TECHNICAL APPENDIX FROM

VITAL STATISTICS OF UNITED STATES

1997

NATALITY

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS Hyattsville, Maryland: May 1999

VITAL STATISTICS OF THE UNITED STATES: NATALITY, 1997

TECHNICAL APPENDIX

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The technical appendix preparation was coordinated by Sally C. Curtin in the Division of Vital Statistics under the general direction of Kenneth G. Keppel, Acting Chief of the Reproductive Statistics Branch. The vital statistics computer file on which it is based were prepared by staff from the Division of Vital Statistics, Division of Data Processing, Division of Data Services, and the Office of Research and Methodology.

The Division of Vital Statistics, Mary Anne Freedman, Director, and James A. Weed, Deputy Director, managed the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program, through which the vital registration offices of all States, the District of Columbia, New York City, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa provided the data to the National Center for Health Statistics. This Division also processed computer edits, designed and programmed the tabulations, reviewed the data, and prepared documentation for this publication. The following management staff provided overall direction: Ronald F. Chamblee, George Gay, Kenneth G. Keppel and Nicholas F. Pace. Important contributors were: Judy M. Barnes, Sally C. Curtin, Thomas D. Dunn, Brenda A. Green, Vanetta Harrington, Christina K. Jarman, Millie B. Johnson, David W. Justice, Virginia J. Justice, Julia L. Kowaleski, Joyce A. Martin, T.J. Mathews, Jaleh Mousavi, Adrienne L. Rouse, Jordan Sacks, Manju Sharma, Steve Steimel, George C. Tolson, Mary M. Trotter, Stephanie J. Ventura, Mary H. Wilder, and Francine D. Winter.

The Division of Data Processing, Delton Atkinson, Director, was responsible for receipt and processing of the basic data file. The following management staff provided overall direction: Charles E. Sirc, Dan M. Shearin, Susan J Temple, Linda B. Torian, and Elizabeth Walston. Important contributors were Brenda L. Brown, Linda P. Currin, Patricia W. Dunham, Donald H. Jessup, Audrey S. Johnson, Joseph R. Lyndon, Raye T. Powell, Seth J. Preslar, Frank Rawls, Betsy B. Thompson, Teresa M. Watkins, Faye L. Webster, Mary Whitley, Dora B. Wilkerson, and James G. Williams.

The Division of Data Services, Phillip R. Beattie, Director, and Margot A. Palmer, Deputy Director, was responsible for publication management and editorial review. The following management staff provided overall direction: Stephen L. Sloan and Rolfe W. Larson. Important contributors were Demarius V. Miller, Margaret C. Avery, and Patricia L. Wilson.

The Office of Research and Methodology was responsible for the application of mathematical statistics methods to the development and implementation of quality assurance procedures. Important contributions in this area were made by Kenneth Harris.

The National Center for Health Statistics acknowledges the essential role of the vital registration offices of all States and territories in maintaining the system through which vital statistics data are obtained and for their cooperation in providing the information on which this publication is based.

A copy of the technical appendix may be obtained by contacting the National Center for Health Statistics, Reproductive Statistics Branch at 301-436-8954.

For a list of reports published by the National Center for Health Statistics contact:

Data Dissemination Branch National Center for Health Statistics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 6525 Belcrest Road, Room 1064 Hyattsville, MD 20782-2003 (301) 436-8500 Internet:www.cdc.gov/nchswww/

Definition of live birth
History of birth-registration area 1
Sources of data 1
Natality statistics
Standard Certificate of Live Birth 2
Classification of data 3
Classification by occurrence and residence
Geographic classification
Race or national origin
Age of mother
Age of father
Live-birth order and parity
Date of last live birth
Educational attainment
Marital status
Place of delivery and attendant at birth 10
Birthweight 11
Period of gestation 11
Month of pregnancy prenatal care began 12
Number of prenatal visits
Apgar score
Tobacco and alcohol use during pregnancy
Weight gained during pregnancy 13
Medical risk factors for this pregnancy 13
Obstetric procedures

Complications of labor and/or delivery 14
Abnormal conditions of the newborn
Congenital anomalies of child
Method of delivery
Hispanic parentage 17
Quality of data
Completeness of registration
Completeness of reporting
Quality control procedures
Small frequencies
Computation of rates and other measures
Population bases
Net census undercounts and overcounts
Cohort fertility tables
Age-sex-adjusted birth rates
Total fertility rate
Intrinsic vital rates
Seasonal adjustment of rates
Computation of percents, medians, and means
References
Figure
4-A. U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth: 1989 Revision
Text tables

A.	Percent of birth records on which specified items were not stated: United States and each State, Puerto Rico	,
	Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa: 1997	29
	•	
B.	Births by State of Occurrence and Residence, 1997	31

C.	Sources for the resident population and population including Armed Forces abroad: Birth- and death-registration States, 1900-32, and United States, 1900-97	33
D.	Ratio of census-level resident population adjusted for estimated net census undercount by age, sex, and race: United States, April 1, 1990	
Popu	alation tables	
4-1.	Population of birth- and death-registration States, 1900-32, and United States, 1900-97	35
4-2.	Estimated population of the United States, by age, race, and sex: July 1, 1997	36
4-3.	Estimated total population and female population aged 15-44 years: United States, each division and State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa: July 1, 1997	37

Definition of live birth

Every product of conception that gives a sign of life after birth, regardless of the length of the pregnancy, is considered a live birth. This concept is included in the definition set forth by the World Health Organization (1):

Live birth is the complete expulsion or extraction from its mother of a product of conception, irrespective of the duration of pregnancy, which, after such separation, breathes or shows any other evidence of life, such as beating of the heart, pulsation of the umbilical cord, or definite movement of voluntary muscles, whether or not the umbilical cord has been cut or the placenta is attached; each product of such a birth is considered liveborn.

This definition distinguishes in precise terms a live birth from a fetal death (see the section on fetal deaths in the Technical Appendix of volume II, *Vital Statistics of the United States*). In the interest of comparable natality statistics, both the Statistical Commission of the United Nations and the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) have adopted this definition (2,3).

History of birth-registration area

The national birth-registration area was proposed in 1850 and established in 1915. By 1933 all 48 States and the District of Columbia were participating in the registration system. The organized territories of Hawaii and Alaska were admitted in 1929 and 1950, respectively; data from these areas were prepared separately until they became States--Alaska in 1959 and Hawaii in 1960. Currently the birth-registration system of the United States covers the 50 States, the District of Columbia, the independent registration area of New York City, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. However, in the statistical tabulations, "United States" refers only to the aggregate of the 50 States (including New York City) and the District of Columbia.

The original birth-registration area of 1915 consisted of 10 States and the District of Columbia. The growth of this area is indicated in table 4-1. This table also presents for each year through 1932 the estimated midyear population of the United States and of those States included in the registration system.

Because of the growth of the area for which data have been collected and tabulated, a national series of geographically comparable data before 1933 can be obtained only by estimation. Annual estimates of births have been prepared by P. K. Whelpton for 1909-34 (4). These estimates include adjustments for underregistration and for States that were not part of the birth-registration area before 1933.

Sources of data

Natality statistics

Since 1985 natality statistics for all States and the District of Columbia have been based on information from the total file of records. The information is received on computer data tapes coded by the States and provided to NCHS through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program. NCHS receives these tapes from the registration offices of all States, the District of Columbia, and New York City. Information for PuertoRico is also received on computer

tapes through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program. Information for the Virgin Islands and Guam is obtained from microfilm copies of original birth certificates and is based on the total file of records for all years. Data from American Samoa first became available in 1997. Similar to data from the Virgin Islands and Guam, the data are obtained from microfilm copies of original birth certificates and are based on the total file of records.

Birth statistics for years prior to 1951 and for 1955 are based on the total file of birth records. Statistics for 1951-54, 1956-66, and 1968-71 are based on 50-percent samples except for data for Guam and the Virgin Islands, which are based on all records filed. During the processing of the 1967 data the sampling rate was reduced from 50 percent to 20 percent. For details of this procedure and its consequences for the 1967 data see pages 3-9 to 3-11 in volume I of *Vital Statistics of the United States*, 1967. From 1972 to 1984 statistics are based on all records filed in the States submitting computer tapes and on a 50-percent sample of records in all other States.

Information for years prior to 1970 for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam is published in the annual vital statistics reports of the Department of Health of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Department of Public Health of the Virgin Islands, the Department of Public Health and Social Services of the Government of Guam, and in selected *Vital Statistics of the United States* annual reports.

U.S. natality data are limited to births occurring within the United States, including those occurring to U.S. residents and nonresidents. Births to nonresidents of the United States have been excluded from all tabulations by place of residence beginning in 1970 (for further discussion see "Classification by occurrence and residence"). Births occurring to U.S. citizens outside the United States are not included in any tabulations in this report. Similarly the data for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa are limited to births registered in these areas.

Standard certificate of live birth

The U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth, issued by the Public Health Service, has served for many years as the principal means of attaining uniformity in the content of the documents used to collect information on births in the United States. It has been modified in each State to the extent required by the particular State's needs or by special provisions of the State's vital statistics law. However, most State certificates conform closely in content to the standard certificate.

The first standard certificate of birth was developed in 1900. Since then, it has been revised periodically by the national vital statistics agency through consultation with State health officers and registrars; Federal agencies concerned with vital statistics; national, State, and county medical societies; and others working in public health, social welfare, demography, and insurance. This procedure has assured careful evaluation of each item for its current and future usefulness for legal, medical, demographic, and research purposes. New items have been added when necessary, and old items have been modified to ensure better reporting or, in some cases, dropped when their usefulness appeared to be limited.

1989 revision--Effective January 1, 1989, a revised U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth (figure 4-A) replaced the 1978 revision. This revision provided a wide variety of new information on maternal and infant health characteristics, representing a significant departure from previous versions in both content and format. The most significant format change was the use of check boxes to obtain detailed medical and health information about the mother and child. It has been demonstrated that this format produces higher quality and more complete information than do open-ended items.

The reformatted items included "Medical Risk Factors for This Pregnancy," which combines the former items "Complications of Pregnancy" and "Concurrent Illnesses or Conditions Affecting the Pregnancy." "Complications of Labor and/or Delivery" and "Congenital Anomalies of Child" also have been revised from the open-ended format. For each of these items at least 15 specific conditions have been identified.

Several new items were added to the revised certificate. Included are items to obtain information on tobacco and alcohol use during pregnancy, weight gain during pregnancy, obstetric procedures, method of delivery, and abnormal conditions of the newborn. These items can be used to monitor the health practices of the mother that can affect pregnancy and the use of technology in childbirth, and to identify babies with specific abnormal conditions. When combined with other socioeconomic and health data, these items provide a wealth of information relevant to the etiology of low birth weight and other adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Another modification was the addition of a Hispanic identifier for the mother and father. Although NCHS had recommended that States add items to identify the Hispanic or ethnic origin of the newborn's parents, concurrent with

the 1978 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth and reported data from the cooperating States since that year, the item was new to the U.S. Standard Certificate for 1989.

The 1989 revised certificate also provided more detail than previously requested on the birth attendant and place of birth. This permits a more in-depth analysis of the number and characteristics of births by attendant and type of facility and a comparison of differences in outcome. For further discussion see individual sections for each item.

Classification of data

One of the principal values of vital statistics data is realized through the presentation of rates that are computed by relating the vital events of a class to the population of a similarly defined class. Vital statistics and population statistics, therefore, must be classified according to similarly defined systems and tabulated in comparable groups. Even when the variables common to both, such as geographic area, age, race, and sex, have been similarly classified and tabulated, differences between the enumeration method of obtaining population data and the registration method of obtaining vital

statistics data may result in significant discrepancies.

The general rules used to classify geographic and personal items for live births are set forth in "Vital Statistics Classification and Coding Instructions for Live Birth Records, 1997," *NCHS Instruction Manual*, Part 3a. The classification of certain important items is discussed in the following pages. See table A for a listing of items and the percent of records that were not stated for each State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa.

Classification by occurrence and residence

Births to U.S. residents occurring outside this country are not reallocated to the United States. In tabulations by place of residence, births occurring within the United States to U.S. citizens and to resident aliens are allocated to the usual place of residence of the mother in the United States, as reported on the birth certificate. Beginning in 1970 births to nonresidents of the United States occurring in the United States are excluded from these tabulations. From 1966 to 1969 births occurring in the United States to mothers who were nonresidents of the United States were considered as births to residents of the exact place of occurrence; in 1964 and 1965 all such births were allocated to "balance of county" of occurrence even if the birth occurred in a city. The change in coding beginning in 1970 to exclude births to nonresidents of the United States from residence data significantly affects the comparability of data with years before 1970 only for Texas.

For the total United States the tabulations by place of residence and by place of occurrence are not identical. Births to nonresidents of the United States are included in data by place of occurrence but excluded from data by place of residence, as previously indicated. See table B for the number of births by residence and occurrence for the 50 States and the District of Columbia for 1997.

Residence error--A nationwide test of birth-registration completeness in 1950 provided measures of residence error for natality statistics. According to this test, errors in residence reporting for the country as a whole tend to overstate the number of births to residents of urban areas and to understate the number of births to residents of other areas. This tendency has assumed special importance because of a concomitant development--the increased utilization of hospitals in cities by residents of nearby places--with the result that a number of births are erroneously reported as having occurred to residents of urban areas. Another factor that contributes to this overstatement of urban births is the customary procedure of using "city" addresses for persons living outside the city limits.

Incomplete residence--Beginning in 1973 where only the State of residence is reported with no city or county specified and the State named is different from the State of occurrence, the birth is allocated to the largest city of the State of residence. Before 1973 such births were allocated to the exact place of occurrence.

Geographic classification

The rules followed in the classification of geographic areas for live births are contained in the instruction manual mentioned previously. The geographic code structure for 1997 is given in another manual, "Vital Records Geographic Classification, 1994," *NCHS Instruction Manual*, Part 8.

United States--In the statistical tabulations, "United States" refers only to the aggregate of the 50 States and the District of Columbia. Alaska has been included in the U.S. tabulations since 1959 and Hawaii since 1960.

