_	0	5	<u> </u>	6	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	
Florida	30	0	42	338	N	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Georgia Maryland [¶]	N N	0	0	N N	N N	_	0	1 2	_	_	_	0	4 2	_	_
North Carolina	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
South Carolina [¶] Virginia [¶]	24 60	21 29	72 142	369 191	324 396	_	0	1 0	_	_	_	0	0 2	_	_
West Virginia	38	25	57	368	508	_	Ö	1	_	_	_	Ö	0	_	_
E.S. Central	6	4	43	90	_	_	0	15	_	3	_	0	16	_	_
Alabama [¶] Kentucky	6 N	4 0	43 0	88 N	N	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Mississippi		0	2	2		_	0	10	_	3	_	0	1 16	_	
Tennessee ¹	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	4	_	_	_	0	2	_	_
W.S. Central	349	198	966	2,720	2,516	_	0	58	_	_	_	0	26	_	1
Arkansas¹ Louisiana	28	11 2	92 11	150 35	214 11	_	0	4 13	_	_	_	0	2 9	_	-
Oklahoma	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	6	_	_	_	Ō	4	_	_
Texas ¹	321	172	873	2,535	2,291	_	0	38	_	_	_	0	16	_	_
Mountain Arizona	72	57	102	775	1,002	_	0	61	_	_	_	1	228	_	_
Arizona Colorado	49	0 23	0 51	316	562	_	0	9 10	_	_	_	0	15 51	_	_
daho ¹	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	30	_	_	_	0	157	_	_
Montana [¶] Nevada [¶]	_	0	26 3	87 —	N 1	_	0	3 9	_	_	_	0	8 16	_	
New Mexico ¹	_	4	21	70	186	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	.1	_	_
Utah Wyoming [¶]	23	19 0	65 11	302	245 8	_	0	8 7	_	_	_	0	17 10	_	_
Pacific	_	0	9	19	_	_	0	15	_	_	_	0	51	_	_
Alaska	_	0	9	19	N	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	=
California Hawaii	_	0	0	_	N	_	0	15 0	_	_	_	0	37 0	_	_
nawaii Oregon [¶]	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	2	_	_	_	0	14	_	
Washington	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	2	_	_
American Samoa	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	Ļ
C.N.M.I. Guam	<u>U</u>	0	0	U —	<u>U</u>	<u>U</u>	0	0	<u>U</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>U</u>	0	0	<u>U</u>	L
Puerto Rico	19	12	30	127	98	_	0	Ö	_	_	_	Ō	Ō	_	_
U.S. Virgin Islands	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	L

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

Incidence data for reporting years 2006 and 2007 are provisional.
Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases (proposed) (ArboNET Surveillance). Data for California serogroup, eastern equine, Powassan, St. Louis, and western equine diseases are available in Table I.
Not notifiable in all states. Data from states where the condition is not notifiable are excluded from this table, except in 2007 for the domestic arboviral diseases and influenza-associated pediatric mortality, and in 2003 for SARS-CoV. Reporting exceptions are available at http://www.cdc.gov/epo/dphsi/phs/infdis.htm.

Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE III. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities.* week ending March 24, 2007 (12th Week)