Metropolitan statistical areas--The metropolitan statistical areas and primary metropolitan statistical areas (MSA's and PMSA's) used in this report are those established by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget as of April 1, 1990, and used by the U.S. Bureau of the Census (5) except in the New England States.

Except in the New England States, an MSA has either a city with a population of at least 50,000, or a Bureau of the Census urbanized area of at least 50,000 and a total MSA population of at least 100,000. A PMSA consists of a large urbanized county, or cluster of counties, that demonstrates very strong internal economic and social links and has a population over 1 million. When PMSA's are defined, the large area of which they are component parts is designated a Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area (CMSA) (6).

In the New England States the U.S. Office of Management and Budget uses towns and cities rather than counties as geographic components of MSA's and PMSA's. NCHS cannot, however, use this classification for these States because its data are not coded to identify all towns. Instead, the New England County Metropolitan Areas (NECMA's) are used. These areas are established by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (7) and are made up of county units.

Metropolitan and nonmetropolitan counties— Independent cities and counties included in MSA's and PMSA's or NECMA's are included in data for metropolitan counties; all other counties are classified as nonmetropolitan.

Population-size groups--Beginning in 1994 vital statistics data for cities and certain other urban places have been classified according to the population enumerated in the 1990 Census of Population. Data are available for individual cities and other urban places of 100,000 or more population. Data for the remaining areas not separately identified are shown in the tables under the heading "Balance of area" or "Balance of county." Classification of areas for 1982-93 was determined by the population enumerated in the 1980 Census of Population. As a result of changes in the enumerated population between 1980 and 1990, some urban places identified in previous reports are no longer included, and a number of other urban places have been added.

Urban places other than incorporated cities for which vital statistics data are shown in this report include the following:

- Each town in New England, New York, and Wisconsin and each township in Michigan, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania that had no incorporated municipality as a subdivision and had either 25,000 inhabitants or more, or a population of 10,000 to 25,000 and a density of 1,000 persons or more per square mile.
- Each county in States other than those indicated above that had no incorporated municipality within its boundary and had a density of 1,000 persons or more per square mile. (Arlington County, Virginia, is the only county classified as urban under this rule.)
- Each place in Hawaii with 10,000 or more population. (There are no incorporated cities in Hawaii.)

Race or national origin

Beginning with the 1989 data year birth data are tabulated primarily by race of mother. In 1988 and prior years the race or national origin shown in tabulations was that of the newborn child. However, beginning with the 19xx issue of *Vital Statistics of the United States, Volume I, Natality*, trend data for years beginning with 1980 have been retabulated by race of mother. The race of the child was determined for statistical purposes by an algorithm based on the race of the mother and father as reported on the birth certificate. When the parents were of the same race, the race of the child was the same as the race of the parents. When the parents were of different races and one parent was white, the child was assigned to the race of the other parent. When the parents were of different races and neither parent was white, the child was assigned to the race of the father, with one exception--if either parent was Hawaiian, the child was assigned to Hawaiian. If race was missing for one parent, the child was assigned the race of the parent for whom it was reported. When information on race was missing for both parents, the race of the child was considered not stated and the birth was allocated according to rules discussed on page 4 of the Technical Appendix, volume I, *Vital Statistics of the United States*, 1988. In 1989 the criteria for reporting the race of the parents did not change and continues to reflect the response of the informant (usually the mother).

The most important factor influencing the decision to tabulate births by race of the mother was the decennial revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth in 1989. This revision included many more health questions that are directly associated with the mother, including alcohol and tobacco use, weight gain during pregnancy, medical risk factors, obstetric procedures, complications of labor and/or delivery, and method of delivery. Additionally, many of the

other items that have been on the birth certificate for more than two decades also relate directly to the mother, for example, marital status, education level, and receipt of prenatal care. It is more appropriate to use the race of the mother than the race of the child in tabulating these items.

A second factor has been the increasing incidence of interracial parentage. In 1997, 5.0 percent of births were to parents of different races, more than double the percent in 1977 (2.0 percent). More than half of these births were to white mothers and fathers of another race (55 percent in 1997). There have been two major consequences of the increasing interracial parentage. One is the effect on birth rates by race. The number of white births under the former procedures has been arbitrarily limited to infants whose parents were both white (or one parent if the race of only one parent was reported). At the same time, the number of births of other races has been arbitrarily increased to include all births to white mothers and fathers of other races. Thus, prior to 1989, if race of mother had been used, birth rates per 1,000 white women in a given age group would have been higher, while comparable rates for black women and women of other races would have been lower. The other consequence of increasing interracial parentage is the impact on the racial differential in various characteristics of births, particularly in cases where there is generally a large racial disparity, such as the incidence of low birthweight. In this instance, the racial differential is larger when the data are tabulated by race of mother rather than by race of child. The same effect has been noted for characteristics such as nonmarital childbearing, preterm births, late or no prenatal care, and low educational attainment of mother.

The third factor influencing the change is the growing proportion of births with race of father not stated, 15 percent in 1997. Although this proportion has stabilized and declined slightly in the 1990's, it is still higher than in 1977, 10 percent. The high proportion of records with the father's race not reported reflects the increase in the proportion of births to unmarried women; in many cases no information is reported on the father. These births were already assigned the race of the mother because there is no alternative. Tabulating births by race of mother provides a more uniform approach, rather than a necessarily arbitrary combination of parental races.

The change in the tabulation of births by race presents some problems when analyzing birth data by race, particularly trend data. The problem is likely to be acute for races other than white and black.

The categories for race or national origin are "White," "Black," "American Indian" (including Aleuts and Eskimos), "Chinese," "Japanese," "Hawaiian," "Filipino," and "Other Asian or Pacific Islander" (including Asian Indian). Before 1992 there was also an "other" category, which is now combined with the "Not stated" category. Before 1978 the category "Other Asian or Pacific Islander" was not identified separately but included with "Other" races. The separation of this category from "other" allows identification of the category "Asian or Pacific Islander" with Chinese, Japanese, Hawaiian, and Filipino.

Beginning in 1992, NCHS contracted with seven States with the highest API populations to code births to additional API subgroups. The API subgroups include births to Vietnamese, Asian Indian, Korean, Samoan, Guamanian, and other API women. The seven States included in this reporting area are: California, Hawaii, Illinois, New Jersey, New York, Texas, and Washington. At least two-thirds of the U.S. population of each of these additional API groups lived in the seven-State reporting area(8). The data are available on the detailed natality tapes and CD-ROMs beginning with the 1992 data year. An analytic report based on the 1992 data year is also available upon request(9). In 1996, Minnesota became the eighth State to provide this information.

The category "White" comprises births reported as white and births where race is reported as Hispanic. Before 1964 all births for which race or national origin was not stated were classified as white. Beginning in 1964 changes in the procedures for allocating race when race or national origin is not stated have changed the composition of this category. (See discussion on "Race or national origin not stated.")

If the race or national origin of an Asian parent is ill-defined or not clearly identifiable with one of the categories used in the classification (for example, if "Oriental" is entered), an attempt is made to determine the specific race or national origin from the entry for place of birth. If the birthplace is China, Japan, or the Philippines, the race of the parent is assigned to that category. When race cannot be determined from birthplace, it is assigned to the category "Other Asian or Pacific Islander."

Race or national origin not stated--If the race of the mother is not defined or not identifiable with one of the categories used in the classification (0.7 percent of births in 1997) and the race of the father is known, the race of the father is assigned to the mother. Where information for both parents is missing, the race of the mother is allocated electronically according to the specific race of the mother on the preceding record with a known race of mother. Data for both parents were missing for only 0.4 percent of birth certificates for 1997. Nearly all statistics by race or national origin for the United States as a whole in 1962 and 1963 are affected by a lack of information for New Jersey, which

did not report the race of the parents in those years. Birth rates by race for those years are computed on a population base that excluded New Jersey. For the method of estimating the U.S. population by age, sex, and race excluding New Jersey in 1962 and 1963, see page 4-8 in the Technical Appendix of volume I, *Vital Statistics of the United States*, 1963.

Age of mother

Beginning in 1989 an item on the birth certificate asks for "Date of Birth." In previous years, "Age (at time of this birth)" was requested. Not all States have revised this item for 1989, and therefore the age of mother either is derived from the reported month and year of birth or coded as stated on the certificate. In 1997, the mother's age is reported directly by six States (Hawaii, Kentucky, Nevada, North Dakota, Virginia, and Wyoming). From 1964 to 1996, the age of mother was edited for 10-49 years. When the age of mother was computed to be under 10 years or 50 years or over, it was considered not stated and was assigned as described below. Beginning in 1997, age of mother is edited for ages 10-54 years. When the age of mother is computed to be under 10 years or over, it is considered not stated and was assigned as described below. A review and verification of unedited birth data for 1996 showed that the vast majority of births reported as occurring to women aged 50 years and older were to women aged 50-54 years. The numbers of births to women 50-54 years are too small for computing age-specific birth rates. These births have been included with births to women 45-49 for computing birth rates.

Age-specific birth rates are based on populations of women by age, prepared by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. In census years the decennial census counts are used. In intercensal years, estimates of the population of women by age are published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in *Current Population Reports*.

The 1990 Census of Population derived age in completed years as of April 1, 1990, from the responses to questions on age at last birthday and month and year of birth, with the latter given preference. In the 1960, 1970, and the 1980 Census of Population, age was also derived from month and year of birth. "Age in completed years" was asked in censuses before 1960. This was nearly the equivalent of the former birth certificate question, which the 1950 test of matched birth and census records confirms by showing a high degree of consistency in reporting age in these two sources (10).

Median age of mother--Median age is the value that divides an age distribution into two equal parts, one-half of the values being less and one-half being greater. Median ages of mothers for 1960 to the present have been computed from birth rates for 5-year age groups rather than from birth frequencies. This method eliminates the effects of changes in the age composition of the childbearing population over time. Changes in the median ages from year to year can thus be attributed solely to changes in the age-specific birth rates.

Not stated date of birth of mother--Beginning in 1964 birth records with date of birth of mother and/or age of mother not stated have had age imputed according to the age of mother from the previous birth record of the same race and total-birth order (total of fetal deaths and live births). (See "Computer Edits for Natality Data, Effective 1993" NCHS Instruction Manual , Part 12, page 9.) In 1963 birth records with age not stated were allocated according to the age appearing on the record previously processed for a mother of identical race and parity (number of live births). For 1960-62 not stated ages were distributed in proportion to the known ages for each racial group. Before 1960 this was done for age-specific birth rates but not for the birth frequency tables, which showed a separate category for age not stated.

Age of father

Age of father is derived from the reported date of birth or coded as stated on the birth certificate. If the age is under 10 years, it is considered not stated and grouped with those cases for which age is not stated on the certificate. Information on age of father is often missing on birth certificates of children born to unmarried mothers, greatly inflating the number of "not stated" in all tabulations by age of father. In computing birth rates by age of father, births tabulated as age of father not stated are distributed in the same proportions as births with known age within each 5-year-age

classification of the mother. This procedure is followed because, while father's age is missing in 15 percent of the birth certificates in 1997, one third of these were on records where the mother is a teenager. This distribution procedure is done separately by race. The resulting distributions are summed to form a composite frequency distribution that is the basis for computing birth rates by age of father. This procedure avoids the distortion in rates that would result if the relationship between age of mother and age of father were disregarded.

Live-birth order and parity

Live-birth order and parity classifications refer to the total number of live births the mother has had including the 1997 birth. Fetal deaths are excluded.

Live-birth order indicates what number the present birth represents; for example, a baby born to a mother who has had two previous live births (even if one or both are not now living) has a live-birth order of three. Parity indicates how many live births a mother has had. Before delivery a mother having her first baby has a parity of zero and a mother having her third baby has a parity of two. After delivery the mother of a baby who is a first live birth has a parity of one and the mother of a baby who is a third live birth has a parity of three.

Live-birth order and parity are determined from two items on the birth certificate, "Live births now living" and "Live births now dead."

Not stated birth order--Before 1969 if both of these items were blank, the birth was considered a first birth. Beginning in 1969, births for which the pregnancy history items were not completed have been tabulated as live-birth order not stated. As a result of this revised procedure, 22,686 births in 1969 that would have been assigned to the "First birth order" category under the old rules were assigned to the "Not stated" category.

All births tabulated in the "Not stated birth order" category are excluded from the computation of percents. In computing birth rates by live-birth order, births tabulated as birth order not stated are distributed in the same proportion as births of known live-birth order.

Date of last live birth

The date of last live birth was added to the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth in 1968 for the purpose of providing information on child spacing. The interval since the last live birth is the difference between the date of last live birth and the date of present birth. For an interval to be computed, both the month and year of the last live birth must be valid. This interval is computed only for events to mothers who have had at least one previous live birth. Births for which the interval since last live birth is not stated are excluded from the computation of percents and means.

Zero interval--An interval of zero months since the last live birth indicates the second born of a set of twins, the second or third born of a set of triplets, and so forth. Births with an interval of zero months are excluded from the computation of mean intervals.

Beginning in 1995, NCHS ceased to collect information on the date of last live birth and thus the information on interval is only available from birth certificate data from 1968-94.

Educational attainment

Data on the educational attainment of both parents were collected beginning in 1968 and tabulated for publication in 1969 for the first time.

The educational attainment of either parent is defined as "the number of years of school completed." Only those years completed in "regular" schools are counted, that is, a formal educational system of public schools or the equivalent in accredited private or parochial schools. Business or trade schools, such as beauty and barber schools, are not considered "regular" schools for the purposes of this item. No attempt has been made to convert years of school completed in foreign school systems, ungraded school systems, and so forth, to equivalent grades in the American school system. Such entries are included in the category "not stated."

Persons who have completed only a partial year in high school or college are tabulated as having completed the highest preceding grade. For those certificates on which a specific degree is stated, years of school completed is coded to the level at which the degree is most commonly attained; for example, persons reporting B.A., A.B., or B.S. degrees are considered to have completed 16 years of school.

Education not stated--The category "Not stated" includes all records in reporting areas for which there is no information on years of school completed as well as all records for which the information provided is not compatible with coding specifications.

Births tabulated as education not stated are excluded from the computations of percents.

Beginning in 1995, NCHS ceased to collect information on the educational attainment of the father and thus the information is available from birth certificate data only for 1969-94.

Marital status

National estimates of births to unmarried women are based on two methods of determining marital status. For 1994 through 1996, birth certificates in 45 states and the District of Columbia included a question about the mother's marital status. Beginning in 1997, California added a direct question to their birth certificate; thus in 1997, all but four States (Connecticut, Michigan, Nevada, and New York) included a direct question on their birth certificates. Nevada asks for the mother's marital status through the electronic birth registration process but this item is not included on certified or paper copies of the birth certificate.

In the three States which used inferential procedures to compile birth statistics by marital status in 1997, a birth is inferred as nonmarital if any of these factors, listed in priority-of-use order, is present: a paternity acknowledgment was received, the father's name is missing, or the father's and mother's current surnames are different. In addition, criteria that are particularly applicable for a given State are also applied as necessary. For example, special procedures were used in California prior to 1997 to compare the parents' surnames when hyphenated if the parents were born in countries where naming practices can identify the parents' marital status. This procedure was in effect for many years for Asian mothers and for 1995-96 for Hispanic mothers (11). In recent years, a number of States have extended their efforts to identify the fathers when the parents are not married in order to enforce child support obligations. The presence of a paternity acknowledgment therefore is the most reliable indicator that the birth is nonmarital in the States not reporting this information directly; this is now the key indicator in the nonreporting States. The inferential procedures in effect since 1980 represent a substantial departure from the method used before 1980 to prepare national estimates of births to unmarried women, which assumed that the incidence of births to unmarried women in States with no direct question on marital status was the same as the incidence in reporting States in the same geographic division (12).

The procedures for reporting marital status in California, Nevada, New York City changed beginning January 1, 1997. Up to that date, the mother's marital status was inferred in California by comparing the surnames of the mother, father, and child. Beginning in 1997, two changes were implemented. First, a law went into effect mandating that the father's name could not be included on the birth certificate unless the parents were married or a paternity affidavit was filed. Second, the marital status of women giving birth in California is now determined by a direct question on the birth certificate, "Mother married at any time during this pregnancy?" - similar to the question asked in most other States. Reporting procedures for marital status in California are now essentially the same as those in most other States.

Procedures for inferring marital status for births in New York City changed effective in 1997; New York City is a separate registration area. Beginning in 1997, the mother is assumed to be unmarried if the father's name is missing from the birth certificate, or if a paternity affidavit was filed; these are the same procedures that have been in effect in the balance of New York State for many years. Through 1996, the inferential criteria had included a comparison of the surnames of the mother and father (13,14).

Data on marital status for Nevada prior to 1997 are based on inferential procedures with the key criterion relying on a comparison of parental surnames. Beginning in 1997, Nevada is collecting information on the mother's marital status through the electronic birth registration process. This item is not included, however, on certified or paper copies of the birth certificate. Because of a recently discovered computer processing error, the data previously reported by Nevada for 1995 and 1996 substantially overestimated the number and percent of births to unmarried women. Corrected summary data are now available. The percent unmarried was 38.8 percent in 1995 and 39.3 percent in 1996. Based on the direct question, 35.5 percent of births in 1997 were to unmarried women. If the inferential procedures had remained in effect in 1997, however, Nevada estimates that 39.8 percent of births in that year were to unmarried women (slightly higher than in 1996). The change in reporting procedures therefore accounts for nearly all of the reported change in nonmarital births in Nevada in 1997.

Because California and New York City together account for 17 percent of U.S. births, data by marital status for these areas were examined carefully to determine the effect of these reporting changes on the national data. The reporting changes had little impact on the total numbers and proportions of nonmarital births for the United States as a whole, and relatively little impact on the overall data for California. The changes affected the overall data for New York State (including New York City) as well as the data by age. In general, there was a tendency for the proportion unmarried to increase between 1996 and 1997 for women aged 15-24 years, and for the proportions to decrease for women aged 30-44 years; changes for women aged 25-29 years were not consistent. The contrasts by age were very pronounced in the data for California. Large decreases in nonmarital births were found for all age groups for New York.

The tendency for considerable increases in the proportions of nonmarital births among teenagers and the concurrent decline for older women in California is a direct reflection of the changes in reporting procedures in that

State. Previously, unmarried women were frequently listing the father's name on the birth certificate. In many cases, especially among younger Hispanic and Asian or Pacific Islander women, the inferential procedures identified these births, incorrectly, as occurring to married women, a result of variations in naming practices among these population groups. National and State laws now preclude the father's name from being listed unless the parents are married or a paternity affidavit has been filed. Among older married women who have retained their birth surname after marriage, particularly well-educated white women, there was a tendency for their births to be considered nonmarital, because the surnames did not match. For California, the changes in nonmarital birth patterns by age were compensating, so that the overall levels of nonmarital births for California in 1997 are only moderately higher than in 1996. For New York, the changes in inferential procedures affected all age groups, identifying fewer births as nonmarital in 1997; without these changes, levels of nonmarital births would have been unchanged (13,14).

The use of inferential marital status data together with information from a direct question represents an attempt to use related information on the birth certificate to improve the quality of national data as well as to provide data for the individual nonreporting States. An evaluation of this method and its validity for California (the largest nonreporting State until 1997) has been published (15). Because of the continued substantial increases in nonmarital childbearing throughout the 1980's, the data have been intensively evaluated by the Division of Vital Statistics, NCHS. The results of this evaluation show that trends in birth rates for unmarried women for rates computed on the basis of estimated data and on the basis of inferred data are essentially the same.

The mother's marital status was not reported in 1997 on 0.05 percent of the birth records in the 46 States and the District of Columbia where this information is obtained by a direct question. Marital status was imputed as "married" for these records.

When births to unmarried women are reported as second or higher order births, it is not known whether the mother was married or unmarried when the previous deliveries occurred, because her marital status at the time of these earlier births is not available from the birth record.

Rates for 1940 and 1950 are based on decennial census counts. Rates for 1955-97 are based on a smoothed series of population estimates (12). Because of sampling error, the original U.S. Bureau of the Census population estimates by marital status fluctuate erratically from year to year; therefore, they have been smoothed so that the rates do not show similar variations. These rates differ from those published in volumes of *Vital Statistics of the United States* before 1969, which were based on the original estimates provided annually by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Birth rates by marital status for 1971-79 have been revised and differ from rates published before 1980 in volumes of *Vital Statistics of the United States of the United States* (see "Computation of rates and other measures").

Place of delivery and attendant at birth

The 1989 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth included separate categories for freestanding birthing centers, the mother's residence, and clinic or doctor's office as the place of birth. Prior to 1989, place of birth was classified simply as either "In hospital" or "Not in hospital." Births occurring in hospitals, institutions, clinics, centers, or homes were included in the category "In hospital." In this context the word "homes" does not refer to the mother's residence but to an institution, such as a home for unmarried women. Birthing centers were included in either category, depending on each State's assessment of the facility. Beginning in 1989 births occurring in clinics and in birthing centers not attached to a hospital are classified as "Not in hospital." This change in classification may account in part for the lower proportion of "In hospital" births compared with previous years. (The change in classification of clinics should have minor impact because comparatively few births occur in these facilities, but the effect of any change in classification of freestanding birthing centers is unknown.)

Beginning in 1975 the attendant at birth and place of delivery items were coded independently, primarily to permit the identification of the person in attendance at hospital deliveries. The 1989 certificate includes separate classifications for doctor of medicine (MD), doctor of osteopathy (DO), certified nurse midwife (CNM), other midwife, and other attendants. In earlier certificates births attended by certified nurse midwives were grouped with those attended by lay midwives. The new certificate also facilitates the identification of home births, births in freestanding birthing centers, and births in clinics or physician offices.

Data for the "In hospital" category for 1975-88 include all births in clinics or maternity centers, regardless of the attendant. Data for 1975-77 published before 1980 included clinic and center births in the category "In hospital" only when the attendant was a physician. Data shown for 1975-77 published after 1980 will, therefore, differ from data published before 1980. As a result of this change, for 1975 an additional 12,352 births are now classified as occurring in hospitals, raising the percent of births occurring in hospitals from 98.7 to 99.1. Similarly, for 1976 the number of

births occurring in hospitals increased by 14,133 and the percent in hospitals raised from 98.6 to 99.1; for 1977 the increase is 15,937 and the percent in hospitals raised from 98.5 to 99.0. For 1974 and earlier the "In hospital" category includes all births in hospitals or institutions and births in clinics, centers, or maternity homes only when attended by physicians.

The "Not in hospital" category includes births for which no information is reported on place of birth. Before 1975 births for which the stated place of birth was a "doctor's office" and delivery was by a physician were included in the category ``In hospital." Beginning in 1975 these births were tabulated as "Not in hospital" and included with births delivered by physicians in this category. Although the actual number of such births is unknown, the effect of the change is minimal. In 1974, 0.3 percent of all births were delivered by physicians outside of hospitals; in 1975 this proportion was 0.4 percent.

Babies born on the way to or on arrival at the hospital are classified as having been born in the hospital. This may account for some of the hospital births not delivered by physicians or midwives.

Beginning in 1993, all in-hospital births occurring in Illinois where the attendant was classified as an "other" midwife were changed to certified nurse-midwife. This was necessary because almost all of these births were delivered by midwives certified by the American College of Nurse Midwives but because Illinois does not certify midwives, many of these births were classified as "other" midwives.

Birthweight

Birthweight is reported in some areas in pounds and ounces rather than in grams. However, the metric system has been used in tabulating and presenting the statistics to facilitate comparison with data published by other groups. The categories for birthweight were changed in 1979 to be consistent with the recommendations in the *Ninth Revision of the International Classification of Diseases* (ICD-9). The categories in gram intervals and their equivalents in pounds and ounces are as follows:

Less than 500 grams = 1 lb 1 oz or less 500-999 grams = 1 lb 2 oz-2 lb 3 oz 1,000-1,499 grams = 2 lb 4 oz-3 lb 4 oz 1,500-1,999 grams = 3 lb 5 oz-4 lb 6 oz 2,000-2,499 grams = 4 lb 7 oz-5 lb 8 oz 2,500-2,999 grams = 5 lb 9 oz-6 lb 9 oz 3,000-3,499 grams = 6 lb 10 oz-7 lb 11 oz 3,500-3,999 grams = 7 lb 12 oz-8 lb 13 oz 4,000-4,499 grams = 8 lb 14 oz-9 lb 14 oz 4,500-4,999 grams = 9 lb 15 oz-11 lb 0 oz 5,000 grams or more = 11 lb 1 oz or more

The ICD-9 defines low birthweight as less than 2,500 grams. This is a shift of 1 gram from the previous criterion of 2,500 grams or less, which was recommended by the American Academy of Pediatrics in 1935 and adopted in 1948 by the World Health Organization in the *Sixth Revision of the International Lists of Diseases and Causes of Death*.

After data classified by pounds and ounces are converted to grams, median weights are computed and rounded before publication. To establish the continuity of class intervals needed to convert pounds and ounces to grams, the end points of these intervals are assumed to be half an ounce less at the lower end and half an ounce more at the upper end. For example, 2 lb 4 oz-3 lb 4 oz is interpreted as 2 lb 3 ½ oz-3 lb 4 ½ oz.

Births for which birthweight is not reported are excluded from the computation of percents and medians.

Period of gestation

The period of gestation is defined as beginning with the first day of the last normal menstrual period (LMP) and ending with the day of the birth. The LMP is used as the initial date because it can be more accurately determined than the date of conception, which usually occurs 2 weeks after the LMP.

Births occurring before 37 completed weeks of gestation are considered to be "preterm" or "premature" for purposes of classification. At 37-41 weeks gestation, births are considered to be "term," and at 42 completed weeks and over, "postterm." These distinctions are according to the ICD-9 definitions.

The 1989 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth included a new item, "clinical estimate of gestation," that is being compared with length of gestation computed from the LMP date when the latter appears to be inconsistent with birthweight. This is done for normal weight births of apparently short gestations and very low birthweight births reported to be full term. The clinical estimate also was used if the date of the LMP was not reported. The period of gestation for 4.9 percent of the births in 1997 was based on the clinical estimate of gestation. For 97 percent of these records the clinical estimate was used because the LMP date was not reported. For the remaining 3 percent the clinical estimate was used because it was compatible with the reported birth weight, whereas the LMP-computed gestation and the clinical estimate of gestation, the LMP-computed gestation was used if it was within 5 weeks of the clinical estimate and birth weight was reclassified as "not stated." This was necessary for fewer than 300 births or less than 0.01 percent of all birth records in 1997. If the reported birthweight was inconsistent with both the LMP-computed gestation and the clinical estimate of gestation, gestation and birthweight were classified as "not stated" if the LMP-computed gestation was not within 5 weeks of the clinical estimate of gestation of gestation and birthweight was inconsistent with both the LMP-computed gestation and the clinical estimate of gestation and birthweight were classified as "not stated" if the LMP-computed gestation was not within 5 weeks of the clinical estimate of gestation of the clinical estimate of all birth records in 1997. If the reported birthweight were classified as "not stated" if the LMP-computed gestation was not within 5 weeks of the clinical estimate. These changes result in only a very small discontinuity in the data. For further information on the use of the clinical estimate of gestation see "Computer Edits for Natality Data, Effective 1993," *NCHS Instruction M*

Before 1981 the period of gestation was computed only when there was a valid month, day, and year of LMP. However, length of gestation could not be determined from a substantial number of live-birth certificates each year because the day of LMP was missing. Beginning in 1981 weeks of gestation have been imputed for records with missing day of LMP when there is a valid month and year. Each such record is assigned the gestational period in weeks of the preceding record that has a complete LMP date with the same computed months of gestation and the same 500-gram birthweight interval. The effect of the imputation procedure is to increase slightly the proportion of preterm births and to lower the proportion of births at 39, 40, 41, and 42 weeks of gestation. A more complete discussion of this procedure and its implications is presented in a previous report (16).

Because of postconception bleeding or menstrual irregularities, the presumed date of LMP may be in error. In these instances the computed gestational period may be longer or shorter than the true gestational period, but the extent of such errors is unknown.