TABLE III. Deaths	in 122 U.S			ending N y age (ye		24, 200)7 (12th 	Week)		All	causes, b	y age (ye	ears)	1	
	AII						P&I†		All		T				P&I†
Reporting Area	Ages	<u>≥</u> 65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total	Reporting Area	Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total
New England	616	420	127	41	13	15	41	S. Atlantic	1,262	792	305	95	43	27	68
Boston, MA	162 37	106 31	34 5	13 1	6	3	10 5	Atlanta, GA	122	68 113	37 47	10	5 10	2	4 9
Bridgeport, CT Cambridge, MA	17	11	3		_	3	5 1	Baltimore, MD Charlotte, NC	193 123	78	47 27	15 11	6	8 1	16
Fall River, MA	22	16	5	1	_	_	2	Jacksonville, FL	147	98	32	8	5	4	12
Hartford, CT	64	42	16	5	_	1	4	Miami, FL	111	71	24	9	5	2	4
Lowell, MA	19	17	2	_	_	_	3	Norfolk, VA	70	55	12	3	_	_	4
Lynn, MA	11	7	2	2	_	_	4	Richmond, VA	66	34	21	10	_	1	2
New Bedford, MA	15	12	. 1	2	_	_		Savannah, GA	68	42	17	3	5	1	7
New Haven, CT	58	41	11	4	2	3	4	St. Petersburg, FL	65	45	9	5	3	3	4
Providence, RI Somerville, MA	53 9	34 5	8 4	4	4	3	4	Tampa, FL Washington, D.C.	171 104	114 60	42 31	8 12	3 1	4	6
Springfield, MA	43	27	7	5	1	3	2	Wilmington, DE	22	14	6	1		1	_
Waterbury, CT	34	24	9	1		_	2								400
Worcester, MA	72	47	20	3	_	2	_	E.S. Central Birmingham, AL	993 200	667 128	217 45	62 13	24 5	23 9	100 25
Mid. Atlantic	2,084	1,400	480	128	38	35	121	Chattanooga, TN	91	71	15	5	_	_	11
Albany, NY	47	35	8	4	_	_	3	Knoxville, TN	124	77	33	7	5	2	14
Allentown, PA	24	20	4	_	_	_	3	Lexington, KY	79	63	10	2	2	2	7
Buffalo, NY	77	47	22	4	3	1	5	Memphis, TN	207	126	55	22	2	2	23
Camden, NJ	34	22	8	1	1	2	2	Mobile, AL	93	65	17	8	2	1	6
Elizabeth, NJ	21	16	3	2	_	_	2	Montgomery, AL	55	39	14	_	1	1	3
Erie, PA	48 26	31 16	11 8	3 2	2	1	1 4	Nashville, TN	144	98	28	5	7	6	11
Jersey City, NJ New York City, NY	1,103	753	244	67	20	17	58	W.S. Central	1,552	1,000	364	117	36	35	111
Newark, NJ	66	27	21	11	_	6	2	Austin, TX	109	68	20	13	5	3	19
Paterson, NJ	21	10	9	2	_	_	1	Baton Rouge, LA	36	17	12	3	1	3	2
Philadelphia, PA	250	152	63	22	7	6	11	Corpus Christi, TX Dallas, TX	65 179	43 108	18 39	1 21	— 5	3	8
Pittsburgh, PA§	25	18	4	1	1	1	2	El Paso, TX	114	77	28	4	2	6 3	13 6
Reading, PA	30	21	8	1	_	_	1	Fort Worth, TX	140	105	24	5	_	6	12
Rochester, NY	122 17	93	24 3	2	3	_	10	Houston, TX	448	276	119	35	10	8	20
Schenectady, NY Scranton, PA	24	13 17	6	1	_	_	1	Little Rock, AR	88	63	16	3	5	1	2
Syracuse, NY	85	64	20		_	1	13	New Orleans, LA ¹	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Trenton, NJ	25	15	6	3	1	_	_	San Antonio, TX	180	112	46	15	7	_	18
Utica, NY	17	12	4	1	_	_	1	Shreveport, LA Tulsa, OK	59 134	39 92	15 27	4 13	_ 1	1	2 9
Yonkers, NY	22	18	4	_	_	_	1	1							
E.N. Central	2,141	1,420	506	125	43	47	182	Mountain	1,299	858	294	89	28	28	89
Akron, OH	49	30	17	_	_	2	4	Albuquerque, NM Boise, ID	242 66	166 48	56 12	14 4	3	1 2	16 2
Canton, OH	35	20	12	2		1	5	Colorado Springs, CO	51	29	14	6	1	1	1
Chicago, IL	357 99	202 64	105	31	11 3	8 5	37 13	Denver, CO	104	71	21	4	2	6	5
Cincinnati, OH Cleveland, OH	258	186	26 56	1 7	3	6	14	Las Vegas, NV	295	184	78	24	5	4	17
Columbus, OH	180	112	49	12	4	3	14	Ogden, UT	29	22	3	3	1	_	2
Dayton, OH	129	90	29	9		1	10	Phoenix, AZ	189	113	50	13	8	5	19
Detroit, MI	156	94	36	15	7	4	10	Pueblo, CO Salt Like City, UT	38 138	29 95	9 24	11	3	 5	1 14
Evansville, IN	41	26	14	1	_	_	3	Tucson, AZ	147	101	27	10	5	4	12
Fort Wayne, IN	65	50	8	3	2	2	4								
Gary, IN Grand Rapids, MI	22 53	11 45	8 3	2 2	_ 1	1 2	_	Pacific Berkeley, CA	1,366 12	1,001 8	248 4	74 —	25 —	18	132 1
Indianapolis, IN	204	133	45	14	8	4	16	Fresno, CA	48	36	9	_	1	_	5
Lansing, MI	56	46	6	4	_		4	Glendale, CA	Ü	Ü	Ŭ	Ū	Ü	U	Ü
Milwaukee, WI	115	70	32	8	3	2	14	Honolulu, HI	50	36	8	3	2	1	5
Peoria, IL	46	31	12	1	_	2	9	Long Beach, CA	62	48	7	5	1	1	15
Rockford, IL	61	47	9	5	_	_	6	Los Angeles, CA	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
South Bend, IN Toledo, OH	54 101	44 67	6 27	2 5	_	2	5 12	Pasadena, CA Portland, OR	36 133	31 99	3 26	2 5	1	_	4 11
Youngstown, OH	60	52	6	1	1	_	-	Sacramento, CA	210	149	41	15	3	2	27
								San Diego, CA	151	116	20	6	6	3	15
W.N. Central	737	498	151	45	15	23	64	San Francisco, CA	125	90	25	5	2	3	13
Des Moines, IA Duluth, MN	77 40	62 32	9 4	2 4	3	1	9 4	San Jose, CA	207	158	35	8	3	3	16
Kansas City, KS	40	20	10	3	2	4	1	Santa Cruz, CA	29	20	8	. 1	_	_	2
Kansas City, MO	81	46	19	6	_	10	2	Seattle, WA	115	71	28	13	2	1	6
Lincoln, NE	35	23	9	3	_	_	3	Spokane, WA	56	36	15	1	3	1	5
Minneapolis, MN	68	42	18	6	1	1	6	Tacoma, WA	132	103	19	8	1	1	7
Omaha, NE	120	94	16	6	2	2	9	Total	12,050**	8,056	2,692	776	265	251	908
St. Louis, MO	128	72 41	36	11	2	3 1	15								
St. Paul, MN Wichita, KS	54 94	41 66	9 21	2 2	1 4	1	8 7								
vvioliita, INO	J+	00	۷ ا		4	'	,	I							