Month of pregnancy prenatal care began

For those records in which the name of the month is entered for this item, instead of first, second, third, and so forth, the month of pregnancy in which prenatal care began is determined from the month named and the month last normal menses began. For these births, if the item "Date last normal menses began" is not stated, the month of pregnancy in which prenatal care began is tabulated as not stated.

Number of prenatal visits

Tabulations of the number of prenatal visits were presented for the first time in 1972. Beginning in 1989 these data were collected from the birth certificates of all States. Percent distributions and the median number of prenatal visits exclude births to mothers who had no prenatal care.

Apgar score

The 1- and 5-minute Apgar scores were added to the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth in 1978 to evaluate the condition of the newborn infant at 1 and 5 minutes after birth. The Apgar score is a useful measure of the need for resuscitation and a predictor of the infant's chances of surviving the first year of life. It is a summary measure of the infant's condition based on heart rate, respiratory effort, muscle tone, reflex irritability, and color. Each of these factors is given a score of 0, 1, or 2; the sum of these 5 values is the Apgar score, which ranges from 0 to 10. A score of 10 is optimum, and a low score raises some doubts about the survival and subsequent health of the infant. Beginning in 1995, NCHS only collected information on the 5-minute Apgar score. In 1997 the reporting area for the 5-minute Apgar score

was comprised of 48 States and the District of Columbia, accounting for 78 percent of all births in the United States. California and Texas did not have information on Apgar scores on their birth certificate.

Tobacco and alcohol use during pregnancy

The checkbox format allows for classification of a mother as a smoker or drinker during pregnancy and for reporting the average number of cigarettes smoked per day or drinks consumed per week. When smoking and/or drinking status is not reported or is inconsistent with the quantity of cigarettes or drinks reported, the status is changed to be consistent with the amount reported. For example, if the drinking status is reported as "no" but one or more average drinks a week are reported, the mother is classified as a drinker. If the number of cigarettes smoked per day is reported as one or more, the mother is considered a smoker. When one (or a fraction of one) drink a week is recorded, the mother is classified as a drinker. For records on which the number of drinks or number of cigarettes is reported as a span, for example, 10-15, the lower number is used. The number of drinkers and number of drinks reported on birth certificates are believed to underestimate actual alcohol use.

Data on tobacco use were collected by 46 States, the District of Columbia, and New York City in 1997. This reporting area accounted for 80 percent of all births in the U.S. in 1997. Information was not available for California, Indiana, South Dakota, and the remainder of New York State. Information on alcohol use was included on the certificates of 48 States and the District of Columbia, accounting for 86 percent of all U.S. births in 1997. California and South Dakota did not include items on alcohol use of their birth certificates.

Weight gained during pregnancy

Weight gain is reported in pounds. A loss of weight is reported as zero gain. Computations of median weight gain were based on ungrouped data. This item was included on the certificates of 49 States and the District of Columbia; California did not report this information. This reporting area excluding California accounted for 86 percent of all births in the United States in 1997.

Medical risk factors for this pregnancy

In 1997 an item on medical risk factors was included on the birth certificates of all States and the District of Columbia, but 3 States did not report all of the 16 risk factors. Alabama did not report renal disease, Texas did not report genital herpes or uterine bleeding, and Kansas did not report Rh sensitization.

The format allows for the designation of more than one risk factor and includes a choice of "None." Accordingly, if the item is not completed, it is classified as "Not stated."

The following definitions are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials for the Association for Vital Records and Health Statistics (17).

Definitions of medical terms

Anemia--Hemoglobin level of less than 10.0 g/dL during pregnancy or a hematocrit of less than 30 percent during pregnancy.

Cardiac disease--Disease of the heart.

Acute or chronic lung disease--Disease of the lungs during pregnancy.

Diabetes--Metabolic disorder characterized by excessive discharge of urine and persistent thirst; includes juvenile onset, adult onset, and gestational diabetes during pregnancy.

Genital herpes--Infection of the skin of the genital area by herpes simplex virus.

*Hydramnios/oligohydramnios--*Any noticeable excess (hydramnios) or lack (oligohydramnios) of amniotic fluid. *Hemoglobinopathy--*A blood disorder caused by alteration in the genetically determined molecular structure of hemoglobin (for example, sickle cell anemia).

Hypertension, chronic--Blood pressure persistently greater than 140/90, diagnosed prior to onset of pregnancy or before the 20th week of gestation.

*Hypertension, pregnancy-associated--*An increase in blood pressure of at least 30 mm Hg systolic or 15 mm Hg diastolic on two measurements taken 6 hours apart after the 20th week of gestation.

Eclampsia--The occurrence of convulsions and/or coma unrelated to other cerebral conditions in women with signs and symptoms of pre-eclampsia.

Incompetent cervix--Characterized by painless dilation of the cervix in the second trimester or early in the third trimester of pregnancy, with prolapse of membranes through the cervix and ballooning

of the membranes into the vagina, followed by rupture of membranes and subsequent expulsion of the fetus.

*Previous infant 4,000+ grams--*The birthweight of a previous live-born child was over 4,000 grams (8 lbs 13 oz). Previous preterm or small-for-gestational-age infant--Previous birth of an infant prior to term (before 37 completed weeks of gestation) or of an infant weighing less than the 10th percentile for gestational age using a standard weight-for-age chart.

Renal disease--Kidney disease.

Rh sensitization--The process or state of becoming sensitized to the Rh factor as when an Rh-negative woman is pregnant with an Rh-positive fetus.

Uterine bleeding--Any clinically significant bleeding during the pregnancy, taking into consideration the stage of pregnancy; any second or third trimester bleeding of the uterus prior to the onset of labor.

Obstetric procedures

This item includes six specific obstetric procedures. Birth records with "Obstetric procedures" left blank are considered "not stated." Data on obstetric procedures were reported by all States and the District of Columbia in 1997.

The following definitions are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials for the National Association for Public Health Statistics and Information Systems (NAPHSIS), formerly the Association for Vital Records and Health Statistics (17).

Definitions of medical terms

Amniocentesis--Surgical transabdominal perforation of the uterus to obtain amniotic fluid to be used in the detection of genetic disorders, fetal abnormalities, and fetal lung maturity.

Electronic fetal monitoring--Monitoring with external devices applied to the maternal abdomen or with internal devices with an electrode attached to the fetal scalp and a catheter through the cervix into the uterus, to detect and record fetal heart tones and uterine contractions.

Induction of labor--The initiation of uterine contractions before the spontaneous onset of labor by medical and/or surgical means for the purpose of delivery.

Stimulation of labor--Augmentation of previously established labor by use of oxytocin.

Tocolysis--Use of medications to inhibit preterm uterine contractions to extend the length of pregnancy and therefore avoid a preterm birth.

Ultrasound--Visualization of the fetus and placenta by means of sound waves.

Complications of labor and/or delivery

The checkbox format allows for the selection of 15 specific complications and for the designation of more than 1 complication where appropriate. A choice of "None" is also included. Accordingly, if the item is not completed, it is classified as "not stated."

All States and the District of Columbia included this item on their birth certificates in 1997. However, Texas did not report all of the complications. Texas did not report genital herpes and uterine bleeding.

The following definitions are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials. (17).

Definitions of medical terms

Febrile--A fever greater than 100 degrees F. or 38 C. occurring during labor and/or delivery.

*Meconium, moderate/heavy--*Meconium consists of undigested debris from swallowed amniotic fluid, various products of secretion, excretion, and shedding by the gastrointestinal tract; moderate to heavy amounts of meconium in the amniotic fluid noted during labor and/or delivery.

Premature rupture of membranes (more than 12 hours)--Rupture of the membranes at any time during pregnancy and more than 12 hours before the onset of labor.

Abruptio placenta--Premature separation of a normally implanted placenta from the uterus.

Placenta previa--Implantation of the placenta over or near the internal opening of the cervix.

Other excessive bleeding--The loss of a significant amount of blood from conditions other than abruptio placenta or placenta previa.

Seizures during labor--Maternal seizures occurring during labor from any cause.

Precipitous labor (less than 3 hours)--Extremely rapid labor and delivery lasting less than 3 hours.

Prolonged labor (more than 20 hours)--Abnormally slow progress of labor lasting more than 20 hours.

Dysfunctional labor--Failure to progress in a normal pattern of labor.

*Breech/malpresentation--*At birth, the presentation of the fetal buttocks rather than the head, or other malpresentation.

Cephalopelvic disproportion--The relationship of the size, presentation, and position of the fetal head to the maternal pelvis prevents dilation of the cervix and/or descent of the fetal head.

Cord prolapse--Premature expulsion of the umbilical cord in labor before the fetus is delivered.

Anesthetic complications--Any complication during labor and/or delivery brought on by an anesthetic agent or agents.

Fetal distress--Signs indicating fetal hypoxia (deficiency in amount of oxygen reaching fetal tissues).

Abnormal conditions of the newborn

This item provides information on eight specific abnormal conditions. More than one abnormal condition may be reported for a given birth or ``None" may be selected. If the item is not completed it is tabulated as ``not stated." This item was included on the birth certificates of all States and the District of Columbia in 1997. However, four areas did not include all conditions. Nebraska and Texas did not report birth injury, New York City did not report assisted ventilation less than 30 minutes or assisted ventilation of 30 minutes or more, and Wisconsin did not report fetal alcohol syndrome.

The following definitions are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics. (17).

Definitions of medical terms

Anemia--Hemoglobin level of less than 13.0 g/dL or a hematocrit of less than 39 percent.

Birth injury--Impairment of the infant's body function or structure due to adverse influences that occurred at birth. *Fetal alcohol syndrome*--A syndrome of altered prenatal growth and development occurring in infants born of women who consumed excessive amounts of alcohol during pregnancy.

*Hyaline membrane disease/RDS--*A disorder primarily of prematurity, manifested clinically by respiratory distress and pathologically by pulmonary hyaline membranes and incomplete expansion of the lungs at birth.

Meconium aspiration syndrome--Aspiration of meconium by the fetus or newborn, affecting the lower respiratory system.

Assisted ventilation (less than 30 minutes)--A mechanical method of assisting respiration for newborns with respiratory failure.

Assisted ventilation (30 minutes or more)--Newborn placed on assisted ventilation for 30 minutes or longer. Seizures--A seizure of any etiology.

Congenital anomalies of child

The data provided in this item relate to 21 specific anomalies or anomaly groups. It is well documented that congenital anomalies, except for the most visible and most severe, are incompletely reported on birth certificates. The

completeness of reporting specific anomalies depends on how easily they are recognized in the short time between birth and birth-registration. Forty-nine States and the District of Columbia included this item on their birth certificates (New Mexico did not). This reporting area included 99 percent of all births in the United States in 1997. The format allows for the identification of more than one anomaly including a choice of "None" should no anomalies be evident. The category "not stated" includes birth records for which the item is not completed.

The following definitions are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials. (17).

Definitions of medical terms

Anencephalus--Absence of the cerebral hemispheres.

Spina bifida/meningocele--Developmental anomaly characterized by defective closure of the bony encasement of the spinal cord, through which the cord and meninges may or may not protrude.

Hydrocephalus--Excessive accumulation of cerebrospinal fluid within the ventricles of the brain with consequent enlargement of the cranium.

Microcephalus--A significantly small head.

Other central nervous system anomalies--Other specified anomalies of the brain, spinal cord, and nervous system. *Heart malformations*--Congenital anomalies of the heart.

*Other circulatory/respiratory anomalies--*Other specified anomalies of the circulatory and respiratory systems. *Rectal atresia/stenosis--*Congenital absence, closure, or narrowing of the rectum.

Tracheo-esophageal fistula/Esophageal atresia--An abnormal passage between the trachea and the esophagus; esophageal atresia is the congenital absence or closure of the esophagus.

Omphalocele/gastroschisis--An omphalocele is a protrusion of variable amounts of abdominal viscera from a midline defect at the base of the umbilicus. In gastroschisis, the abdominal viscera protrude

through an abdominal wall defect, usually on the right side of the umbilical cord insertion.

Other gastrointestinal anomalies--Other specified congenital anomalies of the gastrointestinal system.

Malformed genitalia--Congenital anomalies of the reproductive organs.

Renal agenesis--One or both kidneys are completely absent.

Other urogenital anomalies--Other specified congenital anomalies of the organs concerned in the production and excretion of urine, together with organs of reproduction.

Cleft lip/palate--Cleft lip is a fissure of elongated opening of the lip; cleft palate is a fissure in the roof of the mouth. These are failures of embryonic development.

*Polydactyly/syndactyly/adactyly-*Polydactyly is the presence of more than five digits on either hands and/or feet; syndactyly is having fused or webbed fingers and/or toes; adactyly is the absence of fingers and/or toes.

Club foot--Deformities of the foot, which is twisted out of shape or position.

Diaphragmatic hernia-- Herniation of the abdominal contents through the diaphragm into the thoracic cavity usually resulting in respiratory distress.

Other musculoskeletal/integumental anomalies--Other specified congenital anomalies of the muscles, skeleton, or skin.

Down's syndrome--The most common chromosomal defect with most cases resulting from an extra chromosome (trisomy 21).

Other chromosomal anomalies--All other chromosomal aberrations.

Method of delivery

The birth certificate contains a checkbox item on method of delivery. The choices include vaginal delivery, with the additional options of forceps, vacuum, and vaginal birth after previous cesarean section (VBAC), as well as a choice of primary or repeat cesarean. When only forceps, vacuum, or VBAC is checked, a vaginal birth is assumed. In 1997 this information was collected from the birth certificates of all States and the District of Columbia.

Several rates are computed for method of delivery. The overall cesarean section rate or total cesarean rate is computed as the proportion of all births that were delivered by cesarean section. The primary cesarean rate is a measure that relates the number of women having a primary cesarean birth to all women giving birth who have never had a cesarean delivery. The denominator for this rate is the sum of women with a vaginal birth excluding VBACs and women

with a primary cesarean birth. The rate for vaginal birth after previous cesarean (VBAC) delivery is computed by relating all VBAC deliveries to the sum of VBAC and repeat cesarean deliveries, that is, to women with a previous cesarean section. VBAC rates for first births exist because the rates are computed on the basis of previous pregnancies, not just live births.

Hispanic parentage

The 1989 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Births includes items to identify the Hispanic origin of the parents. Concurrent with the 1978 revision of the U.S. Certificate of Live Birth, NCHS recommended that items to identify the Hispanic or ethnic origin of the newborn's parents be included on birth certificates and has tabulated and evaluated these data from the reporting States. All 50 States and the District of Columbia reported Hispanic origin of the parents for 1997. In 1989 Louisiana, New Hampshire, and Oklahoma did not report this information; in 1990 New Hampshire and Oklahoma did not report, and in 1991-92 New Hampshire did not report Hispanic origin.

In computing birth and fertility rates for the Hispanic population, births with origin of mother not stated are included with non-Hispanic births rather than being distributed. Thus, rates for the Hispanic population are underestimates of the true rates to the extent that the births with origin of mother not stated (1.4 percent in 1997) were actually to Hispanic mothers. The population with origin not stated was imputed. The effect on the rates is believed to be small.

Quality of data

Although vital statistics data are useful for a variety of administrative and scientific purposes, they cannot be correctly interpreted unless various qualifying factors and methods of classification are taken into account. The factors to be considered depend on the specific purposes for which the data are to be used. It is not feasible to discuss all the pertinent factors in the use of vital statistics tabulations, but some of the more important ones should be mentioned.

Most of the factors limiting the use of data arise from imperfections in the original records or from the impracticability of tabulating these data in very detailed categories. These limitations should not be ignored, but their existence does not lessen the value of the data for most general purposes.

Completeness of registration

An estimated 99 percent of all births occurring in the United States in 1997 were registered; for white births registration was 99.4 percent complete and for all other births, 98.6 percent complete. These estimates are based on the results of the 1964-68 test of birth-registration completeness according to place of delivery (in or out of hospital) and race and on the 1989 proportions of births in these categories. The primary purpose of the test was to obtain current measures of registration completeness for births in and out of hospital by race on a national basis. Data for States were not available as they had been from the previous birth-registration tests in 1940 and 1950. A detailed discussion of the method and results of the 1964-68 birth-registration test is available (18).

The 1964-68 test has provided an opportunity to revise the estimates of birth-registration completeness for the years since the previous test in 1950 to reflect the improvement in registration. This has been done using registration completeness figures from the two tests by place of delivery and race. Estimates of registration completeness for four groups (based on place of delivery and race) for 1951-65 were computed by interpolation between the test results. (It was assumed that the data from the more recent test are for 1966, the midpoint of the test period.) The results of the 1964-68 test are assumed to prevail for 1966 and later years. These estimates were used with the proportions of births registered in these categories to obtain revised numbers of births adjusted for underregistration for each year. The overall percent of birth-registration completeness by race was then computed. Data adjusted for underregistration for 1951-59 have been revised to be consistent with the 1964-68 test results and differ slightly from data shown in annual reports for years before 1969. For these years the published number of births and birth rates for both racial groups have been revised slightly downward because the 1964-68 test indicated that previous adjustments to registered births were slightly inflated. Because registration completeness figures by age of mother and by live-birth order are not available from the 1964-68 test, it must be assumed that the relationships among these variables have not changed since 1950.

Discontinuation of adjustment for underregistration, 1960--Adjustment for underregistration of births was discontinued in 1960 when birth registration for the United States was estimated to be 99.1 percent complete. This removed a bias introduced into age-specific rates when adjusted births classified by age were used. Age-specific rates are calculated by dividing the number of births to an age group of mothers by the population of women in that age group. Tests have shown that population figures are likely to be understated through census undercounts; these errors compensate for underregistration of births. Adjustment for underregistration of births, therefore, removes the compensating effect of underenumeration, biasing the age-specific rates more than when uncorrected birth and population data are used. (For further details see page 4-11 in the Technical Appendix of volume I, Vital Statistics of the United States, 1963.)

The age-specific rates used in the cohort fertility tables are an exception to the above statement. These rates are computed from births corrected for underregistration and population estimates adjusted for underenumeration and misstatement of age. Adjusted birth and population estimates are used for the cohort rates because they are an integral part of a series of rates, estimated with a consistent methodology. It was considered desirable to maintain consistency with respect to the cohort rates, even though it means that they will not be precisely comparable with other rates shown for 5-year age groups.

Completeness of reporting

Interpretation of these data must include evaluation of item completeness. The percent "not stated" is one measure of the quality of the data. Completeness of reporting varies among items and States. See table A for the percent of birth records on which specified items were not stated.

Quality control procedures

States in the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program are required to have an error rate of less than 2.0 percent for each item for 3 consecutive data months during the initial qualifying period. Once a State is qualified, NCHS monitors the quality of data received. This was achieved through independent verification of a sample of records for some States as well as comparing the State data with data from previous years. In addition, there is verification at the State level before NCHS is sent the data.

After the coding is completed, counts of the taped records are balanced against control totals for each shipment of records from a registration area. Impossible codes are eliminated during the editing processes on the computer and corrected on the basis of reference to the source record or adjusted by arbitrary code assignment. All subsequent operations involved in tabulation and table preparation are verified during computer processing or by statistical clerks.

Small frequencies

The numbers of births reported for an area represent complete counts. As such, they are not subject to sampling error, although they are subject to errors in the registration process. However, when the figures are used for analytical purposes, such as the comparison of rates over a period of time or for different areas, the number of events that actually occurred may be considered as one of a large series of possible results that could have arisen under the same circumstances. The probable range of values may be estimated from the actual figures according to certain statistical assumptions.

In general, distributions of vital events may be assumed to follow the binomial distribution. Estimates of standard errors and tests of significance under this assumption are described in most standard statistics texts. When the number of events is large, the relative standard error, expressed as a percent of the number or rate, is usually small.

When the number of events is small (fewer than 100) and the probability of such an event is small, considerable caution must be observed in interpreting the conditions described by the figures.

Events of rare nature may be assumed to follow a Poisson probability distribution. For this distribution, a simple approximation may be used to estimate the error as follows:

If N is the number of births and R is the corresponding rate, the chances are 19 in 20 that

1. The "true" number of events lies between

$$N - 2\sqrt{N}$$
 and $N + 2\sqrt{N}$

2. The "true" rate lies between

$$R - 2\frac{R}{\sqrt{N}}$$
 and $R + 2\frac{R}{\sqrt{N}}$

If the rate R1 corresponding to N1 events is compared with the rate R2 corresponding to N2 events, the difference between the two rates may be regarded as statistically significant if it exceeds

$$2\sqrt{\frac{R_{I}^{2}}{N_{I}} + \frac{R_{2}^{2}}{N_{2}}}$$

For example, suppose that the observed birth rate for area A was 15.0 per 1,000 population and that this rate was based on 50 recorded births. Given prevailing conditions, the chances are 19 in 20 that the ``true" or underlying birth rate for that area lies between 10.8 and 19.2 per 1,000 population. Let it be further supposed that the birth rate for area A of 15.0 per 1,000 population is being compared with a rate of 20.0 per 1,000 population for area B, which is based on 40 recorded births. Although the difference between the rates for the two areas is 5.0, this difference is less than twice the standard error of the difference

$$2\sqrt{\frac{(15.0)^2}{50} + \frac{(20.0)^2}{40}}$$

of the two rates that is computed to be 7.6. From this, it is concluded that the difference between the rates for the two areas is not statistically significant.

Computation of rates and other measures

Population bases

The rates shown in this report were computed on the basis of population statistics prepared by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Rates for 1940, 1950, 1960, 1970, 1980, and 1990 are based on the population enumerated as of April 1 in the censuses of those years. Rates for all other years are based on the estimated midyear (July 1) population for the respective years. Birth rates for the United States, individual States, and metropolitan areas are based on the total resident populations of the respective areas. Except as noted these populations exclude the Armed Forces abroad but include the Armed Forces stationed in each area.

The resident population of the birth- and death-registration States for 1900-32 and for the United States for 1900-97 is shown in table 4-1. In addition, the population including Armed Forces abroad is shown for the United States. Table C shows the sources for these populations.

In both the 1980 and 1990 censuses, a substantial number of persons did not specify a racial group that could be classified as any of the White, Black, American Indian, Eskimo, Aleut, Asian, or Pacific Islander categories on the census form (19). In 1980 the number of persons of "other" race was 6,758,319; in 1990 it was 9,804,847. In both censuses, the large majority of these persons were of Hispanic origin (based on response to a separate question on the form), and many wrote in their Hispanic origin, or Hispanic origin type (for example, Mexican, Puerto Rican) as their race. In both 1980 and 1990, persons of unspecified race were allocated to one of the four tabulated racial groups (white, black, American Indian, Asian or Pacific Islander), based on their response to the Hispanic origin question. These four race categories conform with the 1979 edition of OMB Directive 15 which mandates that race data must contain at least these 4 categories. These categories are also more consistent with the race categories in vital statistics.

In the allocation of unspecified race was carried out using cross-tabulations of age, sex, race, type of Hispanic origin, and county of residence. Persons of Hispanic origin and unspecified race were allocated to either white or black, based on their Hispanic origin type. Persons of "other" race and Mexican origin were categorically assumed to be white, while persons in other Hispanic categories were distributed to white and black pro rata within the county-age-sex group. For "other-not-specified" persons who were not Hispanic, race was allocated to white, black, or Asian and Pacific Islander, based on proportions gleaned from sample data. The 20-percent sample (respondents who were enumerated on the longer census form) provided a highly detailed coding of race, which allowed identification of otherwise unidentifiable responses with a specified race category. Allocation proportions were thus established at the State level, which were used to distribute the non-Hispanic persons of "other" race in the 100-percent tabulations.

In 1990 the race modification procedure was carried out using individual census records. Persons whose race could not be specified were assigned to a racial category using a pool of "race donors," which was derived from persons of specified race and the identical response to the Hispanic origin question within the auspices of the same Census District Office. As in 1980, the underlying assumption was that the Hispanic origin response was the major criterion for allocating race. Unlike 1980, persons of Hispanic origin, including Mexican, could be assigned to any racial group, rather than white or black only, and the non-Hispanic component of "other" race was allocated primarily on the basis of geography (District Office), rather than detailed characteristic.

The means by which respondent's age was determined were fundamentally different in the two censuses; therefore, the problems that necessitated the modification were different. In 1980 respondents reported year of birth and quarter of birth (within year) on the census form. When census results were tabulated, persons born in the first quarter of the year (before April 1) had age equal to 1980 minus year of birth, while persons born in the last three quarters had age equal to 1979 minus year of birth.

In 1990 the quarter year of birth was not reported on the census form, so that direct determination of age from year of birth was impossible. In 1990 census publications age is based on respondents' direct reports of age at last birthday. This definition proved inadequate for postcensal estimates, because it was apparent that many respondents had reported their age at time of either completion of the census form or interview by an enumerator, which could occur several months after the April 1 reference data. As a result, age was biased upward. Modification was based on a respecification of age, for most individual respondents, by year of birth, with allocation to first quarter (persons aged 1990 minus year of birth) and last three quarters (aged 1989 minus year of birth) based on a historical series of registered births by

month. This process partially restored the 1980 logic for assignment of age. It was not considered necessary to correct for age overstatement and heaping in 1990, because the availability of age and year of birth on the census form provided elimination of spurious year-of-birth reports in the census data before modification occurred.

Populations for 1997--The population of the United States by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin is shown in the Census Bureau report United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1997. PPL-91R.U.S. Bureau of the Census. Rounded populations are consistent with U.S. Bureau of the Census file NESTV97. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1998.

*Populations for 1996--*The population of the United States by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin is shown in the Census Bureau report, United States population estimates by age, sex, race and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1996. U.S. Bureau of the Census. PPL-57. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1997.

Populations for 1995--The population of the United States by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin is shown in the Census Bureau report, United States population estimates by age, sex, race and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1995. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Census file RESDO795, PPL-41. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1996.

*Populations for 1994--*The population of the United States by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin is shown in the Census Bureau report, United States population estimates by age, sex, race and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1994. U.S. Bureau of the Census. PPL-21. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1995.

Populations for 1993--The population of the United States by age, sex, race and Hispanic origin is tabulated from Census file RESO793.

*Populations for 1992--*The population of the United States by age, sex, race and Hispanic origin is tabulated from census file RESPO792.

Populations for 1991--The population of the United States by age, race, and sex is shown in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 1095. Monthly population figures were published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 1097.

*Populations for 1990--*The population of the United States by age, race, and sex, and the population for each State is shown *in Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 1095. The figures have been modified as described above. Monthly population figures were published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 1094.

Population estimates for 1981-89-Birth rates for 1981-89 (except those for cohorts of women) have been revised, based on revised population estimates that are consistent with the 1990 census levels, and thus may differ from rates published in volumes of *Vital Statistics of the United States* for these years. The 1990 census counted approximately 1.5 million fewer persons than had earlier been estimated for April 1, 1990. The revised estimates for the United States by age, race, and sex were published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 1095. Population estimates by month are based on data published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 1094 and unpublished data. Unpublished revised estimates for States were obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

*Populations for 1980--*The population of the United States by age, race, and sex, and the population for each State are shown in tables 4-2 and 4-3 of volume I, *Vital Statistics of the United States*, 1980. The figures by race have been modified as described above. Monthly population figures were published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 899.

Population estimates for 1971-79--Birth rates for 1971-79 (except those for cohorts of women) have been revised, based on revised population estimates that are consistent with the 1980 census levels, and thus may differ from rates published in volumes of *Vital Statistics of the United States* for these years. The 1980 census counted approximately 5.5 million more persons than had earlier been estimated for April 1, 1980 (17). The revised estimates for the United States by age, race, and sex were published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 917. Population estimates by month are based on data published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 899. Unpublished revised estimates for States were obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

*Population estimates for 1961-69--*Birth rates for 1961-69 are based on revised estimates of the population and thus may differ slightly from rates published before 1976. The revised estimates used in computing these rates were published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 519. The rates for 1961-64 are based on revised estimates of the population published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 519. The rates 321 and 324 and may differ slightly from rates published in those years.

Population estimates for 1951-59--Final intercensal estimates of the population by age, race, and sex and total population by State for 1951-59 are shown in tables 4-4 and 4-5 of volume I, *Vital Statistics of the United States*, 1966. Beginning with 1963 these final estimates have been used to compute birth rates for 1951-59 in all issues of *Vital Statistics of the United States*.