U: Unavailable.

U: Unavailable. —:No reported cases.


* Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of ≥100,000. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

† Pneumonia and influenza.

[§] Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
¶ Because of Hurricane Katrina, weekly reporting of deaths has been temporarily disrupted.

** Total includes unknown ages.

FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, United States, comparison of provisional 4-week totals March 24, 2007, with historical data



Notifiable Disease Data Team and 122 Cities Mortality Data Team

Patsy A. Hall

Deborah A. Adams Rosaline Dhara Willie J. Anderson Vernitta Love Lenee Blanton Pearl C. Sharp

^{*} No measles cases reported for the current 4-week period, yielding a ratio for week 12 of zero (0).

† Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format. To receive an electronic copy each week, send an e-mail message to listserv@listserv.edc.gov. The body content should read SUBscribe mmwrtoc. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's Internet server at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at ftp://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/publications/mmwr. Paper copy subscriptions are available through the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone 202-512-1800.

Data in the weekly MMWR are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Data are compiled in the National Center for Public Health Informatics, Division of Integrated Surveillance Systems and Services. Address all inquiries about the MMWR Series, including material to be considered for publication, to Editor, MMWR Series, Mailstop E-90, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333 or to www.mmwrq@cdc.gov.

All material in the MMWR Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to MMWR readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of these sites. URL addresses listed in MMWR were current as of the date of publication.

\$\trianglerightarrow\$U.S. Government Printing Office: 2007-623-038/41016 Region IV ISSN: 0149-2195