Net census undercounts and overcounts

The U.S. Bureau of the Census has conducted extensive research to evaluate the coverage of the U.S. population (including undercount, overcount, and misstatement of age, race, and sex) in the last five decennial censuses 1950, 1960, 1970, 1980, and 1990. These studies provide estimates of the national population, that were not enumerated or overenumerated in the respective censuses, by age, race, and sex (20-22). The report for 1990 (23) includes estimates of net underenumeration and overenumeration for age, sex, and racial subgroups of the national population, modified for race consistency with previous population counts as described in the section ``Population bases."

These studies indicate that there are differential coverages in the censuses among the population subgroups; that is, some age, race, and sex groups are more completely enumerated than others. To the extent that these estimates of overcounts or undercounts are valid, that they are substantial, and that they vary among subgroups and geographic areas, census miscounts can have consequences for vital statistics measures (21). However, the effects of undercounts in the census are reduced to the extent that there is underregistration of births. If these two factors are of equal magnitude, rates based on unadjusted populations are more accurate than those based on adjusted populations because the births have not been adjusted for underregistration.

The impact of net census miscounts on vital statistics measures includes the effects on levels of the rates and effects on differentials among groups.

If adjustments were made for persons who were not counted in the census of population, the size of the denominators would generally increase and the rates would be smaller than without an adjustment. Adjusted rates for 1990 can be computed by multiplying the reported rates by ratios of the 1990 census-level population adjusted for the estimated net census miscounts, which are shown in table D. A ratio of less than 1.0 indicates a net census undercount and would result in a corresponding decrease in the rate. A ratio in excess of 1.0 indicates a net census overcount and would result in a corresponding increase in the rate.

Enumeration of white females in the childbearing ages was at least 97 percent complete for all ages. Among black women, the undercount ranged up to 5 percent. Generally, females in the childbearing ages were more completely enumerated than males for similar race-age groups.

If vital statistics measures were calculated with adjustments for net census miscounts for each of these subgroups, the resulting rates would have been differentially changed from their original levels; that is, rates for those groups with the greatest estimated overcounts or undercounts would show the greatest relative changes due to these adjustments. Thus the racial differential in fertility between the white and the ``All other'' population can be affected by such adjustments.

Cohort fertility tables

The various fertility measures shown for cohorts of women are computed from births adjusted for underregistration and population estimates corrected for underenumeration and misstatement of age. Data published after 1974 use revised population estimates prepared by the U.S. Bureau of the Census and have been expanded to include data for the two major racial groups. Heuser has prepared a detailed description of the methods used in deriving these measures as well as more detailed data for earlier years (24).

Parity distribution--The percent distribution of women by parity (number of children ever born alive to mother) is derived from cumulative birth rates by order of birth. The percent of zero-parity women is found by subtracting the cumulative first birth rate from 1,000 and dividing by 10. The proportions of women at parities one through six are found from the following formula:

Percent at N parity =((cum. rate, order N) - (cum. rate, order N + 1))/10

The percent of women at seventh and higher parities is found by dividing the cumulative rate for seventh-order births by 10.

Birth probabilities--birth probabilities indicate the likelihood that a woman of a certain parity and age at the beginning of the year will have a child during the year. Birth probabilities differ from central birth rates in that the denominator for birth probabilities is specific for parity as well as for age.

Age-sex-adjusted birth rates

The age-sex-adjusted birth rates are computed by the direct method. The age distribution of women aged 10-49 years as enumerated in 1940 and the total population of the United States for that year are used as the standard populations. The age-sex-adjusted birth rates show differences in the level of fertility independent of differences in the age and sex composition of the population. It is important not to confuse these adjusted rates with the crude rates shown in other tables.

Total fertility rate

The total fertility rate is the sum of the birth rates by age of mother (in 5-year age groups) multiplied by 5. It is an age-adjusted rate because it is based on the assumption that there are the same number of women in each age group. The rate of 2,032.5 in 1997, for example, means that if a hypothetical group of 1,000 women were to have the same birth rates in each age group that were observed in the actual childbearing population in 1997, they would have a total of 2,032.5 children by the time they reached the end of the reproductive period (taken here to be age 50 years), assuming that all of the women survived to that age.

Intrinsic vital rates

The intrinsic vital rates are calculated from a stable population. A stable population is that hypothetical population, closed to external migration, that would become fixed in age-sex structure after repeated applications of a constant set of age-sex specific birth and death rates. For the mathematical derivation of intrinsic vital rates, see pages 4-13 and 4-14 in the Technical Appendix of volume I, Vital Statistics of the United States, 1962. The technique of calculating intrinsic vital rates is described by Barclay (25).

Seasonal adjustment of rates

The seasonally adjusted birth and fertility rates are computed from the X-11 variant of Census Method II (26). This method of seasonal adjustment used since 1964 differs slightly from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Seasonal Factor Method, which was used for Vital Statistics of the United States, 1964. The fundamental technique is the same in that it is an adaptation of the ratio-to-moving-average method. Before 1964 the method of seasonal adjustment was based on the X-9 variant and other variants of Census Method II. A comparison of the Census Method II with the BLS Seasonal Factor Method shows the differences in the seasonal patterns of births to be negligible.

Computation of percents, medians, and means

Percent distributions, medians, and means are computed using only events for which the characteristic is reported. The "Not stated" category is subtracted from the total before computation of these measures. The asterisk (*) indicates that the numerator and/or denominator number is less than 20.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. Official records; no 28 (Third World Health Assembly 3.6). Geneva: World Health Organization, 16-17. 1950.
- 2. National Office of Vital Statistics. International recommendations on definitions of live birth and fetal deaths. Washington: Public Health Service. 1950.
- 3. Statistical Office of the United Nations. Principles for vital statistics system: Recommendations for the improvement and standardization of vital statistics. Doc. ST/STAT/SER.M/19. New York: United Nations. 1953.
- 4. National Office of Vital Statistics. Births and birth rates in the entire United States, 1909 to 1948. Vital Statistics--Special reports; vol 33 no 8. Washington: Public Health Service. 1950.
- 5. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Population of metropolitan areas and component geography: 1990 and 1980 (6-30-90 definitions). 1990 CPH-L-10. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1991.
- 6. U.S. Department of Commerce. Metropolitan statistical area classification. Federal Register; vol 45 no 2. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 956-62. 1980.
- 7. U.S. Office of Management and Budget. Standard metropolitan statistical areas. Rev. ed. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 89-90. 1975.
- 8. U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1990 Census of Population. General population characteristics; (1990 CP-1-1). Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1992.
- 9. Martin JA. Birth characteristics for Asian or Pacific Islander subgroups, 1992. Monthly vital statistics report; vol 43 no 10, suppl. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1995.
- 10. Schachter J. Matched record comparison of birth certificate and census information in the United States, 1950. Vital statistics--Special Reports; vol 47 no12. Washington: Public Health Service. 1962.
- 11. Ventura SJ, Martin JA, Curtin SC, Mathews TJ. Report of final natality statistics, 1996. Monthly vital statistics report; vol 46 no 11, supp. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1998.
- 12. Ventura SJ. Births to unmarried mothers, United States, 1980-92. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 21(53). 1995.
- 13. Office of Vital Statistics and Epidemiology. Summary of vital statistics 1997 The City of New York. New York, New York: New York City Department of Health. 1998.
- 14. Berenson l, Office of Vital Statistics and Epidemiology, New York City Department of Health. Personal communication. February 1999.
- 15. Berkov B. An evaluation of California's inferred birth statistics for unmarried women. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(97). 1985.
- 16. Taffel S, Johnson D, Heuser R. A method for imputing length of gestation on birth certificates. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(93). 1982.
- 17. Brockert JE, Stockbauer JW, Senner JW, et al. Recommended standard medical definitions for the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth, 1989 revision. Paper presented at annual meeting of the Association for the Vital Record and Health Statistics. June 1990.
- 18. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Test of birth-registration completeness, 1964 to 1968. 1970 census of population and housing; PHC (E)-2. Evaluation and Research Program. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1973.
- 19. U.S. Bureau of the Census. U.S. population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin, 1980-91. Current population reports; series P-25, no 1095. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1993.
- 20. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Coverage of the national population in the 1980 census by age, sex, and race. Preliminary estimates by demographic analysis. Current population reports; series P-23, no 115. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1982.
- 21. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Estimates of coverage of the population by sex, race, and age--Demographic analysis. 1970 census of population and housing; PHC (E)-4. Evaluation and Research Program. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1974.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Developmental estimates of the coverage of the population of States in the 1970 census-demographic analysis. Current population reports; series P-23, no 65. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1977.
- 23. Robinson JG, Ahmed B, Das Gupta P, et al. Estimation of population coverage in the 1990 United States census based on demographic analysis. JASA 88(423):1061-71. 1993.

- 24. Heuser R. Fertility tables for birth cohorts by color: United States, 1917-73. Washington: National Center for Health Statistics. 1976.
- 25. Barclay GW. Techniques of population analysis. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 216-22. 1958.
- 26. U.S. Bureau of the Census. The X-11 variant of the Census Method II Seasonal Adjustment Program. Technical paper; no 15, 1967 rev. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1967.

TYPE/PRINT				U.S. S	TANDAR	D						
IN PERMANENT BLACK INK	LOCAL FILE NUN	BER	CEI	RTIFICATE			BIRT	н	BIRTH N	IUMBER		
FOR INSTRUCTIONS	1. CHILD'S NAME (First, Middle, Last)						2.	DATE OF	BIRTH (Mor	th,Day,Year)	3	TIME OF BIRTH
SEE HANDBOOK											M	
CHILD	4. SEX 5. CITY, TOWN, OR LOCAT		6. COUNTY OF BIRTH									
	7. PLACE OF BIRTH: Hospital Frees	tanding Birthing Center	8.	FACI	ITY NA	ME IIf not	institution,	give street and num	iber)			
	Clinic/Doctor's Office	Residence										
5	Other (Specify)											
ſ	I certify that this child was born alive at place and time and on the date stated.		DATE SIGNED 11. A Month,Day,Year)				ME AND	TITLE (If of	her than certifier) (1	ype/Pri	nt)	
					ne 11.D		0 🗆	C N M	Other Midwife			
CERTIFIER/	Signature											
	2. CERTIFIER'S NAME AND TITLE (Type/F	Print)			1					eet and Number or	Rural R	oute Number,
DEATH UNDER ONE YEAR OF	Name				Cny	0/ 10	WII, SIA	te, Zıp Co	ue)			
AGE Enter State File		tal Admin. C.N.M.		Other Midwife								
Number of death certificate for	Other (Specify) 14. REGISTRAR'S SIGNATURE				1			15. DAT	E FILED BY	REGISTRAR (Month	,Day,Y	ear)
this child												
(16a. MOTHER'S NAME (First, Middle, Last)			1	6b. MAIDEN	I SUR	NAME			17. DATE OF BIR	тн <i>(Мо</i>	nth,Day,Year)
MOTHER				105		101	COUNT			19c. CITY, TOWN		
MOTHEN	18 . BIRTHPLACE (State or Foreign Country	/ 19a . K	ESIDEN	NCE-STATE		1910.	COUNT	T		15C. CH1, 10WN	, 01 10	JCAHON
	19d. STREET AND NUMBER	l	e. INS	. INSIDE CITY LIMITS? (Yes or no) 20. MO				HER'S MA	ILING ADD	L RESS (If same as res	idence,	enter Zip Code only)
l												
FATHER	21. FATHER'S NAME (First, Middle, Last)			22. D/	TE OF BIRT	H (Ma	onth,Day	(,Year)	23. BIRTH	PLACE (State or For	eign Co	ountry)
	24 Leastify that the personal information	provided on this certificate is	ct to the best of i	ny knowledd	e and	belief						
INFORMANT	24. I certify that the personal information provided on this certificate is correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signature of Parent or Other Informant											
	INFORMATION FOR MEDICAL AND HEALTH USE ONLY											
	25. OF HISPANIC ORIGIN? (Specify No	26. RACE – American Indian. Black (Specify below)							27. EDUCATION (Specify only highest grade completed)			
	Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, etc.							Elem	entary/Secondary (()-12) (College (1-4 or 5+)	
MOTUER	25a. 🗆 No 🗆 Yes		26e.				27				+	
MOTHER	Specify:										<u> </u>	
FATHER	25b. No □ Yes		26b.	26b.				27b.				
	Specify: 28. PREGNANC	Y HISTORY		29. MOTHER	ARRIED? (A	(At birth, conception, or 30			30.	DATE LAST NORM	AL MEI	NSES BEGAN
	(Complete each	h section)			etween) (Ye					(Month,Day,Year)		
	LIVE BIRTHS (Do not include this child)	OTHER TERMINATION (Spontaneous and induce								PRENATAL VISITS	Total	Number
MULTIPLE BIRTHS Enter State File		any time after conception	n)	31. MONTH O BEGAN-F	F PREGNAN irst, Second				32.	(If none, so state)	- 10(a)	Number
Number for Mate(s) LIVE BIRTH(S)	28a. Now Living 28b. Now Dead	28d.										
	Number Number	Number		33. BIRTH W	IGHT (Spec	cify u	nit)		34.	CLINICAL ESTIMAT	E OF C	SESTATION (Weeks)
FETAL DEATH(S)		280. DATE OF LAST OTH							ļ			
	28c. DATE OF LAST LIVE BIRTH (Month, Year)	TERMINATION (Monti					356	35b. IF NOT SINGLE BIRTH—Born First, Second, Third, etc. <i>(Specify)</i>				
	36. APGAR SCORE	37a. MOTHER TRANSFEF	RED P	RIOR TO DELIVE	No IN		'es If	Yes, enter	name of fa	cility transferred fro	m:	
	36a. 1 Minute 36b. 5 Minutes]										
		37b. INFANT TRANSFERF	RED? []No 🗍 Yes	f Yes, enter	name	of facil	ity transfe	rred to:			
	I 1	1									_	

38a. MEDICAL RISK FACTORS FOR THIS PREGNANCY	40. COMPLICATIONS OF LABOR AND/OR DELIVERY	43. CONGENITAL ANOMALIES OF CHILD
(Check all that apply)	(Check all that apply)	(Check all that apply)
Anemia (Hct. < 30/Hgb. < 10)	Febrile (> 100 °F. or 38 °C.) 01 Meconium, moderate/heavy 02 Premature rupture of membrane (> 12 hours) 03	Anencephalus 01 Spina bifida/Meningocele 02 Hydrocephalus 03
Diabetes 04 □ Genital herpes 05 □	Abruptio placenta	Microcephalus 04 Other central nervous system anomalies
Hydramnios/Oligohydramnios	Other excessive bleeding	(Specify)05 []
Hypertension, chronic	Precipitous labor (< 3 hours)	Heart malformations
Eclampsia	Dysfunctional labor	(Specify)07 🖸
Previous infant 4000 + grams	Cephalopelvic disproportion	Rectal atresia/stenosis 08 Tracheo-esophageal fistula/ Esophageal atresia 09 Omphalocele/ Gastroschisis 10
Renal disease 14 Image: Constraint of the sensitization Rh sensitization 15 Image: Constraint of the sensitization Uterine bleeding 16	Fetal distress 15 None 00 Other 16	Other gastrointestinal anomalies (Specify)11
None 00 Other	(Specify) 41. METHOD OF DELIVERY (Check all that apply)	Malformed genitalia 12 Renal agenesis 13 Other urogenital anomalies
38b. OTHER RISK FACTORS FOR THIS PREGNANCY		(Specify)14
IComplete all items! Tobacco use during pregnancy Yes I No I Average number cigarettes per day	Vaginal birth after previous C-section 02 Primary C-section 03 Repeat C-section 04 Forceps 05 Vacuum 06	Cleft lip/palate 15 Polydactyly/Syndactyly/Adactyly 16 Club foot 17 Diaphragmatic hernia 18 Other musculoskeletal/integumental anomalies
Weight gained during pregnancy lbs.	42. ABNORMAL CONDITIONS OF THE NEWBORN (Check all that apply)	(Specify)19 []
39. OBSTETRIC PROCEDURES (Check all that apply)	Anemia (Hct. < 39/Hgb. < 13)	Down's syndrome 20 Other chromosomal anomalies 21
Amniocentesis 01 Electronic fetal monitoring 02 Induction of labor 03 Stimulation of labor 04 Tocolysis 05 Ultrasound 06 None 00 Other 07	Birth injury 02 Fetal alcohol syndrome 03 Hyaline membrane disease/RDS 04 Meconium aspiration syndrome 05 Assisted ventilation < 30 min	None

Table A.	Percent of birth records on which specified items were not stated: United States
	and each State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa: 1997
	(Page 2 of 2)
	[By place of residence]

Area	Number of births	Birth weight	5-minute Apgar score	Medical risk factors	Tobacco use	Alcohol	Weight	Obstetric	Complica- tions of labor and/ or delivery	Method of delivery	Abnormal condi- tions of newborn	Congenita
Fotal of	Direno	weight		Lactorb	ube	ube	gum	procedures	or actively	derivery	incubor in	unomurre
reporting areas 1/	3,880,894	0.1	0.6	1.2	1.5	1.4	8.3	0.8	1.0	0.9	2.1	1.5
Alabama	60,914	0.0	0.3	3/ 0.1	0.2	0.2	4.4	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2
Alaska	9,947	.3	.5	.1	.3	. 3	1.4	.1	.1	.3	.1	.1
Arizona	75,699	.1	. 4	.0	1.9	2.1	10.5	.0	.0	. 2	.0	.4
Arkansas	36,478	.2	4.6	.4	1.0	1.1	9.8	.2	. 4	.6	.3	.3
California	524,840	.0		.0				.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
Colorado	56,533	.0	.3	.0	.2	. 2	4.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.1
Connecticut	43,109	.0	3.4	14.9	9.8	9.5	23.7	13.1	15.2	7.3	21.0	22.4
Delaware	10,253	.1	.3	.0	.1	.1	.9	.0	.0	.1	.1	.1
District of Columbia	7,927	.1	1.0	-	.1	.1	18.8	-	-	.1	.0	-
lorida	192,383	.0	. 2	.0	.1	.1	4.2	.0	.0	.6	.0	.0
leorgia	118,221	.0	.5	.4	.2	. 3	4.7	.0	.0	. 4	.0	.0
Iawaii	17,393	1.7	4.6	20.2	.3	. 3	13.8	13.1	7.6	19.4	21.0	22.4
daho	18,582	.1	.7	.7	1.8	2.0	10.8	.5	.7	. 2	.4	.5
llinois	180,803	.1	.3	.1	1.0	. 2	4.0	.0	.1	. 4	.1	.1
ndiana	83,436	. 4	. 4	.2		. 4	4.0	.1	.3	. 4	.8	.8
owa	36,659	.0	.3	.1	2.2	2.5	6.6	.0	.1	.3	.1	.1
ansas	37,289	.0	.3	4/.4	.5	.5	.7	.3	.3	2.5	.3	.3
Centucky	53,203	.1	.4	6.7	5.3	5.2	9.4	4.0	7.1	4.8	12.5	11.7
ouisiana	66,025	.1	.3	.1	.2	. 2	7.0	.1	.1	.1	.1	.1
laine	13,669	.1	.1	.1	1.8	2.7	1.1	.0	.0	.1	.1	.1
laryland	70,215	.1	.5	.0	1.1	1.6	12.6	.0	.0	.1	.0	.0
lassachusetts	80,364	.5	.6	1.2	.4	. 4	2.0	1.1	1.2	.8	2.1	1.9
lichigan	133,714	.3	. 4	.4	1.5	1.2	9.4	.1	.3	.6	.6	.7
linnesota	64,499	.1	.7	7.0	6.1	6.2	15.2	5.2	6.2	4.4	7.1	7.4
lississippi	41,533	.0	.5	.1	.2	. 2	5.3	.1	.1	.1	.1	.1
										_		
lissouri	74,037	.1	.4	.1	.6	.6	3.7	.0	.0	.5	.0	.0
Iontana	10,849	.0	.3	.1	1.3	1.4	.5	.1	.1	. 6	.1	.1
lebraska	23,319	.0	.2	.0	1.0	1.0	1.8	.0	.0	. 2	7/ .0	.0
levada	26,911	.0	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.6	9.8	.2	.7	.7	2.2	2.5
New Hampshire	14,313	.3	.6	.3	.3	. 2	6.5	. 2	. 3	. 2	.4	.4
lew Jersey	113,279	.1	.3	2.1	1.4	1.4	4.5	.2	2.1	.4	24.2	2.6
New Mexico	26,871	.3	3.5	. 2	2.0	2.1	11.2	.0	.0	.4	.0	
lew York	257,238	.1	.3	1.1	5/ 4.4	. 3	9.7	.3	.5	.4	8/ 0.8	.9
North Carolina	107,015	.0	.3	.0	.1	.1	2.8	.0	.0	.3	.0	.0
Iorth Dakota	8,353	.1	.3	.1	.5	. 8	1.5	.1	.1	.7	.1	.1
N. 7 .	150 000						2.0					
hio	152,033	.1	.2	.1	.3	.2	3.0	.0	.1	.4	.1	.1
klahoma	48,269	.7	5.5	28.8	20.8	21.0	31.4	24.2	28.0	21.2	35.0	35.8
regon	43,809	.0	.4	.5	.6	.6	3.0	.0	.0	. 2	.0	.0
ennsylvania	144,224	.1	.4	.1	.8	. 4	6.7	.0	.1	.1	.6	.5
thode Island	12,455	.3	. 4	4.9	2.5	2.7	10.7	4.9	5.1	. 2	12.1	12.3
with Consline	E0 014	0	2		2	2	2.5			6		
outh Carolina	52,214	.0	.3	.0	.2	. 3	3.5	.0	.0	.6	.0	.0
outh Dakota	10,173	.0	.3	.0		····	2.0	.0	.0	.1	.0	.0
ennessee	74,478	.1	.3	.1	.3	. 4	4.5	.1	.1	.5	.0	.0
exas	333,974	.1		6/ 1.2	.2	. 2	18.9	.1	9/.1	.6	7/ .1	.1
tah	43,059	.1	.3	. 2	.4	. 4	5.9	.0	.1	.0	.3	.5
		2						.				
/ermont	6,607	.3	.2	.1	.9	.3	1.5	.1	.1	.1	.1	.1
/irginia	91,862	.1	.4	.1	.2	.1	7.7	.1	.1	.3	.3	.0
ashington	78,190	. 2	.5	1.7	4.4	13.5	22.9	1.3	4.3	.5	5.1	4.9
Vest Virginia	20,730	.0	. 2	.5	.7	2.6	7.8	.0	.5	. 2	.8	.5
lisconsin	66,557	.0	.4	.1	.1	.1	1.5	.0	.1	.0	10/ .1	.1
lyoming	6,387	.0	. 2	.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	.0	-	.2	.0	-
Puerto Rico	64,109	.0	.2	.0	.0	.0	.1	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
Virgin Islands	2,017	.1	2.4	8.3	1.1	1.1	9.5	4.1	9.6	1.5	10.5	10.1
Juam	4,308	.2	1.9	12.6	3.1	3.3	28.6	11.9	13.3	3.5	15.3	16.0
merican Samoa	1,634	. 2			L	1	1	1		1	1	1

erican Samoa
Quantity zero.
Quantity more than zero but less than 0.05.
Data not available.
I Kocludes data for Puerto Rico. Virgin Islands, and Guam.
/ California reports date last normal menses began but does not report clinical estimate of gestation.
/ Alabama does not report renal disease.
/ Alabama does not report genila herpes and uterine bleeding.
/ Nebraska and Texas do not report birth injury.
/ Nebraska and report aneathetic complication and fetal distress.
/ Texas does not report aneathetic complications and fetal distress.
/ Kasonsin does not report fetal alcohol syndrome.

Table B.

Births by State of Occurrence and Residence, 1997

Area	Occurrence	Residence
Total	3,884,329	3,884,329
United States	3,884,329	3,880,894
Alabama	60,091	60,914
Alaska	9,841	9,947
Arizona	75,764	75,699
Arkansas	35,321	36,478
California	525,242	524,840
Colorado	56,868	56,533
Connecticut	42,944	43,109
Delaware	10,729	10,253
District of Columbia	14,996	7,927
Florida	192,598	192,383
Georgia	119,136	118,221
Hawaii	17,414	17,393
Idaho	18,256	18,582
Illinois	177,732	180,803
Indiana	83,421	83,436
Iowa	36,814	36,659
Kansas	36,062	37,289
Kentucky	51,617	53,203
Louisiana	66,187	66,025
Maine	13,474	13,669
Maryland	65,990	70,215
Massachusetts	81,270	80,364
Michigan	132,501	133,714
Minnesota	64,461	64,499
Mississippi	40,612	41,533
Missouri	76,653	74,037
Montana	10,731	10,849
Nebraska	23,631	23,319
Nevada	26,507	26,911
New Hampshire	13,842	14,313
New Jersey	110,443	113,279
New Mexico	26,387	26,871
New York State only	135,249	138,335
New York, city only	123,289	118,903
North Carolina	108,041	107,015
North Dakota	9,556	8,353
Ohio	152,564	152,033
Oklahoma	47,206	48,269
Oregon	45,117	43,809
Pennsylvania	144,937	144,224
Rhode Island	13,315	12,455
South Carolina	50,030	52,214
South Dakota	10,270	10,173
Tennessee	79,415	74,478
Texas	337,701	333,974
Utah	43,870	43,059
Vermont	6,332	6,607
Virginia	89,668	91,862
Washington	77,143	78,190
West Virgnina	21,647	20,730
Wisconsin	65,461	66,557
Wyoming	5,983	6,387
	2,200	0,007

Table B.

Births by State of Occurrence and Residence, 1997

Area	Occurrence	Residence
Foreign Residents		3,435
Puerto Rico		22
Virgin Islands		30
Guam		5
Canada		178
Cuba		
Mexico		2,480
Remainder of world		720

Year	Source
1997	U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1997. PPL- 91R. Rounded populations consistent with U.S. Bureau of the Census file NESTV97. Washington:U.S. Department of Commerce
1996	1998. U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1996. PPL- 57. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1997.
1995	U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1995. Census file RESD0795, PPL-41. Washington:U.S. Department of Commerce. 1996.
1994	U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1994. PPL- 21. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1995.
1993	U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1993. Census file RES0793. Washington:U.S. Department of Commerce. 1995.
1992	U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1992. Census file RESP0792. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1994.
1991	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Unpublished data consistant with Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1095, Feb. 1993.
1990	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Unpublished data from the 1990 census. 1990 CPH-L-74 and unpublished data consistent with Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1095, Feb. 1993.
1989	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1057, Mar. 1990.
1988	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1045, Jan. 1990.
1986-87	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1022, Mar. 1988.
1985	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1000, Feb. 1987.
1984	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 985, Apr. 1986.
1983	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 965, Mar. 1985.
1982	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 949, May 1984.
1981	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 929, May 1983.
1980	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1980, Number of Inhabitants, PC80-1-A1, United States Summary, 1983.
1971-79	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 917, July 1982.
1970	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1970, Number of Inhabitants, Final Report PC(1)-A1, United States Summary, 1971.
1961-69	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 519, April 1974.
L960	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1960, Number of Inhabitants, PC(1)-A1, United States Summary, 1964
1951-59	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 310, June 30, 1965.
1940-50	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 499, May 1973.
1930-39	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 499, May 1973, and National Office of Vital Statistics, Vital Statistics Rates in the United States, 1900-1940, 1947.
1920-29	National Office of Vital Statistics, Vital Statistics Rates in the United States, 1900-1940, 1947.
1917-19	Same as for 1930-39.
1900-1916	Same as for 1920-29.

Table C. Sources for resident population and population including Armed Forces abroad: Birth- and death-registration States, 1900-1932, and United States, 1900-1997.

		Total			White		Black			
Age	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	
All ages	0.9815	0.9721	0.9906	0.9802	0.9728	0.9873	0.9432	0.9151	0.9699	
10-14	0.9882	0.9891	0.9873	0.9830	0.9841	0.9818	0.9591	0.9586	0.9595	
15-19	1.0166	1.0198	1.0133	1.0094	1.0128	1.0059	0.9988	1.0016	0.9959	
20-24	1.0002	0.9987	1.0017	0.9975	0.9985	0.9966	0.9593	0.9432	0.9753	
25-29	0.9591	0.9439	0.9748	0.9558	0.9441	0.9681	0.9123	0.8732	0.9510	
30-34	0.9687	0.9487	0.9892	0.9669	0.9518	0.9828	0.9129	0.8599	0.9651	
35-39	0.9790	0.9628	0.9954	0.9764	0.9643	0.9888	0.9303	0.8808	0.9778	
40-44	0.9901	0.9758	1.0044	0.9875	0.9764	0.9988	0.9410	0.8943	0.9850	
45-49	0.9775	0.9633	0.9916	0.9762	0.9648	0.9877	0.9302	0.8807	0.9762	
50-54		0.9623			0.9651			0.8802		
55 years and over		0.9758			0.9783			0.9294		
15-44			0.9954			0.9890			0.9739	
15-54		0.9710			0.9710			0.9046		

Table D. Ratio of census-level resident population to resident population adjusted for estimated net census undercount by age, sex, and race: April 1, 1990

... Category not applicable.

Table 4-1. Population of Birth-	and Death-Registration States,	1990-1932, and United States	s, 1900-1997

		Unit	ed States/1	, operation ending		rated as of April 1 for 1940 United S		Birth-registr		Death-registration States		
		Population				Population		ŭ		Ŭ		
`	Year	including	Population	```	Year	including	Population	Number	Population	Number	Population	
		Armed Forces	residing			Armed Forces	residing	of	residing	of	residing	
		abroad	in area			abroad	in area	States/2	in area	States/2	in area	
1997		267,901,000	267,636,061	1950		151,132,000	150,697,361					
1996		265,556,890	265,283,783	1949		149,188,000	148,665,000					
1995		263,033,968	262,755,270	1948		146,631,000	146,093,000					
1994		260,659,690	260,340,990			144,126,000	143,446,000					
1993		258,119,768	257,783,004	1946		141,389,000	140,054,000					
1992		255,457,501	255,077,536	1945		139,928,000	132,481,000					
1991		252,688,000	252,177,000	1944		138,397,000	132,885,000					
1990		249,225,000	248,709,873			136,739,000	134,245,000					
1989		247,342,000	246,819,000	1942		134,860,000	133,920,000					
1988		245,021,000	244,499,000			133,402,000	133,121,000					
1987		242,804,000	242,289,000	1940		131,820,000	131,669,275					
1986		240,651,000	240,133,000	1939		131,028,000	130,879,718					
1985		238,466,000	237,924,000	1938		129,969,000	129,824,939					
1984		236,348,000	235,825,000	1937		128,961,000	128,824,829					
1983		234,307,000	233,792,000	1936		128,181,000	128,053,180					
1982		232,188,000	231,664,000	1935		127,362,000	127,250,232					
1981		229,966,000	229,466,000	1934		126,485,000	126,373,773					
1980		227,061,000	226,545,805	1933		125,690,000	125,578,763					
1979		225,055,000	224,567,000	1932		124,949,000	124,840,471	47	118,903,899	47	118,903,899	
1978		222,585,000	222,095,000	1931		124,149,000	124,039,648	46	117,455,229	47	118,148,987	
1977		220,239,000	219,760,000	1930		123,188,000	123,076,741	46	116,544,946	47	117,238,278	
1976		218,035,000	217,563,000	1929			121,769,939	46	115,317,450	46	115,317,450	
1975		215,973,000	215,465,000	1928			120,501,115	44	113,636,160	44	113,636,160	
1974		213,854,000	213,342,000	1927			119,038,062	40	104,320,830	42	107,084,532	
1973		211,909,000	211,357,000	1926			117,399,225	35	90,400,590	41	103,822,683	
1972		209,896,000	209,284,000	1925			115,831,963	33	88,294,564	40	102,031,555	
1971		207.661.000	206,827,000	1924			114,113,463	33	87,000,295	39	99,318,098	
1970		204,270,000	203,211,926	1923			111,949,945	30	81,072,123	38	96,788,197	
1969		202,677,000	201,385,000	1922			110,054,778	30	79,560,746	37	92,702,901	
1968		200,706,000	199,399,000				108,541,489	27	70,807,090	34	87,814,447	
1967		198,712,000	197,457,000	1920			106,466,420	23	63,597,307	34	86,079,263	
1966		196,560,000	195,576,000			105,063,000	104,512,110	22	61,212,076	33	83,157,982	
1965		194,303,000	193,526,000			104,550,000	103,202,801	20	55,153,782	30	79,008,412	
1964		191,889,000	191,141,000			103,414,000	103,265,913	20	55,197,952	27	70,234,775	
1963		189,242,000	188,483,000				101,965,984	11	32,944,013	26	66,971,177	
1962		186,538,000	185,771,000	1915			100,549,013	10	31,096,697	20	61,894,847	
1961		183,691,000	182,992,000				99,117,567			24	60,963,309	
1960		179,933,000	179,323,175				97,226,814			23	58,156,740	
1959		177,264,000	176,513,000				95,331,300			23	54,847,700	
1958		174,141,000	173,320,000	1912			93,867,814			22	53,929,644	
1958		171,274,000	170,371,000				92,406,536			20	47,470,437	
1956		168,221,000	167,306,000				90,491,525			18	44,223,513	
1955		165,275,000	164,308,000	1909			88,708,976			17	38,634,759	
1955		162,391,000	161,164,000	1908			87,000,271			15	34,552,837	
1954		159,565,000	158,242,000	1907			87,000,271 85,436,556			15	33,782,288	
1953		159,565,000		1906			83,819,666			15	21,767,980	
1952 1951		156,954,000	155,687,000 153,310,000	1905 1904			83,819,666 82,164,974			10	21,767,980	
1901		104,207,000	155,510,000							10		
				1903			80,632,152				20,943,222	
				1902			79,160,196			10	20,582,907	
				1901			77,585,128			10	20,237,453	
				1900			76,094,134			10	19,965,44	

... Category not applicable

1/Alaska included beginning 1959 and Hawaii, 1960.

2/The District of Columbia is not included in "Number of States," but it is represented in all data shown for each year.

SOURCE: Published and unpublished data from the U.S. Bureau of the Census; see text.

Table 4-2. Estimated Population of the United States, by Age, Race, and Sex: July 1, 1997 United States but exclude those stationed outside the United States]

	All races			White			Black			American Indian		Asi	Asian or Pacific Islander		
Age	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female
All ages	267,636,061	131,017,669	136,618,392	221,334,048	108,892,758	112,441,290	33,947,084	16,120,914	17,826,170	2,322,044	1,152,805	1,169,239	10,032,885	4,851,192	5,181,693
Under 1	3,796,593	1,942,523	1,854,070	3,020,665	1,548,908	1,471,757	555,354	281,774	273,580	40,876	20,599	20,277	179,698	91,242	88,456
1-4 years	15,353,002	7,858,303	7,494,699	12,163,625	6,239,768	5,923,857	2,336,285	1,184,890	1,151,395	160,660	81,358	79,302	692,432	352,287	340,145
5-9 years	19,738,398	10,104,334	9,634,064	15,559,813	7,977,544	7,582,269	3,147,263	1,597,222	1,550,041	226,307	114,976	111,331	805,015	414,592	390,423
10-14 years	19,039,670	9,756,558	9,283,112	15,093,410	7,749,672	7,343,738	2,936,608	1,492,104	1,444,504	239,473	121,579	117,894	770,179	393,203	376,976
15-19 years	19,067,918	9,826,506	9,241,412	15,151,220	7,837,946	7,313,274	2,962,609	1,505,420	1,457,189	219,481	110,768	108,713	734,608	372,372	362,236
15-17 years	11,600,281	5,984,284	5,615,997	9,198,548	4,758,704	4,439,844	1,802,955	921,359	881,596	139,233	70,416	68,817	459,545	233,805	225,740
18-19 years	7,467,637	3,842,222	3,625,415	5,952,672	3,079,242	2,873,430	1,159,654	584,061	575,593	80,248	40,352	39,896	275,063	138,567	136,496
20-24 years	17,511,806	8,979,345	8,532,461	13,969,619	7,219,148	6,750,471	2,598,010	1,284,683	1,313,327	186,263	94,973	91,290	757,914	380,541	377,373
25-29 years	18,868,641	9,470,009	9,398,632	15,162,631	7,686,498	7,476,133	2,614,980	1,252,590	1,362,390	190,604	99,639	90,965	900,426	431,282	469,144
30-34 years	20,740,870	10,340,361	10,400,509	16,903,250	8,522,594	8,380,656	2,762,406	1,298,038	1,464,368	183,556	93,078	90,478	891,658	426,651	465,007
35-39 years	22,624,677	11,286,336	11,338,341	18,709,658	9,431,451	9,278,207	2,857,605	1,342,537	1,515,068	183,436	91,395	92,041	873,978	420,953	453,025
40-44 years	21,373,251	10,596,489	10,776,762	17,805,941	8,923,447	8,882,494	2,582,364	1,205,641	1,376,723	165,285	80,468	84,817	819,661	386,933	432,728
45-49 years	18,469,622	9,073,571	9,396,051	15,564,301	7,737,362	7,826,939	2,076,937	948,964	1,127,973	134,377	65,417	68,960	694,007	321,828	372,179
50-54 years	15,163,012	7,383,195	7,779,817	13,049,279	6,420,579	6,628,700	1,500,444	672,655	827,789	103,218	49,827	53,391	510,071	240,134	269,937
55-59 years	11,757,221	5,645,965	6,111,256	10,091,429	4,899,979	5,191,450	1,208,332	529,516	678,816	77,228	36,572	40,656	380,232	179,898	200,334
60-64 years	10,055,769	4,744,625	5,311,144	8,683,444	4,147,189	4,536,255	1,004,238	430,198	574,040	60,518	28,270	32,248	307,569	138,968	168,601
65-69 years	9,762,494	4,461,389	5,301,105	8,525,158	3,933,383	4,591,775	934,717	399,659	535,058	48,195	21,835	26,360	254,424	106,512	147,912
70-74 years	8,736,056	3,807,434	4,928,622	7,784,935	3,416,070	4,368,865	713,126	290,374	422,752	38,627	17,291	21,336	199,368	83,699	115,669
75-79 years	7,063,405	2,915,372	4,148,033	6,365,567	2,640,079	3,725,488	538,497	208,208	330,289	27,913	11,677	16,236	131,428	55,408	76,020
80-84 years	4,642,308	1,713,444	2,928,864	4,225,771	1,563,641	2,662,130	324,818	110,844	213,974	17,814	7,150	10,664	73,905	31,809	42,096
85 years +	3,871,348	1,111,910	2,759,438	3,504,332	997,500	2,506,832	292,491	85,597	206,894	18,213	5,933	12,280	56,312	22,880	33,432

SOURCE: Published and unpublished data from the Bureau of the Census; see text.

Table 4-3. Estimated Total Population and Female Population Aged 15-44 Years: United States, each division and State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa: July 1, 1997

[Figures include Armed Forces stationed in each area and exclude those stationed outside the United States]

		Female			Female	
Area	Total	15-44 years	Area	Total	15-44 years	
United States	267,636,061	59,688,117				
			South Atlantic			
Geographic divisions:			Delaware	731,581	170,083	
			Maryland	5,094,289	1,192,961	
New England	13,378,545	3,008,115		528,964	128,568	
Middle Atlantic	38,209,736	8,371,233	U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U	6,733,996	1,584,016	
East North Central	43,889,857	9,847,222	0	1,815,787	390,046	
West North Central	18,570,596	4,074,267	North Carolina	7,425,183	1,663,752	
South Atlantic	48,230,168	10,746,008	South Carolina	3,760,181	864,721	
East South Central	16,325,977	3,711,664	Georgia	7,486,242	1,788,398	
West South Central	29,631,016	6,694,138	Florida	14,653,945	2,963,463	
Mountain	16,482,103	3,626,872				
Pacific	42,918,063	9,608,598	East South Central			
			Kentucky	3,908,124	887,672	
New England			Tennessee	5,368,198	1,216,065	
Maine	1,242,051	275,956	Alabama	4,319,154	981,452	
New Hampshire	1,172,709	273,301		2,730,501	626,475	
Vermont	588,978	133,232		, - ,) -	
Massachusetts	6,117,520		West South Central			
Rhode Island	987,429	220,026		2,522,819	541,864	
Connecticut	3,269,858	715,155	Louisiana	4,351,769	1,005,270	
Connecticut	0,200,000	710,100	Oklahoma	3,317,091	712,202	
Middle Atlantic			Texas	19,439,337	4,434,802	
New York	18,137,226	4,023,874		10,400,007	4,404,002	
New Jersey	8,052,849	1,768,188				
Pennsylvania	12,019,661	2,579,171	Montana	878,810	183,694	
1 childyfvania	12,013,001	2,575,171	Idaho	1,210,232	264,432	
East North Central			Wyoming	479,743	103,387	
Ohio	11,186,331	2,503,414	, ,	3,892,644	880,817	
Indiana	5,864,108	1,326,800		1,729,751	381,437	
Illinois	11,895,849	2,645,101		4,554,966	969,528	
Michigan	9,773,892	2,045,101		2,059,148	486,688	
Wisconsin	5,169,677	1,144,745		2,039,148		
WISCONSIN	5,169,677	1,144,745	Nevada	1,676,809	356,889	
West North Central			Pacific			
Minnesota	4,685,549	1,050,544		5,610,362	1,264,962	
lowa	2,852,423	607,235	Oregon	3,243,487	698,688	
Missouri	5,402,058	1,191,071		32,268,301	7,255,465	
North Dakota	640,883	136,964	Alaska	609,311	137,403	
South Dakota	737,973	160,035		1,186,602	252,080	
Nebraska	1,656,870	361,239	- Idividi	1,100,002	202,000	
Kansas	2,594,840		Puerto Rico	901,660	3,827,038	
			Virgin Islands	25,109	114,483	
			Guam	31,026	145,780	
			American Samoa	13,227	60,383	

SOURCE: Published and unpublished data from the Bureau of the Census; see text.